



This is a digital copy of a book that was preserved for generations on library shelves before it was carefully scanned by Google as part of a project to make the world's books discoverable online.

It has survived long enough for the copyright to expire and the book to enter the public domain. A public domain book is one that was never subject to copyright or whose legal copyright term has expired. Whether a book is in the public domain may vary country to country. Public domain books are our gateways to the past, representing a wealth of history, culture and knowledge that's often difficult to discover.

Marks, notations and other marginalia present in the original volume will appear in this file - a reminder of this book's long journey from the publisher to a library and finally to you.

Usage guidelines

Google is proud to partner with libraries to digitize public domain materials and make them widely accessible. Public domain books belong to the public and we are merely their custodians. Nevertheless, this work is expensive, so in order to keep providing this resource, we have taken steps to prevent abuse by commercial parties, including placing technical restrictions on automated querying.

We also ask that you:


- + *Make non-commercial use of the files* We designed Google Book Search for use by individuals, and we request that you use these files for personal, non-commercial purposes.
- + *Refrain from automated querying* Do not send automated queries of any sort to Google's system: If you are conducting research on machine translation, optical character recognition or other areas where access to a large amount of text is helpful, please contact us. We encourage the use of public domain materials for these purposes and may be able to help.
- + *Maintain attribution* The Google "watermark" you see on each file is essential for informing people about this project and helping them find additional materials through Google Book Search. Please do not remove it.
- + *Keep it legal* Whatever your use, remember that you are responsible for ensuring that what you are doing is legal. Do not assume that just because we believe a book is in the public domain for users in the United States, that the work is also in the public domain for users in other countries. Whether a book is still in copyright varies from country to country, and we can't offer guidance on whether any specific use of any specific book is allowed. Please do not assume that a book's appearance in Google Book Search means it can be used in any manner anywhere in the world. Copyright infringement liability can be quite severe.

About Google Book Search

Google's mission is to organize the world's information and to make it universally accessible and useful. Google Book Search helps readers discover the world's books while helping authors and publishers reach new audiences. You can search through the full text of this book on the web at <http://books.google.com/>

ac 385.374

I3



6

TWENTY-EIGHTH ANNUAL REPORT

OF THE

Board of Railroad Commissioners


FOR THE

YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1905 !

STATE OF IOWA.

PRINTED BY ORDER OF THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY

DES MOINES:
BERNARD MURPHY, STATE PRINTER.
1905.



**STATE OF IOWA,
BOARD OF RAILROAD COMMISSIONERS,
DES MOINES.**

To the Honorable ALBERT B. CUMMINS, Governor of the State of Iowa:

As provided by law, we submit to you herewith the Twenty-eighth Annual Report of this Board. The report contains the tabulation of such statistics with reference to the operation of steam and electric interurban railways as are required by law and this Commission; the decisions of the Commissioners; a record of all inspections and hearings and a brief notice of each case closed by the Board during the year.

NEW LINES IN IOWA.

Only 23.25 additional mileage of steam railroads is reported this year. Of this 19.60 miles is reported by the Chicago, Anamosa & Northern, a new corporation operating a line from Anamosa to Coggon. The balance is due to changes and additions.

ELECTRIC INTERURBAN RAILWAYS.

During the year closing June 30th, 1905, 71.358 miles of additional electric interurban railways are reported, the total mileage of such railways now being 177.018. The new lines reporting are the Cedar Rapids & Iowa City, operating from Cedar Rapids to Iowa City, 27.63 miles, and the Iowa & Illinois, operating from Davenport to Clinton, 35.012 miles.

INTERLOCKING DEVICES.

There have been three interlocking devices for the protection of railroads crossing each other at grade, installed during the past year. These are:

Rockwell City, crossing of Illinois Central, Newton & Northwestern and Chicago, Milwaukee & St. Paul;

Iowa Falls, crossing of Illinois Central and Chicago Rock Island & Pacific;

Spencer, crossing of Chicago, Milwaukee & Saint Paul and Minneapolis & St. Louis.

While there are many railroad crossings at grade that are provided with interlocking devices, the Commission would advise that many more be so equipped. The Commission should be clothed with authority to order the construction and maintenance of such devices where it is believed they are necessary for the safety of the public and railway employees. Such devices are an element of economy in the operation of trains, and subject to inspection by the Commission.

The Commissioners desire also to call attention to the frequent disregard of the law requiring trains to stop and whistle before crossing another railroad track at grade where such crossing is not equipped with interlocking system.

In the interest of public safety railroad companies should issue strict orders to their employes to observe these provisions of the law.

INSPECTION OF RAILWAYS.

The Commissioners have followed their previous practice of inspection into the physical condition of the railroads of Iowa. Some complaints have reached the Board with reference to the inferior conditions of some of the branch lines, and it seems to the Commissioners that in many instances the railway companies are not keeping the roadbeds, track and depots on branch lines in as good condition as they should. There has been no serious defect that the Commissioners have noticed, but the general conditions of many of the branch lines are not good. In some few instances the Commissioners have found that there were conditions on the main lines of some of the companies that needed attention, such as inferior ties, frogs and switches, inadequate depots and their sanitary condition. Upon the attention of the companies being called to these matters they have generally made such improvements and repairs as the Commission thought necessary for public safety.

It may be said that upon all the trunk lines in this state conditions are improving. Better roadbed, better equipment, and, in most cases, better service seems to be the rule. However, upon some of the branch lines the Commission believes that the equipment and service afforded have hardly been adequate to

meet the demands. In some of these cases the Board has suggested to the companies that better train service and better equipment should be provided, and many of these suggestions, made after careful investigation by the Commission, have been fairly well followed.

The Commissioners in their inspections have found on the various roads some of the stock yards inadequate for the territory surrounding the stations where such stock yards are located. In some instances the stock yards are poorly equipped, lacking water and drainage, with gates and fences out of repair, and in other respects not so well kept as public necessity requires. These conditions are not general, but a sufficient number have been noticed so that the Commissioners feel justified in calling attention to this subject. It may be said, however, that where the Board has called the attention of the railroad companies to these conditions they have been reasonably diligent in making such repairs and improvements as were found necessary for the convenience of stock shippers.

It must be conceded that the railroad companies are operating live stock trains in a manner much more satisfactory to the stock shippers than formerly. In this connection we would refer to this subject in our report for 1904.

OPERATION OF TRAINS.

The Commission has had before it quite a number of complaints regarding the operation of trains.

There seems to the Commission a general disposition on the part of the operating departments to increase their tonnage haul to such an extent as to make it extremely burdensome to train men to get their trains over the roads and make their schedule time, resulting in more or less danger to the public and railway employes, as well as hazarding property. In many cases railway companies are using what is called "Double Headers", engines of heavy type and capacity, thus increasing the danger to employes, to property and to the public. Running such double headers is often times a severe test upon the road bed, superstructure, bridges and equipment.

The board recommends that the several roads operating in Iowa should exercise great caution along these lines.

While the Board may lack specific authority in the matter of "Double Headers", it would like the custom entirely eliminated.

ACCOMMODATIONS FOR PASSENGERS.

In the report of this Board for 1903 attention was called to the fact that many of the railway companies during holidays, meetings, conventions, excursions, etc., did not furnish sufficient accommodation for passengers; that cars were crowded in many instances so that the aisles were filled with standing people, making traveling very inconvenient, disagreeable and dangerous. The Board believes that the companies have done much better the past year in this regard, but there is still room for improvement and the Commissioners quote the following from their former report along this line:

Some of the rules and regulations made by certain of the carriers in the State with reference to procuring additional coaches is so closely guarded and the authority is given in such a way and to such an official, that it may be well said that the rules are an obstruction instead of an advantage both to the railway company and the traveling public. If a rule should be made that unless a passenger is provided with proper and suitable accommodations, he should not be required to pay transportation therefor, it might in many ways greatly embarrass the railway company, and the Railroad Commissioners can see where that would or might create much dissension or dissatisfaction between the public and the common carrier; but unless there is more attention given to this subject by the common carrier and more ample provision made whereby the conductor or some other competent or capable person who may know the true situation and who may without unnecessary delay bring into requisition additional coaches which may be required, the Railroad Commissioners of the State feel as though more stringent and adequate rules than are now in force within the State, should be provided.

HIGHWAY AND FARM CROSSINGS.

It has been four years since the Board called specific attention to this subject in its Annual Report and each year thereafter it has reaffirmed its position taken in 1900. A great many complaints reach the Board annually concerning farm and highway crossing, and there is but little guidance for the Board in the statute. In all cases the Board undertakes to bring the parties together in an effort to effect a satisfactory adjustment and in most instances have succeeded in doing so. However, the Board again quotes upon this subject from its report for 1900:

"It has been the object and purpose of the railway companies, among other things, to strengthen and render more safe and substantial the roadbed and tracks throughout the State. In many instances the excavations and obstructions caused thereby have interfered with the view of approaching trains over highway crossings, and these new conditions are making the same more or less hazardous.

"With reference to the farm crossings, many wooden trestles and other structures that from an early day, in addition to acting as waterways through the railway company's embankments, have been used as under farm crossings, are now being replaced with stone and other substantial construction, which in many instances, has had the effect of destroying the under farm crossings.

"It has been the uniform policy of this Board to encourage under or overhead highway and private crossings, where the constructions were feasible and the expense thereof not unreasonable.

"While the Supreme Court in this State has held, in several cases, that a grade crossing is the rule within the State, yet we believe that the time is not far distant when such decisions may be modified.

"As we have said in our last report, private or public crossings at grade, may have been heretofore reasonably safe, yet, where the constructions are so changed, that is, obstructions along the company's right of way which may interfere more or less with the view of approaching trains, the increased number of trains and the greater speed thereof, rendering such crossings more hazardous and dangerous to the lives of those using the highway as well as the traveling public and the employees of the railway company, together with the increased number of such crossings, a different and more liberal and reasonable rule may be required in order that such crossings may be reasonably safe."

COMPARATIVE STATISTICS.

We continue in this report the comparative statistical tables. For Iowa: mileage; earnings; operating expenses; net earnings; net earning per mile of road; number of railroad employees and the amount paid to them annually; and average wage per day; the total number of cars in use, number of same equipped with automatic couplers and train brakes, and the total number of employees killed and injured while coupling cars or falling from trains, with total number of passengers, employees and others killed and injured, in Iowa.

**COMPARATIVE EARNINGS AND OPERATIVE EXPENSES, IOWA, INCLUDING
MILEAGE AND EARNINGS PER MILE.**

Year.	Mileage, excluding trackage rights.	Earnings.	Expenses.	Net earnings.	Net earnings per mile of road.
1878	4,157.15	\$ 20,714,496.07	\$ 12,565,950.23	\$ 8,148,545.84	\$1,960.12
1879	4,396.04	21,840,709.44	12,604,430.92	8,436,278.52	1,935.83
1880	4,977.01	24,837,545.35	13,984,653.77	10,852,891.58	2,181.00
1881	5,425.93	28,452,181.91	15,788,494.89	11,663,777.53	2,149.63
1882	6,337.43	32,023,969.08	20,512,393.05	11,511,576.98	1,816.44
1883	7,014.95	34,433,354.77	22,827,450.50	11,605,904.27	1,654.45
1884	7,249.25	35,735,271.85	23,250,916.03	12,484,355.82	1,654.45
1885	7,473.43	36,123,587.45	23,093,531.04	13,030,056.41	1,742.84
1886	7,564.67	36,093,108.54	24,931,555.10	13,161,553.44	1,739.17
1887	7,997.50	37,529,730.62	24,152,990.71	13,376,739.91	1,672.59
1888	8,346.81	37,305,596.68	23,297,163.92	14,008,432.76	1,377.73
1889	8,349.00	37,133,399.75	25,289,309.80	11,844,089.95	1,420.19
1890	8,412.72	41,318,133.69	27,098,252.83	14,021,880.86	1,683.75
1891	8,413.16	43,103,899.85	28,639,202.77	14,464,697.08	1,719.15
1892	8,407.84	43,741,685.52	29,652,096.54	14,089,588.98	1,675.02
1893	8,401.76	45,003,680.51	32,622,594.41	12,381,086.09	1,474.81
1894	8,489.88	40,699,679.92	29,020,531.03	11,679,148.89	1,493.56
1895	8,486.96	35,885,910.47	24,726,374.45	11,159,536.02	1,309.25
1896	8,495.07	41,841,292.55	24,785,452.59	17,055,839.96	1,542.85
1897	8,478.63	33,269,503.04	25,335,714.38	12,933,788.66	1,513.54
1898	8,484.16	45,944,596.00	29,813,031.97	16,131,564.03	1,901.84
1899	8,514.51	49,466,153.44	31,476,771.63	17,989,381.81	1,994.64
1900	9,171.49	52,074,571.77	35,409,424.92	16,665,146.85	1,815.04
1901	9,353.90	54,764,635.95	37,449,971.10	17,314,664.85	1,851.06
1902	9,485.22	52,170,526.84	39,876,480.47	10,294,046.37	1,084.12
1903	9,496.00	57,159,038.09	40,752,847.60	16,406,190.49	1,730.55
1904	9,808.52	57,692,095.10	42,694,050.45	14,998,044.65	1,529.86
1905	9,426.77	54,474,377.68	41,954,530.94	12,519,846.74	1,331.11

COMPENSATION OF RAILROAD EMPLOYEES IN IOWA.

Year.	Number.	Yearly compensation.	Average daily compensation.
1878	13,518		
1879	15,841		
1880	18,985		
1881	21,974		
1882	17,273	\$ 8,339,810.81	\$ 1.73
1883	27,112	13,164,288.07	1.85
1884	26,781	13,970,661.66	1.68
1885	25,666	13,628,007.66	1.66
1886	25,761	13,677,780.68	1.66
1887	29,088	15,145,234.84	1.65
1888	30,794	16,235,248.81	1.66
1889	34,642	14,212,590.27	1.67
1890	24,351	16,218,143.69	2.12
1891	27,659	16,264,938.45	1.83
1892	30,193	17,870,915.89	1.89
1893	31,127	16,289,873.84	1.68
1894	29,808	16,578,740.81	1.78
1895	24,107	14,169,808.25	1.67
1896	23,165	16,052,796.79	1.82
1897	26,690	15,187,519.49	1.81
1898	30,009	17,280,215.61	1.83
1899	32,535	19,406,833.76	1.83
1900	37,696	21,393,819.66	1.80
1901	37,836	22,253,872.79	1.83
1902	40,836	23,115,095.43	1.82
1903	42,484	24,683,568.17	1.86
1904	38,508	23,623,102.20	2.10
1905	39,866	25,184,463.40	2.06

*No data.

AUTOMATIC COUPLERS AND TRAIN BRAKES.

Number of cars equipped and number of employees and accidents to employees from coupling cars and falling from trains.

Year.	Number of cars.	Equipped with automatic coupler.	Equipped with power or train brake.	Number of employees.	Number killed coupling cars.	Number injured coupling cars.	Number killed falling from trains.	Number injured falling from trains.
1878.....	29,067			13,519				
1879.....	31,584			15,641				
1880.....	54,481			18,965				
1881.....	67,510			21,974				
1882.....	83,206		1,581	17,273	16	183	81	87
1883.....	98,106		1,814	27,113	16	98	83	43
1884.....	108,837		1,917	24,731	8	109	10	57
1885.....	108,886		2,200	25,666	13	174	16	24
1886.....	106,178		2,164	25,761	10	136	25	88
1887.....	91,097		2,545	24,088	9	134	23	89
1888.....	113,975		1,864	30,794	19	240	32	53
1889.....	140,757	4,210	3,636	24,843	8	149	6	44
1890.....	127,464	9,194	10,423	24,351	14	208	17	58
1891.....	130,106	18,178	14,395	27,589	18	242	28	82
1892.....	149,731	34,315	29,047	30,192	14	196	28	63
1893.....	143,730	49,871	39,296	31,187	10	196	28	68
1894.....	127,171	43,558	37,784	23,398	7	91	17	33
1895.....	168,721	58,862	63,078	24,107	5	80	20	37
1896.....	183,529	70,718	87,050	23,185	6	97	19	25
1897.....	171,909	101,851	90,684	23,680	7	83	14	65
1898.....	176,085	142,638	105,833	30,009	4	75	18	50
1899.....	140,730	180,505	127,907	33,835	12	72	13	64
1900.....	200,814	188,656	134,691	37,693	8	89	20	59
1901.....	211,883	250,454	158,712	37,828	6	52	21	100
1902.....	237,289	236,276	183,712	40,636	4	49	6	98
1903.....	267,127	264,589	217,073	42,484	11	58	27	87
1904.....	244,748	240,559	237,248	38,508	10	73	20	147
1905.....	288,138	282,717	245,946	39,596	6	48	11	1

ACCIDENTS TO PERSONS IN IOWA.

Year.	Killed.			Injured.		
	Passengers.	Employees.	Others.	Passengers.	Employees.	Others.
1878.....	20	29	31	51	187	85
1879.....	23	42	40	12	103	80
1880.....	5	37	38	9	140	84
1881.....	7	34	34	17	146	81
1882.....	7	39	39	60	502	73
1883.....	4	32	35	85	285	50
1884.....	6	72	61	47	848	69
1885.....	6	73	75	89	720	66
1886.....	8	61	62	35	886	74
1887.....	8	59	65	28	354	83
1888.....	10	101	89	77	664	86
1889.....	4	35	33	25	442	46
1890.....	9	73	69	67	579	101
1891.....	5	82	91	80	601	92
1892.....	23	80	76	64	258	77
1893.....	17	81	79	78	683	64
1894.....	7	43	90	63	867	69
1895.....	4	47	82	39	830	74
1896.....	6	36	94	62	411	84
1897.....	27	40	90	81	291	86
1898.....	5	44	114	80	801	70
1899.....	14	63	95	101	848	128
1900.....	9	70	148	82	449	136
1901.....	7	66	151	104	686	143
1902.....	9	64	120	104	883	129
1903.....	7	100	143	169	1,001	147
1904.....	12	90	113	201	1,419	128
1905.....	7	74	109	146	1,876	190

ORGANIZATION OF BOARD.

On January 3, 1905. Nathaniel S. Ketchum of Marshall county, having been elected Railroad Commissioner to succeed the Honorable Ed. C. Brown, whose term had expired, qualified, and the Board organized by the election of Commissioner E. A. Dawson, Chairman, and Dwight N. Lewis, Secretary, for the ensuing year. Thomas H. Boylan was appointed clerk and Mrs. Freda E. McCusland was appointed stenographer for the same period.

Respectfully submitted,

E. A. DAWSON,
D. J. PALMER,
N. S. KETCHUM.

Attest:

DWIGHT N. LEWIS, Secretary.
Des Moines, Iowa, December 4, 1905.

COMPILED RETURNS

OF THE

RAILWAY COMPANIES.

TABLE NO. 1—CAPITAL STOCK.

	Number of Shares Authorized.		Par Value.		Total Par Value Authorized.		Total Amount Issued and Outstanding.		Dividends Declared During Year.	
	Common.	Preferred.	Common.	Preferred.	Common.	Preferred.	Common.	Preferred.	Common.	Preferred.
Railroads.									Amount.	Rate.
Ames and College	900		\$ 25		\$ 20,000		\$ 181,496,000		\$ 4,000.00	5
Atchison, Topeka & Santa Fe	1,590,000	1,814,860	100	\$100	159,000,000				4,080,000.00	5
Chicago, Anamosa & Northern										
Chicago, Burlington & Quincy	1,108,891		100		110,889,100				7,758,787.00	
Chicago Great Western	800,000	250,000	100		80,000,000	25,000,000				
Mason City and Ft. Dodge	200,000	140,000	100		20,000,000	14,000,000			1,644,576.00	
Wisconsin, Minnesota & Pacific	53,984		100		5,398,400					
Chicago, Milwaukee & St. Paul	1,000,000		100		100,000,000				4,073,873.00	7
Chicago & North Western	300,000		100		30,000,000				3,348,824.00	8
Chicago, St. P., Minn. & Omaha	750,000	200,000	100		75,000,000	20,000,000			1,298,885.00	7
Chicago, Rock Island & Pacific	1,300		100		130,000				4,073,823.00	6
Colfax Northern										
Crooked Creek	5,000		100		500,000					
Davenport, Rock Island & N. W.	11,370		100		1,137,000					
Des Moines, Iowa Falls & Northern	20,000		100		2,000,000					
Des Moines Union										
Des Moines Western	150,000		100		15,000,000					
Dubuque & Sioux City, (Ill. Cont.)	110,000	74,000	100		11,000,000	7,400,000			187,700.00	
Iowa Central	74,000		100		7,400,000				5,674,436.84	
Albia & Centerville	74,000		100		7,400,000					
Manchester & Oneida	40,000		100		4,000,000					
Minneapolis & St. Louis	40,000		100		4,000,000					
Missouri North and South	40,000		100		4,000,000					
Newton & Northwestern	2,400		100		240,000					
Tabor & Northern	2,400		100		240,000					
Union Pacific	1,991,787	2,000,000	100		199,178,700	200,000,000			99,567,100.00	4 1/2
Wabash	38,000,000	24,000,000	100		3,800,000,000	2,400,000,000			24,000,000.00	
Whitmar & Sioux Falls (Great Nor.)	100,000		100		10,000,000				860,000.00	
Total	46,708,782	28,066,860			\$584,785,200	\$499,889,000	\$ 740,883,254.78	\$ 887,896,756.19	\$39,778,747.60	
										\$16,704,967.11

a Debiture bonds. b Common and preferred. c Including stock of proprietary companies.

TABLE No. 2—CAPITAL

Railroads.	Amount of Stock Per Mile of Road.		Stock representing roads in Iowa.	Amount of stock held in Iowa.	Number of Stock- holders.	
	Miles.	Amount.			Total.	In Iowa.
Ames & College	1.93	\$ 10,101.01	\$ 20,000.00	\$ 19,000	34	33
Atchison, Topeka & Santa Fe	8,134.72	28,702.00		854,200	18,140	57
Chicago, Anamosa & Northern						
Chicago, Burlington & Quincy	8,358.55	18,260.90	* 18,185,454.88	4,900	428	6
Chicago Great Western	753.20	121,098.02	4,844,163.10		5,117	
Mason City & Fort Dodge	378.12	86,851.43			6	
Wisconsin, Minnesota & Pacific	271.00	21,746.86			6	
Chicago, Milwaukee & St. Paul	6,911.62	15,555.15	† 29,114,080.04	128,000	6,287	13
Chicago & North-Western	7,809.86	10,823.95	† 16,263,295.93	298,000	4,471	23
Chicago, St. P., Miss. & Omaha	1,616.88	21,059.18	† 1,599,959.63	11,090	1,072	2
Chicago, Rock Island & Pacific	4,934.99	15,193.00			623	
Colfax Northern	6.00	10,000.00	60,000.00	80,000	6	6
Crooked Creek	17.61	12,777.48		86,900	18	3
Davenport, Rock Island & N. W.	48.76	64,157.40	221,407.85		9	
Des Moines, Iowa Falls & Northern	70.44	12,187.00	888,500.00		7	5
Des Moines Union	4.00	100,000.00	400,000.00	280,000	12	6
Des Moines Western	4.62	40,647.70	187,700.00	187,700	8	8
Dubuque & Sioux City (Ill. Cent.)	759.88	15,443.57	10,648,420.00	800	31	8
Iowa Central	503.27	38,234.00	11,676,382.58	11,800	686	2
Albia & Centerville	24.44	16,395.61	400,000.00	182,000	7	1
Manchester & Onondaga	8.00	6,881.00	52,248.00		293	
Minneapolis & St. Louis	631.41	15,838.00	3,874,000.00		518	
Muscatine North & South	28.67	14,693.00				
Newton & Northwestern	102.50	24,890.00	2,500,000.00		97	
Tabor & Northern	8.79	2,878.27	25,800.00	25,800	48	43
Union Pacific	2,955.45			100,200	12,071	14
Wabash	2,015.50	60,761.00	6,266,787.00		2,189	
Willmar & Sioux Falls (Great Nor.)	439.41	16,150.98			6	
Total	48,292.68	\$ 24,703.01	\$ 106,924,688.71	\$1,649,800	52,140	220

*Mileage Basis.

†Road Mileage Basis.

‡Proportional.

STOCK—CONTINUED.

Number of Shares Issued.										Total cash realized.
For Cash.		For Con- struction.		For Reorgani- zation		For Other Pur- poses.		Total.		
Common.	Preferred.	Common.	Preferred.	Common.	Preferred.	Common.	Preferred.			
20				1,019,989	1,814,896			2,834,885	\$ 2,000	
198,746	1,181	82,754 192,064 18,584	74,891 136,357	213,389		70,568	335,808	614,682 828,411 58,584	9,308,128	
				20,000		20,000			4,000,000	
28,119,826	5,198,917	1,122,000				28,942,574	44,128,482	107,611,800	23,128,186	
92,261	88,400	24,800				414,488	187,689	755,084	12,182,908	
53,400	30,466			69,830	20,828	91,243	76,689	840,501	4,814,717	
282,755				419,600		46,071	1,574	780,000	75,080,000	
						800	60,000	600	60,080	
117		598				1,109	485	2,250		
	80,000							80,080	8,000,000	
55,300		808,200						8,588	858,500	
4,000								4,000	400,000	
	1,877							1,877	187,700	
				79,998		27,849		117,845	11,784,500	
				85,201	56,728			141,929		
				4,000				4,000		
						99	550	649		
				60,000	40,080			100,080		
28,000	5,809							25,000	22,500	
287		119				150		508	11,850	
20				609,980	750,000	1,088,489	248,671	2,644,110	2,000	
289,000	242,000							630,000	62,000,000	
55,010		14,900						70,008	7,000,000	
29,087,289	5,528,841	2,207,849	211,248	2,581,326	2,120,921	30,662,276	45,098,789	116,764,438	\$ 238,200,954	

TWENTY-EIGHTH ANNUAL REPORT OF THE

TABLE NO. 3-DEBT.

Railroads.	Mortgage Bonds.						
	Amount of authorized issue.	Amount issued.	Amount out- standing.	Cash realized on amount issued.	Average % rates.	Interest. Amount accrued during year.	Amount paid during year.
Ames & College	\$ 198,840,500.00	\$ 177,777,500.00	\$ 163,187,050.00	\$ 34,593,806.06		\$ 5,583,688.38	\$ 6,409,263.38
Achison, Topeka & Santa Fe							
Chicago, Alton & Northern							
Chicago, Burlington & Quincy	175,568,800.00	175,568,800.00	152,140,100.00			6,409,899.08	6,554,973.99
Chicago Great Western							
Mason City & Fort Dodge	12,000,000.00	12,000,000.00	12,000,000.00			10,000.00	11,500.00
Wisconsin, Minnesota & Pacific	6,383,000.00	5,795,000.00	5,795,000.00	5,795,000.00		119,230.00	119,230.00
Chicago, Milwaukee & St. Paul		5,795,000.00	132,176,800.00			5,803,043.00	6,028,858.38
Chicago & North-Western	242,474,000.00	100,784,000.00	105,634,000.00	42,939,000.92		5,305,119.64	5,308,013.38
Chicago, St. Paul, Minneapolis & Omaha							
Chicago, Rock Island & Pacific		137,774,000.00	137,774,000.00	85,780,612.50		4,764,385.42	4,845,470.00
Colfax Northern	60,000.00	60,000.00	56,000.00	60,000.00		5,000.00	5,000.00
Crooked Creek							
Dayton, Rock Island & Northwestern							
Des Moines, Iowa Falls & Northern							
Des Moines Union							
Des Moines Western							
Dubuque & Sioux City (Illinois Central)	6,393,000.00	6,730,000.00	6,730,000.00	6,730,000.00		892,500.00	892,500.00
Iowa Central	83,060,000.00	12,897,219.51	12,897,219.51	2,539,866.70		595,944.17	595,944.17
Albia & Centerville							
Manchester & Oelids	44,291,000.00	19,715,000.00	19,595,000.00	13,130,800.00		949,780.04	949,780.04
Minneapolis & St. Louis							
Muscatine North & South	8,100,000.00	8,011,000.00	8,011,000.00	2,608,677.50		144,390.06	128,880.00
Newton & Northwestern							
Tabor & Northern	300,000,000.00	300,000,000.00	151,186,000.00			6,289,101.88	6,433,410.00
Union Pacific							
Wabash	3,646,080.00	3,646,000.00	3,646,000.00	3,646,000.00		183,800.00	178,500.00
Willmar & Sioux Falls (Great Northern)							
Total	\$ 928,389,850.00	\$ 861,751,019.01	\$75,738,869.91	\$ 138,918,473.28		\$ 27,771,780.29	\$ 27,285,651.16

TABLE No. 4.—DEBT—CONTINUED.

Railroads.	Miscellaneous Obligations.						
	Amount of authorized issue.	Amount is- sued.	Amount out- standing.	Cash realized on amounts issued.	Rate.	Interest. Amount accrued during year.	Amount paid during year.
Ames & College.....							
Atchison, Topeka & Santa Fe.....							
Chicago, Anamosa & Northern.....							
Chicago, Burlington & Quincy.....	\$ 18,372,000.00	\$ 18,872,000.00	\$ 18,371,000.00			\$ 976,639.00	\$ 974,639.00
Chicago Great Western.....							
Chicago City & Fort Dodge.....							
Wisconsin, Minnesota & Pacific.....							
Chicago, Milwaukee & St. Paul.....							
Chicago & North-Western.....	35,000,000.00	33,632,000.00	30,951,000.00	\$ 32,000,000.00	98.98	1,419,338.05	1,419,360.00
Chicago, St. Paul, Minneapolis & Omaha.....							
Chicago, Rock Island & Pacific.....	24,000,000.00	23,853,000.00	19,422,000.00	23,530,000.00		533,660.00	535,640.00
Colfax Northern.....							
Crooked Creek.....							
Davenport, Rock Island & Northwestern.....							
Des Moines, Iowa Falls & Northern.....							
Des Moines Union.....							
Des Moines West-rn.....							
Dubuque & Sioux City (Illinois Central).....	10,250,453.77	11,675,453.77	11,675,453.77	11,675,453.77		411,503.84	411,509.84
Iowa Central.....							
Albia & Centerville.....							
Manchester & Onedia.....							
Manicapolis & St. Louis.....							
Muscataine North & South.....							
Newton & Northwestern.....							
Tabor & Northern.....							
Union Pacific.....	10,000,000.00	10,000,000.00		9,832,777.75		391,665.05	391,350.00
Wabash.....							
William & Sioux Falls (Great Northern).....							
Total.....	\$ 102,622,486.77	\$ 97,655,453.77	\$ 80,432,453.77	\$ 77,102,763.52		\$ 2,835,531.54	\$ 4,044,679.84

TABLE NO. 5—

Railroads,	Income Bonds.			
	Amount of au- thorized issue.	Amount issued.	Amount out- standing.	Cash realized on amount issued
Ames & College.....				
Atchison, Topeka & Santa Fe.....	\$151,728,000	\$ 91,888,250	\$ 88,089,250	\$ 86,649,088
Chicago, Anamosa & Northern.....				
Chicago, Burlington & Quincy.....				
Chicago Great Western.....				
Mason City & Fort Dodge.....				
Wisconsin, Minnesota & Pacific.....				
Chicago, Milwaukee & St. Paul.....				
Chicago & North-Western.....	† 28,500,000	500,000	500,000	
Chicago, St. Paul, Minn. & Omaha.....				
Chicago, Rock Island & Pacific.....				
Colfax Northern.....				
Crooked Creek.....				
Davenport, Rock Island & N. W.....				
Des Moines, Iowa Falls & Northern.....				
Des Moines Union.....				
Des Moines Western.....				
Dubuque & Sioux City (Missouri Central).....				
Iowa Central.....				
Albia & Centerville.....				
Manchester & Osceola.....				
Minneapolis & St. Louis.....				
Muscatine North & South.....				
Newton & Northwestern.....				
Tabor & Northern.....				
Union Pacific.....				
Wabash.....				
Willmar & Sioux Falls.....				
Total.....	\$180,228,000	\$ 92,388,250	\$ 89,589,250	\$ 86,649,088

† Debenture Bonds, \$28,500,000

[illegible]

TABLE NO. 6—FUNDED DEBT.

Railroads.	Grand Totals.					
	Amount of authorized issue.	Amount is- sued.	Amount out- standing.	Cash realized on amount issued.	Interest ac- crued dur- ing year.	Interest paid during year.
Ames & College.....	\$ 850,000.00	\$ 209,613,780.00	\$ 246,275,800.00	\$ 71,312,085.28	\$ 9,610,845.00	\$ 9,594,038.89
Atchison, Topeka & Santa Fe.....	198,938,300.00	103,938,900.00	170,512,100.00	7,376,289.00	7,431,008.99
Chicago, Arkansas & Northern.....	12,000,000.00	12,000,000.00	12,000,000.00	40,000.00	115,860.00
Chicago, Burlington & Quincy.....	6,382,000.00	5,795,000.00	5,795,000.00	6,791,000.00	119,300.00	6,086,860.35
Chicago Great Western.....	5,903,045.00	8,080,586.88
Mason City & Fort Dodge.....	8,080,714.77	1,530,528.18
Wisconsin, Minnesota & Pacific.....	1,537,618.85	4,892,116.00
Chicago, Milwaukee & St. Paul.....	805,974,000.00	168,916,000.00	168,214,000.00	99,905,619.73	6,561,945.42	8,000.00
Chicago & North-Western.....	42,220,800.00	30,556,800.00	23,228,800.00	12,191,800.00
Chicago, St. Paul, Minneapolis & Omaha.....	24,000,000.00	161,667,000.00	157,194,000.00	109,800,618.54	3,000.00
Chicago, Rock Island & Pacific.....	61,000.00	60,000.00	60,000.00
Colfax Northern.....
Crooked Creek.....
Davenport, Rock Island & Northwestern.....	1,080,000.00	1,031,000.00	1,035,000.00	1,035,000.00	51,800.00	51,800.00
Des Moines, Iowa Falls & Northern.....	400,000.00	671,000.00	671,000.00	81,550.00	81,550.00
Des Moines Union.....
Des Moines Western.....
Indianapolis & St. Louis (Illinois Central).....	23,180,458.77	18,405,458.77	18,405,458.77	18,405,458.77	101,800.84	903,809.84
Iowa Central.....	32,650,000.00	12,891,219.91	12,891,219.91	8,559,905.70	595,944.17	595,944.17
Albia & Ottumville.....
Manassas & Oacida.....	100,000.00	45,000.00	45,000.00	8,250.00	8,250.00
Minneapolis & St. Louis.....	44,450,000.00	19,874,000.00	19,684,251.06	18,125,800.00	52,392.34	963,392.38
Muscataine North & South.....	45,000.00
Newton & Northwestern.....	8,106,000.00	3,011,000.00	3,011,000.00	3,011,000.00	144,366.65	132,860.00
Tabor & Northern.....
Union Pacific.....	210,000,000.00	210,000,000.00	131,136,000.00	9,632,777.75	6,571,793.58	6,564,660.00
Wabash.....	119,447,000.00	110,254,000.00	108,837,000.00	8,467,810.92	8,467,810.92
William & Sioux Falls (Great Northern).....	8,644,683.00	8,645,000.00	8,645,000.00	8,645,000.00	182,800.00	179,500.00
Total.....	\$ 1,872,987,133.77	\$ 1,722,301,538.68	\$ 1,205,150,128.74	\$ 851,465,082.81	\$ 51,004,967.36	\$ 51,051,312,708.70

TABLE No. 7—DEBT—CONTINUED.

Railroads.	Amount of Debt per Mile of Road.		Amount of Debt Representing Roads in Iowa.	Interest Paid During Year Ending	Amount of Stock and Debt.	Stock and Debt per Mile.	
	Miles.	Amount.				Entire.	Iowa.
Ames & College.....	8,134.72	\$ 30,202.00			\$ 479,712,300.00	\$ 58,971.00	
Archison, Topeka & Santa Fe.....	8,358.55	20,400.00	\$ 21,599,151.00	593,894.00	281,851,200.00	33,660.00	33,660.00
Chicago, Anamosa & Northern.....	755.20	505.81	202,334.12	11,810.57	91,835,238.39	121,603.82	121,603.82
Chicago, Burlington & Quincy.....	378.12	81,733.12	11,132,680.10		44,841,152.00	118,536.60	118,536.60
Chicago Great Western.....	21,337.45	21,337.45	481,217.02	17,645.76	11,639,400.00	43,184.32	43,184.32
Mason City & Fort Dodge.....	9,911.02	17,676.97	33,085,396.20	1,614,521.74	23,637,800.00	33,228.87	33,228.87
Chicago, Milwaukee & St. Paul.....	7,809.86	23,667.52	† 37,265,222.21	† 1,710,583.77	248,508,697.32	33,996.50	33,996.50
Chicago & North-Western.....	1,616.88	18,076.05	† 1,347,549.53	69,277.35	63,276,926.62	39,135.20	39,135.20
Chicago, St. P., Minneapolis & Omaha.....	4,934.99	31,853.00			232,194,000.00	47,051.00	47,051.00
Chicago, Rock Island & Pacific.....	6.00	9,416.67	56,500.00	8,000.00	116,500.09	19,416.67	19,416.67
Colfax Northern.....							
Crooked Creek.....	46.76				8,000,000.00	64,157.40	64,157.40
Davenport, Rock Island & N. W.....	70.44	14,707.55	1,056,000.00	51,800.00	1,864,800.00	26,585.83	26,585.83
Des Moines, Iowa Falls & Northern.....	4.00	219,284.12	877,072.46	34,599.76	1,277,072.46	819,988.14	819,988.14
Des Moines Western.....	4.63				187,700.00	40,637.10	40,637.10
Dubuque & Sioux City (Ill. Cent.).....	759.88	24,222.70	17,884,652.42	777,459.84	30,146,183.42	39,672.27	39,672.27
Dubuque & Sioux City (Ill. Cent.).....	502.27	25,666.00	10,403,028.38	490,194.08	27,687,629.73	53,910.00	53,910.00
Iowa Central.....	24.44				400,000.00	16,396.61	16,396.61
Albia & Centerville.....	8.00	8,125.00	65,000.00	3,250.00	117,248.00	14,656.00	14,656.00
Manchester & Mendota.....	631.41	50,968.00	6,597,313.00	330,265.88	29,565,000.00	46,834.00	46,834.00
Minneapolis & St. Louis.....	28.67	15,696.00			900,000.00	31,392.00	31,392.00
Missouri North & South.....	102.50	29,378.00	29,376.00	132,850.00	5,511,000.00	53,766.00	53,766.00
Newton & Northwestern.....	8.79	5,638.28		2,500.00	75,800.00	8,566.55	8,566.55
Tabor & Northern.....							
Union Pacific.....	2,985.45	54,025.00		159,984.00	412,150,337.58	84,758.00	84,758.00
Wabash.....	2,015.55		4,831,064.00		170,887,000.00	24,563.00	24,563.00
Willmar & Sioux Falls (Great Northern).....	304.25	11,984.00			10,646,000.00		
Total	46,148.98	\$ 26,117.16	\$ 147,193,592.04	\$ 5,992,084.74	\$ 3,377,091,034.42	\$ 1,374,254.87	\$ 1,315,293.87

† Proportional.

TABLE No. 8—STOCKS

Railroads.	Stocks Owned.			
	Railway Stocks			Other Stocks
	Total Par Value.	Income or Dividend Received.	Valuation.	Total Par Value.
Ames & College.....				
Atchison, Topeka & Santa Fe.....	\$ 55,430,000.00	\$ 9,983.26	\$ 55,650.00	\$ 6,694,848.98
Chicago, Anamosa & Northern.....				
Chicago, Burlington & Quincy.....	571,400.00	8,000.00	804,549.12	61,700.00
Chicago Great Western.....	25,429,674.01	4,000.00	25,482,477.89	180,100.00
Mason City & Fort Dodge.....				
Wisconsin, Minnesota & Pacific.....				
Chicago, Milwaukee & St. Paul.....	8,483,400.00	4,000.00	2,827,626.00	668,600.00
Chicago & North-Western.....	30,763,773.61	932,510.00		5,042,900.00
Chicago, St. P., Minn. & Omaha.....	4,846,061.64	79,940.24	4,875,161.64	
Chicago, Rock Island & Pacific.....	41,717,687.50	681,202.92	36,224,549.17	2,861,700.00
Colfax Northern.....				
Crooked Creek.....				
Davenport, Rock Island & N. W.....				
Des Moines, Iowa Falls & Northern.....	46,500.00	46,500.00		
Des Moines Union.....	6.80		6.80	
Des Moines Western.....				
Dubuque & Sioux City (Ill. Cent.).				
Iowa Central.....	900,000.00			
Albia & Centerville.....				
Manchester & Oneida.....				
Minneapolis & St. Louis.....	320,200.00	5,954.99	100,080.00	
Muscatine North & South.....				
Newton & Northwestern.....				1,000.00
Tabor & Northern.....				
Union Pacific.....	39,529,000.00	658,340.00	38,527,822.50	16,612,800.00
Wabash.....	15,169,551.68	79,200.00	10,078,752.24	2,902,828.78
Willmar & Sioux Falls (Great North'n.)	2,500,000.00		2,500,000.00	
Total.....	\$ 208,806,254.24	\$ 2,260,623.41	\$ 118,480,166.46	\$35,539,497.66

AND BONDS OWNED.

Stocks Owned.		Bonds Owned.					
Other Stocks.		Railway Bonds.			Other Bonds.		
Income or Dividend Received.	Valuation.	Total Par Value.	Income or Interest Received.	Valuation.	Total Par Value.	Income or Interest Received.	Valuation.
\$ 150,555.00	\$ 857,400.00	\$ 57,455,609.59	\$ 452,716.53	\$ 2,552,766.26	\$ 251,200.00	\$ 14,479.32	\$ 11,200.00
1,200.00	61,656.66	970,100.00	54,500.05	853,965.50	422,500.00	83,280.00	422,500.00
	180,129.20	20,000.00	1,802.50	20,000.00			
13,885.06	658,612.00	5,997,000.00	5,810.00	5,982,000.00			
553,435.00		7,854,000.00	2,852.08				
		2,792,000.00	6,890.00	2,792,000.00			
98,120.00	600,022.00	14,001,875.00	719,083.42	23,177,694.12	3,895,000.00		3,895,000.00
		8,736,000.00	152,040.07	8,736,000.00			
		612,000.00	50,925.56	592,000.00			
	1,000.00						
492,247.50	1,373,375.00	79,665,540.00	2,787,537.45	74,492,605.27	14,757,200.00	241,450.00	1,572,000.00
101,188.00	472,244.76	7,544,000.00	295,653.24	7,698,000.00			
\$1,500,080.50	\$3,899,462.62	\$189,088,134.59	\$4,478,654.93	\$121,218,051.15	\$19,328,900.00	\$282,129.53	\$5,000,700.00

TABLE NO. 9 RENTALS RECEIVED, RENTAL

Railroads.	Rentals Received from lease of track, yards and terminals.	Miscellaneous Income.	
		Gross Income.	Expense.
Ames & College.....			
Atchison, Topeka & Santa Fe.....	\$ 113,540.61		
Chicago, Anamosa & Northern.....			
Chicago, Burlington & Quincy.....	284,023.81		
Chicago Great Western.....	23,819.18		
Chicago, Mason City & Fort Dodge.....	8,826.00		
Wisconsin, Minnesota & Pacific.....			
Chicago, Milwaukee & St. Paul.....	206,693.62		
Chicago & North-Western.....	52,814.80	231,810.90	
Chicago, St. P., Minn. & Omaha.....	47,487.77		
Chicago, Rock Island & Pacific.....	238,808.16		
Colfax Northern.....			
Crooked Creek.....		1,047.98	
Davenport, Rock Island & N. W.....			
Des Moines, Iowa Falls & Northern.....			
Des Moines Union.....	264,123.60		
Des Moines Western.....	21,164.14		
Dubuque & Sioux City (Ill. Cent.).....	22,553.88		
Iowa Central.....	4,967.50		
Albia & Centerville.....			
Manchester & Onedia.....			
Minneapolis & St. Louis.....	143,621.04		
Muscatine North & South.....			
Newton & Northwestern.....	4,401.48		
Tabor & Northern.....			
Union Pacific.....	408,200.55		
Wabash.....	126,081.51		
Willmar & Sioux Falls (Great Northern).....	2,818.56		
Total.....	\$ 2,207,512.92	\$ 222,657.40	

PAID AND MISCELLANEOUS INCOME.

	Totals Paid.				
Net Miscellaneous Income.	For Lease of Road				For lease of tracks, yards and terminals.
	Interest on bonds guaranteed.	Dividends on stock guaran- teed.	Cash.	Total.	
\$ 7,084,610.51					\$ 248,471.81
203,806.95					1,083,918.78
					496,848.08
					50,879.84
307,853.99					583,683.64
331,610.30			\$ 87,545.93	\$ 87,545.93	144,191.97
74,584.35					137,876.44
1,016,018.90	\$ 1,019,723.38		213,790.03	1,333,513.95	1,308,104.85
			2,581.17	2,581.17	
1,047.25					4,953.38
					80,514.36
2,038.00					88,576.80
8,317.48	22,300.00			22,300.00	37,874.91
					60.00
37,304.97	61,448.00		5.00	61,445.00	480.00
15.00					61,738.93
					308.00
173,398.33			1,300.00	1,300.00	136,980.44
					833,633.39
					89,061.37
9,630,543.01	\$ 1,103,968.38		\$ 255,073.71	\$ 1,398,498.04	\$ 1,183,706.80

TABLE NO. 10—COST OF ROAD AND

Railroads.	Cost of Construction.			Cost of Equip.	
	Total cost to June 30, 1904.	Total cost to June 30, 1905.	Per mile.	Total cost to June 30, 1904.	Total cost to June 30, 1905.
Ames & College.....					
A., T. & S. F.....	\$452,536,285.59	\$444,053,865.79	\$55,228.29	\$17,586,147.14	\$17,785,859.09
C., A. & N.....					
C., B. & Q.....					
C. G. W.....	63,776,323.80	64,590,059.55	81,513.85	8,428,861.48	8,448,996.93
M. C. & F. D.....	81,697,846.42	49,878,584.58	123,388.18	1,577,926.14	1,577,926.14
W., M. & P.....	11,001,172.77	11,276,148.97	41,609.41	662,987.79	662,987.79
O. M. & S. P.....					
Chicago & North-Western.					
C., S. P., M. & O.....					
C., R. I. & P.....					
Golfax Northern.....	185,675.13	133,505.04	23,250.84	9,579.98	18,579.23
Orooked Creek.....	205,601.86	205,601.86	11,675.28	20,890.54	20,905.54
D., R. I. & N. W.....	8,129,029.10	3,123,823.89	67,124.24	112,433.67	112,433.67
D. M., I. F. & N.....	1,776,786.86	1,808,443.78	25,673.53	142,181.64	143,056.66
D. M. U.....	1,240,326.14	1,245,685.88	811,421.46	31,886.63	81,386.63
D. M. W.....	208,600.87	207,930.46	45,000.85		
D. & S. C. (Ill. Cent.)..					
Iowa Central.....	23,695,570.47	23,900,350.98	47,584.47	2,883,783.10	2,884,831.18
Albia & Centerville.....					
Manchester & Onedia.....					
M. & S. L.....					
M. N. & S.....					
Newton & Northwestern.....		2,455,777.16	23,958.80		61,964.81
Tabor & Northern.....					
Union Pacific.....	226,750,745.18	225,284,906.74		11,109,865.08	11,109,263.98
Wabash.....					
W. & S. F. (Great North'n)	8,816,740.18	8,869,994.45	29,153.64	328,409.89	328,424.87
Total.....	\$304,967,104.98	\$280,044,687.06		\$42,452,653.05	\$42,746,616.21

EQUIPMENT AND ACTUAL CASH VALUE.

ment.	Grand Total Cost of Construction and Equipment.			Total Cost of Construction and Equipment for Iowa.		
	Total cost to June 30, 1904.	Total cost to June 30, 1905.	Per mile.	Total cost to June 30, 1904.	Total cost to June 30, 1905.	Per mile.
.....	\$ 450,184,383.78	\$ 461,849,324.88	\$98,569.89
.....	321,317,010.48	327,940,531.57	89,234.14	†53,916,076.88	†54,157,986.44	†29,855.77
\$11,127.76	72,206,185.38	73,029,056.47	91,701.61	33,289,868.19	33,676,183.31	96,685.64
4,172.98	39,216,372.56	41,451,510.72	117,556.16	30,817,129.87	41,242,111.64	117,565.88
2,446.45	11,664,160.60	11,939,186.76	44,055.86	963,125.82	990,943.85	44,043.14
.....	240,075,053.44	†342,431,436.52	85,147.87	†5,650,433.01	85,147.87
.....	223,417,014.42	225,287,998.85	30,819.74	148,123,606.10	148,526,607.20	180,819.74
.....	59,678,399.89	60,054,642.43	87,142.80	12,769,958.47	187,142.80
.....	162,597,717.28	163,678,614.04	33,146.70
8,686.54	145,355.06	152,084.27	25,347.88	145,355.06	152,084.27	25,347.88
1,187.13	276,492.40	226,577.40	12,863.41	226,492.40	226,577.40	12,862.41
2,404.48	3,241,462.77	3,251,255.58	69,570.72	2,350,578.92	2,359,847.58	68,338.09
2,030.90	1,918,918.51	1,951,500.44	27,704.48	1,918,918.51	1,951,500.44	27,704.48
7,846.66	1,271,712.79	1,277,072.46	319,268.12	1,271,712.79	1,277,072.46	319,268.12
.....	299,600.57	207,932.46	48,000.86	203,600.57	207,932.46	45,000.86
.....	29,394,210.68	29,582,291.59	84,930.21	29,394,210.68	29,582,291.59	88,980.21
4,743.11	26,079,353.65	26,285,082.18	52,332.58	21,495,777.48	21,668,717.87	52,457.78
.....	400,000.00	400,000.00	16,866.61	400,000.00	400,000.00	16,866.61
.....	23,433,019.97	29,819,647.74	47,227.08	29,438,019.97	29,819,647.74	47,227.08
604.53	2,542,305.30	2,517,741.97	24,563.33	2,542,305.30	2,517,741.97	24,563.33
.....	83,688.07	82,689.07	9,407.06	82,688.07	82,688.07	9,407.06
.....	337,853,810.26	236,894,170.72	79,795.76
.....	145,335,419.58	145,910,847.70	83,809.50
1,276.66	9,265,159.05	9,258,419.02	30,480.80
.....	\$1,661,472,617.02	\$2,077,878,929.85	\$49,481.32	\$260,504,364.00	\$321,773,463.74

† Mileage Basis. † Proportional.

TABLE NO. 11—INCOME ACCOUNT—IOWA.

Railroads.	Income from Operations.			Income From Other Sources.				Total Net Income.
	Gross In- come.	Operating expenses.	Income from op- eration.	Dividends on stock owned.	Interest on bonds owned.	Miscellaneous income less expense.	Total in- come from other sources.	
Ames & College.	\$ 17,640.20	\$ 12,730.38	\$ 4,909.82	\$ 708.28	\$ 708.28	\$ 5,708.28
Atchison, Topeka & Santa Fe.	310,160.55	253,141.69	57,018.86	57,018.86
Chicago, Anamosa & Northern.	2,817.35	4,253.39	d	1,986.04
Chicago, Burlington & Northern.	8,780,145.19	5,795,134.56	8,014,011.69	8,014,011.69
Chicago, Great Western.	8,181,183.44	5,838,773.19	8,811,890.25	8,811,890.25
Chicago, Milwaukee & St. Paul.	1,895,858.07	880,740.62	408,507.45	1,864.86	840.86	2,705.18	448,507.45
Chicago, Rock Island & Pacific.	48,138.58	47,977.28	d	4,188.65
Chicago & North-Western.	11,688,177.63	7,851,510.44	8,134,571.86	d	1,578.38	d	68,500.10	8,193,171.49
Chicago, St. Paul, Minn. & Omaha.	11,971,347.68	7,765,904.08	c	837,097.51	c	40,833.96	c	4,473,859.13
Chicago, Rock Island & Pacific.	11,841,405.69	8,437,973.92	8,031,523.07	c	85,467.56	c	4,463,030.02
Chicago, Rock Island & Pacific.	82,083.48	59,075.86	8,633.62	8,083,533.07
Crookston Great.	30,032.50	27,613.79	8,098.20	8,082.57
Dayton, Rock Island & N. W.	33,984.13	27,613.43	8,098.20	8,085.80
Des Moines, Iowa Falls & Northern.	151,084.50	121,553.43	20,031.11	1,863.04	1,863.04	21,894.80
Des Moines Union.	244,123.80	21,341.08	45,898.41	42,849.47
Des Moines Western.	21,194.14	9,515.33	11,678.81	2,026.00	2,026.00	12,704.81
Dubuque & Sioux City (Illinois Cent.)	2,241,234.26	2,323,121.83	612,023.30	612,023.30
Iowa Central.	1,781,772.50	1,489,033.46	242,023.21	125,053.90	7,810.19	122,822.08	474,493.40
Albia & Centerville.	24,325.24	25,973.03	d	4,643.41	8.01	8.01	4,643.44
Manchester & Oneida.	17,889.86	12,267.13	5,592.73	5,592.73
Manassas & St. Louis.	997,187.53	484,816.09	211,911.24	2,000.21	17,183.28	26,865.99	44,753.48	285,637.73
Marquette North & South.	85,216.18	56,143.06	75.49	75.49
Newton & Northwestern.	112,954.08	108,994.82	9,531.71	4,401.49	4,401.49	12,933.20
Tabor & Northern.	18,430.42	13,407.81	8,031.01	8,031.01
Union Pacific.	285,431.73	248,516.26	10,919.46	10,919.46
Wabash.	1,010,380.47	963,236.72	83,113.75	76,168.34
Willmar & Sioux Falls (Great North'n)	832,037.80	241,830.20	80,797.00	5,570.64	7,990.00	13,560.64	90,797.60
Total.	\$ 52,496,943.16	\$ 41,934,634.77	\$ 16,485,560.98	\$ 76,793.06	\$ 153,176.28	\$ 157,192.16	\$ 682,082.80	\$ 17,164,430.74

† Revenue train mileage basis. a Excluding taxes. b Iowa road mileage basis. c Proportional. d Deficit.

TABLE No. 12—INCOME ACCOUNT—IOWA—CONTINUED.

Railroads.	Deductions from Income.						Net Income.	Deficit.
	Funded debt accrued.	Interest on current liabilities.	Rents.	Taxes.	Permanent improvements.	Other deductions.	Total deductions from income.	
Ames & College	\$ 380.63	\$ 370.00
Atchison, Topeka & Santa Fe	11,465.58	40.27	11,465.58
Chicago, Anamosa & Northern	811,254.08	811,254.08
Chicago, Burlington & Quincy	19,867.85	265,104.57
Chicago Great Western	25,930.49	68,522.68
Mason City & Fort Dodge	2,040.97	13,438.13
Wisconsin, Minnesota & Pacific	874,598.95	1,939,430.74
Chicago, Milwaukee & St. Paul	889,884.81	1,146,041.32
Chicago & North-Western	35,173.70	124,558.88
Chicago, St. P., Minn. & Omaha
Chicago, Rock Island & Pacific
Colfax Northern	914.90	6,445.97
Crooked Creek	1,845.75	1,845.75
Davenport, Rock Island & N. W.	9,085.89	9,085.89
Des Moines, Iowa Falls & Northern	6,235.89	60,222.69
Des Moines Union	18,299.72	53,898.47
Des Moines Western	141,427.45	1,437.45
Dubuque & Sioux City (Ill. Cent.)	141,427.45	975,090.72
Iowa Central	70,500.00	693,601.93
Albia & Centerville	2,840.00	2,900.00
Manchester & O'Neill	784.31	5,837.57
Minneapolis & St. Louis	40,538.00	483,810.94
Missouri North & South	6,019.45
Newark & Northwestern	1,031.78	150,298.10
Union Pacific	15,109.27	5,834.78
Wabash	39,827.27	15,109.27
Wilmar & Sioux Falls (Gr. Northern)	10,993.14	184,477.91
Total	\$ 5,375,510.82	\$ 912,075.84	\$ 91,171.77	\$ 1,612,184.57	\$ 1,001,191.17	\$ 149,149.39	\$ 8,475,119.89	\$ 1,014,495.46

Taxed mileage same,
aProportional.

TABLE NO. 13.—INCOME

Railroads.	Payments From Net Income.					
	Dividends on Stock.				Other Payments.	Total.
	Common.		Preferred.			
	Rate.	Amount.	Rate.	Amount.		
Ames & College	80%	\$ 4,000.00	\$ 4,000.00
Aetehison, Topeka & Santa Fe	
Chicago, Anamosa & Northern	
Chicago, Burlington & Quincy	
Chicago Great Western	a 487,167.81
Mason City & Fort Dodge	
Wisconsin, Minnesota and Pacific	
Chicago, Milwaukee & St. Paul	7	1,102,984.01	7	\$ 920,861.65	...	2,023,795.64
Chicago & North-Western	7	720,496.58	8	881,530.58	...	1,103,037.11
Chicago, St. P., Minn. & Omaha	7	c 69,878.60	7	c 86,825.69	...	c 96,204.29
Chicago, Rock Island & Pacific	
Gulfax Northern	
Crooked Creek	
Davenport, Rock Island & N. W.			6	10,221.11	...	
Des Moines, Iowa Falls & Northern	
Des Moines Union	
Des Moines Western	
Dubuque & Sioux City (Ill. Cent.)	
Iowa Central	
Albia & Centerville	
Manchester & Oneida	
Minneapolis & St. Louis			5	67,480.00	...	67,480.00
Muscatine North & South	
Newton & Northwestern	
Taber & Northern	
Union Pacific	
Wabash	
Willmar & Sioux Falls (Great Nor.)	
Total		\$1,887,309.14		\$1,415,419.01		\$3,770,674.85

a Interest on 4% debenture bonds. b Proprietary line earnings. c Proportional.

ACCOUNT—IOWA—CONTINUED.

From Operations year Ending June 30, 1895.		On June 30, 1904.		For Year.		On June 30, 1905.	
Surplus.	Deficit.	Surplus.	Deficit.	Additions.	Deductions.	Surplus.	Deficit.
\$ 1,338.83		\$ 8,859.50				\$ 1,338.83	
	\$ 1,938.08						\$ 1,938.08
96,411.74		10,104.38		648,898.99		154,854.89	
341,934.52	1,011.54				\$340,973.28		
	13,425.03						
	814,189.89						
135,908.59		2,841,928.86				2,478,887.88	
c 249,262.4							
8,536.60		21,096.78				24,683.83	
6,351.05		7,264.24				12,515.89	
						33,898.44	
						1,095.65	
	155,188.28	1,887,824.91		276.22		1,232,967.85	
	7,445.44		1,298.27				8,788.71
	244,683.24		500,682.92		40,887.37		788,783.58
	136,858.90		74,681.07		68,865.82		147,607.18
	832.77		26,498.92		8,468.89		28,869.80
	107,700.89						
632,698.46	\$1,481,648.54	\$3,772,078.65	\$605,091.19	\$48,614.51	\$448,191.86	\$3,944,061.74	\$ 967,878.8

TABLE No. 14—INCOME ACCOUNT—ENTIRE LINE.

Railroads.	Income from Operation.			Income from Other Sources.			
	Gross amount	Operating expenses.	Income from Operation.	Dividends on stock owned.	Interest on bonds owned.	Miscellaneous income less expenses.	Total income from other sources.
Ames & College.....	\$ 41,459,968.96	\$ 18,730,323	\$ 4,900.91	\$ 160,543.36	\$ 450,553.86	\$ 7,000.36	\$ 700.36
Atchison, Topeka & Santa Fe.....	28,923,468.64	28,923,353.29	14,492,712.36			7,064,610.51	5,301,749.83
Chicago, Annamora & Northern.....	2,817.25	4,233.33	1,698.08				
Chicago, Burlington & Quincy.....	65,161,848.02	41,479,189.43	23,093,698.46		67,700.08	303,303.96	303,303.96
Chicago Great Western.....	7,233,099.14	5,614,312.44	1,718,786.70	4,000.00	1,803.80		5,803.80
Chicago, St. P. & North Western.....	1,983,693.99	965,991.09	481,677.94				
Chicago, Rock Island & Pacific.....	700,630.87	305,640.55	303,010.32				
Chicago, Milwaukee & St. Paul.....	49,894,118.66	30,641,703.97	19,232,403.24	17,335.00	5,810.00	307,093.99	300,797.99
Chicago & North Western.....	55,745,275.17	36,641,234.83	19,103,640.34	1,535,915.00	2,863.04	231,610.24	1,770,407.28
Chicago, St. P. Minn. & Omaha.....	11,097,876.73	7,230,148.87	4,693,729.86	79,940.24	5,380.00	74,524.24	1,160,804.54
Chicago, Rock Island & Pacific.....	42,109,187.50	30,213,631.65	11,894,565.81	480,832.9	719,083.43	1,016,018.50	2,216,485.24
Colfax Northern.....	34,038.48	23,074.86	9,993.57				
Crooked Creek.....	30,789.89	23,413.79	8,096.20				
Davenport, Rock Island & N. W.....	171,644.26	15,976.09	15,093.91				
Des Moines, Iowa Falls & Northern.....	181,764.96	131,753.05	20,018.15			1,866.06	1,866.06
Des Moines Union.....	361,132.90	211,274.08	93,898.47				
Des Moines Western.....	31,164.14	9,515.38	11,046.56				
Dubuque & Sioux City (Ill. Cent.).....	4,534,898.40	2,484,867.32	1,047,431.07				
Iowa Central.....	2,664,885.78	2,068,177.86	575,977.87		182,040.00	5,217.46	180,257.46
Albia & Centerville.....	24,835.34	23,573.65	4,545.44			3.00	3.00
Manchester & Oneida.....	17,869.86	12,267.18	5,602.73				
Minneapolis & St. Louis.....	8,362,988.81	1,923,983.86	1,836,955.49	6,564.99	50,985.86	87,204.97	94,685.81
Minneapolis North & South.....	59,319.18	89,143.69	76.47				
Newton & Northwestern.....	113,914.03	108,899.32	9,021.01				
Tabor & Northern.....	18,489.82	18,407.8	2,081.01			4,401.49	4,401.49
Union Pacific.....	33,176,184.83	19,079,730.82	14,106,395.71	1,160,687.60	3,973,967.45	2,307,016.16	6,380,991.11
Wabash.....	24,895,811.61	31,867,069.54	3,446,435.07	370,888.00	305,063.84	172,296.83	782,240.17
Willmar & Sioux Falls (Great Nor.).....	1,646,870.91	1,014,249.99	632,149.99			2,318.50	2,318.50
Total.....	\$ 349,693,840.80	\$ 226,785,619.30	\$ 116,988,311.6	\$ 2,714,316.91	\$ 4,767,760.38	\$ 11,838,386.84	\$ 20,317,882.00
							\$ 184,755,804.99

a Ded ft.

TABLE No. 15—INCOME ACCOUNT—ENTIRE LINE—CONTINUED.

Railroads.	Deductions from Income.							Net Income.	Deficit.
	Interest on funded debt owned.	Interest on floating bonds.	Rebate.	Taxes.	Permanent improvements.	Other deductions.	Total deductions from income.		
Ames & College	\$ 9,610,848.09	\$ 54,919.04		\$ 1,844,798.68		\$ 40.23	\$ 11,049,410.34	\$ 1,838.33	
Archbold, Topeka & Santa Fe									
Chicago, Annamora & Northern									
Chicago, Burlington & Quincy	7,876,239.06			1,840,137.55			10,115,996.84	18,832,104.48	
Chicago Great Western				260,000.00			501,899.23	1,183,899.98	
Mason City & Fort Dodge	40,000.00	878,690.22		90,000.00			703,000.00	841,677.90	
Wisconsin, Minnesota & Pacific	119,232.00			23,797.21			143,029.21	188,982.41	
Chicago, Milwaukee & St. Paul	8,952,145.01			1,032,522.81			8,554,877.82	11,886,886.19	
Chicago & North-Western	8,090,714.71	935.04	37,545.93	2,136,773.74	\$ 4,000,000.00	237,479.90	15,178,048.49	2,517,833.19	
Chicago, St. Paul, Minn. & Omaha	1,237,612.58	6,435.75		573,837.02		404,775.46	2,211,262.80	2,512,836.19	
Chicago, Rock Island & Pacific	2,890,905.43	784,114.69	1,223,512.95	1,872,854.09			9,131,262.91	2,980,892.80	
Colfax Northern	8,000.00		2,881.17	911.50			6,444.70	2,585.60	
Crooked Creek				1,844.78			1,844.78	2,351.05	
Davenport, Rock Island & N. W.	51,800.00	2,187.40		9,035.89			15,931.07		
Des Moines, Iowa Falls & Northern	88,660.00	1,049.76		6,335.29			60,234.09		
Des Moines Union				18,392.72			51,599.47		
Des Moines Western				1,437.45			1,437.45		
Des Moines & Sioux City (Ill. Cent.)	802,895.84			149,307.18		55,938.60	1,010,000.00	12,247.77	
Iowa Central	596,944.17		22,900.00	91,688.89			768,600.00	77,480.48	
Albia & Centerville				2,900.00			2,900.00		
Manchester & Oneida				788.31	1,791.36		2,579.67		
Minneapolis & St. Louis	942,892.38	51,897.00	61,445.00	117,499.99			1,166,194.31	287,846.66	
Minneapolis North & South								75.49	
Newton & Northwestern	144,206.65			9,019.45			150,226.10	126,332.90	
Tabor & Northern	2,600.00	2,393.00		1,001.75			3,994.75	832.17	
Union Pacific	6,571,765.53			879,203.14			7,450,974.67	15,051,010.15	
Wabash	8,497,872.17		1,300.00	825,032.71	650,893.96	692,106.80	5,644,165.63	1,450,372.39	
Willmar & Sioux Falls (Great N.)	182,800.00			71,129.41			253,929.41	381,089.01	
Total	\$41,006,378.49	\$1,232,801.06	\$ 1,358,436.04	\$ 11,018,804.46	\$ 8,268,654.21	\$ 9,579,367.01	\$ 79,084,941.96	\$67,945,079.60	\$1,723,436.38

TABLE No. 16—INCOME ACCOUNT

Railroads.	Payments From Net Income.					
	Dividends on Stock.				Other payments.	Total.
	Common.		Preferred.			
	Rate.	Amount.	Rate.	Amount.		
Ames & College.....	20	\$ 4,000.00				\$ 4,000.00
Atchison, Topeka & Santa Fe.....	4	4,678,288.00	5	\$ 5,793,080.00		8,785,816.00
Chicago, Anamosa & Northern.....						
Chicago, Burlington & Quincy.....	7	7,738,737.00				7,738,737.00
Chicago Great Western.....	4	a 1,044,978.00				a 1,044,978.00
Mason City & Fort Dodge.....						
Wisconsin, Minnesota & Pacific.....						
Chicago, Milwaukee & St. Paul.....	7	4,072,971.00	5	3,600,338.00		7,673,309.00
Chicago & North-Western.....	7	2,353,824.00	5	1,791,000.00		5,174,884.00
Chicago, St. P., Minn. & Omaha.....	7	1,258,385.00	7	787,978.00		2,036,863.00
Chicago, Rock Island & Pacific.....	6	4,674,422.00	6	9,633.00		4,684,055.00
Colfax Northern.....						
Crooked Creek.....						
Davenport, Rock Island & N. W.....						
Des Moines, Iowa Falls & Northern.....						
Des Moines Union.....			6	10,221.11		10,221.11
Des Moines Western.....						
Dubuque & Sioux City (Ill. Cent.).....						
Iowa Central.....						
Albia & Centerville.....						
Manchester & Onedia.....			5	200,000.00		200,000.00
Minneapolis & St. Louis.....						
Minnesota North & South.....						
Newton & Northwestern.....						
Tabor & Northern.....						
Union Pacific.....	45	7,104,330.50	4	3,989,484.00		11,097,814.50
Wabash.....						
Willmar & Sioux Falls (Great Nor.).....	7	250,000.00				250,000.00
Total.....		632,771,937.50		\$18,691,337.11		\$651,463,274.61

a Debenture Stock. b Proprietary Line Earnings.

-ENTIRE LINE-CONTINUED.

From Operations Year Ending June 30, 1905.		On June 30, 1904.		For Year.		On June 30, 1905.	
Surplus.	Deficit.	Surplus.	Deficit.	Additions.	Deductions.	Surplus.	Deficit.
\$ 13,338.88		\$ 8,859.50				\$ 1,588.88	
1,955,141.63		19,187,008.06		\$ 294.48	\$ 1,403,947.18	19,739,491.98	
5,071,167.66	\$ 1,936.08	30,590,800.60				30,661,938.26	\$ 1,936.03
87,713.98		31,674.81		108,685.74		213,074.58	
361,677.90	1,105.75				800,573.15		
					163,962.41		
4,385,489.79		35,759,571.80				31,185,001.85	
643,898.16		10,997,323.85				11,640,181.01	
235,465.79		8,899,608.17			1,500,000.00	2,623,097.96	
244,337.80		23,848,965.26		297,584.80	5,087,937.82	17,767,940.04	
3,836.60		21,006.75				24,623.88	
6,331.05		7,364.24				18,515.89	
47,061.25						27,893.14	
						84,904.24	
						2,026.66	
77,450.48			\$859,862.36				781,911.83
	77,409.54	1,916,945.56		885.82		1,899,871.84	
	7,445.44		1,293.27				8,738.71
57,846.66		1,239,510.41		119,701.74		1,197,658.83	
	196,333.90		74,631.07		63,366.82		147,607.15
	833.77		36,493.92	8,463.89			23,863.80
8,963,906.65		4,577,805.51			1,993,239.82	6,619,511.84	
	1,459,373.39	1,094,307.54		365,991.56	516,846.48	515,419.77	
31,089.01		391,377.77				337,416.78	
\$12,174,951.84	\$ 1,684,454.87	\$123,982,087.85	\$961,770.62	\$ 961,067.48	\$11,016,453.18	\$190,473,413.47	\$264,056.62

TABLE NO. 17—

Railroads.	Passenger.					Total Passenger revenue.
	Originating and terminating in Iowa.	Originating but not terminating in Iowa.	Terminating but not originating in Iowa.	Crossing the State.		
Ames & College					\$	12,088.24
Atchison, Topeka & Santa Fe.						.96
Chicago, Anamosa & Northern.	\$ 725.00					.80
Chicago, Burlington & Quincy.						2,385.57
Chicago Great Western.	329,452.42	188,082.96	109,732.14	179,184.72		306,411.28
Macon City & Fort Dodge.	192,874.00	41,203.39	22,697.40	48,218.69		.48
Wisconsin, Minnesota & Pacific.	5,081.89	1,864.14	922.95			7,867.08
Chicago, Milwaukee & St. Paul.						2,251,428.85
Chicago, & North-Western.	1,429,924.63	298,781.68	204,422.94	822,080.10		2,880,224.72
Chicago, St. P., Minn. & O.						282,078.98
Chicago, Rock Island & Pacific.	1,728,908.97	448,308.36	470,476.35	491,522.50		2,200,616.72
Gulfax Northern.	5,214.51					5,214.51
Crooked Creek.	828.78					828.78
Davenport, Rock Island & N. W.						390.00
Des Moines, Iowa Falls & N.						46,879.26
Des Moines Union.						
Des Moines Western.						
Deaques and Sioux City (Ill. C.)	618,642.18	200,702.91	112,820.00	149,915.62		94,082.71
Iowa Central.						294,588.46
Albia & Centerville.						2,884.68
Manchester & Onawa.	6,474.41					6,474.41
Minneapolis & St. Louis.						192,812.96
Missouri North & South.						6,790.18
Newton & Northwestern.	12,898.41					12,898.41
Taber & Northern.						4,220.57
Union Pacific.		608.85	562.82	5,471.30		6,642.97
Wabash.	153,350.17	168,358.12	79,186.15	88,733.29		284,928.77
Willmar & Sioux Falls (Great N.).	22,351.55	8,284.67	17,719.48	621.73		46,977.43
Total	\$ 4,629,687.72	\$ 1,308,684.75	\$ 1,194,612.97	\$ 1,764,879.98		\$ 14,298,280.21

a Debit. b Including other items.

EARNINGS—IOWA.

Originating and terminating in Iowa.	Express.				Mails.	Extra baggage and storage.	Other items.	Total passenger earnings.
	Originating but not terminating in Iowa.	Terminating but not originating in Iowa.	Crossing the State.	Total express.				
				\$ 6,054.07	\$ 12,200.99	\$ 271.96	\$ 1,600.91	\$ 13,066.24
				176,557.84	598,690.65	34,835.29	25,201.06	67,895.98
				57,184.61	59,037.69	10,654.14	7,650.84	889,980.21
				14,858.86	20,477.55	8,788.46	2,443.21	858,065.26
				689.92	1,327.50	133.53	87.04	9,508.77
				231,257.28	802,860.58	41,853.08	119,169.86	2,936,108.65
				263,927.46	479,044.24	48,413.87	13,183.86	3,758,741.16
				17,171.43	23,549.56	4,878.89	817.56	806,905.85
				800,548.56	331,180.45	65,473.79		3,897,689.51
\$ 118.19				118.19		9.63		5,337.88
800.00				800.00	715.64			1,844.89
				2,494.12	3,940.80			6,444.93
				1,808.06	3,206.42	843.44		53,886.90
126,871.23				126,871.23	117,668.92	18,234.21	5,846.96	1,854,395.06
				18,183.89	50,361.53	7,000.81	1,633.46	464,070.09
				235.96	1,090.20	225.80		9,947.88
435.84				435.84	414.00			7,874.25
				15,586.34	88,121.26	4,843.03	468.72	269,331.88
				733.96				7,524.06
				947.94	3,456.80	95.00	503.47	16,870.66
				677.93	548.23	169.80		5,685.09
			2,439.10	2,439.10	3,037.34			12,008.61
				16,246.94	54,639.66	6,7074.06		443,943.78
				\$ 754.32	3,264.78	945.62	855.08	68,257.18
\$127,770.26			\$ 2,439.10	\$ 1,247,903.14	\$ 2,121,224.84	\$ 249,297.74	\$177,987.03	\$18,163,741.96

TABLE NO. 12—EARNINGS—

Railroads.	Freight.	
	Originating and terminating in Iowa.	Originating but not terminating in Iowa.
Ames & College.....		
Atchison, Topeka & Santa Fe.....		
Chicago, Anamosa & Northern.....	\$ 1,582.25	
Chicago, Burlington & Quincy.....		
Chicago Great Western.....	2,1,672.87	881,856.01
Mason City & Fort Dodge.....	468,072.61	267,868.91
Wisconsin, Minnesota & Pacific.....	2,646.87	9,186.63
Chicago, Milwaukee & St. Paul.....		
Chicago, & North-Western.....	1,896,966.16	2,096,041.96
Chicago, St. P., Minn. & Omaha.....		
Chicago, Rock Island & Pacific.....		
Colfax Northern.....	89,712.19	
Crooked Creek.....	27,794.07	
Davenport, Rock Island & N. W.....		
Des Moines, Iowa Falls & Northern.....		
Des Moines Union.....		
Des Moines Western.....		
Dubuque & Sioux City (Ill. Cent.).....	491,890.10	664,083.01
Iowa Central.....		
Albia & Centerville.....		
Manchester & Oneida.....	10,455.18	
Minneapolis & St. Louis.....		
Muscatine North & South.....		
Newton & Northwestern.....	48,615.88	82,700.20
Tabor & Northern.....		
Union Pacific.....		4,800.85
Wabash.....	207,283.06	147,483.79
Wilmar & Sioux Falls (Great Northern).....	66,316.91	7,801.78
Total.....	\$ 2,945,236.79	\$ 3,693,486.47

IOWA—CONTINUED.

Freights.			Stock Yards.	Elevators.	Other Items.	Total freight earnings.	Total passenger and freight earnings.
Terminating but not originating in Iowa.	Crossing the State.	Total freight revenue.					
		5,574.04				5,574.04	17,540.25
		239,175.57			681.01	239,796.58	806,695.55
						1,553.35	2,317.35
806,168.92	1,234,688.20	5,618,684.00				5,618,684.00	8,780,145.19
79,476.14	99,668.87	2,154,426.09				2,154,426.09	3,094,355.59
12,642.63		910,181.08				910,181.08	1,268,237.38
		31,577.82				31,577.82	40,881.09
1,485,519.17	8,296,988.46	8,780,806.45				8,780,806.45	11,666,415.10
		8,226,544.74			16,995.13	8,243,539.86	12,007,281.02
		599,231.07			135.50	599,366.57	906,352.42
		7,569,911.29				7,569,911.29	11,467,580.80
		32,712.18				32,712.18	38,049.50
		27,794.07				27,794.07	29,632.46
							6,441.02
		99,169.69				99,169.69	151,766.89
408,645.85	670,507.6	2,222,676.63			6,711.68	2,229,388.20	3,562,642.20
		1,304,891.69				1,304,891.69	1,768,961.73
		14,877.66				14,877.66	24,535.24
		10,455.13				10,455.13	17,819.23
		415,366.00				415,366.00	673,697.23
		50,976.24				50,976.24	58,450.23
14,830.00		96,044.87				96,044.87	112,915.82
		12,532.96				12,532.96	15,217.69
11,721.47	43,262.88	59,814.70				59,814.70	71,519.81
157,145.64	40,352.60	552,163.18			2,232.56	554,395.74	908,339.47
78,569.66	66,678.08	255,756.37			671.86	256,428.22	319,682.46
\$ 2,528,720.47	\$ 5,489,066.98	\$ 28,240,585.22			\$ 228,557.67	\$ 29,268,942.89	\$ 37,431,684.95

TABLE NO. 19—EARNINGS—

Railroads	Other earnings from operation.	
	Switching charges balance.	Car mileage credit balance
Ames & College.....		
Atchison, Topeka & Santa Fe.....		
Chicago, Anamosa & Northern.....		
Chicago, Burlington & Quincy.....	\$ 87,118.07	
Chicago Great Western.....	4,708.49	\$ 23,632.91
Mason City & Fort Dodge.....		12,188.69
Wisconsin, Minnesota & Pacific.....		2,197.81
Chicago, Milwaukee & St. Paul.....		
Chicago & North-Western.....		
Chicago, St. P., Minn. & Omaha.....	b 6,297.50	5,895.78
Chicago, Rock Island & Pacific.....		
Colfax Northern.....		
Crooked Creek.....	598.80	
Davenport, Rock Island & N. W.....	21,844.81	4,462.04
Des Moines, Iowa Falls & Northern.....		
Des Moines Union.....		
Des Moines Western.....		
Dubuque & Sioux City (Ill. Cent.).....	8,470.44	
Iowa Central.....		
Albia & Centerville.....		
Manchester & Oneida.....	80.48	
Minneapolis & St. Louis.....		817.69
Muscatine North & South.....	752.86	
Newton & Northwestern.....		
Tabor & Northern.....		
Union Pacific.....	23,863.80	
Wabash.....		
Willmar & Sioux Falls (Great Northern).....	2,808.40	
Total.....	\$ 92,885.88	\$ 48,155.78

a Including rents from tracks, yards and terminals.

b Deficit.

c Telephone

IOWA—CONTINUED.

Other Earnings from operation.						Total gross earnings from operation—Iowa.
Hire of equipment balances.	Telegraph.	Rents from yards, tracks and terminals.	Rents not otherwise provided for.	All other sources.	Total other earnings.	
\$ 1,801.81	\$ 240.47		\$ 490.00	\$ 1,804.51	\$ 8,463.79	\$ 17,640.38
	26,755.89		66,526.88	24,753.08	165,148.07	810,160.85
19,789.86		\$ 28,139.02		23,544.03	85,806.14	2,817.25
	65.92	8,765.80	938.00	1,148.50	19,060.79	8,945,294.26
	7,686.76		53.01	9.76	2,807.49	3,180,163.44
1,680.75		8,265.08	14,025.97		21,713.73	1,295,268.07
		4,044.48	9,013.37	1,815.86	20,223.96	49,188.58
2,706.47	5,002.77	55,764.83	1,092.05	189.00	4,923.79	11,668,127.58
	5.93		4,913.10	25,528.08	93,915.19	12,027,504.98
143.65					8.93	911,276.21
				817.11	1,047.26	11,561,495.99
48.50				956.73	27,233.59	33,058.43
				1,821.16	1,866.66	30,665.73
						33,993.51
						154,138.25
		26,456.17	10,873.10	812,281.24	357,380.97	8,941,234.26
2,089.37	1,659.07	3,815.46		5,247.63	12,812.02	1,781,773.99
						24,325.24
4,807.63		16,064.69		1,800.11	30.48	17,859.86
			15.00		33,459.95	697,187.53
	5.50				768.86	59,819.18
	211.83				8.50	112,924.03
828.89	171.20	143,108.57	5,415.50	15,229.95	211.83	18,429.83
		12,000.00			187,616.41	269,431.73
			639.00		12,000.00	1,010,359.47
					2,943.41	822,637.80
\$ 26,144.37	\$ 51,811.84	\$ 296,424.79	\$ 118,443.57	\$ 412,831.68	\$ 1,042,692.81	\$ 58,474,577.06

TABLE NO. 20—EARNINGS—

Passenger Earnings.			
Railroads.	Passenger Revenue.		
	Total	Deductions, ac- counts of re- payments, tickets re- deemed, etc.	Net Revenue
Ames & College.....	\$ 12,066.34		\$ 12,066.34
Atchison, Topeka & Santa Fe	9,907,947.53	270,833.80	9,637,113.73
Chicago, Anamosa & Northern	785.00		785.00
Chicago, Burlington & Quincy	15,636,705.43		15,636,705.43
Chicago Great Western	1,677,188.16		1,677,188.16
Mason City & Fort Dodge	841,705.91		841,705.91
Wisconsin, Minnesota & Pacific	143,412.89		143,412.89
Chicago, Milwaukee & St. Paul.....	10,135,957.74		10,135,957.74
Chicago & North-Western	14,74,394.55	834,540.61	13,909,853.94
Chicago, St. Paul, Minn. & Omaha	8,100,478.82	18,118.65	8,082,360.17
Chicago, Rock Island & Pacific	11,938,533.94	505,310.98	11,433,222.96
Colfax Northern	5,285.94	71.43	5,214.51
Crooked Creek	223.75		223.75
Davenport, Rock Island & North-Western ..			23.90
Des Moines, Iowa Falls & Northern	43,679.96		43,679.96
Des Moines Union			
Des Moines Western			
Dubuque & Sioux City (Ill. Cent.)	1,179,004.46	63,963.53	1,115,040.93
Iowa Central	476,677.13	2,661.72	474,015.41
Albia & Centerville	8,446.84	51.31	8,395.53
Manchester & Oneida	6,474.41		6,474.41
Minneapolis & St. Louis	832,128.31	6,119.88	826,008.43
Muscatine North & South	6,790.13		6,790.13
Newton & Northwestern	12,808.41		12,808.41
Taber & Northern	4,849.69	10.05	4,839.64
Union Pacific	6,149,913.43	46,395.81	6,103,517.62
Wabash	9,459,837.81	541,559.04	8,918,278.77
Willmar & Sioux Falls (Great Northern)...	311,567.07	11,430.63	300,136.44
Total	\$ 85,676,578.36	\$ 2,900,860.81	\$ 82,775,717.55

a Debit.

ENTIRE LINE.

Passenger Earnings.				
Mails.	Express.	Extra baggage and storage.	Other items.	Total passenger earnings.
\$ 1,111,868.89	\$ 1,532,836.51	\$ 111,908.09	\$ 178,874.69	\$ 12,066.94
2,390,481.48	1,865,805.88	240,800.80	330,515.72	12,550,855.80
133,972.19	132,661.12	22,072.78	125,244.85	785.00
21,785.91	15,690.15	4,124.60	2,492.78	19,725,389.84
19,581.17	7,348.85	2,105.97	5,882.01	2,071,139.65
1,654,890.19	900,375.84	183,867.29	325,046.57	885,704.39
1,465,708.00	1,082,455.02	216,796.91	65,853.48	178,825.10
267,597.00	217,958.45	59,142.56	8,900.00	17,059,187.18
1,066,446.41	999,096.79	229,516.25		16,170,525.80
	113.19	9.62		2,680,262.68
715.64	800.00			13,732,271.46
5,484.24	2,454.07			5,387.23
3,306.43	1,568.06	842.46		1,844.69
				8,948.31
				52,596.90
122,696.42	123,286.52	18,766.20	5,600.39	1,296,287.43
58,843.92	22,757.72	8,587.78	2,000.00	546,203.78
1,090.20	285.95	285.80		9,947.58
414.00	485.94			7,374.25
93,445.84	51,911.00	21,228.00	2,451.18	1,477,119.55
	733.93			7,524.08
2,456.80	947.08	95.00	502.47	16,870.66
543.23	637.08	169.80		5,685.03
1,652,767.49	490,209.87	99,875.40		8,552,270.37
837,088.23	691,736.42	96,454.86	82,148.75	10,630,252.08
40,889.23	12,598.21	6,119.65	1,084.68	890,208.29
\$ 10,864,434.95	\$ 7,006,278.57	\$ 1,227,344.40	\$ 1,622,033.44	\$ 104,066,797.91

TABLE No. 21—EARNINGS—ENTIRE

Railroads.	Freight ^b			
	Freight Revenue.			
	Total freight revenue.	Less Repayments.		
		Over-charges to ship-pers.	Other repay-ments.	Total de-uctions.
Ames & College.....	\$ 5,574.04			
Atchison, Topeka & Santa Fe.....	28,834,203.11	\$ 603,495.99		\$ 603,495.99
Chicago, Anamosa & Northern.....	1,589.23	7.06		7.06
Chicago, Burlington & Quincy.....	43,545,403.78			
Chicago Great Western.....	5,062,543.14			
Mason City & Fort Dodge.....	908,509.82			
Wisconsin, Minnesota & Pacific.....	491,992.12			
Chicago, Milwaukee & St. Paul.....	35,968,946.47			
Chicago & North-Western.....	39,863,918.26	580,034.15	41,008.62	621,042.77
—Chicago, St. P., Minn. & Omaha.....	8,334,534.34	188,753.87	57,712.49	246,466.36
Chicago, Rock Island & Pacific.....	39,791,830.47	1,768,663.05		1,768,663.05
Colfax Northern.....	32,712.18			
Crooked Creek.....	27,847.94	53.87		53.87
Javenport, Rock Island & N. W.....				
Des Moines, Iowa Falls & Northern.....	99,169.69			
Des Moines Union.....				
Des Moines Western.....				
Dubuque & Sioux City (Ill. Cent.) ..	2,897,889.19	134,302.94		134,302.94
Iowa Central.....	2,075,531.67	a 61,972.85		61,972.85
Albia & Centerville.....	15,088.96			15,088.96
Manchester & Oneida.....	10,455.18			10,455.18
Minneapolis & St. Louis.....	2,096,049.87	a 74,055.88		74,055.88
Muscatine North & South.....	50,928.24			50,928.24
Newton & Northwestern.....	95,966.19	921.33		921.33
Tabor & Northern.....	12,610.48			12,610.48
Union Pacific.....	26,170,409.52	433,639.52		433,639.52
Wabash.....	15,015,688.99	537,467.05	837,968.44	1,375,435.49
Willmar & Sioux Falls (Gt. North'n).....	1,278,966.67	a 31,308.62		31,308.62
Total.....	\$ 342,594,340.22	\$ 4,309,644.69	\$ 938,703.44	\$ 5,248,348.35

LINE—CONTINUED.

Earnings.					Total passenger and freight earnings.
Net revenue.	Stock yards.	Elevators.	Other items.	Total freight earnings.	
5,574.04				5,574.04	17,640.28
28,215,709.12	6,609.99		85,164.96	28,807,543.95	40,898,229.86
1,552.25				1,552.25	2,317.25
43,840,403.75	70,598.05			43,416,983.88	63,142,212.17
5,093,543.14				5,093,543.14	7,167,682.19
993,509.35				993,509.35	1,379,308.77
491,902.13				491,902.13	670,317.22
25,908,943.47	35,499.43	31,060.89		26,035,543.81	49,783,643.94
89,302,830.47			134,171.92	89,427,002.39	55,597,827.69
8,183,054.57			807.06	8,183,981.60	11,769,194.28
28,035,187.43				28,035,187.43	41,756,408.88
23,712.18				23,712.18	33,049.50
27,794.07				27,794.07	29,638.46
99,169.69				99,169.69	8,948.81
					151,766.59
2,763,586.25			6,890.68	2,770,476.93	4,166,764.93
2,013,549.32				2,013,549.32	2,579,738.10
14,377.66				14,377.66	24,325.94
10,455.18				10,455.18	17,839.88
2,021,908.49				2,021,908.49	3,069,113.84
50,926.24				50,926.24	58,460.82
96,044.87				96,044.87	112,015.53
12,532.96				12,532.96	18,217.99
25,786,780.06				25,786,780.06	84,089,150.43
13,650,185.54			430,163.48	14,070,348.02	24,696,600.10
1,243,698.06			1,308.35	1,244,001.40	1,640,209.69
237,347,098.27	112,704.36	31,060.89	643,560.44	238,139,433.96	342,886,221.87

TABLE No. 22—EARNINGS—

Railroads.	Other Earnings From Operation.			
	Switching charges—balance.	Car Mileage—balance.	Hire of equipment—balance.	Telegraph.
Ames & College.....				
Atchison, Topeka & Santa Fe.....	\$ 53,557.84		\$377,103.30	\$ 44,050.90
Chicago, Anamosa & Northern.....				155,987.41
Chicago, Burlington & Quincy.....	891,509.87	\$ 45,522.84	37,424.16	
Chicago Great Western.....	12,407.06	12,291.09		
Mason City & Fort Dodge.....		22,222.22		
Wisconsin, Minnesota & Pacific.....				730.00
Chicago, Milwaukee & St. Paul.....			1,641.22	29,025.00
Chicago, & North-Western.....				
Chicago, St. Paul, Minneapolis & Omaha.....	60,655.91	99,225.18		
Chicago, Rock Island & Pacific.....			5,451.98	15,006.51
Colfax Northern.....				8.98
Crooked Creek.....	526.50		148.65	
Davenport, Rock Island & N. W.....	54,902.24	6,141.72		63
Des Moines, Iowa Falls & Northern.....			48.50	
Des Moines Union.....				
Des Moines Western.....				
Dubuque & Sioux City (Illinois Central).....	9,988.76			
Iowa Central.....			1,938.05	1,794.48
Albia & Centerville.....				
Manchester & Oneida.....	80.43			
Minneapolis & St. Louis.....	7,315.55	23,121.61	11,914.67	
Muscatine North & South.....	753.86			
Newton & Northwestern.....				0 8.50
Tabor & Northern.....				211.28
Union Pacific.....	211,499.83		60,854.47	56,073.44
Wabash.....				
Wilmar & Sioux Falls (Great Northern).....	2,201.50			
Total.....	\$ 1,211,248.90	\$ 216,261.17	\$ 235,284.08	\$ 212,611.10

a Including rents from tracks, yards, and terminals.

b Including storage.

c Telephone.

ENTIRE LINE—CONTINUED.

Other Earnings From Operations.				Total gross earnings from operation—tire line.	Proportion of gross earnings from operation—tire line—lowa.
Rents from tracks, yards and terminals.	Rents not otherwise provided for.	Other Sources.	Total other earnings.		
\$ 113,590.61	\$ 53,536.84	\$ 47,375.14	\$ 594,788.68	\$ 17,640.38	\$ 17,640.38
				41,402,968.54	310,160.38
				2,317.25	2,317.25
23,319.19	584,023.81	879,088.75	2,080,686.85	65,163,858.02	8,945,394.28
3,825.60	8,560.89	50,167.79	165,416.95	7,383,099.14	8,181,881.72
	1,027.00	1,216.58	19,860.23	1,398,668.99	1,338,288.87
	4,076.28	333.50	80,833.65	700,650.87	101,944.70
	191,433.82		150,469.71	49,884,118.65	12,912,679.30
63,314.80	81,798.42	11,908.37	147,747.48	55,745,375.17	14,099,969.45
47,487.77	17,786.50	2,827.11	238,682.47	11,997,876.75	791,859.87
223,808.16	15,902.00	83,613.22	352,778.62	42,109,187.50	12,611,788.94
			8.98	88,058.48	88,058.48
		817.11	1,047.26	80,685.72	80,685.72
		1,752.50	62,697.04	71,645.25	88,694.52
		1,821.16	1,866.66	153,133.26	153,133.26
26,552.59	16,801.45	312,281.24	859,574.04	4,526,338.40	8,941,234.26
4,957.50		6,753.59	14,902.68	2,594,655.78	1,781,778.80
				24,325.24	24,325.24
			80.48	17,859.86	17,859.86
143,891.09		7,642.55	193,836.47	3,363,928.81	697,187.28
	15.00		763.86	59,219.18	59,219.18
			8.50	112,924.03	112,924.03
			211.83	18,439.82	18,439.82
498,300.55	50,194.14	b 200,162.17	1,085,974.10	85,175,124.53	259,434.72
136,921.51			136,921.51	24,838,521.61	1,010,889.47
	2,744.79	214.98	6,161.22	1,645,370.91	832,627.80
\$ 1,284,796.86	\$ 655,881.89	\$ 1,105,794.66	\$ 5,578,665.16	\$ 848,409,887.08	\$ 64,201,592.42

TABLE No. 23—OPERATING

Railroads.	Maintenance of Way and Structures.		
	Repairs of roadways.	Renewals of rails.	Renewals of ties.
Ames & College.....	\$ 22,370.42	\$ 53,537.75	\$ 1,364.00
Atchison, Topeka & Santa Fe.....	813,575.91	7,955.51	307,728.85
Chicago, Anamosa & Northern.....	214,694.82	4,070.14	60,125.56
Chicago, Burlington & Quincy.....	92,075.41	328.08	9,108.87
Chicago Great Western.....	5,294.23	59.53	4,044.73
Mason City & Fort Dodge.....	307,690.06	102,142.68	125,045.31
Wisconsin, Minnesota & Pacific.....	b 836,231.90	b 107,368.61	b 288,306.33
Chicago & North-Western.....	840,590.80	44,861.33	310,119.13
Chicago, St. P., Minn. & Omaha.....	3,536.68	103.91	855.72
Chicago, Rock Island & Pacific.....	5,778.87	80.19	2,309.53
Colfax Northern.....	4,843.55	15.41	1,657.97
Crooked Creek.....	21,650.51		
Davenport, Rock Island & N. W.....	24,282.84	3,063.00	4,987.00
Des Moines, Iowa Falls & Northern.....	2,985.10		
Des Moines Union.....	822,797.29	23,121.55	83,783.06
Des Moines Western.....	171,185.49	1,181.80	57,098.55
Dubuque & Sioux City (Ill. Cent.).....	6,598.29	o 70.40	5,507.12
Iowa Central.....	1,820.62		101.71
Albia & Centerville.....	67,409.94	o 176.24	80,854.72
Manchester & Oneida.....	6,731.89	6,672.56	1,887.33
Minneapolis & St. Louis.....	28,828.92		
Muscatine North & South.....	1,665.16		433.69
Newton & Northwestern.....	14,041.99	2,887.62	2,698.13
Tabor & Northern.....	117,795.10	73,541.95	34,061.74
Union Pacific.....	26,144.91	165.47	5,909.94
Wabash.....			
Willmar & Sioux Falls (Great Northern).....			
Total.....	\$ 4,460,878.91	\$ 415,318.92	\$ 1,258,174.59

a Additions to property. b Proportional. c Credit.

EXPENSES—IOWA.

Maintenance of Way and Structures.

Repairs and renewals of bridges and culverts.	Repairs and renewals of fences, road crossings, signs and cattle guards.	Repairs and renewals of buildings and fixtures.	Repairs and renewals of docks and wharves.	Repairs and renewals of telegraph.	Stationery and printing.	Other expenses.	Total.
\$ 2,554.08	\$ 574.16	\$ 12,044.59		\$ 447.76	\$ 21.08		\$ 5,240.25
144,333.33	26,914.17	98,323.41		45,896.00	468.81		76,405.99
14,808.55	7,708.78	18,780.42		2,348.01	2,254.92		1,843,237.44
6,520.23	8,323.23	5,398.02		1,005.98	95.03	16,963.51	842,687.81
323.26	210.97	299.63		87.08	8.53	9,878.76	126,508.06
177,223.16	50,059.04	198,526.79	9,170.65	12,070.46	683.14	172,946.99	1,061,291.59
b 155,454.88	b 44,209.67	b 129,003.08	b 10,807.93	b 6,370.77	b 1,343.55	b 645.58	b 1,579,186.15
169,831.59	48,242.80	135,831.72		17,748.02	2,400.57	4,323.74	94,851.99
431.23	163.31	58.27				158.86	1,574,964.15
785.10	490.89	157.63					5,598.08
2,229.11	129.53	334.12		43.65	2.25		9,423.80
254.22	212.98	882.76		20.73			9,343.43
9,487.63	443.20	6,373.10			74.20		23,101.29
16.22	11.84	57.18				90	55,622.97
50,895.05	34,008.24	50,943.97		4,639.26	2,092.12	1,233.57	3,071.19
84,923.52	22,223.11	22,405.09		2,093.27	512.59	99.03	697,099.11
740.80	1,081.05	394.84					815,063.47
	159.66	82.38					19,311.09
12,060.53	2,563.73	5,723.77		611.94	104.66	8.64	2,170.22
579.34	408.48		3.25				121,121.13
1,351.04	115.02	339.06		50.84	49.98		16,207.84
4.53	15.55		66.58				21,230.49
1,792.73	177.50	14,964.23		55.23	17.63		2,175.41
44,253.97	4,763.74	16,523.06		999.06	254.99		26,655.69
2,100.86	1,811.91	4,737.38		344.97	79.10		222,225.21
							43,182.66
\$ 856,017.27	\$ 254,522.74	\$ 715,683.90	\$ 19,548.96	\$ 69,612.96	\$ 11,271.16	\$ 200,611.29	\$ 8,372,207.95

TABLE NO. 24—OPERATING

Railroads.	Maintenance of Equipment.		
	Superinten- dence.	Repairs and renewals of locomotives.	Repairs and renewals of passenger cars.
Ames & College		\$ 261.02	\$ 105.05
Atchison, Topeka & Santa Fe	\$ 1,578.17	\$ 21,512.55	\$ 5,545.57
Chicago, Anamosa & Northern	43,835.52	392,089.36	117,632.17
Chicago, Burlington & Quincy	4,739.61	167,514.80	44,838.50
Chicago Great Western	2,517.91	63,433.17	16,469.63
Mason City & Fort Dodge	253.41	2,877.80	582.86
Wisconsin, Minnesota & Pacific	27,206.73	534,994.52	195,623.41
Chicago, Milwaukee & St. Paul	32,464.21	567,990.28	114,566.81
Chicago, St. Paul, Minn. & Omaha			
Chicago, Rock Island & Pacific	54,850.76	817,049.68	180,681.67
Cellfax Northern		2,609.13	840.95
Crooked Creek		819.52	
Davenport, Rock Island & North Western		686.99	
Des Moines, Iowa Falls & Northern	1,080.00	4,814.01	8,412.67
Des Moines Union	960.00	9,440.44	
Des Moines Western			
Dubuque & Sioux City (Ill. Cent.)	11,105.10	220,947.47	62,176.41
Iowa Central	4,956.98	134,527.01	12,873.89
Albia & Centerville		1,443.43	138.99
Manchester & Oneida		44.04	152.73
Minneapolis & St. Louis	1,914.45	33,069.85	10,094.86
Muscatine North & South		3,951.91	504.87
Newton & Northwestern		5,351.49	848.45
Tabor & Northern		1,955.85	144.26
Union Pacific	417.00	6,870.88	1,769.78
Wabash	4,612.09	79,595.96	19,881.06
Willmar & Sioux Falls (Great Northern)	915.28	18,510.75	1,227.94
Total	\$ 190,705.23	\$ 8,100,166.01	\$ 761,680.71

EXPENSES—IOWA—CONTINUED.

Maintenance of Equipment.						
Repairs and renewals of freight cars.	Repairs and renewals of work cars.	Repairs and renewals of marine equipment.	Repairs and renewals of shop machinery and tools.	Stationery and printing.	Other expenses.	Total.
\$ 18,971.88	\$ 836.55		\$ 17.06		\$ 371.13	\$ 754.25
			2,411.85	\$ 184.96	8,599.83	55,189.60
497,989.39	11,897.68		52,681.82	2,497.00	18,405.93	1,111,668.28
184,128.72			7,558.62	3,594.65	53,647.93	897,553.83
47,455.82			5,811.12	275.93	4,847.28	148,111.03
1,954.17			888.78	14.31	252.68	6,234.01
588,464.03	20,805.32		32,894.40	2,272.98	48,178.90	1,445,144.28
552,069.74	19,871.93	\$ 877.05	35,262.78	2,499.49	57,618.10	1,863,203.49
						76,009.04
491,407.06	20,164.40		51,714.98	4,577.94	19,562.63	1,618,507.87
181.88			6.77			3,187.43
1,567.56			18.85	1.28	.97	1,637.68
753.24	13.64		232.06		1,776.52	1,477.87
4,108.78			6,818.28	24.12		15,568.94
2,415.29						19,053.18
385,399.80	7,435.19		24,331.61	1,471.00	26,279.98	690,196.56
85,514.62	8,518.61		7,452.77	476.22	3,192.75	253,511.84
946.85	88.50					2,584.35
						196.76
23,249.48	501.18		8,085.75	155.33	1,504.96	78,454.13
45.72						4,502.50
3,061.26	28.25		31.69	49.92		8,798.06
			31.90			2,123.01
5,085.44	139.44		541.07	28.56	791.87	15,592.66
50,017.45	2,337.14		11,392.56	432.84	8,805.45	172,086.64
10,221.88	116.41		36.84	82.10	82.97	26,140.77
\$2,935,335.05	\$ 86,891.22	\$ 877.05	\$ 331,745.91	\$ 12,669.16	\$ 312,669.83	\$ 7,501,438.78

TABLE NO. 25—OPERATING

Railroads.	Conducting Transportation.		
	Superintendence.	Engine and round-housemen.	Fuel for locomotives.
Ames & College		\$ 1,398.00	\$ 2,308.00
Atchison, Topeka & Santa Fe.....	\$ 8,405.50	14,181.11	25,090.28
Chicago, Anamosa & Northern.....		688 10	533 25
Chicago, Burlington & Quincy.....	177,010.87	538,284.12	637,677.41
Chicago Great Western.....	11,059.08	235,757.37	339,869.11
Mason City & Fort Dodge.....	5,875.11	100,781.12	184,576.61
Wisconsin, Minnesota & Pacific.....	501.38	9,104.87	9,131.80
Chicago, Milwaukee & St. Paul.....	105,791.68	912,184.56	1,182,941.28
Chicago & Northwestern.....	90,431.26	850,312.72	1,075,109.79
Chicago, St. P., Mian. & Omaha.....			
Chicago, Rock Island & Pacific.....	218,392.87	847,606.16	1,230,538.79
Colfax Northern.....	1,480.00	8,696.28	4,218.48
Crooked Creek.....	1,981.19	2,727.89	3,544.76
Davenport, Rock Island & N. W.....	68.48	1,811.18	669.87
Des Moines, Iowa Falls & Northern.....	4,968.60	10,927.72	13,150.57
Des Moines Union.....	1,840.08	25,432.18	9,648.15
Des Moines Western.....		1,800.00	
Dubuque & Sioux City (Ill. Cent.).....	78,266.04	237,109.69	323,799.90
Iowa Central.....	80,618.79	180,608.76	234,838.12
Albia & Centerville.....		1,059.06	2,411.80
Manchester & Oneida.....	1,200.00	2,983.28	2,765.60
Minneapolis & St. Louis.....	9,808.80	46,887.08	71,876.42
Muscatine North & South.....	2,229.20	6,004.23	4,094.28
Newton & Northwestern.....	2,089.51	7,670.20	14,192.09
Tabor & Northern.....		1,007.51	2,157.81
Union Pacific.....	1,508.88	5,697.77	6,563.66
Wabash.....	20,225.52	80,096.20	91,714.27
Willmar & Sioux Falls (Great Northern).....	5,627.07	16,065.87	43,194.61
Total.....	\$ 708,802.61	\$ 4,233,415.43	\$ 5,485,065.87
a Including train service.			

EXPENSES—IOWA—CONTINUED.

Conducting Transportation.						
Water supply for locomotives.	Oil, tallow and waste for locomotives.	Other supplies for locomotives.	Train service.	Train supplies and expenses.	Switchmen, flagmen and watchmen.	Telegraph expenses.
\$ 115.36	\$ 228.97		\$ 1,881.00		\$ 750.00	
1,187.56	783.29	704.52	8,353.88	5,489.28	16,561.89	8,806.88
41.23	48.56		294.11	9.00		
40,492.66	33,791.04	11,170.51	356,516.32	98,633.82	156,587.68	111,844.98
19,903.92	12,190.17	5,708.49	150,783.37	57,199.98	58,500.84	58,551.14
6,658.72	5,576.71	1,082.28	77,211.98	13,748.80	16,272.47	23,847.98
320.73	342.68	46.22	4,983.83	682.73	1,814.86	968.19
48,811.58	33,493.56	14,430.37	645,688.40	144,536.56	385,507.80	100,569.70
58,898.69	80,706.31	15,123.71	684,680.16	119,663.31	384,412.98	189,938.58
65,890.33	87,793.30	28,180.37	697,133.68	143,681.35	306,675.75	181,875.13
116.36	99.71	199.42	3,284.62	80.61	492.48	171.43
184.16	248.40		684.05			
898.98	30.12	19.09		93.67	1,120.89	1,869.92
617.87	690.81	7.50	7,660.37	801.84	553.57	1,089.86
2,741.09	615.17	5,622.12	10,223.06	3,585.08	37,995.04	3,160.00
293.51	93.72	45.13		38.00		75.00
20,441.42	18,850.56	8,118.30	239,063.31	71,477.61	90,201.52	71,351.87
18,532.58	6,847.78	6,113.63	108,680.10	16,078.74	37,069.41	37,477.05
848.80	75.37	87.10	1,147.32	156.13		685.67
63.71	169.84	99.53			410.00	
2,597.38	1,882.04	618.19	81,451.69	8,470.54	8,317.88	13,633.88
337.68	303.33		1,713.06		2,169.15	1,893.08
1,801.02	572.77	183.84	4,823.51	172.91	2,312.05	508.14
65.84	63.02	35.13	619.95	37.84		82.43
2,437.24	372.99	74.24	1,463.23	2,291.30	37,123.44	1,697.36
5,349.43	2,715.41	1,599.70	62,890.47	17,305.53	29,798.09	13,047.07
1,838.01	982.72	512.15	14,666.67	1,592.74	5,600.95	4,816.51
\$ 200,386.61	\$ 172,931.00	\$ 94,563.65	\$ 2,945,633.85	\$ 683,192.68	\$ 1,418,608.17	\$ 759,763.19

TABLE NO. 26—OPERATING

Railroads.	Conducting Transportation.		
	Station service.	Station supplies.	Switching charges balance.
Ames & College.....	\$ 141.69		
Atchison, Topeka & Santa Fe.....	12,064.6	4.88	\$ 1,232.00
Chicago, Anamosa & Northern.....	225.00	270.25	
Chicago, Burlington & Quincy.....	336,374.48	28,174.80	49,697.65
Chicago Great Western.....	180,454.84	4 2.96	
Mason City & Fort Dodge.....	49,924.65	5,797.07	33,496.54
Wisconsin, Minnesota & Pacific.....	2,568.17	257.49	1,896.87
Chicago, Milwaukee & St. Paul.....	714,098.74	52,582.12	70,808.51
Chicago & North-Western.....	544,8 08	40.77	91,911.71
Chicago, St. P., Minn. & Omaha.....			
Chicago, Rock Island & Pacific.....	444,712.71	46,196.84	19,738.85
Colfax Northern.....	1,477.24	27.59	
Crooked Creek.....	1,238.68		
Davenport, Rock Island & Northern Western.....	4,513.79	308.77	
Des Moines, Iowa Falls & Northern.....	4,425.80	732.41	8,192.50
Des Moines Union.....	33,695.73	2,985.67	
Des Moines Western.....	8,097.89	142.74	
Dubuque & Sioux City (Ill. Cent.).....	188,628.51	22,924.29	
Iowa Central.....	60,180.74	7,188.97	7,883.79
Albia & Centerville.....	2,277.42	211.67	14.14
Manchester & Oneida.....	970.00	160.28	
Minneapolis & St. Louis.....	27,959.48	3,522.47	281.27
Muscatine North & South.....	4,114.16	226.43	
Newton & Northwestern.....	7,161.82	576.77	55.90
Tabor & Northern.....	598.25	14.45	
Union Pacific.....	112,888.14	5,097.16	
Wabash.....	47,507.89	961.87	
Willmar & Sioux Falls (Great Northern).....	17,542.45	1,206.80	2,752.01
Total.....	\$2,798,508.44	258,143.96	\$ 281,852.74

a Credit.

EXPENSES—IOWA—CONTINUED.

Conducting Transportation.						
Car mileage—balance.	Hire of equipment—balance.	Loss and damage.	Injury to persons.	Clearing wrecks.	Operating equipment.	Adver.
\$ 2,813.02	\$ 1,580.00	\$ 4,487.98	\$ 1,546.57	\$ 484.50		1,161.90
50,920.90	1,877.74	77,663.17	55,180.64	12,873.35		86,147.59
		16,403.34	39,244.12	3,671.32		13,916.85
	11,905.86	15,774.90	4,083.42	1,185.76		
	824.86	1,097.68	424.39	55.39		
27,273.74		183,715.10	88,608.96	11,869.05		52,195.07
90,231.48		89,881.12	118,059.89	12,912.75	874.49	48,877.24
97,749.40		179,688.70	161,699.42	20,990.98		59,468.57
.18	588.67	86.87		27.55		8.40
	90.67	49.13				
	8.04	40.19	59.58	24.11		8.05
3,802.44		855.55	1,408.82	104.18		326.95
		195.92	1,182.47			
		1.80	5.00	24.29		
120,598.61		75,858.00	78,897.11	8,544.25		7,041.31
1,466.61		25,837.55	8,364.51	4,228.87		1,497.71
2,818.52	28.28	888.55		20.07		13.08
191.20		62.04	6.50			1.50
		3,831.51	2,904.40	408.88		980.77
5,282.27	23.70	289.86		148.50		25.00
7,868.12	1,885.64	149.07	297.50	522.95		40.23
105.94		45.44				8.00
562.04		3,107.85	2,729.00	794.11		557.35
10,546.40	725.48	6,170.58	4,705.98	642.80		6,173.40
6,450.82	4,435.58	3,422.90	5,161.01	1,108.67		80.57
\$ 428,117.09	\$ 19,468.44	\$ 681,081.12	\$ 568,967.23	\$ 74,656.18	\$ 874.49	\$ 228,326.59

TABLE No. 27—OPERATING

Railroads.	Conducting Transportation.
	Outside agencies.
Ames & College.....	\$ 4,879.14
Atchison, Topeka & Santa Fe.....	96,804.08
Chicago, Anamosa & Northern.....	101,061.23
Chicago, Burlington & Quincy.....	18,963.79
Chicago Great Western.....	200.78
Mason City & Fort Dodge.....	147,908.31
Wisconsin, Minnesota & Pacific.....	a 127,599.41
Chicago, Milwaukee & St. Paul.....	380,901.73
Chicago & North-Western.....	
Chicago, St. Paul, Minneapolis & Omaha.....	
Chicago, Rock Island & Pacific.....	
Colfax Northern.....	
Crooked Creek.....	
Davenport, Rock Island & N. W.	
Des Moines, Iowa Falls & Northern.....	
Des Moines Union.....	
Des Moines Western.....	
Dubuque & Sioux City (Illinois Central)	40,800.89
Iowa Central.....	18,690.97
Albia & Centerville.....	1.80
Manchester & Oneida.....	9,508.05
Minneapolis & St. Louis.....	
Muscatine North & South.....	
Newton & Northwestern.....	
Tabor & Northern.....	
Union Pacific.....	1,402.75
Wabash.....	17,948.38
Willmar & Sioux Falls (Great Northern)	1,634.11
Total.....	\$ 815,912.44

a Proportional.

b Including rents for tracks, yards and terminals.

EXPENSES—IOWA—CONTINUED.

Conducting Transportation.

Commi- sions.	Stock yard- and elevators.	Rents for tracks, yards and terminals.	Rents of buildings and other property.	Stationary and printing.	Other expenses.	Total.
41.70			\$ 888.50	\$ 18.00		\$ 6,896.08
				1,891.51	176.96	111,187.87
						8,877.47
		\$ 93,878.88		32,161.79	874.98	8,011,197.60
	\$ 6,447.67	41,893.84		18,578.60	16,309.58	1,406,541.07
	680.15	15,853.17		198.66	1,740.91	597,217.64
				60.10	8.44	80,834.94
	14,647.14	104,887.12	14,952.14	44,700.67	46,487.08	5,098,419.61
2,407.71		80,708.48	4,682.69	47,781.33	761.88	4,607,548.43
						297,106.68
	42.81	22,129.35	81,172.95	61,684.73	8,960.95	4,921,690.83
	12.67			340.18	114.81	16,842.48
				117.79	835.92	11,067.04
		1,694.41	216.47	118.83	25.52	11,990.36
	56.44	80,514.86	1,900.77	1,175.92		87,340.03
			1,149.96	741.24		138,751.73
					98.57	5,647.14
207.71		87,388.95	22,581.70	22,844.24	2,558.68	1,940,688.97
			959.16	10,836.49	6,699.31	792,751.18
		60.00			8.10	12,181.01
			400.00	185.72	80.00	9,649.45
		2,428.22	1,295.99	5,655.18	11,851.08	263,973.65
		200.00	21.98	583.28		29,967.68
			444.75	808.80		53,076.24
	43.63			18.31	411.71	6,207.19
40.22		95.00	8.84	4,170.32		191,925.90
		9,055.86	20,881.99	5,973.11	48.49	459,037.87
			b 26,062.83	1,017.28	74.03	167,822.71
2,827.41	\$ 21,999.40	\$ 441,179.44	\$ 126,700.81	\$ 266,266.28	\$ 90,845.20	\$ 44,380,076.06

TABLE No. 28—OPERATING EXPENSES—IOWA—CONTINUED.

Railroads.	General Expenses.							Other ex- penses.	Total.
	Salaries of general officers.	Salaries and attendants.	General office expenses and supplies.	Insurance.	Law expenses.	Stationery and print- ing.			
Ames & College	\$ 360.00								400.35
Atchison, Topeka & Santa Fe	1,990.64	3,874.60	\$ 323.21	951.08	1,194.07	784.21	\$ 1,490.12		10,407.73
Chicago, Anamosa & Northern	600.00					375.00			875.00
Chicago, Burlington & Quincy	60,933.91	109,393.40	14,817.46	52,257.53	45,244.40	13,230.30	15,298.80		301,061.38
Chicago Great Western	47,890.33	60,896.65	18,849.84	13,994.00	18,074.05	1,530.87	25,812.54		189,041.28
Mason City & Fort Dodge				7,409.83	560.90	1,510.15	8,873.10		11,853.03
Wisconsin, Minnesota & Pacific				315.56	84.50	3.90	63.08		431.03
Chicago, Milwaukee & St. Paul	98,343.05	111,354.21	9,475.73	41,240.83	15,633.17	17,385.31	57,332.93		345,694.63
Chicago & Northwestern	33,792.89	71,851.76	20,345.18	4,553.33	29,493.00	7,008.18	67,012.18		236,093.94
Chicago, St. Paul, Minneapolis & Omaha									15,354.15
Chicago, Rock Island & Pacific	86,533.14	129,970.31	55,975.34	35,983.77	40,173.30	15,849.84	43,147.33		387,901.45
Colfax Northern	431.20	993.40	30.00	696.49	12.50		646.14		2,092.92
Crooked Creek				300.00					312.50
Davenport, Rock Island & Northwestern	935.50	674.59	100.65	110.01		54.54	2.33		1,845.43
Des Moines, Iowa Falls & Northern	2,100.00	1,938.39	510.30	270.75	973.93				5,843.36
Des Moines Union	2,760.00	2,338.89	1,166.94	690.07	514.80				9,790.30
Des Moines Western	699.04								797.35
Dubuque & Sioux City (Illinois Central)	24,171.80	31,300.46	7,944.95	13,335.90	11,162.84	3,083.77	15,954.70		110,245.94
Iowa Central	34,931.16	21,230.23	1,791.79	7,399.19	2,018.94	2,700.63	7,721.33		75,842.38
Albia & Centerville		600.00		51.04		176.14			827.18
Manchester & Oquida	250.00								250.00
Minneapolis & St. Louis	12,038.42	6,410.35	534.58	2,011.90	1,109.09	1,066.61	15,639.56		28,708.19
Monticello, North & South	3,040.00	81.75	58.60	97.60	2,195.19		28.61		8,413.67
Newton & Northwestern	4,227.43	981.49	730.00	1,898.53	503.83	437.84	56.54		10,197.68
Tabor & Northern	2,760.00		35.78	36.01	12.00		334.21		2,903.20
Union Pacific	230.78	1,119.99	176.21	1,089.07	1,445.86	99.90	386.25		4,340.68
Wabash	4,397.77	11,444.98	951.25	3,499.57	6,850.40	1,477.43	1,891.20		28,847.50
Willmar & Sioux Falls (Great Northern)	835.62	2,970.30	810.46	1,094.47	605.35	432.09	911.43		6,183.69
Total	\$ 434,040.57	\$ 570,738.76	\$ 109,006.87	\$ 179,990.71	\$ 179,508.43	\$ 66,208.19	\$ 347,704.06	\$ 21	793,468.83

TABLE No. 29—OPERATING EXPENSES—IOWA—CONTINUED.

Railroads	Recapitulation of Expenses.				General ex- penses.	Grand Total	Percentage of ex- penses to earn- ings—lowa.
	Maintenance of way and structures.	Maintenance of equip- ment.	Conducting transpor- tation.				
Ames & College.....	\$ 6,349.35	754.35	6,330.59		400.25	12,790.83	
Aitchison, Topeka & Santa Fe.....	76,408.90	55,180.60	111,137.87		10,407.72	253,141.09	81.63
Chicago, Anamosa & Northern.....	1,849,357.46	1,111,608.28	3,377.47		875.90	4,238.37	188.00
Chicago, Burlington & Quincy.....	842,487.51	397,532.88	3,011,197.50		801,081.95	5,766,134.59	64.46
Chicago Great Western.....	138,598.98	143,111.02	1,406,541.97		182,041.28	2,328,772.19	78.23
Macon City & Fort Dodge.....	10,517.30	6,254.01	597,217.64		11,853.03	890,780.63	68.47
Wisconsin, Minnesota & Pacific.....	1,061,391.90	1,445,144.23	5,093,419.61		431.08	47,977.23	111.09
Chicago, Milwaukee & St. Paul.....	1,679,186.15	1,862,202.49	4,607,648.43		336,968.94	7,785,906.09	64.73
Chicago & North-Western.....	c 94,851.92	c 76,009.01	c 297,103.52		c 16,234.15	c 431,733.49	c 83.08
Chicago, St. Paul, Minneapolis & Omaha.....	1,574,964.25	1,018,507.87	4,921,699.32		387,901.48	8,497,972.92	78.50
Chicago, Rock Island & Pacific.....	6,593.03	8,137.45	16,842.49		8,002.92	29,075.96	73.90
Coftar Northern.....	9,432.30	1,837.08	11,067.04		212.50	22,539.92	73.61
Crooked Creek.....	9,812.48	1,477.87	11,990.56		1,848.42	24,658.65	78.18
Davenport, Rock Island & Northwestern.....	23,101.90	15,568.94	87,340.02		5,843.29	131,733.15	
Des Moines, Iowa Falls & Northern.....	53,628.97	19,053.12	123,751.75		9,790.30	211,224.03	79.97
Des Moines Union.....	3,071.19		5,647.14		797.35	9,515.58	49.70
Des Moines Western.....	537,999.11	690,196.56	1,940,638.97		110,345.91	3,239,130.59	84.47
Dubuque & Sioux City (Ill. Cent.).....	315,053.67	253,511.35	797,751.19		78,348.39	1,439,663.49	80.80
Iowa Central.....	12,311.00	2,554.25	79,751.19		837.42	28,473.63	118.70
Albia & Centerville.....	2,170.82	194.76	9,649.45		240.00	14,367.13	
Manchester & Oneida.....	121,181.13	73,454.13	253,973.65		36,708.19	435,114.09	69.61
Minneapolis & St. Louis.....	15,357.94	4,542.50	29,937.68		8,415.57	59,148.59	99.00
Muscatine North & South.....	21,280.40	8,798.06	53,076.34		10,127.62	103,892.33	81.56
Newton & Northwestern.....	2,175.41	3,123.61	6,207.19		2,903.20	13,407.99	72.76
Tabor & Northern.....	84,654.00	15,623.63	191,923.95		4,840.68	248,515.26	91.24
Union Pacific.....	292,765.81	173,086.94	459,037.27		28,847.50	953,239.59	94.24
Wabash.....	42,183.08	26,140.77	167,833.71		6,189.69	241,830.90	74.96
Willmar & Sioux Falls (Great Northern).....							
Total	\$ 3,379,207.55	\$ 7,501,638.75	\$ 21,360,075.08		\$ 1,793,608.53	\$ 41,195,480.94	71.46

a Including additions to property.

b Excluding taxes.

c Proportional on train mileage basis.

TABLE No. 30—OPERATING

Railroads.	Maintenance of Way and Structures.			
	Repairs of Roadway.	Renewals of rails.	Renewals of ties.	Repairs and renewals of bridges and culverts.
Ames & College.....	\$ 317.50	\$ 4,247.50	\$ 280.00	\$
Atchison, Topeka & Santa Fe.....	4,015,389.80	497,708.46	1,085,698.08	883,304.74
Chicago, Anamosa & Northern.....	4,100,898.05	448,841.74	1,486,980.83	1,492,547.69
Chicago, Burlington & Quincy.....	480,820.88	8,780.45	148,298.06	31,753.68
Chicago Great Western.....	100,650.86	858.64	10,023.83	7,465.43
Mason City & Fort Dodge.....	59,750.01	688.87	46,437.68	2,638.64
Wisconsin, Minnesota & Pacific.....	2,898,481.89	806,384.06	484,310.52	637,948.69
Chicago, Milwaukee & St. Paul.....	8,988,798.83	528,714.88	1,854,117.43	720,508.75
Chicago, St. Paul, Minneapolis & Omaha.....	3,731,345.92	134,669.85	254,310.96	106,068.87
Chicago, Rock Island & Pacific.....	2,778,837.49	112,967.09	868,030.66	711,417.69
Colfax Northern.....	3,836.63	108.91	855.73	431.83
Crooked Creek.....	5,778.87	80.19	2,309.53	785.19
Davenport, Rock Island & North Western.....	9,134.80	185.41	2,658.20	3,837.26
Des Moines, Iowa Falls & Northern.....	21,640.51	884.23
Des Moines Union.....	24,282.84	8,062.00	4,987.00	9,437.63
Des Moines Western.....	2,985.10	16.23
Dubuque & Sioux City (Illinois Central).....	885,491.95	28,290.81	88,044.98	60,866.85
Iowa Central.....	208,446.74	1,275.83	75,081.56	47,303.27
Albia & Centerville.....	6,598.29	b 70.40	3,567.12	740.90
Manchester & Oneida.....	1,830.33	101.71
Minneapolis & St. Louis.....	198,324.84	b 928.38	68,266.52	35,630.71
Muscatine North & South.....	6,721.89	6,673.56	1,837.33
Newton & Northwestern.....	28,882.92	1,851.04
Tabor & Northern.....	1,665.16	438.60
Union Pacific.....	2,888,251.23	816,297.50	324,617.56	430,427.46
Wabash.....	1,731,853.90	494,588.18	624,574.56	430,428.47
Willmar & Sioux Falls (Great Northern).....	188,828.90	15,963.96	88,302.12	26,433.41
Total.....	\$34,182,223.00	\$ 3,791,719.82	\$ 7,446,328.22	\$ 5,764,570.16

a Additions to property. b Credit.

EXPENSES—ENTIRE LINE.

Maintenance of Way and Structures.

Repairs and renewals of fences, road crossings, signs and cattle guards.	Repairs and renewals of buildings and fixtures	Repairs and renewals of docks and wharves.	Repairs and renewals of telegraph.	Stationery and print- ing.	Other ex- penses.	Total.
\$ 146.87	\$ 272.88	\$.	\$.	\$.	\$ 5.00	\$ 5,249.25
109,678.96	715,564.76		126,879.58	2,865.67	87.45	7,876,588.50
167,521.72	675,188.97	11,180.78	141,196.22	4,196.55		8,452,782.94
16,536.24	35,849.08		4,821.96	6,966.10	23,505.60	735,001.05
4,189.89	5,900.77		1,099.67	104.56	10,799.85	140,575.99
3,422.19	3,861.12		999.78	98.21	4,667.70	120,748.50
179,487.42	694,151.27	32,881.50	48,278.81	2,449.42	619,960.28	5,965,586.24
216,992.41	668,798.88	48,404.22	29,916.01	6,804.89	5,081.55	7,415,578.29
85,777.53	98,049.96	1,425.66	18,198.53	937.27		1,429,574.54
182,268.05	408,293.40	29,407.66	87,542.81	8,637.20	6,919.08	5,174,270.99
168.81	53.27				158.86	5,568.08
460.89	187.68					9,422.30
215.64	1,017.00		74.85	8.90		17,127.75
212.98	822.76		20.78			28,101.20
432.90	6,278.10			74.20		58,629.97
11.84	67.18				.90	8,071.19
25,890.17	59,708.71		4,850.67	2,210.17	1,294.70	611,628.46
45,278.40	31,121.66		8,272.58	421.60	107.06	410,292.68
1,081.08	894.04					12,811.00
189.66	89.68					2,170.92
18,745.71	16,800.80		2,518.02	861.57	41.58	387,871.32
579.84	408.48		8.25			10,297.94
145.62	893.08		80.84	49.98		51,320.40
4.52	15.55		65.58			2,175.41
50,104.60	471,716.74		33,069.68	6,149.72		4,480,668.06
117,878.71	524,548.88	12,986.72	26,311.10	4,684.14	896.00	4,007,505.59
7,486.94	18,887.02		1,187.78	842.01		246,286.08
\$ 1,124,555.47	\$ 4,422,987.00	\$ 125,536.48	\$ 495,226.41	\$ 46,865.58	\$ 671,419.08	\$ 47,077,277.66

TABLE No. 31—OPERATING EXPENSES

Railroads.	Maintenance of Equipment.		
	Superintend- ence.	Repairs and renewals of locomotives.	Repairs and renewals of passenger cars.
Ames & College		\$ 261.02	\$ 105.05
Atchison, Topeka & Santa Fe.	\$ 290,066.74	2,337,682.53	539,345.45
Chicago, Anamosa & Northern.			
Chicago, Burlington & Quincy.	245,758.60	8,816,123.71	689,640.70
Chicago Great Western.	11,086.79	891,845.32	109,698.10
Mason City & Fort Dodge.	2,680.43	69,929.65	17,395.25
Wisconsin, Minnesota & Pacific.	1,739.24	19,830.30	4,075.97
Chicago, Milwaukee & St. Paul.	97,550.18	1,913,330.63	701,063.75
Chicago & North-Western.	152,446.18	2,667,182.16	577,994.95
Chicago, St. P., Minn. & Omaha.	78,091.03	449,712.06	96,167.30
Chicago, Rock Island and Pacific.	200,239.63	2,757,011.31	547,156.25
Colfax Northern.		2,608.13	340.95
Crooked Creek.		819.52	
Davenport, Rock Island & N. W.		1,724.47	
Des Moines, Iowa Falls & Northern.	1,090.00	4,814.01	3,412.57
Des Moines Union.	960.00	9,440.44	
Des Moines Western.			
Dubuque & Sioux City (Ill. Cent.).	11,735.27	298,709.39	64,331.61
Iowa Central.	6,558.59	184,581.23	16,732.88
Albia & Centerville.		1,440.43	183.99
Manchester & Oneida.		44.04	152.73
Minneapolis & St. Louis.	6,641.59	116,994.23	34,937.69
Muscatine North & South.		3,951.91	504.87
Newton & Northwestern.		5,851.49	348.45
Tabor & Northern.		1,955.85	144.26
Union Pacific.	141,259.75	2,214,481.06	501,349.10
Wabash.	100,829.20	1,599,423.23	539,523.36
Willmar & Sioux Falls (Great Northern).	3,950.58	56,997.24	5,443.37
Total.	\$ 1,203,738.71	\$ 18,890,759.30	\$ 4,200,481.49

—ENTIRE LINE—CONTINUED.

Maintenance of Equipment.

Repairs and renewals of freight cars.	Repairs and renewals of work cars.	Repairs and renewals of marine equipment.	Repairs and renewals of shop ma- chinery and tools.	Stationery and print- ing.	Other ex- pense.	Totals.
1,967,674.31	\$ 83,107.36		17.08		\$ 871.18	\$ 754.28
			256,397.06	\$ 14,164.09	882,615.10	5,805,962.54
5,368,635.70	84,408.54		384,760.80	17,460.00	79,792.00	10,088,557.61
337,211.90			17,704.88	8,408.54	78,708.60	924,049.68
49,009.42			5,590.65	390.45	4,891.84	149,787.69
11,757.94			1,982.01	98.31	1,734.36	41,207.83
2,109,945.30	78,522.13		118,301.91	8,153.39	154,818.55	5,181,535.79
2,498,458.26	90,967.13	\$ 1,770.58	165,510.81	11,787.14	270,664.88	6,395,661.48
432,196.40	11,580.69		39,713.71	834.18	52,636.81	1,161,682.18
1,668,063.24	78,800.91	11,698.50	178,638.85	15,763.89	67,602.50	5,519,692.07
181.58			6.77			3,187.43
1,567.50						1,887.08
1,616.76	82.30		23.81	2.31	1.59	3,400.14
4,108.78			382.06		1,776.53	15,568.94
2,416.29			6,218.38	24.12		19,068.13
384,630.22	7,407.51		25,968.71	1,553.25	27,783.75	712,642.61
120,805.98	2,809.47		10,188.06	651.78	4,861.54	347,734.52
946.38	88.50					2,554.25
						196.76
152,545.78	2,044.48		10,178.02	537.39	4,942.88	328,822.01
45.78						4,502.50
8,001.36	25.26		21.69	49.92		8,798.06
			21.60			2,122.01
1,463,830.23	72,192.54		188,916.57	9,458.16	268,493.08	4,885,000.48
1,851,860.70	71,451.01	7,125.20	228,856.78	9,203.96	176,825.94	4,575,148.43
26,170.84	704.87		247.87	354.76	186.46	104,006.60
\$ 12,812,625.45	\$ 870,967.00	\$ 20,534.28	\$ 1,627,250.74	\$ 98,821.79	\$ 1,577,650.02	\$ 46,278,249.87

TABLE No. 32.—OPERATING EXPENSES—

Railroads.	Conducting Transportation.		
	Superintendent.	Engine and round house men.	Fuel for locomotives.
Ames & College		\$ 1,398.00	\$ 2,308.00
Atchison, Topeka & Santa Fe....	\$ 408,186.74	2,439,008.49	2,587,511.22
Chicago, Anamosa & Northern.....		983.10	899.25
Chicago, Burlington & Quincy.....	1,078,357.74	8,532,131.51	4,494,922.50
Chicago Great Western.....	85,899.18	538,067.19	798,015.67
Chicago City & Fort Dodge.....	5,184.35	118,401.18	194,291.25
Wisconsin, Minnesota & Pacific.....	4,053.22	41,900.80	63,072.53
Chicago Milwaukee & St. Paul.....	879,817.59	8,270,650.97	4,241,452.03
Chicago & Northwestern.....	494,604.23	4,065,184.94	5,045,535.02
Chicago, St. Paul, Minneapolis & Omaha.....	113,873.95	777,285.86	1,261,287.94
Chicago, Rock Island & Pacific.....	766,323.51	2,861,685.12	4,080,073.05
Colfax Northern.....	1,450.00	8,699.18	4,219.42
Crooked Creek.....	1,981.19	2,737.89	3,544.76
Davenport, Rock Island & Northwestern.....	1,108.07	8,449.62	2,481.20
Des Moines, Iowa Falls & Northern.....	4,265.60	10,927.73	12,180.37
Des Moines Union.....	1,40.08	25,432.18	9,642.15
Des Moines Western.....		1,800.00	
Dubuque & Sioux City (Illinois Central).....	77,334.80	848,929.09	543,081.07
Iowa Central.....	40,722.51	250,641.90	505,816.02
Albia & Centerville.....		1,969.66	2,411.39
Manchester & Oneida.....	1,204.00	2,938.28	2,768.00
Minneapolis & St. Louis.....	83,204.01	169,885.45	302,991.87
Muscatine North & South.....	2,329.20	6,004.23	4,130.00
Newton & Northwestern.....	2,029.51	7,670.20	14,192.09
Tabor & Northern.....		1,407.51	2,167.21
Union Pacific.....	296,432.06	1,568,140.31	2,007,028.25
Wabash.....	226,278.80	1,792,190.75	1,955,830.00
Willmar & Sioux Falls (Great Northern).....	25,739.24	73,850.86	175,875.67
Total.....	\$ 4,011,845.55	\$ 451,707,533	\$ 27,531,702.88
a Including train service.			

ENTIRE LINE—CONTINUED.

Conducting Transportation.						
Water supply for loco- motives.	Oil, tallow and waste for loco- motives.	Other sup- plies for locomotives.	Train service.	Train sup- plies and expenses.	Switchmen, watchmen and flag- men.	Telegraph expenses.
115.24	223.97		1,881.00		750.00	
184,920.75	83,276.94	91,846.54	1,588,467.12	518,884.21	712,949.13	559,948.41
41.22	48.54		234.11	9.00		
237,775.40	151,484.4	76,770.22	2,289,667.56	502,879.83	1,458,782.96	777,758.08
46,696.89	88,748.94	8,674.98	552,604.37	87,017.49	154,509.20	119,157.81
7,006.02	5,870.22	1,118.14	81,275.75	18,417.47	16,305.08	24,975.44
2,201.28	1,656.62	317.24	33,878.44	4,685.84	8,334.62	11,658.90
175,014.65	120,001.68	51,704.44	2,318,531.37	517,481.44	1,892,224.09	890,564.13
250,679.87	144,473.6	7,118.27	2,980,365.56	561,912.51	1,570,344.0	657,197.88
54,180.34	23,640.75	11,411.47	597,471.36	121,045.34	1,224,182.70	176,401.84
224,695.94	126,701.11	94,782.34	1,899,195.45	547,047.54	1,049,583.27	558,810.87
18.45	99.71	199.42	8,284.32	80.61	492.48	171.43
184.16	248.40	654.05				
581.10	71.00	66.1		170.64	4,160.44	2,758.37
617.97	600.81	7.0	7,690.87	801.24	558.27	1,089.98
2,741.02	615.07	5,622.12	10,228.05	2,585.08	27,945.04	2,100.00
230.51	95.72	45.12		26.04		75.00
31,042.57	18,797.03	5,308.38	1,287,759.74	74,084.16	100,022.94	74,104.20
18,192.54	9,406.4	8,386.44	146,798.92	20,546.27	40,750.96	87,298.86
345.80	75.87	87.00	1,147.32	159.12		685.67
62.71	14.84	39.3			410.00	
7,532.90	6,499.87	2,174.81	115,576.21	80,108.26	54,969.58	36,898.97
837.69	814.33		1,712.05		2,169.15	1,898.08
1,801.02	577.77	158.8	4,833.51	173.91	2,313.05	565.14
63.94	62.02	28.18	619.95	37.24		82.48
169,030.59	66,415.51	24,222.4	1,007,443.78	823,614.54	437,477.23	420,746.05
114,621.12	76,414.11	32,499.9	1,232,592.54	520,225.31	757,223.54	408,886.87
4,741.38	8,100	9,044.2	61,063.18	8,480.67	14,878.88	23,434.89
\$ 1,532,012.71	\$ 869,377.4	\$ 489,165.32	\$ 14,973,544.70	\$ 8,989,506.22	\$ 7,972,965.60	\$ 4,245,587.11

TABLE NO. 33—OPERATING EXPEN-

Railroads.	Conducting Transportation.		
	Station service.	Station supplies.	Switching charges—balance.
Ames & College.....		\$ 141.69	
Atchison, Topeka & Santa Fe.....	\$ 1,591,929.18	103,780.88	
Chicago, Anamosa & Northern.....	235.00	270.23	
Chicago, Burlington & Quincy.....	2,398,747.52	244,674.91	\$ 529,433.81
Chicago Great Western.....	887,076.02	81,023.08	
Mason City & Fort Dodge.....	54,674.39	6,856.96	33,565.07
Wisconsin, Minnesota & Pacific.....	29,484.27	2,956.20	8,864.80
Chicago Milwaukee & St. Paul.....	2,560,393.47	148,533.97	257,092.17
Chicago & North-Western.....	2,558,517.59	228,408.44	431,601.08
Chicago, St. P., Minn. & Omaha.....	472,907.48	45,169.69	
Chicago, Rock Island & Pacific.....	1,613,016.01	190,836.85	86,886.84
Colfax Northern.....	1,477.23	27.59	18
Crooked Creek.....	1,238.68		
Davenport, Rock Island & N. W.....	11,063.86	777.84	
Des Moines, Iowa Falls & Northern.....	4,425.80	732.41	8,192.60
Des Moines Union.....	89,696.78	2,935.67	
Des Moines Western.....	8,097.89	142.74	
Dubuque & Sioux City (Ill. Cent.).....	189,401.86	23,736.76	
Iowa Central.....	84,816.07	9,204.46	8,266.84
Albia & Centerville.....	2,277.42	211.67	14.14
Manchester & Oneida.....	970.00	160.28	
Minneapolis & St. Louis.....	110,744.80	12,001.81	
Muscatine North & South.....	4,114.16	226.48	
Newton & Northwestern.....	7,161.62	576.77	55.90
Taber & Northern.....	898.25	14.45	
Union Pacific.....	815,726.38	63,084.17	
Wabash.....	1,858,639.80	115,815.98	
Willmar & Sioux Falls (Great Northern).....	58,885.70	4,980.89	4,786.50
Total.....	\$14,148,091.78	\$ 1,819,361.74	\$ 1,853,748.23

a Credit.
b Transfer.

SES—ENTIRE LINE—CONTINUED.

Conducting Transportation Continued.						
Car mileage- bal- ance.	Hire of equip- ment bal- ance.	Loss and damage.	Injuries to per- sons.	Clearing wrecks.	Operating marine equip- ment.	Advertis- ing.
\$ 229,519.46	\$ 1,883.00	\$ 450,862.31	\$ 220,222.73	\$ 66,899.79		\$ 122,970.10
881,492.88	10,881.87	530,128.49	282,177.94	80,648.08		179,133.16
		143,634.09	91,799.11	8,587.89		29,851.67
	12,531.86	11,492.90	4,297.28	1,248.17		
2,289.64		7,533.53	2,912.75	830.19		
70,501.84	27,388.57	472,487.42	317,768.70	40,764.90		187,146.19
423,664.09		419,717.92	540,906.21	60,635.96	\$ 4,106.45	229,518.86
		115,248.62	61,475.68	11,051.77		16,425.48
336,017.48		735,647.83	535,119.61	78,854.91	12,451.01	201,752.82
538.67		84.37		27.55		8.40
	90.67	42.03				
	4.22	64.54	615.41	180.60		12.98
8,802.44		856.55	1,404.82	104.13		836.95
		195.92	1,182.47			
		1.30	5.90	24.39		
183,835.22		76,830.30	80,818.20	8,792.12		7,829.00
2,643.88		33,410.11	19,662.56	6,872.84		1,980.11
2,818.62	23.23	884.55		20.07		18.08
191.20		62.04	6.50			1.50
		18,922.67	32,540.94	1,679.84		4,089.61
5,262.37	22.70	233.34		142.50		85.00
7,863.12	1,835.64	140.07	267.50	522.95		40.23
106.94		45.48				6.00
347,052.97		206,405.70	164,639.99	42,235.41		164,552.28
542,018.47	24,259.75	234,140.72	458,412.68	41,833.17	63,875.97	136,827.22
18,792.87	17,909.28	11,998.68	11,446.43	2,898.86		266.37
\$ 2,511,602.32	\$ 96,249.79	\$ 2,551,915.83	\$ 2,787,869.18	\$ 448,857.08	\$ 84,881.43	\$ 1,282,168.46

TABLE No. 34—OPERATING EXPENSES—ENTIRE LINE—CONTINUED.

Railroads.	Conducting Transportation—Continued.							
	Outside agencies.	Commis- sions.	Stockyard and eleva- tors.	Rents for tracks, yards and terminals.	Rents for buildings and other property.	Stationery and print- ing.	Other ex- penses.	Total con- ducting transpor- tation.
Ames & College.....	\$ 466,643.15	4,612.91		\$ 248,471.81	\$ 41,445.30	18.00	\$ 71,387.90	6,328.53
Atchafalaya, Topeka & Santa Fe.....	576,785.60			1,033,915.73			9,39.71	12,702,031.90
Chicago, Anamosa & Northern.....	216,783.71			423,843.08		201,984.66	87,917.02	31,185,780.78
Chicago, Burlington & Quincy.....	20,739.96		\$ 13,851.50	50,379.64		31,702.80	1,839.85	3,684,783.83
Chicago Great Western.....	3,335.41		743.50			209.12	53.60	663,700.43
Wisconsin City & Fort Dodge.....						413.49	23.60	233,342.93
Wisconsin, Milwaukee & St. Paul.....	530,651.89		53,517.57	575,965.72	53,611.12	100,374.92	168,501.92	13,250,487.54
Chicago & North-Western.....	574,181.70	11,728.80		141,191.97	21,993.75	234,281.20	8,577.61	21,432,230.54
Chicago, St. P., Minn. & Omaha.....	131,183.53	1,039.76	80,588.23	133,376.44	20,433.57	59,743.06		4,601,814.33
Chicago, Rock Island & Pacific.....	761,969.51		810.02	1,203,104.35	136,902.66	207,681.69	20,515.72	13,210,813.57
Colfax Northern.....			12.67			340.13	114.81	16,842.43
Crooked Creek.....						117.75	385.97	11,067.04
Davenport, Rock Island & N. W.....				4,853.86	842.22	219.17	81.78	87,840.03
Des Moines, Iowa Falls & Northern.....			56.44	33,514.36	1,960.77	1,175.92		128,761.73
Des Moines Union.....					1,199.86	741.24		90.87
Des Moines Western.....								
Dubuque & Sioux City (Ill. Cent.).....	41,570.56	331.04		88,976.30	22,183.92	84,633.61	2,680.38	2,601,436.17
Iowa Central.....	2,315.37			37,874.91	1,305.32	13,731.23	83,543.78	1,199,683.88
Albia & Centerville.....				60.00			3.00	12,181.01
St. Amand & Ouellet.....	1.54				460.00	185.72	80.00	9,649.45
Minneapolis & St. Louis.....				61,783.66	1,505.64	17,711.54	75,971.15	1,144,180.18
Winona, North & South.....	44,961.5			200.00	533.38	533.38		29,937.03
Warren & Northwestern.....					21.97	848.40		53,776.34
Tabor & Northern.....			43.52		464.75	18.21	411.71	6,207.19
Union Pacific.....	480,069.60	14,655.50		184,990.44	8,127.61	103,401.43		8,900,032.77
Union Pacific.....	536,328.77	11,729.77		1,065,215.78	81,415.01	139,693.30	6,914.81	13,189,346.33
Wabash.....	15,470.01			33,001.57	2,078.98	4,465.92	408.49	686,909.23
William & Sioux Falls (Great Northern) ..								
Total.....	\$ 4,396,619.78	\$ 44,187.81	\$ 143,120.40	\$ 5,187,123.47	\$ 417,841.90	\$ 1,333,657.71	\$ 458,343.97	\$ 127,203,105.61

TABLE No. 35—OPERATING EXPENSES—ENTIRE LINE—CONTINUED.

Railroads.	General Expense.							
	Salaries of General Officers.	Salaries of attendants.	General office ex- penses.	Insurance.	Law expenses.	Stationery and printing office.	Other expenses.	Total gen- eral ex- penses.
Ames & College	\$ 860.00	\$ 406,569.06	\$ 84,006.45	\$ 100,841.81	\$ 119,190.45	\$ 85,842.18	\$ 158,271.50	\$ 400.35
Arlington, Topeka & Santa Fe	198,817.71							1,102,789.96
Chicago, Anamosa & Northern	872,609.83	690,449.15	84,408.75	288,615.15	247,000.02	80,097.35	118,786.96	1,751,048.10
Chicago, Burlington & Quincy	102,708.45	130,032.44	29,698.68	90,000.00	88,811.78	3,253.72	44,357.94	890,478.88
Chicago Great Western			8,100.00		618.14	3,537.06	8,686.16	12,966.96
Mason City & Fort Dodge					388.08	45.93	792.53	4,394.44
Wisconsin, Minnesota & Pacific	884,683.86	299,289.85	23,975.85	147,786.05	69,817.75	61,976.95	205,593.03	1,245,078.70
Chicago, Milwaukee & St. Paul	172,770.56	237,406.10	96,597.10	20,443.44	128,470.40	88,823.89	814,818.20	1,112,764.22
Chicago & North-Western	100,196.89	75,308.18	14,080.80	19,088.86	9,744.18	5,445.08	14,441.99	246,205.35
Chicago, St. Paul, Minneapolis & Omaha	292,538.23	437,719.19	131,784.87	132,431.86	126,453.81	53,469.01	144,532.19	1,306,946.33
Chicago, Rock Island & Pacific					5.00			5.00
Colfax Northern	481.90	998.40	80.00	698.45	12.50		846.14	212.50
Crooked Creek		1,166.86	174.09	206.51	418.50	59.47	4.04	5,555.78
Dayton, Rock Island & North-Western	1,602.36	1,958.30	540.80	270.75	978.94			5,843.37
Des Moines, Iowa Falls & Northern.	3,100.00	1,958.30	540.80	270.75	978.94			9,790.30
Des Moines Union	8,780.00	5,338.99	1,166.91	690.07	814.30			9,797.23
Des Moines Western	669.96							98.04
Dubuque & Sioux City (Illinois Central)	23,737.35	33,089.39	8,140.82	19,080.00	11,750.78	3,133.63	16,285.86	112,190.08
Iowa Central	46,171.84	29,994.31	9,893.19	10,110.07	2,915.01	8,572.79	10,160.86	108,417.98
Albia & Centerville.		600.00		51.04		176.14	34	837.44
Manchester & Oneida.	350.00							350.00
Manitowish & St. Louis	53,908.70	81,164.48	2,443.85	10,747.75	2,873.30	4,979.64	11,548.74	129,159.81
Minneapolis & St. Louis	5,080.00	51.75	55.50	97.50	2,105.18		431.61	8,415.57
Muscatine North & South.	4,927.42	882.49	790.01	1,869.55	206.98	487.81	864.94	10,197.64
Newton & North-Western.	2,750.00		26.18	95.00	12.00	24.30	54.37	2,902.30
Tabor & North-Western				115,158.89	90,779.23	43,975.89	90,814.08	344,043.51
Union Pacific	105,755.36	292,332.31	26,235.94	61,618.31	150,365.78	32,860.92	25,107.91	695,118.14
Wabash.	117,496.10	234,897.97	21,901.14	4,518.37	5,813.63	1,665.21		27,930.63
William & Sioux Falls (Great Northern)	3,573.30	12,716.80	1,846.25	4,692.34	2,813.63	1,958.21	61.11	
Total	\$ 1,953,078.47	\$ 3,138,292.71	\$ 497,894.59	\$ 914,238.47	\$ 1,017,347.41	\$ 415,141.35	\$ 1,163,624.73	\$ 2,101,882.73

TABLE NO. 36. OPERATING EXPENSES—ENTIRE LINE—CONTINUED.

Railroads.	Recapitulation of Expenses.					Grand total.	Percentage of ex- penses to earnings.
	Maintenance of way and structures.	Maintenance of equipment.	Conducting transporta- tion.	General expenses.			
Ames & College	5,240.35	754.25	6,836.18	400.28		12,780.99	65.0.
Atchison, Topeka & Santa Fe	7,876,532.50	5,835,962.54	12,708,981.99	1,102,789.36		26,923,266.39	188.00
Chicago, Anamosa & Northern			8,877.61	1,751,875.90		4,283,871.88	63.45
Chicago, Burlington & Quincy	8,452,783.94	10,088,537.61	31,180,780.78	1,751,843.10		41,479,189.44	70.56
Chicago Great Western	785,001.06	94,049.68	8,534,782.59	390,478.08		5,614,812.44	68.14
Chicago, Rock Island & Pacific	140,876.99	149,757.69	683,700.43	12,586.98		986,941.09	68.14
Chicago, Milwaukee & St. Paul	120,748.09	41,807.83	238,549.68	6,884.44		396,940.56	56.46
Chicago, St. Paul, Minneapolis & Omaha	5,956,586.34	5,191,559.79	18,280,487.64	1,243,679.10		30,672,312.87	61.47
Chicago, St. Paul, Minneapolis & Omaha	7,415,578.39	6,866,662.48	2,656,220.84	1,112,764.32		38,541,254.53	61.59
Chicago, Rock Island & Pacific	1,429,574.54	1,151,633.13	4,101,614.82	246,806.38		7,929,828.87	71.75
Chicago, Rock Island & Pacific	5,174,270.99	5,519,092.07	18,210,812.61	1,306,743.52		30,210,829.19	73.80
Chicago, Rock Island & Pacific	6,598.08	8,187.43	16,842.46	2,102.52		33,588.98	73.81
Crooked Creek	9,432.30	1,887.06	11,097.04	2,112.50		33,588.98	73.81
Davenport, Rock Island & Northwestern	17,127.78	8,400.14	81,498.07	2,655.78		121,781.77	77.73
Des Moines, Iowa Falls & Northern	23,101.29	16,568.91	57,240.02	5,848.29		142,758.51	76.97
Des Moines Union	53,622.97	19,068.18	188,751.78	9,190.24		361,623.17	75.98
Des Moines Western	8,071.19		5,647.14	797.23		14,515.56	75.98
Dubuque & Sioux City (Illinois Central)	611,628.46	712,643.61	2,001,456.17	113,180.08		3,438,908.32	75.98
Illinois Central	410,202.68	347,784.62	1,194,163.83	108,617.88		2,060,768.01	75.98
Illinois Central	12,811.00	2,554.26	13,181.01	827.42		26,473.69	118.70
Albia & Centerville	2,170.92	196.76	9,649.46	260.00		12,277.14	118.70
Manchester & Oelids	837,871.88	388,832.01	1,144,130.18	182,169.84		2,552,993.91	169.31
Manitou North & South	16,397.94	4,502.50	29,867.18	8,415.57		59,183.19	91.54
Manitou North & South	81,530.40	8,796.06	53,076.34	10,197.62		155,599.42	91.54
Newton & Northern	2,175.41	2,132.01	6,207.19	2,903.30		13,417.99	73.93
Union Pacific	4,880,988.03	4,885,000.48	8,900,029.77	844,002.84		19,009,020.12	14.04
Union Pacific	4,007,576.59	4,575,148.43	12,139,306.88	645,118.14		21,367,068.04	86.12
Wilmar & Sioux Falls (Great Northern)	346,286.08	104,005.00	633,969.23	27,960.65		1,014,260.96	61.00
Total	47,077,277.67	44,273,819.97	127,303,105.61	9,101,362.73		229,755,566.97	65.94

a Including additions to property. b Excluding taxes.

TABLE No. 37—TAXES.

Railroads.	Illinois.			Wisconsin.			Minnesota.			Missouri.		
	Amount.	Miles of road.	Per mile.	Amount.	Miles of road.	Per mile.	Amount.	Miles of road.	Per mile.	Amount.	Miles of road.	Per mile.
Ames and College	109,160.82	288.42	\$ 886.16							\$ 61,618.36	372.04	\$ 229.50
Atchison, Topeka & Santa Fe.												
Chicago, Anamosa & Northern.	582,172.92	1,528.62	848.14	\$ 90,883.86	222.57	\$ 408.20	\$ 9,818.09	33.61	\$ 414.63	190,081.86	1,183.50	167.79
Chicago, Burlington & Quincy	61,219.07	177.27	862.26				27,681.84	128.24	817.19	16,879.76	99.45	169.78
Chicago Great Western							2,027.25	27.83	96.18			
Mason City & Fort Dodge							18,872.66	248.50	75.98			
Wisconsin, Minnesota & Pacific							276,963.90	1,205.57	229.74	88,069.54	140.27	288.76
Chicago, Milwaukee & St. Paul.	162,477.48	402.40	878.92	608,892.96	1,725.42	352.61	90,780.32	650.80	139.60			
Chicago & North-Western	825,032.78	680.20	477.88	825,898.38	1,778.65	464.60	118,815.53	473.68	240.84			
Chicago, St. P., Minn. & Omaha.				310,924.15	744.65	283.46						
Chicago, Rock Island & Pacific	235,068.98	364.10	645.70				89,123.27	235.91	105.86	71,003.88	233.41	805.51
Chicago Northern												
Colfax Northern												
Crooked Creek												
Daytonport, Rock Island & N. W.	6,920.78	13.25	565.60									
Des Moines, Iowa Falls & Northern												
Des Moines Union												
Des Moines Western												
Dubuque & Sioux City (Ill. Cent.)												
Iowa Central	20,000.00	68.00	317.86				1,180.86	12.38	92.18			
Albia & Centerville												
Manchester & Osceola												
Minneapolis & St. Louis							74,107.24	379.47	193.54			
Mississippi North & South												
Newton & Northwestern												
Tabor & Northern												
Union Pacific												
Winnebago	240,747.20	745.20	593.06				27,408.14	138.91	204.67			
Willmar & Sioux Falls (Great Nor.)												
Total	\$ 1,683,888.83	4,283.46	\$ 393.88	\$ 1,768,589.84	4,470.66	\$ 388.42	\$ 687,604.58	3,518.58	\$ 195.40	\$ 545,116.90	2,461.48	\$ 221.45

a Not including "Trackage Rights."

TABLE No. 38—

Railroads.	Nebraska.			Iowa.		
	Amount.	Miles of road.	Per m ^{ile} .	Amount.	Miles of road.	Per mile
Ames & College.....				\$ 890.66	1.98	
Atchison, Topeka & Santa Fe.....				11,468.88	14.80	\$ 677.84
Chicago, Anamosa & Northern.....				811,855.08	1,809.06	237.85
Chicago, Burlington & Quincy.....	\$ 466,579.81	5,611.28	\$ 778.07	59,561.86	381.31	235.06
Chicago Great Western.....				20,980.66	353.80	76.21
Mason City & Fort Dodge.....	442.14	5.66	76.21	2,040.94	22.50	90.78
Wisconsin, Minnesota & Pacific.....				34,898.90	1,871.85	240.28
Chicago, Milwaukee & St. Paul.....	1,110.86			55,554.81	1,574.53	247.48
Chicago & North-Western.....	287,890.97	1,071.91	221.47	88,016.23	103.06	572.58
Chicago, St. P., Minn. & Omaha.....	78,636.62	274.64	226.06	480,893.81	2,022.42	239.27
Chicago, Rock Island & Pacific.....	61,281.96	245.86	249.57	916.80	6.00	152.41
Colfax Northern.....				1,845.75	17.61	104.88
Crooked Creek.....				9,038.59	84.51	261.81
Davenport, Rock Island & N. W.....				6,236.29	70.44	88.58
Des Moines, Iowa Falls & Northern.....				18,289.72	4.00	4,574.95
Des Moines Union.....				1,427.45	4.62	204.92
Des Moines Western.....				144,217.26	714.94	201.76
Dubuque & Sioux City (Ill. Cent.).....				70,500.00	454.07	155.26
Iowa Central.....				2,900.00	24.44	118.61
Albia & Centerville.....				40,836.00	350.91	114.94
Manchester & Oneida.....				2,659.23	28.67	92.40
Minneapolis & St. Louis.....				6,019.46	102.50	58.78
Muscatine North & South.....				1,001.78	8.79	118.09
Newton & Northwestern.....				16,108.37	2.46	6,516.45
Tabor & Northern.....				39,987.57	208.90	191.27
Union Pacific.....	820,018.86	961.60	852.88	10,982.14	79.26	1,386.88
Wabash.....						
Wilmar & Sioux Falls (Great Northern).....	20,242.04	129.92	1,558.04			
Total.....	\$ 1,185,582.19	5,800.04	\$ 228.66	\$2,076,748.82	9,841.03	\$ 211.03

a Not including "Trackage Rights." b Credit.

TAXES—CONTINUED.

All Other States.			Other Taxes.			Total Taxes.		
Amount.	Miles of road.	Per mile.	Amount.	Miles of road.	Per mile.	Amount.	Miles of road.	Per mile.
\$ 1,162,553.92	4,438.74	\$ 262.88				\$ 830.65	1.98	
						1,344,798.68	5,004.06	\$ 268.74
239,921.28	1,470.97	163.10				1,840,627.85	8,488.56	220.20
10,884.75	82.09	330.16				209,695.76	813.36	256.24
						30,000.00	8-6.25	77.67
						20,913.68	271.00	77.17
185,419.88	1,566.11	118.89				1,632,332.58	6,911.62	236.17
320,819.45	1,614.29	198.74				2,189,976.74	7,869.88	297.15
12,858.89	88.20	140.12				453,650.01	1,632.55	272.59
706,508.20	a 3,120.27	226.99				1,872,864.09	a 6,235.46	232.29
						914.30	8.00	152.47
						1,845.75	17.81	104.81
						18,965.67	46.76	841.44
						6,226.29	70.44	83.51
						18,299.72	4.00	4,574.98
						1,427.45	4.62	208.95
4,989.83	44.94	111.08				149,207.16	759.86	196.36
b 2.47						91,636.89	538.43	164.09
						2,900.00	24.44	118.66
2,955.69	89.08	74.61				117,488.98	770.06	151.57
						2,659.28	28.67	92.40
						6,012.45	102.50	58.72
						1,001.78	8.79	118.97
533,846.72	1,990.96	270.64				879,206.14	2,955.45	297.49
577,613.55	653.20	597.93				826,623.71	2,171.60	330.06
12,497.04	93.64	1,831.58				71,129.41	436.73	1,623.68
\$ 2,575,875.51	15,129.11	\$ 236.82				\$ 11,492,751.87	45,006.69	\$ 255.85

TABLE No. 39—CURRENT

Railroads.		Cash
Ames & College		\$ 6,828.84
Atchison, Topeka & Santa Fe		6,927,019.70
Chicago, Anamoesa & Northern		421.53
Chicago, Burlington & Quincy		9,297,517.28
Chicago Great Western		1,865,038.98
Mason City & Fort Dodge		890,573.02
Wisconsin, Minnesota & Pacific		63,335.47
Chicago, Milwaukee & St. Paul	a	8,496,804.78
Chicago & North-Western		6,602,028.17
Chicago, St. P., Minn. & Omaha		963,537.49
Chicago, Rock Island & Pacific		8,683,112.41
Colfax Northern		1,085.64
Crooked Creek		
Davenport, Rock Island & N. W.		1,622.78
Des Moines, Iowa Falls & Northern		8,885.21
Des Moines Union		17,838.02
Des Moines Western		896.91
Dubuque & Sioux City (Ill. Cent.)		
Iowa Central		213,982.88
Albia & Centerville		1,844.87
Manchester & Oneida		5,977.50
Minneapolis & St. Louis		494,159.06
Muscataine North & South		4,280.06
Newton & Northwestern		2,107.24
Tabor & Northern		2,447.83
Union Pacific		3,267,165.67
Wataash		1,554,539.78
Willmar & Sioux Falls (Great Northern)		63,210.72
Total		\$19,136,039.81
a On deposit and on hand. b And conductors. c United States government. d Conductors and paymasters. e Included due from United States government. f Including dues from M. N. & S. R. R. Receiver. g Including United States government transportation, etc.		

TAXES—CONTINUED.

All Other States.			Other Taxes.			Total Taxes.		
Amount.	Miles of road.	Per mile.	Amount.	Miles of road.	Per mile.	Amount.	Miles of road.	Per mile.
\$ 1,162,553.92	4,438.74	\$ 262.38				\$ 830.65	1.98	
						1,344,798.68	5,004.06	\$ 268.74
259,921.28	1,470.97	168.10				1,840,627.35	8,988.55	\$ 220.20
10,864.75	82.09	329.16				209,695.76	818.36	\$ 256.24
						30,000.00	8:6.25	77.67
						20,913.68	271.00	77.17
185,419.88	1,596.11	118.39				1,632,332.58	6,911.62	236.17
320,812.48	1,614.29	198.74				2,189,978.74	7,869.88	297.15
12,858.59	88.20	140.12				458,650.01	1,682.55	272.59
706,508.20	a 3,196.27	\$ 225.99				1,672,884.09	a 6,284.46	252.29
						914.80	6.00	152.47
						1,845.75	17.61	104.81
						15,965.67	46.76	\$ 41.44
						6,285.29	70.44	88.51
						18,299.72	4.00	4,874.98
						1,427.46	4.62	208.98
4,989.88	44.94	111.06				149,207.16	769.88	196.26
b 2.47						91,636.89	558.43	164.09
						2,900.00	24.44	118.66
2,966.69	89.08	74.51				117,489.98	770.06	151.57
						2,659.23	28.67	92.40
						6,018.45	102.50	58.78
						1,001.78	8.79	118.97
538,846.72	1,000.98	270.64				879,206.14	2,955.45	297.49
877,012.55	633.20	597.93				826,623.71	2,171.60	590.06
12,497.04	93.64	1,331.58				71,129.41	436.78	1,628.06
\$ 8,575,875.51	15,129.11	\$ 226.82				\$ 11,492,751.87	45,006.69	\$ 255.85

TABLE No. 40—CURRENT ASSETS

Railroads.	Current Liabilities to and		
	Loans and bills payable.	Audited vouchers and accounts.	Wages and salaries.
Ames & College			
Atchison, Topeka & Santa Fe		\$ 3,233,405.96	\$ 1,535,935.45
Chicago, Anamosa & Northern			1,573.43
Chicago, Burlington & Quincy		2,651,945.21	2,133,203.34
Chicago Great Western		745,110.86	387,111.30
Mason City & Fort Dodge		722.84	
Wisconsin, Minnesota & Pacific		349,736.76	
Chicago, Milwaukee & St. Paul		1,319,833.93	2,079,109.77
Chicago, & North-Western		2,652,096.39	3,133,690.94
Chicago, St. P., Minn. & Omaha		515,531.32	435,965.13
Chicago, Rock Island & Pacific	\$ 7,852,435.59	2,075,458.07	1,851,117.93
Colfax Northern	15,790.71		
Crooked Creek		1,133.25	
Davenport, Rock Island & N. W.	233,067.10	16,196.51	7,890.14
Des Moines, Iowa Falls & Northern ...	123,900.00	16,277.10	
Des Moines Union	351,263.01	30,003.81	14,290.19
Des Moines Western	2,590.31	131.49	713.43
Dubuque & Sioux City (Ill. Cent.)		711.30	
Iowa Central	2,000,000.00	126,041.50	90,333.55
Albia & Centerville		8,570.38	340.04
Manchester & Oneida			
Minneapolis & St. Louis		83,237.73	103,633.37
Muscatine North & South		9,300.00	5,233.37
Newton & Northwestern		119,903.40	11,768.36
Tabor & Northern	41,323.43	3,190.32	
Union Pacific	11,193,374.98	1,467,740.28	1,080,617.89
Wabash	2,230,633.40	2,135,932.03	770,331.22
Willmar & Sioux Falls (Great Northern)		787,814.22	40,047.12
Total	\$23,963,573.52	\$13,316,337.54	\$13,643,304.67

AND LIABILITIES—CONTINUED.

ending June 30, 1905.

Net traffic balance due other companies.	Dividends not called for.	Matured interest coupons unpaid.	Rents due July 1, 1905.	Miscellaneous.	Balance cash assets.	Total.	Materials and supplies on hand.
	\$ 7,900.75	\$ 485,876.45			\$ 0,828.84	\$ 0,828.84	
					12,088,162.94	17,291,850.95	2,720,002.78
	6,151.28	2,818,912.25		\$ 106,781.77	421.38	1,994.75	
\$ 221,814.89					5,811,588.44	18,026,185.16	4,810,454.64
					1,458,627.42	2,789,464.71	717,552.58
					1,458,424.65	1,856,162.19	
					174,219.27	428,946.18	
	33,481.00	2,728,326.25			4,495,790.82	10,554,581.56	2,742,296.79
789,421.44	8,799.25	802,881.02	6,000.00	2,168,722.00	1,999,049.75	9,996,400.69	8,121,956.94
45,350.75	1,045,189.00	80,276.25	25,944.55	93,471.47		7,942,688.45	879,478.18
886,510.94		2,152,546.00	17,219.00			14,814,585.82	4,625,109.52
988.47						16,729.18	
186.98					251.06	1,578.24	
919.28				3,233.24		260,155.75	
				1,066.50		144,828.57	
				6,787.59		286,428.41	19,294.90
	18,759.85					28,924.82	
	3,842.05	3,150.00				6,103.65	
	779.50	22,780.00		48,811.26		2,288,644.81	216,041.27
1,270.27						10,640.69	
6,715.52			20.00			6,785.52	550.10
		90,587.50		489,291.68	880,828.65	1,066,140.87	238,315.61
2,832.45	4,485.88			62.87		21,414.24	
6,084.29						184,857.62	
631.44						46,140.48	
	26,704.00	2,058,790.00		751,010.78	61,190,051.64	77,778,269.22	2,911,401.62
182,690.74		286,733.75		1,146,551.78		6,808,544.97	1,414,258.10
		4,200.00				812,161.44	21,122.21
\$1,588,786.70	\$1,154,558.10	\$10,410,070.47	\$47,108.55	\$5,509,589.88	\$28,909,470.51	\$162,430,180.94	\$26,155,628.44

TABLE No. 41—

Railroads.	Total mileage operated, including yards, tracks and sidings.	New line constructed during year.	Miles	
			Lines Represented by Capital Stock	
			Main line	Branches and spurs
Ames & College.....	1.98		1.98	
Atchison, Topeka & Santa Fe.....	6,555.14	88.61	2,450.28	2,025.95
Chicago, Anamosa & Northern....	20.20	8.60	19.60	
Chicago, Burlington & Quincy.....	11,802.10	188.32	823.41	7,536.14
Chicago Great Western.....	1,203.54	27.68	718.03	20.24
Mason City & Fort Dodge.....	489.64	4.68	580.54	18.59
Wisconsin, Minnesota & Pacific.....	308.44		218.90	57.10
Chicago, Milwaukee & St. Paul.....	9,668.01	101.86	6,897.37	
Chicago & North-Western.....	10,910.84	51.46	3,040.98	4,239.68
Chicago, St. Paul, Minneapolis & Omaha.....	2,280.12	25.06	1,616.88	
Chicago, Rock Island & Pacific.....	8,428.47	44.82	8,145.43	1,788.56
Colfax Northern.....	18.00		6.00	
Crooked Creek.....	20.89		17.61	
Davenport, Rock Island & Northwestern.....	73.41		45.76	
Des Moines, Iowa Falls & Northern.....	75.89		70.44	
Des Moines Union.....	18.00		4.00	
Des Moines Western.....	5.12		4.62	.50
Dubuque & Sioux City (Illinois Central).....	958.72	.32	826.26	488.63
Iowa Central.....	630.68	2.48	575.06	127.21
Albia & Centerville.....	27.62		24.44	
Manchester & Oneida.....	8.00		8.00	
Minneapolis & St. Louis.....	917.75		259.90	371.51
Muscatine North & South.....	81.62		28.37	
Newton & Northwestern.....	112.08		102.50	
Tabor & Northern.....	11.75		8.79	1.00
Union Pacific.....	4,063.54	20.19	1,901.67	1,053.78
Wabash.....	8,812.10	17.90	1,690.20	75.00
Willmar & Sioux Falls (Great Northern).....	485.61	.28	304.25	
Total	61,657.76	581.61	24,661.66	17,749.80

AND LIABILITIES—CONTINUED.

ending June 30, 1905.

Net traffic balance due other com- panies.	Dividends not called for.	Matured interest coupons un- paid.	Rents due July 1, 1905.	Miscellane- ous.	Balance cash assets.	Totals.	Materials and supplies on hand.
	\$ 7,900.75	\$ 455,876.45			\$ 6,328.84	\$ 6,328.84	
					12,098,162.94	17,291,850.95	2,720,002.78
	6,161.25	3,318,912.25		\$ 108,781.77	421.33	1,994.75	
\$ 221,814.50					5,811,858.44	18,026,185.16	4,810,454.64
					1,458,627.52	2,789,768.77	717,552.88
					1,555,420.65	1,866,162.40	
					174,219.27	428,946.13	
	33,481.00	3,728,836.25			4,495,790.82	10,554,551.56	2,742,295.70
780,421.44	8,799.25	804,881.02	6,000.00	2,168,722.00	1,909,049.75	9,906,400.69	8,421,956.94
48,330.78	1,045,189.00	80,276.25	23,974.55	193,471.47		2,942,688.45	879,778.13
338,510.50		2,132,546.00	17,219.00			14,814,845.82	4,625,009.52
938.47						16,729.17	
186.98					251.06	1,575.24	
919.23						260,155.75	
					3,232.34	144,828.67	
					1,066.50	286,428.61	19,294.90
	18,756.85				6,737.59	28,924.52	
	2,342.05	8,150.00				6,103.66	
	779.50	22,780.00				2,288,647.51	216,041.27
1,270.27				45,811.26		10,847.69	
6,715.52			20.00			6,725.52	550.60
		90,587.50		439,291.68	880,828.65	1,096,140.87	288,815.61
2,832.45				62.87		21,114.94	
6,684.39	4,455.38					184,867.62	
631.41						45,140.45	
	26,704.00	2,058,790.00		751,010.78	61,190,051.64	77,775,259.22	2,971,401.62
153,690.74		236,733.75		1,116,851.78		6,808,547.97	1,414,258.10
		4,200.00				812,161.74	21,122.21
\$1,538,786.76	\$1,154,558.10	\$10,410,070.47	\$47,103.55	\$5,509,539.88	\$28,906,470.51	\$192,430,180.04	\$26,155,630.74

TABLE NO. 42—

Railroads.	Mileage Owned in Iowa.				
	Single track.	Second track.	Third and fourth track.	Yard tracks and sidings.	Mileage owned—all tracks.
Ames & College.....	1.99				1.99
Archison, Topeka & Santa Fe.....	19.86			25.97	45.83
Chicago, Anamosa & Northern.....	19.06			20.20	39.26
Chicago, Burlington & Quincy.....	1,969.06	348.67		818.18	1,925.85
Chicago Great Western.....	400.02	7.76		109.77	517.55
Mason City & Fort Dodge.....	850.9			89.66	481.46
Wisconsin, Minnesota & Pacific.....	22.50			8.03	25.53
Chicago, Milwaukee & St. Paul.....	1,870.89	28.29	11.86	898.89	2,808.93
Chicago & North Western.....	1,874.53	341.06		478.91	2,694.50
Chicago, St. Paul, Minneapolis & Omaha.....	74.55			82.6	107.15
Chicago, Rock Island & Pacific.....	1,870.1	81.59		419.48	2,371.18
Golfax Northern.....	6.04			1.00	7.00
Crooked Creek.....	17.6			3.27	20.87
Davenport, Rock Island & North Western.....	84.57	1.06		11.97	97.60
Des Moines, Iowa Falls & Northern.....	70.44			5.45	75.89
Des Moines Union.....	4.06	2.00		13.06	19.12
Des Moines Western.....	4.62			5.50	10.12
Dubuque & Sioux City (Ill. Cent.).....	714.94	3.75		184.16	902.85
Iowa Central.....	413.07			92.64	505.71
Albia and Centerville.....	24.44			3.15	27.59
Manchester & Oneida.....	8.06				8.06
Minneapolis & St. Louis.....	212.99			53.06	266.05
Muscataine North & South.....	28.67				28.67
Newton & Northwestern.....	102.50			9.58	112.08
Tabor & Northern.....	8.79			1.0	9.79
Union Pacific.....	2.46	1.63		34.89	38.98
Waba h.....	203.46			23.80	231.70
Willmar & Sioux Falls (Great Northern).....	76.70			10.79	87.49
Total.....	9,504.07	710.80	11.86	2,093.83	12,321.74

MILEAGE—IOWA.

Mileage owned in Iowa.		Mileage Operated by Companies Making Reports.							
Miles of iron.	Miles of steel.	Lines represented by Capital Stock.		Lines of proprietary companies.	Lines operated under lease.	Lines operated under contract.	New lines built during year.	Total mileage including trackage rights.	Lines operated under trackage rights.
		Main lines.	Branches and spurs.						
.....	1.98	1.98	1.98
.....	45.44	19.86	19.86
.....	20.30	19.60	19.60
73.11	1,859.74	874.55	1,694.50	8.60	1,869.05	71.05
.....	517.58	370.60	7.48	578.08
.....	431.46	333.21	18.50	350.80
.....	25.53	22.50	22.50
67.36	2,241.67	1,870.84	1,870.84	69.91
29.65	2,364.77	868.78	1,210.75	1,574.53	3.07
24.53	82.64	74.56	74.56	27.80
.....	2,371.18	1,104.62	705.40	102.31	2,032.42	80.18
.....	7.00	6.00	5.00	11.00
19	20.70	17.61	17.61
.....	47.54	34.51	34.51
.....	75.89	70.44	70.44
.....	18.00	4.00	4.00
.....	5.12	4.02	5.12
.....	902.85	339.25	338.05	714.94
14.14	691.57	235.86	137.31	37.00	450.07	4.00
3.18	24.44	24.44	24.44
.....	3.00	3.00	3.00
1.29	242.08	187.83	74.48	128.65	350.91	19.10
.....	35.67	24.67	35.67
.....	112.05	102.50	102.50
1.00	8.79	8.79	1.00	9.79	1.96
4.14	84.53	2.46	2.46
.....	251.70	103.80	94.19	258.40	5.50
10.79	76.70	76.70	76.70	2.56
229.66	12,226.10	5,708.08	2,695.58	94.19	5.04	297.96	8.93	9,826.77	291.41

TABLE NO. 42—

Railroads.	Mileage Owned in Iowa.				
	Single track.	Second track.	Third and fourth track.	Yard tracks and sidings.	Mileage owned—all tracks.
Ames & College.....	1.9-	1.98
Archison, Topeka & Santa Fe.....	19.86	25.97	45.83
Chicago, Anamosa & Northern.....	19.60	20.20
Chicago, Burlington & Quincy.....	1,869.05	243.67	318.13	1,925.85
Chicago Great Western.....	400.02	7.78	109.77	517.55
Mason City & Fort Dodge.....	850.81	89.66	481.46
Wisconsin, Minnesota & Pacific.....	22.50	5.63	25.53
Chicago, Milwaukee & St. Paul.....	1,870.84	28.29	11.38	308.39	2,508.88
Chicago & North-Western.....	1,874.53	341.06	478.91	2,594.52
Chicago, St. Paul, Minneapolis & Omaha.....	74.55	82.61	107.16
Chicago, Rock Island & Pacific.....	1,870.11	81.56	419.48	2,871.15
Colfax Northern.....	6.09	1.00	7.00
Crooked Creek.....	17.61	3.29	20.89
Davenport, Rock Island & North Western.....	84.57	1.06	11.97	47.54
Des Moines, Iowa Falls & Northern.....	70.44	5.45	75.89
Des Moines Union.....	4.00	2.00	12.06	18.06
Des Moines Western.....	4.62	5.12
Dubuque & Sioux City (Ill. Cent.).....	714.94	3.75	184.18	902.85
Iowa Central.....	413.07	92.64	505.71
Albia and Centerville.....	24.44	3.18	27.62
Manchester & Onelda.....	8.06	8.00
Minneapolis & St. Louis.....	212.29	33.06	244.32
Muscataine North & South.....	28.67	28.67
Newton & Northwestern.....	102.50	9.58	112.08
Tabor & Northern.....	8.79	1.01	9.79
Union Pacific.....	2.46	1.63	34.82	38.97
Waba h.....	293.40	23.80	231.70
Willmar & Sioux Falls (Great Northern).....	76.70	10.79	87.49
Total.....	9,504.91	710.80	11.86	2,093.83	12,521.74

MILEAGE—IOWA.

Mileage owned in Iowa.		Mileage Operated by Companies Making Reports.							
Miles of iron.	Miles of steel.	Lines represented by Capital Stock.		Lines of proprietary companies.	Lines operated under lease.	Lines operated under contract.	New lines built during year.	Total mileage excluding trackage rights.	Lines operated under trackage rights.
		Main lines.	Branches and spurs.						
.....	1.08	1.95	1.98
.39	45.44	19.86	19.86
.....	20.80	19.60	8.60	19.60
73.11	1,859.74	274.55	1,094.50	1,869.05	71.95
.....	517.53	370.60	7.48	378.08	8.45
.....	431.46	332.21	18.59	350.80	8.66
.....	25.53	22.50	22.50
67.38	2,241.62	1,870.84	1,870.84	69.91
29.65	2,364.77	863.78	1,210.75	1,574.53	8.07
24.52	82.64	74.55	74.55	27.50
.....	2,371.18	1,104.62	765.49	162.31	2,032.42	80.16
.....	7.00	6.00	5.00	11.00
.19	20.70	17.61	17.61
.....	47.54	34.51	34.51	.81
.....	75.89	70.44	70.44
.....	13.00	4.00	4.00
.....	5.12	4.62	.50	5.12
.....	902.85	526.26	388.08	714.94
14.14	491.57	285.86	197.21	87.00	450.07	4.00
3.18	24.44	24.44	24.44
.....	8.00	8.00	8.00
1.29	242.08	187.83	74.48	128.65	350.07	19.10
.....	28.67	28.67	28.67
.....	112.08	102.50	102.50
1.00	8.79	8.79	1.00	9.79	1.93
4.14	84.83	2.46	2.46
.....	231.70	109.80	94.10	208.40	5.50
10.79	76.70	76.70	76.70	2.56
229.66	12,292.10	5,708.06	3,686.68	24.10	5.00	287.06	8.03	9,628.77	291.41

TABLE No. 43—EMPLOYES AND

Railroads.	General Officers.			Other Officers.		
	Number.	Total yearly compensation.	Average daily compensation.	Number.	Total yearly compensation.	Average daily compensation.
Ames & College.....	4	\$ 360.00	\$.25	1		
Atchison, Topeka & Santa Fe.....	1	624.00	4.16	1	2,400.00	6.97
Chicago, Anamosa & Northern.....	10	25,297.97	6.98			
Chicago, Burlington & Quincy.....						
Chicago Great Western.....						
Mason City & Fort Dodge.....						
Wisconsin, Minnesota & Pacific.....						
Chicago, Milwaukee & St. Paul.....	11	98,348.08	22.86	13	48,008.55	9.86
Chicago & North-Western.....				4	18,774.96	11.00
Chicago, St. Paul, Minneapolis & Omaha.....						
Chicago, Rock Island & Pacific.....	1	1,800.00	4.98	15	69,937.60	8.04
Golfax Northern.....				1	1,450.00	8.97
Orooked Creek.....	4	1,931.19	1.80			
Davenport, Rock Island & Northwestern.....	2	1,916.82	4.72			
Des Moines, Iowa Falls & Northern.....	3	4,680.00	4.98			
Des Moines Union.....	2	5,780.00	5.18			
Des Moines Western.....						
Dubuque & Sioux City (Illinois Central).....	13	32,999.98	7.83			
Iowa Central.....	8	30,389.59	10.54	15	25,394.86	4.12
Albia & Centerville.....						
Manchester & Oneida.....	1	1,800.00	8.28			
Minneapolis & St. Louis.....	7	9,305.97	8.54	17	6,558.08	1.05
Muscatine North & South.....	5	5,090.00	6.09			
Newton & Northwestern.....	8	6,800.00	5.27			
Taber & Northern.....	2	2,750.00	4.39			
Union Pacific.....				1	2,700.00	7.40
Wabash.....	1	6,191.62	16.93	3	6,224.94	6.98
Willmar & Sioux Falls.....				3	6,828.18	6.45
Total.....	82	228,240.18	8.89	79	168,403.86	6.80

SALARIES—IOWA.

[illegible]

TABLE NO. 44.—EMPLOYEES' AND

Railroads.	Firemen.			Conductors.		
	Number.	Average yearly compensation.	Average daily compensation.	Number.	Average yearly compensation.	Average daily compensation.
Ames & College.....	70	\$ 67,574.90	322	2	\$ 1,200.00	\$ 164
Atchison, Topeka & Santa Fe.....	1	202.52	166	1	42,676.20	493
Chicago, Anamosa & Northern.....	308	71,681.64	232	139	244.00	200
Chicago, Burlington & Quincy.....	114	84,470.00	248	70	168,943.64	333
Chicago Great Western.....			281	30	62,965.00	350
Mason City & Fort Dodge.....	2	1,228.92	23	1	87,008.58	355
Wisconsin, Minnesota & Pacific.....	857	289,116.32	237	258	1,902.85	357
Chicago, Milwaukee & St. Paul.....	403	816,946.85	246	246	310,628.34	885
Chicago & North-Western.....	17	14,299.45	269	11	307,689.24	889
Chicago, St. P., Minn. & Omaha.....	347	288,601.90	248	279	15,086.11	438
Chicago, Rock Island & Pacific.....	2	1,213.55	182	2	846,822.28	367
Colfax Northern.....	1	530.00	145	1		200
Crooked Creek.....	1	243.72	202	1	627.62	163
Davenport, Rock Island & N. W.....	4	2,938.20	201	4	635.25	501
Des Moines, Iowa Falls & Northern.....	5	8,459.90	190		3,600.00	247
Des Moines Union.....						
Des Moines Western.....	77	93,569.55	254	61		
Dubuque & Sioux City (Ill. Cent.).....	63	48,962.88	216	47	111,454.61	400
Iowa Central.....					47,871.15	836
Albia & Centerville.....	1	733.31	209	1		
Manchester & Oneida.....	19	15,882.82	234	18	788.81	209
Minneapolis & St. Louis.....	2	1,404.00	225	1	18,051.08	894
Muscatine North & South.....	4	2,442.00	237	4	900.00	287
Newton & Northwestern.....	1	540.00	172	1	8,503.16	317
Tabor & Northern.....					612.95	198
Union Pacific.....	21	16,049.23	248	12		
Wabash.....	7	4,504.20	239	9	15,836.78	466
Willmar & Sioux Falls (Great Northern).....					7,151.62	879
Total.....	1,770	\$ 1,466,728.01	264	1,220	\$ 1,506,179.48	\$ 804

SALARIES—IOWA—CONTINUED.

Other Trainmen.			Machinists.			Carpenters.			Other Shopmen.		
Number.	Average yearly compensation.	Average daily compensation.	Number.	Average yearly compensation.	Average daily compensation.	Number.	Average yearly compensation.	Average daily compensation.	Number.	Average yearly compensation.	Average daily compensation.
81	\$ 63,317.78	\$ 287		\$ 41,523.40	\$ 823	62	\$ 85,150.40	\$ 188	452	\$ 240,047.40	\$ 165
1	183.00	150									
286	177,112.74	308	178	111,984.14	255	172	124,683.92	231	842	536,936.38	264
150	113,387.1	256	50	51,997.00	821	120	84,500.00	255	195	149,587.50	255
96	49,061.82	280	4	4,222.80	845	14	5.00	246	51	28,069.00	180
3	2,091.00	248									
536	371,483.95	331	166	146,492.91	882	235	182,671.10	307	1,304	699,583.94	188
474	386,715.70	298	253	196,185.66	388	208	135,491.04	227	1,375	674,607.47	183
29	23,323.52	256	120	88,780.15	236	58	83,488.05	184	67	33,312.91	183
529	488,682.18	332	158	72,580.80	818	241	120,863.65	280	644	376,301.69	202
4	2,047.11	186	1	634.09	196		77.48	24		12.83	183
			1	681.80	221				1	509.50	149
1	534.65	265	1	804.98	216	4	2,880.61	238	4	2,416.90	173
8	4,060.57	140	2	1,925.00	807	2	1,721.80	276	6	8,549.42	189
			24	20,740.80	288	10	5,061.00	185	65	28,255.56	163
187	215,450.37	260	164	131,748.15	348	111	83,385.75	245	121	89,989.48	28
152	86,720.19	211	107	91,826.09	248	48	88,890.28	231	115	63,427.86	159
1	732.80	209									
41	85,781.70	247	26	19,294.07	318	17	16,802.97	289	64	84,617.81	185
8	2,112.75	232	1	1,020.00	279				2	1,080.00	148
4	1,650.00	170	2	1,930.00	286	1	612.00	182	4	2,565.96	233
			1	400.00	400						
22	23,914.78	308	7	10,999.96	884	4	4,215.36	278	118	86,589.14	218
44	87,035.00	287	40	28,953.54	215	14	10,708.58	249	46	25,930.58	189
16	6,720.57	247	8	5,196.47	843	3	1,658.14	239	46	19,909.44	196
2,602	\$ 2,086,287.35	\$ 269	1,250	\$ 1,045,236.25	\$ 247	1,294	\$ 884,894.00	\$ 206	5,423	\$ 2,110,222.61	\$ 183

TABLE No. 45—EMPLOYEES AND

Railroads.	Section Foremen.			Other Trackmen.		
	Number.	Average yearly compensation.	Average daily compensation.	Number.	Average yearly compensation.	Average daily compensation.
Ames & College.....	1	\$ 580.00	1.45			
Atchison, Topeka & Santa Fe.....	5	8,130.00	1.78	47	14,901.86	1.26
Chicago, Anamosa & Northern.....	1	188.00	1.80	8	457.50	1.26
Chicago, Burlington & Quincy.....	274	3,297.81	1.58	1749	619,653.08	1.18
Chicago Great Western.....	81	4,050.00	1.89	980	410,889.00	1.58
Mason City & Fort Dodge.....	51	8,960.00	1.68	185	59,148.41	1.27
Wisconsin, Minnesota & Pacific.....	2	1,080.00	1.50	4	1,088.00	1.38
Chicago, Milwaukee & St. Paul.....	285	142,222.47	1.59	902	838,882.78	1.35
Chicago & North-Western.....	309	178,506.82	1.88	2122	546,619.94	1.48
Chicago, St. Paul, Minn. & Omaha.....	14	8,392.80	1.90	81	28,210.22	1.48
Chicago, Rock Island and Pacific.....	848	234,817.80	1.80	1948	798,088.00	1.47
Colfax Northern.....	8	1,710.00	1.68	10	3,470.10	1.50
Crooked Creek.....	8	1,637.50	1.54	9	2,979.68	1.44
Davenport, Rock Island & Northwestern.....	2	1,029.18	1.68	7	3,011.89	1.44
Des Moines, Iowa Falls & Northern.....	12	6,540.00	1.71	33	14,623.86	1.46
Des Moines Union.....	1	2,180.00	2.87	45	20,016.00	1.40
Des Moines Western.....	1	660.00	2.10	4	1,831.62	1.48
Dubuque & Sioux City (Ill. Cent.).....	134	68,255.88	1.58	650	255,840.88	1.26
Iowa Central.....	94	50,290.00	1.65	337	172,792.40	1.47
Albia & Centerville.....	4	1,947.71	1.88	12	4,638.68	1.28
Manchester & Oneida.....	1	570.00	1.57	8	1,250.68	1.50
Minneapolis & St. Louis.....	70	26,405.76	1.82	189	5,147.04	1.28
Muscatine North & South.....	8	1,680.00	1.78	13	5,359.40	1.40
Newton & Northwestern.....	15	8,340.00	1.85	71	26,590.06	1.42
Tabor & Northern.....	1	600.00	1.91	8	666.16	1.50
Union Pacific.....	8	8,088.86	2.07	89	16,002.74	1.41
Wabash.....	12	7,315.95	1.99	66	29,842.20	1.48
Willmar & Sioux Falls (Great Northern) ..	14	6,444.88	1.82	79	19,758.81	1.40
Total.....	1726	\$ 971,525.81	1.79	9548	\$ 3,447,897.08	1.15

SALARIES—IOWA—CONTINUED.

Switchmen, Flagmen and Watchmen.			Telegraph Operators and Dispatchers.			Employees Account of Floating Equipment.			All Other Employees and Laborers.		
Number.	Average yearly compensation.	Average daily compensation.	Number.	Average yearly compensation.	Average daily compensation.	Number.	Average yearly compensation.	Average daily compensation.	Number.	Average yearly compensation.	Average daily compensation.
			9	\$ 7,653.96	\$ 2.66				76	\$ 32,364.60	\$ 2.30
159	\$ 114,817.15	\$ 1.97	102	73,401.91	1.94				644	539,909.86	2.68
40	33,750.00	2.50	48	85,722.00	2.12				415	823,539.11	2.54
10	5,289.00	1.45	26	19,500.00	2.06				12	9,872.94	2.55
									8	3,340.00	2.18
492	364,411.64	2.37	170	122,713.50	2.30				1,749	1,250,878.89	2.20
298	249,462.27	2.58	189	185,516.93	2.32				406	269,127.12	2.00
21	17,632.62	2.38	10	5,359.81	1.71				111	61,667.27	1.77
88	32,064.47	1.09	203	148,651.68	2.09				1,354	631,523.89	1.72
1	505.73	1.6				1	\$ 180.00	\$.69		9.90	2.48
									8	1,471.82	1.69
1	221.41	1.14	2	1,504.37	2.60				2	783.63	1.84
			1	1,039.98	3.33						
48	27,985.04	1.86	3	2,160.00	1.97				9	4,182.48	1.48
									8	1,800.00	1.80
42	20,842.72	1.80	97	57,871.26	2.02				891	292,234.89	2.48
5	2,952.12	1.46	27	18,080.40	1.79				99	54,957.12	1.99
			8	759.23	1.17				1	247.59	1.98
1	410.00	1.12									
7	4,414.04	1.46	12	6,408.96	1.55				68	39,308.09	1.76
4	1,846.70	1.47	1	780.00	2.13				1	1,020.00	2.79
6	2,480.00	1.32	1	657.00	2.45				3	878.00	1.42
											1.65
14	9,837.36	1.92	1	734.95	2.12				74	47,906.08
16	7,844.57	1.56	14	9,623.65	2.16				42	24,741.16	1.98
			7	2,503.67	1.77				22	9,152.16	2.05
1,251	\$ 901,146.74	\$ 2.30	929	\$ 640,045.27	\$ 2.23	1	\$ 180.00	\$.69	5,534	\$ 3,600,865.06	\$ 2.07

TABLE NO. 46—EMPLOYES AND SALARIES—

Railroads.	Total, Including General Officers.			Total, Excluding General Officers.		
	Number.	Average yearly compensation.	Average daily compensation.	Number.	Average yearly compensation.	Average daily compensation.
Ames & College.....	9	\$ 5,488.00	\$ 1.28	5	\$ 3,123.00	\$ 1.78
Atchison, Topeka & Santa Fe.....	984	674,925.10	2.31	984	674,925.10	2.31
Chicago, Anamosa & Northern.....	10	2,459.52	16.40	9	1,835.52	12.24
Chicago, Burlington & Quincy.....	5,529	3,483,760.33	1.93	5,519	3,458,482.96	1.92
Chicago Great Western.....	2,608	1,686,086.26	2.18	2,608	1,686,086.26	2.18
Mason City & Fort Jodge.....	650	387,779.62	2.07	650	387,779.62	2.07
Wisconsin, Minnesota & Pacific.....	23	14,741.53	2.04	23	14,741.53	2.04
Chicago, Milwaukee & St. Paul.....	8,833	5,648,503.88	2.19	8,822	5,655,160.83	2.15
Chicago & North-Western.....	7,175	4,212,117.88	2.17	7,175	4,212,117.88	2.17
Chicago, St. Paul, Minneapolis & Omaha.....	597	392,890.48	2.10	597	392,890.48	2.10
Chicago, Rock Island & Pacific.....	7,301	4,474,448.12	2.00	7,300	4,472,648.12	2.00
Coifax Northern.....	30	16,733.21	1.87	30	16,733.21	1.87
Crooked Creek.....	26	12,260.41	1.62	22	10,329.25	1.58
Davenport, Rock Island & North Western.....	40	21,086.41	1.97	38	19,159.59	1.86
Des Moines, Iowa Falls & Northern.....	93	53,190.88	1.93	90	53,510.88	1.88
Des Moines Union.....	277	158,910.29	1.87	275	155,190.39	1.84
Des Moines Western.....	13	8,371.62	2.02	13	8,371.62	2.02
Dubuque & Sioux City (Ill. Cent.).....	2,430	1,820,555.75	2.08	2,418	1,787,565.79	2.08
Iowa Central.....	1,855	935,090.69	1.95	1,847	904,731.10	1.90
Albia & Centerville.....	26	9,698.97	1.20	26	9,628.97	1.20
Manchester & Oneida.....	13	7,333.85	10.74	12	6,183.85	7.46
Minneapolis & St. Louis.....	736	297,890.05	1.71	719	288,554.08	1.69
Muscatine North & South.....	45	28,823.60	2.07	40	23,733.60	1.80
Newton & Northwestern.....	147	70,332.37	1.72	139	68,732.37	1.66
Tabor & Northern.....	11	7,540.87	2.77	9	4,790.87	2.29
Union Pacific.....	461	835,869.54	2.03	461	335,869.54	2.03
Wabash.....	419	295,481.98	2.26	418	289,260.35	2.21
Willmar & Sioux Falls (Great Northern.....	257	119,792.70	2.01	257	119,792.70	2.01
Total.....	39,596	\$25,184,463.40	\$ 2.08	39,502	\$24,956,228.23	\$ 2.01

IOWA—CONTINUED—AND ENTIRE LINE.

Distribution.				Entire Line.					
General ad- ministration.	Maintenance of way and struc- ture.	Maintenance of equipment.	Conducting transportation.	Total Including General Officers.			Total Excluding General Officers.		
				Number.	Average yearly com- pensation.	Average daily com- pensation.	Number.	Average yearly com- pensation.	Average daily com- pensation.
\$ 860.00	\$ 530.00		\$ 2,593.00	9	\$ 3,483.00	\$ 1.23	5	\$ 8,128.00	\$ 1.78
11,804.84	35,481.12	\$ 292,955.60	335,173.54	34,181	16,170,947.82	2.16	34,120	15,773,105.57	2.12
624.00			1,895.52	10	2,459.52	16.40	9	1,835.52	13.24
57,224.50	1,077,504.76	853,890.32	1,490,140.75	35,207	24,142,040.60	2.09	35,045	23,403,332.85	2.04
	788,918.11	236,054.50	616,083.65	5,419	8,635,407.22	2.24	5,407	8,558,811.50	2.20
	96,486.35	40,893.80	250,916.47	706	416,552.42	2.03	695	416,552.42	2.06
	6,103.00		8,638.53	336	194,714.14	2.00	335	194,714.14	2.00
247,702.95	1,205,091.50	979,172.04	3,216,536.99	29,879	20,252,790.89	2.19	29,833	19,918,108.08	2.15
	1,071,622.91	612,987.79	2,637,507.19	39,781	22,494,239.27	2.15	39,706	22,240,598.22	2.16
			6,340		4,466,391.45	2.24	6,310	4,310,745.21	2.17
62,428.77	1,354,173.40	659,836.85	2,898,509.60	29,255	17,408,532.16	2.18	29,221	17,057,723.38	2.09
	5,180.10	964.30	10,538.81	30	16,733.21	1.87	30	16,733.21	1.87
	5,519.55	553.64	6,132.31	26	12,290.41	1.62	22	10,329.22	1.88
3,017.65	7,154.81	1,519.43	9,400.59	71	33,297.53	1.95	69	33,370.76	1.89
8,440.00	23,894.86	5,474.42	21,371.55	93	59,190.83	1.93	90	53,810.83	
7,118.89	23,621.50	19,595.86	106,574.54	277	158,910.29	1.87	275	155,130.29	1.84
	2,491.62		5,890.00	13	8,371.62	2.02	18	8,371.62	2.02
10,500.08	451,876.10	290,578.64	1,097,615.93	2,584	1,892,878.88	2.08	2,572	1,849,878.92	2.06
100,054.63	293,391.68	181,621.73	355,022.75	1,693	1,213,843.08	2.04	1,685	1,171,132.24	1.98
	6,833.95		2,795.02	26	9,628.97	1.20	26	9,628.97	1.20
1,200.00	1,820.02		4,313.23	13	7,333.85	10.74	12	6,133.85	7.46
19,217.69	53,899.05	74,512.55	150,230.56	1,971	1,089,551.18	2.11	1,884	1,047,251.30	2.03
5,601.75	7,958.40	2,100.00	13,163.45	45	28,825.60	2.07	40	25,793.60	1.80
7,532.49	33,930.96	5,100.98	23,767.96	147	70,332.37	1.72	139	63,782.87	1.66
2,750.00	1,265.14	400.00	3,135.71	11	7,540.87	2.77	9	4,790.87	2.29
2,439.29	25,063.88	117,855.59	190,541.82	15,021	11,263,531.69	2.24	14,993	11,097,187.08	2.21
17,248.59	43,893.71	69,132.43	160,237.25	13,943	9,848,899.13	2.26	13,909	9,642,011.54	2.21
12,978.55	27,851.80	23,167.91	55,799.44	808	434,171.98	1.77	848	430,288.75	1.81
577,709.87	\$6,657,463.50	\$4,392,374.36	\$13,164,520.49	217,810	\$135,831,352.06	\$ 1.98	217,888	\$132,502,860.26	\$ 1.94

TABLE No. 47—BRIDGES, TRETTLES, HIGHWAYS.

Railroads.	Bridges.									
	Stone.		Steel or Iron.		Wooden.		Comb'a.		Total number.	Total aggregate length.
	Number.	Aggregate length.	Number.	Aggregate length.	Number.	Aggregate length.	Number.	Aggregate length.		
Ames & College					2	370			2	370
Astishon, Topeka & Santa Fe.....	9	123	6	1,216					14	1,339
Chicago, Anamosa & Northern.....			6	600					6	600
Chicago, Burlington & Quincy.....			196	23,702	19	2,418	1	578	216	25,498
Chicago Great Western.....			60	6,374					60	6,374
Mason City & Ft. Dodge.....			13	4,006	1	380			14	4,386
Wisconsin, Minnesota & Pacific..										
Chicago, Milwaukee & St. Paul....			289	29,010	29	8,011			318	37,021
Chicago & North-Western.....			489	28,288	87	5,819			576	34,107
Chicago, St. P., Minn. & Omaha..	1	6	1	180	1	60			3	246
Chicago, Rock Island & Pacific.....	15	298	507	48,096	46	1,119	10	5,116	587	54,623
Oelkaf-Northern.....					18	624			18	624
Crooked Creek.....			1	865					1	865
Davenport, Rock Island & N. W.....			9	2,337					9	2,337
Des Moines, Iowa Falls & Northern									81	5,910
Des Moines Union.....			1	460					1	460
Des Moines Western.....									8	116
Dubuque & Sioux City (Ill. Cent.)..	46	1,498	87	14,740					133	16,238
Iowa Central.....			30	6,812					30	6,812
Albia & Centerville.....			1	232					1	232
Manchester & Oneida.....					1	60			1	60
Minneapolis & St. Louis.....			10	2,037					10	2,037
Muscataine North & South.....			1	900					1	900
Newton & Northwestern.....					105	11,815			105	11,815
Tabor & Northern.....			2	138	12	1,065			13	1,124
Union Pacific.....			3	1,068					3	1,068
Wabash.....	8	6	3	734	16	1,750			27	2,490
Willmar & Sioux Falls (G't North'n)			1	36	1	100			2	136
Total	74	1,636	1,683	171,846	338	28,063	30	5,493	2,194	212,544

RAILWAYS, FARM CROSSINGS AND CATTLE GUARDS—IOWA.

Trestles.		Highway Crossings.				Farm Crossings.			Number of cattle guards.	Overhead rail-road crossings.			
Number.	Aggregate length.	Overhead			At Grade.	Below Grade.	At Grade.	Overhead.		Below Grade.	Bridges.	Condu. its.	Trestles.
		Bridges.	Conduits.	Trestles.									
8	932	1			18	2	12		6	17	1		
80	2,904				14	2	49		4	15			
1,231	103,085	48		28	1,586	89	1,947	25	165	2,442			2
379	28,808										2		1
9	1,700										1		2
2,231	163,598	1		54	2,190	111	2,364	20	194	2,812	5		1
2,869	187,861	15		20	1,836	57	2,086	1	306	2,581	18		0
91	7,434				104	1	61		5	187			4
1,098	65,734	10		85	2,812	62	2,886	4	87	3,794	6		14
1	800				9		15			16			
16	1,175				15		25			24			
46	8,772				52	1	121			85			
2	150	1		1	88						2		
					11					9			
347	71,754	1		21	864	20	890	22	140	794	6		1
409	34,024			7	542	46	574		84	836			2
14	2,083				22	2	58			65			
					5		11		5	15			
127	18,806	2		6	275	8	309		18	462	8		
23	5,187												
2	1,836	1									1		
		1			8		13		8				
8	230				1								
418	83,658			2	354	10	336	1	26	501			
108	15,106	1											
9,393	711,897	83		174	10,646	406	11,673	79	984	15,077	50		37

TABLE No. 48—STATIONS—

Railroads.	Stations.			
	On Road Own-ed.		On Road Operated	
	Entire line.	Iowa.	Entire line.	Iowa.
Ames & College.....	916	0	916	0
Atchinson, Topeka & Santa Fe.....	8	8	8	8
Chicago, Anamosa & Northern.....	1,241	240	1,241	240
Chicago, Burlington & Quincy.....	172	91	119	84
Chicago Great Western.....	65	61	65	61
Mason City & Fort Dodge.....	58	4	58	4
Wisconsin, Minnesota & Pacific.....	1,030	804	1,030	804
Chicago, Milwaukee & St. Paul.....	1,008	256	1,078	286
Chicago & North-Western.....	880	17	880	17
Chicago St. P., Minn. & Omaha.....	685	267	884	281
Chicago, Rock Island & Pacific.....	2	8	5	5
Colfax Northern.....	5	5	5	5
Crooked Creek.....	18	9	13	9
Davenport, Rock Island & N. W.....	12	12	12	12
Des Moines, Iowa Falls & Northern.....	2	2	2	2
Des Moines Union.....	1	1	1	1
Des Moines Western.....	125	113	125	113
Dubuque & Sioux City (Ill. Cent.).....	98	70	104	80
Iowa Central.....	2	8	8	8
Albia & Centerville.....	1	1	1	1
Manchester & Oneida.....	90	21	124	55
Minneapolis & St. Louis.....	4	4	4	4
Muscatine North & South.....	20	20	20	20
Newton & Northwestern.....	1	1	2	2
Tabor & Northern.....	671	3	671	3
Union Pacific.....	511	87	630	55
Wabash.....	53	16	75	16
Willmar & Sioux Falls (Great Northern).....				
Total.....	7,216	1,612	7,580	1,684
a Telephone.				

RENEWALS OF RAILS AND TIES.

Number of tele- graph stations in Iowa.	New Rails Laid During Year in Iowa.						New Ties Laid Dur- ing Year in Iowa.	
	Iron.			Steel.			Number.	Average price per tie at di- tributing point. Cents.
	Tons.	Weight per yard— pounds.	Average price per ton at dis- tributing point.	Tons.	Weight per yard— pounds.	Average price per ton at dis- tributing point.		
.....	120	60	\$ 82	1,949		\$ 28.00	7,150	54
2:6				5,806		20.05	894,879	
117				70		30.00	101,196	68
48				550		30.00	28,067	62
1							7,596	56
257				31,780	75	27.98	908,808	46
252				5,449		28.03	519,779	58
19				98		29.30	21,064	49
290				1,278	80	28.00	565,192	
9							9	49
.....						29.00	4,058	54
8				9		30.00	10,180	60
12								
2				270	75	29.88	7,672	65
1								
118				1,443	75	28.00	218,848	38
74				627		31.95	112,624	58
8							51	56
1								
54				8	70	24.14	74,062	53
4								
17								
1							683	67
1							6,966	51
87							78,239	50
14				21,845	67	35.16	9,426	64
1,548	120			70,844			8,071,988	

TABLE No. 49—DESCRIPTION OF

Railroads.	Locomotives.					Cars in Passenger Service.						
	Passenger.	Freight.	Switching.	Leased.	Total.	First-class passenger cars.	Second-class.	Combination.	Emigrant.	Dining.	Parlor.	Sleeping.
Ames & College.....	2				2							
Atchison, Topeka & Santa Fe.....	366	847	184		1,397	328	73	165		22	7	
Chicago, Anamosa & Northern.....												
Chicago, Burlington & Quincy.....	302	763	264		1,329	661		186		22	6	
Chicago Great Western.....	98	141	25		264	65	18	11		4	7	
Chicago City & Fort Dodge.....	7	21			28	4	3					
Wisconsin, Minnesota & Pacific.....	14	2	1		17		5					
Chicago, Milwaukee & St. Paul.....	194	651	172		1,017	241	209	5		15	19	73
Chicago & North-Western.....	289	767	251		1,307	69	48	148		14	35	
Chicago St. P., Minneapolis & Omaha.....	55	214	47		316	76	82	53		1	81	
Chicago, Rock Island & Pacific.....	344	678	188		1,210	321	107	160	11	26	3	4
Colfax Northern.....		2			2							
Crooked Creek.....		2			2							
Davenport, Rock Island & North-western.....		8	8		16							
Des Moines, Iowa Falls & Northern.....	8	8			16	8		4				
Des Moines Union.....			5		5							
Des Moines Western.....												
Dubuque & Sioux City (Illinois Central).....	17	20	8		55	28						
Iowa Central.....	15	65	8		88	14	15	6				
Albia & Centerville.....												
Manchester & Oneida.....					2							
Minneapolis & St. Louis.....	84	46	14		94	24	23	10				
Muscatine North & South.....		3			3		1	1				
Newton & Northwestern.....	2	2	1		5	1		1				
Tabor & Northern.....	1				1							
Union Pacific.....	115	298	94		507	143		48		18		
Webb.....	155	317	109		581	125	60	65	b 17	a 67		
Willmar & Sioux Falls (Great Northern).....	4	10	2		16	8		4				
Total.....	1,923	4,865	1,376		8,164	2,700	599	869	11	131	175	82

a Including 2nd Class Passenger Cars.

b Including Cafe Cars.

c Including Chair Cars.

EQUIPMENT—ENTIRE LINE.

Cars in Passenger Service.					Cars in Freight Service.									
Baggage, express, postal.	Other cars.	Total.	Equipped With		Box.	Flat.	Stock.	Coal.	Tank.	Refrigerator.	Other.	Total.	Equipped With	
			Train brake.	Auto-matic coupler.									Train brake.	Automatic couplers.
172		8	8	8		1						1	1	1
		764	764	764	15,063	2,114	3,786	7,926	1,068	4,547		33,184	35,134	35,134
332	7	1,114	1,097	1,097	25,287	2,818	6,668	11,806	1	1,049	98	47,232	25,986	44,564
8	9	144	144	144	4,921	863	535	230		98	702	6,669	5,271	6,669
5		15	15	15	78	494	193	345				1,830	1,804	1,830
3		14	14	14	591	91	99	10				791	619	791
384		978	978	978	28,978	4,631	2,955	1,328		1,549	1,470	41,406	25,531	41,311
260		1,184	1,134	1,134	27,990	4,588	4,075	3,894		1,886	4,556	51,361	46,758	51,361
54		255	255	255	8,245	1,448	898	1,150		2,207	8	11,446	9,947	11,446
120	5	750	750	750	24,181	2,337	3,914	5,213		1,829		35,454	27,349	35,454
	2	3	3	3	1							1	1	1
					1	2		31				35	37	38
					20	35		70			4	125	125	125
		7	7	7	10	27		41				78	78	78
17		45	45	45	137	24	21	14				199	199	199
11		46	46	46	1,852		255	331			94	3,065	3,065	3,065
19	1	77	77	77	2,955	324	118	84		4	25	3,420	1,937	3,420
		2	2	2		2						2	2	
		2	2	2				45	1			46	46	46
1		2	2	2										
119	4	333	333	333	7,331	220	2,433	2,698		347		13,423	13,423	13,423
123		446	446	446	9,647	1,073	893	5,984		100	354	18,666	15,784	18,666
8		15	15	15	766	155	100			50		1,071	1,014	1,014
1,550	28	6,144	6,121	6,124	157,601	30,737	26,238	46,694	1,068	11,118	7,813	270,838	234,141	266,036

TABLE NO. 50—DESCRIPTION OF EQUIPMENT

Railroads.	Cars in Company's Service.					Equipped with.	
	Gravel.	Derrick.	Caboose.	Other road cars.	Total.	Train brake.	Automatic coupler.
Ames & College.....	955	18	466	95	1,534	1,534	1,534
Atchafsen, Topeka & Santa Fe.....	500	25	606	1,817	2,451	989	2,135
Chicago, Anamosa & Northern.....	104	6	106	46	264	180	264
Chicago, Burlington & Quincy.....	100	1	20	2	123	120	123
Chicago Great Western.....	100	1	6	2	12	6	12
Mason City & Fort Dodge.....	14	13	496	102	624	75	638
Wisconsin, Minnesota & Pacific.....	254	24	667	79	1,024	618	1,024
Chicago, Milwaukee & St. Paul.....	184	9	145	22	336	160	328
Chicago, St. P., Minn. & Omaha.....	1,170	21	558	256	2,099		
Chicago, Rock Island & Pacific.....			1	1	1		1
Crooked Creek.....			2	2	2	2	2
Davenport, Rock Island & N. W.....			5	5	5		
Des Moines, Iowa Falls & Northern.....							
Des Moines Union.....			7	7	7		
Des Moines Western.....							
Dubuque & Sioux City (Ill. Cent.).....	52	8	57	80	223	118	223
Iowa Central.....							
Albia & Centerville.....		2	80	87	123		
Manchester & Oneida.....			1	1	1		
Minneapolis & St. Louis.....			2	2	2		
Muscatine North & South.....							
Newton & Northwestern.....							
Tabor & Northern.....	537	20	304	916	1,677	1,656	1,664
Union Pacific.....			371	362	638	438	638
Wabash.....		1	7	8	13	13	13
Willmar & Sioux Falls (Great Northern).....							
Total.....	3,840	144	3,646	2,331	11,151	5,684	5,567

a Officers and Pay Cars.

—ENTIRE LINE—CONTINUED—

Cars Contributed to Fast Freight Service.			Total Cars Owned.	Cars Leased.			Grand Total.	
Number.	Equipped with.			Number.	Equipped with.		Number of cars owned and leased.	Number of cars and locomotives owned.
	Train Brakes.	Automatic Couplers.			Train brake.	Automatic coupler.		
1			87,432				87,432	86,783
			50,757				50,777	59,116
			7,087				7,087	7,841
			1,087				1,088	1,988
			827				817	884
			48,008				48,008	44,079
			53,519				53,519	54,828
			12,029				12,029	12,345
			88,800		7708	7708	88,808	89,518
			5				5	7
			89				89	41
			127				127	138
			90				90	98
								5
			251				251	306
			8,833				8,833	8,431
			1					8
			8,025	498	498	498	8,025	3,719
			5				5	8
			8				50	55
			2				3	8
			15,482				15,482	15,989
			19,125	500	500	500	19,125	19,716
			1,000				1,000	1,115
1			283,122	888	8,706	8,706	283,122	285,228

*7,708 of these are leased cars.

TABLE No. 51—MILE-

Railroads.	Passenger Traffic.			
	Number of passengers carried earning revenue.	Number of passengers carried one mile.	Average distance carried.	Total passenger revenue.
Ames & College	241,325	477,824	1.980	\$ 12,006.25
Atchinson, Topeka & Santa Fe				47,769.96
Chicago, Anamosa & Northern	1 929	18,375	9.500	735.00
Chicago, Burlington & Quincy				
Chicago Great Western	1,814,246	89,781,384	80.270	805,418.93
Mason City & Fort Dodge	462,120	13,474,282	29.157	816,969.48
Wisconsin, Minnesota & Pacific	24,187	291,849	12.050	7,867.08
Chicago, Milwaukee & St. Paul				2,251,438.05
Chicago & North-Western	2,400,784	133,517,160	55.614	2,960,234.73
Chicago, St. Paul, Minneapolis & Omana	296,672	10,767,840	36.006	252,078.93
Chicago, Rock Island & Pacific	3,885,605	148,250,154	42.310	3,200,516.72
Colfax Northern	100,829	418,390	4.180	5,214.51
Crooked Creek				828.75
Davenport, Rock Island & Northwestern				
Des Moines, Iowa Falls & Northern	80,671	2,112,714	25.800	46,679.96
Des Moines Union				
Des Moines Western				
Dubuque & Sioux City (Illinois Central)	1,088,241	54,298,110	49.900	1,085,083.71
Iowa Central	510,138	19,241,708	37.710	886,883.46
Albia & Centerville	15,165	276,752	18.240	8,885.63
Manchester & Oneida				
Minneapolis & St. Louis	277,285	7,497,537	27.040	199,812.98
Muscatine North & South	13,790	203,009	14.726	6,790.13
Newton & Northwestern	32,334	885,589	17.840	12,868.41
Tabor & Northern	13,087	140,147	10.750	4,539.57
Union Pacific	169,710	353,877	2.210	6,439.54
Wabash	193,464	16,037,085	80.810	864,982.77
Willmar & Sioux Falls (Great Northern)	54,764	2,041,759	37.280	49,937.46
Total	10,661,174	444,589,978	41.888	\$12,038,340.81

AGE TRAFFIC—IOWA.

Passenger Traffic.

Average amount received from each passenger.	Average receipts per passenger per mile—cents.	Total passenger earnings.	Passenger earnings per mile of road.	Passenger earnings per train mile.	Highest rate of fare per mile—cents.	Lowest rate of fare per mile—cents.	Av. rate of fare per mile for all passengers—Cents.	Expense of Passenger		Cost of carrying each passenger one mile—cents.
								Amt.	Per Train Mile.	
\$.05	\$ 02.25	\$ 12,066.25	\$ 6,093.56	5.	5.
.88102	04.000	67,896.95	3,418.78	\$.88634	3.	0.50	1.743
.....	735.00	37.50	.09531	4.	8.00	3.500
.....
.61283	03.036	939,580.21	2,465.00	.02389	2.028
.98595	02.559	358,055.23	1,019.29	.45433	2.858
.90459	02.539	9,503.77	422.39	.23927	2.529
.....	2,936,108.65	1,869.56	.93655	8.00	1.00	2.248
1.23307	02.217	3,768,741.16	2,865.74	1.00828	4.00	1.00	2.217
.84970	02.341	806,995.85	3,008.29	1.24529	2.341
.94533	02.234	8,897,669.51	1,811.77	.98498
.05172	01.246	5,837.32	485.21	.22578	8.00	1.00	1.246
.....	1,844.89	104.73	4.00
.....
.57865	02.209	52,596.90	700.73	.57675	8.50
.....
.....
.99304	03.600	1,854,295.03	1,894.62	.95978	3.00	2.000
.75839	02.010	484,670.06	1,021.21	.66163	2.010	\$ 816,800.27	.45	\$ 01.646
.55293	03.030	9,917.58	407.02	.31722	3.000
.....
.71880	02.658	253,531.88	698.17	.79081	2.660	223,110.04	.68	02.97
.49230	03.800	7,524.08	263.43	.18304
.57477	03.337	16,870.66	164.59	.26462	3.50	1.75	3.337
.93287	03.036	5,635.03	528.94	.42235	4.00
.04026	01.822	12,003.61	4,879.52	1.16356	1.820
1.83904	03.276	442,946.78	2,120.36	1.53600	3.00	2.00	2.276	317,408.90	.10	01.999
.91187	02.416	63,257.18	798.10	.60027	2.416
.....
\$ 1.12876	\$ 02.700	\$14,937,418.61	\$ 1,783.49	\$1.09004	\$ 857,219.21

TABLE No. 52—MILEAGE

Railroads.	Freight Traffic.				
	Number of tons carried earning revenue.	Number of tons carried one mile.	Average distance haul of one ton — miles.	Total freight revenue.	Average amount received for each ton of freight.
Ames & College.....				\$ 5,574.04	
Atchison, Topeka & Santa Fe.....				388,175.57	
Chicago, Anamosa & Northern.....	1,505	29,508	19.90	1,582.35	\$1.05186
Chicago, Burlington & Quincy.....					
Chicago Great Western.....	1,855,008	286,241,692	154.80	2,154,426.09	1.16185
Mason City & Fort Dodge.....	587,579	85,500,524	147.23	910,181.08	1.54900
Wisconsin, Minnesota & Pacific.....	158,629	1,202,828	7.13	31,877.33	.18607
Chicago, Milwaukee & St. Paul.....				8,730,306.11	
Chicago & North-Western.....	5,105,461	1,027,551,189	301.00	8,228,544.74	1.61183
Chicago, St. Paul, Minn. & Omaha.....	855,619	48,822,746	56.00	599,381.07	.70350
Chicago, Rock Island & Pacific.....	5,612,826	908,382,019	160.96	7,569,911.29	1.34880
Oelkx Northern.....	228,264	1,153,075	5.05	82,712.18	.14380
Orooked Creek.....	47,867			27,794.07	
Davenport, Rock Island & N. W.....					
Des Moines, Iowa Falls & Northern.....	157,132	4,284,397	26.94	20,169.69	.63112
Des Moines Union.....					
Des Moines Western.....					
Dubuque & Sioux City (Ill. Cent.).....	1,968,421	865,681,965	196.29	2,232,686.63	1.12915
Iowa Central.....	1,762,898	287,027,282	131.45	1,804,891.69	.74031
Albia & Centerville.....	51,788	1,165,912	22.50	14,877.66	.27797
Manchester & Oneida.....					
Minneapolis & St. Louis.....	516,977	25,642,603	49.60	415,866.00	.80345
Muscatine North & South.....	112,130	3,214,787	28.67	50,936.24	.41849
Newton & Northwestern.....	154,796	3,459,539	23.35	90,044.87	.62046
Tabor & Northern.....	11,717	125,987	10.75	12,582.96	1.06068
Union Pacific.....	1,805,445	4,100,802	2.37	59,814.70	.03312
Wabash.....	304,023	70,193,107	227.88	552,168.18	1.79280
Willmar & Sioux Falls (Great Northern).....	206,534	19,734,466	66.45	255,755.87	.86249
Total.....	21,607,610	8,090,990,644	148.00	223,611,496.00	\$1.55588

TRAFFIC—IOWA—CONTINUED.

Freight Traffic.

Average receipts per ton per mile—cents.	Total freight earnings.	Freight earnings per mile of road.	Freight earnings per train mile.	Percentage of freight from point to point in Iowa com- pared with total freight carried in Iowa.	Expense of Man- ning and Man- agement of Freight Trains.		Average cost per mile to move freight.	Average Number of Tons of Freight.		
					Amount	Per train		In train.	In each loaded car.	In each car— L.C.L.
5.263	\$ 5,574.04 233,796.58 1,532.25	\$ 2,815.17 12,034.00 80.78	\$2.63376 7.00300					14.17	14.00	.48
753	2,154,456.00	5,650.00	1.70382					224.37	18.95	
1,082	910,181.04	2,575.79	2.03					191.77	18.45	
2,609	81,377.32	1,394.55	1.454					49.90	4.25	
	8,780,306.45	4,664.00	1.83490							
801	8,249,589.96	5,325.37	1.84753	22.01				380.30	18.29	
1,240	599,354.57	5,878.17	2.79497					818.54	18.53	
833	7,560,911.39	3,518.76	1.78595					63.60	17.54	2.00
2,837	89,712.18	2,978.88	1.40221							
	27,794.07	1,578.31								
2,843	99,169.69	1,391.21	1.87018					79.85	16.25	
666	2,239,249.26	5,118.80	1.36708					315.18	18.55	
551	1,804,991.69	2,871.49	1.27481		\$1,122,868.22	1.097	.47	281.50	16.39	
1,835	14,877.66	589.28	.45849					87.12	13.45	
1,630	415,366.00	1,132.58	1.06386		262,206.05	1.24	1.02	121.18	11.49	
1,584	51,680.10	1,809.56	1.35711					7.82	19.43	1.00
2,776	96,044.87	987.02	2.03833	50.52				73.08	19.84	
9,960	12,533.96	1,185.56								
1,459	59,814.70	24,314.92	5.42752					324.90	18.47	
737	155,895.74	2,659.67	2.36336		634,817.82	2.070		308.98	16.22	11.01
1,298	250,428.23	2,285.26	2.72300					209.25	18.09	
1,008	\$ 89,440,607.62	\$ 4,000.98	\$1.28408		\$2,019,892.00					

TABLE No. 53—MILEAGE

Railroads.	Passenger and Freight Traffic.		
	Passenger and freight revenue.	Passenger and Freight per Mile of Road.	
		Miles.	Amount per mile.
Ames & College.....	\$.....	\$.....
Atchison, Topeka & Santa Fe.....	235,945.53	19.88	14,593.06
Chicago, Anamosa & Northern.....	2,317.35	19.60	118.23
Chicago, Burlington & Quincy.....
Chicago Great Western.....	2,959,839.33	531.31	7,763.29
Mason City & Fort Dodge.....	1,237,174.51	850.80	3,473.87
Wisconsin, Minnesota & Pacific.....	88,744.40	23.50	1,721.93
Chicago, Milwaukee & St. Paul.....	10,981,744.50	1,871.85	5,866.79
Chicago & North-Western.....	11,186,769.47	21,577.60	7,091.00
Chicago, St. Paul, Minneapolis & Omaha.....	851,810.00	103.05	8,342.09
Chicago, Rock Island & Pacific.....	10,770,438.01	2,083.42	5,006.47
Golfax Northern.....	37,926.69	11.00	3,477.88
Crooked Creek.....	28,632.82	17.61	1,635.37
Davenport, Rock Island & Northwestern.....	35.32	6,440.93
Des Moines, Iowa Falls & Northern.....	151,766.59	70.44
Des Moines Union.....
Des Moines Western.....
Dubuque & Sioux City (Illinois Central).....	3,809,720.34	714.81	4,629.81
Iowa Central.....	1,691,775.15	454.43	3,722.85
Albia & Centerville.....	22,763.29	24.44	531.39
Manchester & Oneida.....
Minneapolis & St. Louis.....	614,678.98	370.01	1,661.24
Muscatine North & South.....	59,219.18	28.67	2,065.64
Newton & Northwestern.....	108,913.28	103.50	1,065.57
Tabor & Northern.....	10.75
Union Pacific.....	63,344.25	2.45	26,928.55
Wabash.....	917,145.94	203.40	4,300.33
Willmar & Sioux Falls (Great Northern).....	305,693.83	79.26	3,856.86
Total.....	\$45,618,743.83	8,503.09	\$ 5,364.37
b Average.....

TRAFFIC—IOWA—CONTINUED.

Passenger and Freight Traffic.								
Passenger and Freight Earnings.		Gross Earnings from Operation.		Expense.		Net earnings per mile.	Expense of Running and Management of all Trains.	
Amount.	Per mile of road.	Amount.	Per mile of road.	Amount.	Per mile of road.		Total.	Per train mile.
\$ 306,693.56	15,442.78	\$ 17,640.28	\$ 8,909.33	\$ 12,730.95	\$ 6,429.45	\$ 2,479.72		\$
2,817.25	118.22	310,150.35	15,617.34	253,141.09	12,746.28	2,871.06		
8,094,850.30	8,115.07	8,190,163.44	8,340.09	2,323,772.19	6,107.29	2,232.80		
1,208,237.28	3,889.08	1,296,288.07	3,609.53	890,750.67	2,471.37	1,144.30		
40,881.09	1,816.94	43,183.63	1,919.49	47,977.23	2,132.52	212.83		
11,686,415.10	6,232.56	11,688,127.83	6,244.16	8,531,550.44	4,598.50	1,675.66		
12,007,281.02	7,611.11	12,027,504.98	7,623.93	67,785,906.08	4,935.29	2,684.24	67,785,906.08	.6888
906,852.42	8,881.45	911,278.21	8,929.70	498,723.69	4,743.07	4,189.63		
11,467,880.80	5,339.54	11,591,495.99	5,374.19	8,497,972.92	8,950.18	1,424.05		
38,049.50	3,459.04	38,058.45	3,459.86	28,076.56	2,652.85	907.51		
29,638.46	1,683.04	30,695.72	1,747.51	22,868.92	1,282.78			
182.36	33,694.52	953.96	24,658.63	694.15	255.88			
		153,188.25	2,040.01	131,753.45	1,754.30	294.83		
		294,123.50	60,030.87	211,324.08	52,806.01	13,224.87		
8,583,649.29	5,013.42	8,941,284.36	5,513.66	3,329,130.58	4,637.36	856.30		
1,703,961.75	3,892.70	1,781,773.80	3,920.89	1,459,668.49	3,168.07	753.82	1,459,668.49	.9891
24,325.24	895.30	24,325.24	995.30	28,973.63	1,181.41	186.11	28,973.63	.9200
673,697.38	1,820.75	697,187.33	1,894.23	455,816.06	1,311.62	572.61	455,816.06	.9100
		59,219.18	2,065.64	59,143.67	2,052.61	2.68		
112,915.58	1,101.61	112,914.03	1,101.70	103,592.82	1,008.71	92.92		
		18,429.82	1,714.40	18,407.81	1,247.84	467.16		
71,818.81	29,194.48	259,434.72	108,461.97	248,515.26	101,022.46	4,438.81	248,515.26	8.9500
998,839.47	4,779.03	1,010,339.47	4,836.47	953,286.72	4,558.29	278.26	953,286.72	1.8220
319,655.40	4,033.33	322,627.80	4,079.50	241,830.20	3,051.10	1,019.40		
\$48,831,371.51	\$ 5,689.85	\$ 49,742,692.51	\$ 5,849.94	\$36,142,653.16	\$ 4,250.53	\$ 1,599.41	\$10,940,506.27	

a Proportional.

e Proportional on basis of miles of road.

TABLE No. 54—MILEAGE

Railroads.	Miles Run.			
	By Trains Earning Revenue.			
	Passenger trains.	Freight trains.	Mixed trains.	Total.
Ames & College	71,114	83,753	7,258	162,127
Atchison, Topeka & Santa Fe	7 - 2,681			2,681
Chicago, Anamosa & Northern				
Chicago, Burlington & Quincy	1,017,907	1,304,466		2,322,373
Chicago Great Western	788,096	451,103		1,239,197
Mason City & Fort Dodge	41,452	27,899		69,351
Wisconsin, Minnesota & Pacific	2,808,543	4,496,361	331,491	7,561,295
Chicago, Milwaukee & St. Paul	3,415,731	4,136,395	335,619	7,877,797
Chicago & North-Western	234,981	203,479	19,970	448,412
Chicago, St. Paul, Minneapolis & Omaha	2,651,587	2,924,230	305,540	7,881,357
Chicago, Rock Island & Pacific	5,800	7,800	10,829	23,829
Colfax Northern				
Crooked Creek				
Davenport, Rock Island & North Western	94,193	51,029		144,222
Des Moines, Iowa Falls & Northern				
Des Moines Union				
Des Moines Western				
Dubuque & Sioux City (Ill. Co. R.)	1,593,074	1,691,442	18,046	3,292,562
Iowa Central	508,897	831,526	191,923	1,532,346
Albia & Centerville			81,352	81,352
Manchester & Oelids				
Minneapolis & St. Louis	412,233	204,034	7,672	596,903
Muscatine North & South			41,110	41,110
Newton & Northwestern	63,753	47,353		111,107
Tabor & Northern			11,717	11,717
Union Pacific	10,816	17,451		27,767
Wabash	287,813	284,815		572,628
Willmar & Sioux Falls (Great Northern)	105,831	94,169		199,540
Total	14,944,930	17,638,714	1,293,096	33,808,677

TRAFFIC—IOWA—CONTINUED.

Miles Run.		Grand total train mileage.	Average Number of Freight Cars in Train.			Mileage of Freight Cars.			
By Other Trains.			All.	Loaded.	Empty.	Loaded, north or east.	Loaded, south or west.	Empty, north or east.	Empty, south or west.
Switching.	Construction and others.								
73,360	8,901	226,397	39.	24.	9.	1,006,925	1,140,712	420,921	891,538
		2,081	1.79	.97	.82	1,080	991	866	858
227,224	18,951	2,593,548	24.83	17.47	6.96	12,091,929	10,006,782	5,817,818	5,257,812
61,801	11,925	1,312,926	31.86	14.25	7.11	5,531,719	2,878,235	1,219,489	1,938,046
8,507	175	73,523	14.49	10.84	4.15	186,669	143,526	58,431	55,078
		7,561,395		17.83					
2,111,632	796,880	10,785,669	24.78		7.40	39,295,796	25,008,239	14,145,548	18,874,965
96,048	7,299	532,728							
912,393	230,963	9,054,780	22.51	15.79	6.72	51,306,319	35,476,802	14,701,918	13,728,269
		23,329	7.01	8.58	8.38	63,053	2,760	890	60,485
226,692	9,706	25,896							
	4,826	149,049	8.98	5.58	8.38	149,990	143,795	52,522	94,088
895,786	95,406	3,598,848	22.89	15.37	6.51	14,087,013	12,965,313	5,637,843	5,485,846
	31,456	1,535,449	18.58	14.21	4.87	7,766,799	6,775,522	1,991,293	2,496,417
	506	81,865	4.08	2.93	1.10	49,069	44,421	11,573	22,985
	2,841	613,247	14.25	10.54	3.71	1,046,499	1,184,908	566,637	219,375
		41,110	5.	4.08	1.82	77,981	87,497	81,806	12,678
	6,240	117,547	5.90	2.88	1.53	57,310	116,520	15,630	56,260
		11,717							
106,326	606	194,699	23.52	17.43	6.08	157,606	146,721	41,499	64,626
112,015	42,806	677,949	25.97	18.43	7.55	2,476,205	1,849,780	643,180	1,180,638
	2,823	201,877	23.58	17.81	6.27	710,906	918,713	393,143	197,769
4,231,747	1,271,875	32,863,798				114,083,281	112,899,433	42,800,695	

a Switching Locomotives.

TABLE NO. 55. MILEAGE

Railroads.	Number of passengers carried earning revenue.
Ames & College.....	241,835
Atchison, Topeka & Santa Fe.....	5,184,237
Chicago, Anamosa & Northern.....	1,920
Chicago, Burlington & Quincy.....	14,574,481
Chicago Great Western.....	2,600,023
Mason City & Fort Dodge.....	466,573
Wisconsin, Minnesota & Pacific.....	275,447
Chicago, Milwaukee & St. Paul.....	10,364,725
Chicago & North-Western.....	21,516,308
Chicago, St. Paul, Minneapolis & Omaha.....	2,686,080
Chicago, Rock Island & Pacific.....	11,878,870
Colfax Northern.....	100,836
Crooked Creek.....	
Davenport, Rock Island & Northwest.....	
Des Moines, Iowa Falls & Northern.....	80,671
Des Moines Union.....	
Des Moines Western.....	
Dubuque & Sioux City (Illinois Central).....	1,119,184
Iowa Central.....	624,618
Albia & Centerville.....	15,165
Manchester & Oneida.....	
Minneapolis & St. Louis.....	1,216,937
Muscatine North & South.....	13,790
Newton & Northwestern.....	22,284
Tabor & Northern.....	18,087
Union Pacific.....	2,250,861
Wabash.....	6,618,480
Willmar & Sioux Falls (Great Northern).....	210,804
Total.....	81,541,421

TRAFFIC—ENTIRE LINE.

Passenger.							
Number of passengers carried one mile.	Average dis- tance car- ried—miles.	Total passen- ger reve- nue.	Average amount re- ceived from each pas- senger.	Average re- ceipts per passenger per mile— cents.	Total passen- ger earn- ings.	Passenger earnings per mile of road.	Passenger earnings per train mile.
477,824	1.98	\$ 12,086.25	.0570	.0225	\$ 12,086.25	\$ 6,093.50
449,874,367	88.00	9,636,200.28	1.87686	.02144	12,580,638.90	2,501.28	1.27124
18,875	9.60	785.00	.38102	.04000	735.00	87.80	.02521
862,867,612	55.06	15,688,766.48	1.07845	.01868	19,725,269.84	2,806.80	1.52178
52,396,980	60.90	1,877,188.18	.33488	.02023	2,071,182.05	2,530.84	.67222
14,450,836	50.97	841,705.91	.73237	.02808	866,799.89	988.88	.46345
3,768,964	30.98	145,412.89	.39025	.02428	178,519.10	666.08	.2322
451,490,651	48.55	10,136,987.74	.57706	.02848	12,068,187.18	1,920.62	1.11718
651,239,188	30.78	12,889,719.84	.61998	.02017	16,170,525.80	2,182.80	1.01610
122,288,051	49.25	5,081,664.67	1.14787	.02380	5,630,268.68	2,157.60	1.00082
584,873,466	45.08	11,418,813.01	.96134	.02185	12,766,271.46	2,040.08	1.04459
418,590	4.16	8,214.51	.06172	.01340	8,337.53	45.21	.22873
.....	828.75	1,844.89	104.78
3,112,714	25.60	40,679.96	.87805	.02308	53,566.90	700.73	.87675
.....
55,763,380	49.88	1,116,137.90	.99728	.02401	1,286,287.43	1,587.62	.94188
22,319,721	41.76	474,014.41	.74698	.02038	566,308.78	1,013.92	.67108
276,758	18.24	8,385.53	.55285	.09080	9,247.68	407.02	.3722
.....
46,391,082	29.12	876,018.58	.71936	.01888	1,047,119.55	1,453.58	.96625
203,006	14.72	6,790.13	.49230	.03804	7,584.02	262.43	.15204
388,622	17.34	12,868.41	.87877	.08587	16,370.66	164.59	.26461
140,147	10.75	4,332.57	.83237	.08006	5,665.02	528.84	.42225
322,180,458	148.87	6,103,517.61	2.71164	.01569	8,752,370.57	2,815.98	1.45655
584,566,484	80.81	8,917,822.77	1.84908	.01668	10,628,252.06	4,221.46	1.10762
12,677,983	40.87	830,086.46	1.05409	.02604	894,208.29	907.22	.67118
4,124,085,616	50.69	\$28,869,948.15	1.02241	.02016	\$104,480,475.86	\$ 2,469.85	1.22616

TABLE No. 56—MILEAGE

Railroads.	Freight Traffic.	
	Number of tons of freight carried carrying revenue.	Number of tons carried one mile.
Ames & College.....		
Atchison, Topeka & Santa Fe.....	9,181,016	2,942,187,601
Chicago, Anamosa & Northern.....	1,596	25,808
Chicago, Burlington & Quincy.....	20,599,587	5,189,262,599
Chicago Great Western.....	2,816,707	724,273,240
Mason City & Fort Dodge.....	690,877	94,819,184
Wisconsin, Minnesota & Pacific.....	493,237	25,776,667
Chicago, Milwaukee & St. Paul.....	28,303,948	4,081,468,559
Chicago & North Western.....	81,189,651	4,392,448,817
Chicago, St. P., Minn. & Omaha.....	5,613,861	875,417,058
Chicago, Rock Island & Pacific.....	13,156,146	3,010,400,426
Colfax Northern.....	228,264	1,153,075
Crooked Creek.....	47,967	
Davenport, Rock Island & N. W.....		
Des Moines, Iowa Falls & Northern.....	167,182	4,284,387
Des Moines Union.....		
Des Moines Western.....		
Dubuque & Sioux City (Illinois Central).....	1,973,831	876,103,065
Iowa Central.....	2,050,024	838,677,181
Albia & Centerville.....	67,723	1,163,913
Manchester & Oneida.....		
Minneapolis & St. Louis.....	1,967,599	184,140,096
Muscatine North & South.....	112,187	8,214,787
Newton & Northwestern.....	164,796	8,452,539
Tabor & Northern.....	11,747	125,957
Union Pacific.....	7,331,914	2,367,895,458
Waba-h.....	10,367,456	2,396,770,228
Willmar & Sioux Falls (Great Northern).....	642,232	92,694,696
Total.....	181,782,180	27,441,758,594

TRAFFIC—ENTIRE LINE—CONTINUED.

Freight Traffic.

Average dis- tance haul of one ton.	Total freight revenue.	Average amount received for each ton of freight.	Average re- ceipts per ton per mile— cents.	Total freight earnings.	Freight earn- ings per mile of road.	Freight earn- ings per train mile	Average cost per ton per mile to move freight—cts.	Average No. of tons of freight in train.	Average num- ber of tons of freight in each loaded car.	Average No. of tons of freight in each car— L. C. L.
820.00	\$ 5,574.04	\$ 8.07327	00.959	\$ 6,574.04	\$ 2,815.17	\$ 2,547.78	205.00	14.00
19.60	28,215,709.12	1.06190	05.982	28,907,543.90	5,621.51	5,076.86	14.17	14.60
254.86	1,582.25	2.12486	00.835	1,582.25	80.72	2,78.28	352.27	15.72
257.18	43,846,433.78	1.80989	00.704	43,416,988.88	5,076.86	1,971.34	280.15	14.31
130.77	5,096,543.14	1.43848	01.100	5,096,543.14	6,227.75	1,117.61	189.93	13.55
52.20	993,509.38	.99743	01.909	993,509.38	2,101.64	2,832.55	148.40	15.86
175.41	491,992.12	1.54347	00.881	491,992.12	1,815.47	2,352.44	255.11	13.74
188.00	35,968,946.47	1.26223	00.916	36,035,506.81	5,322.12	2,383.68	259.45	14.73
155.94	39,292,830.47	1.41661	00.930	39,427,002.89	4,837.26	2,179.99	234.48	16.12
228.82	8,134,064.57	2.13004	00.931	8,184,931.60	4,162.74	2,141.24	230.02	18.93
5.05	28,023,137.42	.14830	02.887	28,023,137.42	2,978.83	1,402.21	68.90	17.54	2.00
.....	32,712.18	32,712.18	1,578.31
.....	27,794.07	27,794.07
26.94	90,167.69	.63112	02.842	93,109.69	1,321.20	1,870.18	79.85	16.25
190.57	2,763,551.25	1.40038	00.735	2,770,476.93	3,616.56	1,527.93	307.42	13.46
60.39	2,013,549.32	.98221	00.598	2,013,549.32	1,472.43	1,472.43	246.20	13.44
22.50	14,877.66	.27797	01.235	14,877.66	588.28	458.49	87.12	12.45
93.60	2,021,993.49	1.02765	01.068	2,021,993.49	2,806.80	2,689.68	244.94	13.83
28.67	50,926.24	.41849	01.534	51,680.10	1,802.68	1,257.11	7.82	19.42	1.00
22.85	96,644.87	.62046	02.776	96,644.87	937.02	2,028.32	78.06	19.84	19.84
10.75	12,532.96	1.06938	09.650
891.15	25,736,780.06	3.51024	00.897	25,736,780.06	8,707.74	3,573.81	397.90	16.16
227.88	13,651,185.54	1.32946	00.583	14,070,843.02	5,589.68	1,797.63	93.93	16.22	11.01
114.32	1,242,693.05	1.93482	01.841	1,244,001.40	2,848.44	2,967.26	221.10	15.94
204.24	337,826,843.14	1.80697	00.844	328,117,189.79	5,512.20	2,601.19

TABLE No. 57—MILEAGE TRAFFIC

Railroads.	Passenger and Freight Traffic.		
	Passenger and Freight Revenue.		
	Amount.	Miles.	Per Mile.
Ames & College.....	\$.....	1.00	\$.....
Atchison, Topeka & Santa Fe.....	87,851,918.35	5,088.79	7,519.57
Chicago, Anamosa & Northern.....	2,817.35	10.00	118.23
Chicago, Burlington & Quincy.....	59,085,170.30	8,532.76	6,908.47
Chicago Great Western.....	6,772,781.80	818.86	8,377.30
Mason City and Ft. Dodge.....	1,335,215.39	895.25	3,456.87
Wisconsin, Minnesota & Pacific.....	685,404.51	371.00	3,344.66
Chicago, Milwaukee & St. Paul.....	46,006,904.31	7,122.15	6,468.11
Chicago & North-Western.....	52,662,544.41	7,406.18	7,104.70
Chicago, St. P., Minn. & Omaha.....	11,319,739.34	1,682.55	6,698.39
Chicago, Rock Island & Pacific.....	89,441,350.43	2,082.43	5,888.87
Colfax Northern.....	87,921.69	11.00	3,447.86
Crooked Creek.....	28,623.82	17.61	1,625.87
Davenport, Rock Island & N. W.....	49.03
Des Moines, Iowa Falls & Northern.....	151,766.59	70.44
Des Moines Union.....
Des Moines Western.....
Dubuque & Sioux City, (Ill. Cent.).....	3,879,694.15	759.75	5,106.45
Iowa Central.....	2,487,568.78	558.48	4,454.56
Albia & Centerville.....	23,708.39	24.44	981.89
Manchester & Oneida.....
Minneapolis & St. Louis.....	2,808,011.33	790.37	3,685.83
Muscatine North and South.....	59,219.18	28.07	2,095.54
Newton & Northwestern.....	108,912.28	109.50	1,083.57
Tabor & Northern.....
Union Pacific.....	81,940,297.07	2,655.63	10,772.80
Wabash.....	23,568,014.81	308.40	8,905.53
Willmar & Sioux Falls (Great Nor.).....	1,572,734.50	436.73	3,601.37
Total.....	330,078,798.23	89,855.97	5,143.16

-ENTIRE LINE-CONTINUED.

Passenger and Freight Traffic.

Passenger and Freight Earnings.		Gross Earnings from Operation.		Expenses.		Net Earnings from Operation.	
Amount.	Per Mile.	Amount.	Per Mile.	Amount.	Per Mile.	Amount.	Per Mile.
\$ 40,893,229.86	8,124.74	\$ 17,640.28	\$ 8,909.28	\$ 12,730.31	\$ 6,429.45	\$ 4,909.95	\$ 2,479.76
2,317.85	118.29	41,494,963.54	8,242.89	26,993,256.29	5,362.41	14,499,712.25	2,880.48
63,142,219.17	7,382.65	2,317.25	118.82	4,253.87	217.00	1,986.12
7,167,682.19	8,738.59	05,162,853.02	7,616.93	41,479,169.48	4,849.80	23,633,088.59	2,769.13
1,879,308.77	8,571.03	7,831,099.14	9,006.73	5,614,312.44	6,60.45	1,718,783.70	2,100.28
670,517.22	2,473.50	1,898,688.99	8,621.15	966,991.09	2,508.54	481,677.90	1,117.61
49,733,643.94	6,973.16	700,050.87	2,635.43	395,610.65	1,459.93	805,010.32	1,125.50
55,597,537.69	7,504.93	49,834,113.65	6,994.86	80,661,708.27	4,299.08	19,222,405.38	2,695.18
11,769,194.28	6,994.86	55,745,275.17	7,524.87	86,561,284.83	4,935.28	19,184,040.84	2,589.59
41,755,408.88	6,202.77	11,997,876.75	7,130.77	7,929,146.87	4,355.98	4,688,729.88	2,774.79
33,049.50	8,459.04	42,109,187.50	6,255.17	80,212,621.85	4,487.98	11,896,585.65	1,767.19
29,638.46	1,683.04	38,058.43	3,451.88	28,075.86	2,552.36	9,932.57	907.51
8,947.32	182.52	30,685.72	1,742.61	23,688.92	1,282.73	8,095.80
158,183.26	2,040.01	17,644.36	1,461.63	55,678.69	1,136.83	15,985.67	525.70
		131,753.45	1,755.90	284.88	20,013.14	284.88
		264,123.50	66,030.87	311,224.03	52,806.01	52,869.47	13,224.87
4,163,764.86	5,434.39	4,526,838.40	5,957.67	3,438,887.32	4,528.34	1,087,451.08	1,481.93
2,579,758.10	4,619.65	2,694,565.78	4,646.34	2,085,717.86	3,699.15	528,937.87	947.19
24,335.24	993.30	24,325.24	995.30	23,873.68	1,181.41	4,548.44
5,069,113.84	8,339.90	5,262,938.81	4,082.40	1,932,933.38	2,418.44	1,329,955.45	1,663.96
112,915.53	1,101.61	59,219.18	2,065.54	59,143.69	2,028.91	75.49	2.63
34,089,150.43	11,538.67	112,924.03	1,101.70	103,392.82	1,003.71	9,591.71	93.92
24,696,800.10	9,811.14	18,429.82	1,714.40	13,407.81	1,247.24	5,022.01	467.16
1,640,209.69	8,755.66	35,175,124.63	11,901.10	19,009,780.82	6,481.72	16,165,393.71	5,469.88
		23,893,521.61	9,885.53	21,897,068.54	8,496.87	3,445,453.07	1,389.16
		1,646,370.91	8,769.77	1,014,220.99	2,322.31	632,149.92	1,447.46
\$ 348,725,449.57	\$ 8,708.34	\$ 348,684,769.98	\$ 8,855.95	\$ 229,602,844.04	\$ 5,833.99	\$ 118,920,970.36	\$ 3,021.07
a Deficit.							

TABLE NO. 58—MILEAGE TRAFFIC

Railroads.	Miles Run.			
	By Trains Earning Revenue.			
	Passenger.	Freight.	Mixed.	Total.
Ames & College.....	8,186,96	9,826,834	1,717,304	19,297,639
Atchison, Topeka & Santa Fe.....	2,081			2,081
Chicago, Anamosa & Northern.....	18,595,797	14,194,894	1,417,869	33,122,069
Chicago, Burlington & Quincy.....	2,869,496	2,580,288	5,092	4,964,806
Chicago Great Western.....	823,446	475,548		1,307,994
Mason City & Fort Dodge.....	296,090	178,692		459,782
Wisconsin, Minnesota & Pacific.....	11,113,163	14,848,678	1,149,745	27,110,584
Chicago, Milwaukee & St. Paul.....	14,600,609	16,280,716	1,318,874	31,145,099
Chicago, & North-Western.....	8,081,084	3,181,801	847,166	11,310,051
Chicago, St. P., Minn. & Omaha.....	12,627,828	12,808,195	719,172	25,615,190
Chicago, Rock Island & Pacific.....	6,800	7,800	10,829	23,329
Golfax Northern.....				
Crooked Creek.....				
Davenport, Rock Island & N. W.....	91,195	53,028		144,223
Des Moines, Iowa Falls & Northern.....				
Des Moines Union.....				
Des Moines Western.....				
Dubuque & Sioux City (Ill. Cent.).....	1,489,484	1,800,175	18,046	3,293,705
Iowa Central.....	661,789	1,175,602	191,968	2,019,359
Albia & Centerville.....			81,859	81,859
Manchester & Oneida.....				
Minneapolis & St. Louis.....	1,043,878	744,182	7,879	1,795,139
Muscatine North & South.....			41,110	41,110
Newton & Northwestern.....	63,756	47,353		111,107
Tabor & Northern.....			11,717	11,717
Union Pacific.....	5,640,902	7,114,110	93,483	12,848,445
Wabash.....	9,593,769	7,827,171		17,420,940
Willmar & Sioux Falls (Great Northern).....	448,692	277,473	141,772	867,936
Total.....	88,411,504	91,503,488	7,411,992	184,325,984

—ENTIRE LINE—CONTINUED.

Miles Run.		Grand total train mileage.	Average number of freight cars in trains.	Average number of loaded cars in trains.	Average number of empty cars in trains.	Car Mileage.			
Switching.	By Other Trains.					Loaded freight cars east or north.	Loaded freight cars south or west.	Empty cars north or east.	Empty cars south or west.
5,449,132	919,223	23,665,954	26.00	19.00	7.00	104,365,688	105,765,924	40,494,805	43,193,284
		2,681	1.79	.97	.82	1,080	991	866	888
		29,122,059	31.00	21.00	10.00				
783,156	34,839	5,772,851	27.29	19.58	7.66	28,305,579	22,320,406	7,172,343	12,638,653
61,925	12,038	1,331,937	19.94	13.98	5.96	3,465,111	2,984,068	1,264,601	2,088,877
22,239	2,158	454,178	13.75	10.08	4.09	835,731	852,300	369,990	389,365
		27,110,534	26.54	18.57	7.97	157,079,426	140,038,494	54,819,541	72,737,413
10,657,101	3,379,086	45,181,253	25.09	17.61	7.49	140,742,854	150,532,264	65,948,451	57,878,249
1,436,916	237,198	8,537,664	21.34	15.51	5.83	26,927,143	30,955,768	13,322,862	8,448,940
802,269		26,317,466	24.28	16.46	7.88	102,219,289	118,148,733	52,461,182	49,976,199
		23,339	7.01	3.63	3.38	63,053	2,760	860	60,436
67,330	9,760	77,140							
	4,826	149,043	3.93	5.63	3.53	140,990	148,795	32,521	34,082
415,398	245,479	3,943,577	21.70	15.41	6.29	14,698,734	13,347,884	5,765,656	5,644,408
	84,503	2,683,792	19.62	14.97	4.65	11,030,929	9,444,004	2,787,582	3,073,579
	506	51,863	4.08	2.98	1.10	49,069	44,421	11,572	22,988
	11,149	1,804,238	22.74	17.71	5.03	5,950,493	7,365,466	2,598,184	1,138,873
		41,110	4.00	4.02	1.32	77,981	67,497	31,806	22,678
	6,940	117,847	5.20	3.68	1.52	57,810	116,520	16,630	56,280
		11,717							
1,902,375	374,345	15,123,105	34.05	24.63	9.43	108,851,005	73,577,087	19,873,328	49,298,361
5,767,154	1,410,296	22,598,300	25.97	13.42	7.58	82,540,165	61,658,339	21,437,354	37,684,440
70,320	12,629	950,785	18.89	12.87	5.02	2,659,222	3,155,730	1,292,401	811,206
23,435,222	6,744,208	214,505,478				735,180,822	735,207,105	232,540,176	245,746,923

TABLE No. 50—

Railroads.	Products of Agriculture.			
	Grain.	Flour.	Other mill products.	Hay.
Ames & College				
Atchison, Topeka & Santa Fe				
Chicago, Anamosa & Northern		86		9
Chicago, Burlington & Quincy				
Chicago Great Western	324,371	125,681	19,479	17,309
Chicago City & Fort Dearborn	100,859	48,958	4,965	11,281
Chicago, Milwaukee & St. Paul	20,178	15,901	1,754	1,551
Wisconsin, Minnesota & Pacific	597,946	29,148	43,439	20,597
Chicago, North Western	786,651	20,867	49,298	23,264
Chicago, St. Paul, Minneapolis & Omaha	45,853	7,239	5,115	8,000
Chicago, Rock Island & Pacific	809,890	110,276	108,144	52,634
Chicago, Rock Island & Northern	559	188	109	
Crook Creek	4,705	58		10
Davenport, Rock Island & North Western				
Des Moines, Iowa Falls & Northern	22,423	298	429	253
Des Moines Union				
Des Moines Western				
Dubuque & Sioux City (Illinois Central)	445,234	74,951	49,305	14,306
Dubuque Central	181,569	99,192	17,586	6,655
Iowa Central	367	844		24
Albia & Centerville				
Manchester & Oneida	127,812	17,241	10,263	13,796
Manchester & St. Louis	8,830	873		491
Muscatine North & South	24,069	531	117	670
Newton & Northwestern				
Tabor & Northern	433,147	18,439	10,154	6,307
Union Pacific	87,539	8,596	7,015	3,323
Wabash				
Willmar & Sioux Falls (Great Northern)				
Total	4,041,337	632,903	383,187	200,834

TONNAGE—IOWA.

Products of Agriculture.							Products of Animals.						
Tobacco.	Fruit and vegetables.	Grass seed.	Cotton.	Butter.	Eggs.	Cheese.	Live Stock.	Dressed meats.	Other packing house products.	Poultry, game and fish.	Wool.	Hides and leather.	Milk.
.....	471
.....	54,735	19,664	108,894	90,679	59,182	8,896	2,041	1,484
.....	11,929	a 8,873	52,349	83,098	19,968	87,994	598	1,837
.....	4,334	9,578	8,201	5,143	8,871	185	455
285	19,184	o 27,197	14,603	350,138	43,781	75,714	8,751	4,061	5,354
.....	61,004	1599	5,443	1,768	350,014	25,954	27,251	5,917	8,798	5,325	289
.....	4,773	53,359	1,429	11,255	269	108	331
8	197,615	812,579	22,108	88,631	8,122	8,638	7,643
.....	30	617	2
.....	485	3
.....	997	4,616	698	516	131
.....
271	81,444	308 b	6,293	174,408	53,109	7,070	7,711	2,245
31	12,689	233	1,043	2,394	80	77,466	991	29,969	1,733	820	1,123
.....	309	489
29	8,519	8	914	1,647	20,811	4,898	549	551	25	23
.....	8,098	1,550	24
.....	108	2,579	243	25
2727	215,084	15 c	13,594	d 55,066	838	8,113	25,215	19,349	5,876
179	5,463	876	11,483	2,444	4,043	329	2,290
.....
2454	596,130	1598	1490	59,413	50,000	80	1,598,909	230,312	583,050	115,284	42,532	54,444	209

a Includes butter and cheese.

b Includes cheese.

c Includes other agricultural products.

d Includes other animal products.

TABLE No. 60—TONNAGE—

Railroads.	Products of Mines.			
	Anthracite coal.	Bituminous coal.	Coke.	Orss.
Ames & College.....				
Atchison, Topeka & Santa Fe.....				
Chicago, Anamosa & Northern.....		273		
Chicago, Burlington & Quincy.....				
Chicago Great Western.....		283,902	6,678	
Mason City & Fort Dodge.....		61,578		
Wisconsin, Minnesota & Pacific.....		18,853		
Chicago, Milwaukee & St. Paul.....	1,688	297,320	31,490	6,125
Chicago & North-Western.....	4,206	661,537	5,542	19
Chicago, St. P., Minn. & Omaha.....	1,891	33,478	19	15
Chicago, Rock Island & Pacific.....	23,694	384,460	4,779	26,672
Colfax Northern.....	205	241,551		
Crooked Creek.....	491	26,674		
Davenport, Rock Island & N. W.....				
Des Moines, Iowa Falls & Northern.....	1,236	97,984	16	
Des Moines Union.....				
Des Moines Western.....				
Dubuque & Sioux City (Ill. Cent.).....	25,085	290,620	5,351	3,567
Iowa Central.....	14,576	706,946	22,371	37
Albia & Centerville.....	85	85,220	114	
Manchester & Oneida.....				
Minneapolis & St. Louis.....	5,961	71,108	116	
Muscatine North & South.....	5,540	25,711	76	
Newton & Northwestern.....	1,089	118,839	15	
Tabor & Northern.....				
Union Pacific.....	20,221	24,493	5,321	17,846
Wabash.....	9,296	86,432	826	3,377
Willmar & Sioux Falls (Great Northern).....				
Total	124,254	4,081,152	53,465	67,818

IOWA—CONTINUED.

Products of Mines.		Products of Forests.			Manufactures.						
Stone, sand and other like articles.	Salt.	Lumber.	Ties, logs and other forest products.	Telegraph, telephone and electric light poles.	Petroleum and other oils.	Sugar.	Iron, pig and bloom.	Iron and steel rails.	Other castings and machinery.	Bar and sheet metal.	Cement and lime.
116		225									176
64,806		104,818	55,457		39,143	12,439	10,018	6,678	23,633	3,389	
11,339		29,614			10,459	2,579			6,111	411	10,400
7,396		9,797	5,246		8,002	1,562	1,815		2,091	888	3,578
34,308	739	96,864	63,897		7,679		10,941		6,133	1,001	17,927
51,231	15,163	138,433	13,906	14,886	14,833	5,234	10,616	15,554	7,069	11,510	27,823
16,078		8,844			706	2,309			591	478	10,138
263,443	47,928	347,909	107,558		19,611	90,338	67,432	12,849	24,928	96,724	121,955
847		5,128			117	23	14	41	28	1	151
7,871	19	433	141	28		4		15			81
2,055	849				250	455	568	117	548		3,658
47,773	11,692	181,861	97		23,787	24,254	1,648	15,137	23,668	27,925	107,723
30,012	6,404	163,822	2,700	1,870	23,906	3,411	15,694	8,810	9,001	10,247	11,511
7,670	127	1,156	69	81			80		16		139
1,977	2,049	81,066	508	2,760	3,254	3,432	1,416		1,228	140	45,043
855		84,887	10,481		535	265	119		2,063	77	5,977
759	431		4,899		47		156	106	54		3,479
16,681	66,950	106,323	1,252		19,559	27,150	2,062	93,553	75,769	32,841	15,793
12,015		17,136	6,418		2,399	1,149	1,018	1,898	1,451	7,043	9,348
670,174	151,828	1,244,639	271,578	19,574	167,646	175,814	128,067	158,806	183,315	191,753	394,506

a Includes brick.

TABLE. No. 61—TONNAGE—IOWA—CONTINUED.

Railroads.	Manufacturers—Continued.						Other.		Grand total—Iowa.	Originating on own road.	From other roads.
	Brick.	Tile.	Agricultural implements.	Wagons, carriages, tools, etc.	Wines, liquors and beers.	Household goods and furniture.	Ice.	Merchandise.			
Ames & College.....
Atchison, Topeka & Santa Fe.....
Chicago, Anamosa & Northern.....
Chicago, Burlington & Quincy.....
Chicago Great Western.....
Mason City & Fort Dodge.....
Wisconsin, Minnesota & Pacific.....
Chicago, Milwaukee & St. Paul.....
Chicago & North-Western.....
Chicago, St. Paul, Minneapolis & Omaha.....
Chicago, Rock Island & Pacific.....
Colfax Northern.....
Crooked Creek.....
Davenport, Rock Island & Northwestern.....
Des Moines, Iowa Falls & Northern.....
Des Moines Union.....
Des Moines Western.....
Dubuque & Sioux City (Illinois Central).....
Iowa Central.....
Albia & Centerville.....
Manchester & Oneida.....
Minneapolis & St. Louis.....
Missouri, North & South.....
Newton & Northwestern.....
Tabor & Northern.....
Union Pacific.....
Wabash.....
Willmar & Sioux Falls (Great Northern).....
Total.....	843,158	96,070	143,393	81,555	113,611	173,042	23,898	1,642,960	90,749,878	9,093,809	6,803,793

a Includes Mls.

TABLE No. 62—TONNAGE—ENTIRE LINE.

Railroads.	Products of Agriculture.									
	Grain.	Flour.	Other mill products.	Hay.	Tobacco.	Fruit and vegetables.	Grass seed.	Cotton.	Butter.	Eggs.
Ames & College.....	1,255,276	230,987	125,141	146,726	9	509,018	33,438			
Atchison, Topeka & Santa Fe.....		86								
Chicago, Aurora & Northern.....	178,559	263,925	86,617	22,252		92,670			280,129	
Chicago, Burlington & Quincy.....	182,953	53,914	6,525	14,849		12,918			10,800	
Chicago, Great Western.....	182,953	49,086	8,378	4,857		17,088			5,430	
Chicago, Milwaukee & Pacific.....	8,826,481	585,465	283,065	198,819	47,688	849,939	6,930,890		41,896	691,805
Chicago, Milwaukee & St. Paul.....	2,962,864	231,912	254,719	219,660	10,934	560,356	11,963		22,307	17,478
Chicago & North-Western.....	1,518,966	208,751	161,08	87,147	144	130,008				
Chicago, St. P., Minn. & Omaha.....	2,171,843	849,473	256,481	126,869	1,180	231,317	19,818	174,008		
Chicago, Rock Island & Pacific.....	2,171,859	188	100		8	30				
Chicago Northern.....	4,706	53		10						
Crooked Creek.....										
Davenport, Rock Island & N. W.....	23,493	898	422	843		997				
Des Moines, Iowa Falls & Northern.....										
Des Moines Union.....										
Des Moines Western.....										
Dubuque & Sioux City (Illiola Central).....	446,622	75,093	49,265	14,376	271	81,486		808	47,856	
Iowa Central.....	221,616	108,187	17,926	9,274	21	18,987		1,960	1,186	8,457
Albia & Centerville.....	287,897	844	24			206				
Manchester & Oneida.....	479,201	218,882	62,960	14,800	50	43,083		56	4,860	3,431
Minneapolis & St. Louis.....		8,320	491	491		8,098				
Muscatine North & South.....	21,069	524	117	670		106				
Newton & Northwestern.....										
Tabor & Northern.....	1,184,878	167,576	56,878	72,966	2,487	548,176		1,865	685,869	
Union Pacific.....	1,280,951	119,877	231,819	107,610	5,960	182,065		29,312		
Willmar & Sioux Falls (Great Northern).....	287,979	5,532	4,002	9,705		8,283			1,832	
Total.....	15,776,040	2,711,971	1,555,001	1,640,617	69,128	2,703,686	816,586	240,935	118,288	65,781

a Includes eggs and cheese. b Other agricultural products. c Dairy products. d Includes cheese. e Includes eggs.

TABLE No. 63—TONNAGE—ENTIRE LINE—CONTINUED.

Railroads.	Products of Animals.						Products of Mines.						
	Live stock.	Dressed meat.	Other pack- ing house products.	Poultry. game and fish.	Wool.	Hides and leather.	Milk.	Anthracite coal.	Bituminous coal.	Coke.	Ores.	Stone, sand, etc.	Balt.
Ames & College.....	773,612	96,157	45,570	24,277	18,701	25,445		99,474	1,619,523	216,118	245,080	811,499	
Atchafalpa, Topeka & Santa Fe.....	471											110	
Chicago, Annamora & Northern.....	175,481	127,460	95,467	4,788	3,943	6,478		59,714	599,127		6,478	109,168	
Chicago, Burlington & Quincy.....	18,132	41,871	24,396	1,709	276	1,924			70,108			14,711	
Chicago, Great Western.....	28,856	8,977	14,896	1,036	898	1,085			75,468			83,642	
Chicago, Milwaukee & St. Paul.....	1,043,788	182,276	188,247	19,431	11,232	72,866			2,472,160	890,516	1,781,273	1,081,145	109,893
Chicago & North-Western.....	1,193,189	163,053	24,172	60,746	12,239	97,206	210,119	774,472	8,725,885	303,479	7,240,611	1,435,141	94,420
Chicago, St. Paul, Minn. & Omaha.....	283,915	15,607	47,839	17,381	1,596	6,491		152,948	411,012	29,107	23,706	117,781	
Chicago, Rock Island & Pacific.....	846,414	96,919	94,609	11,441	10,360	16,566		313,337	2,690,855	41,590	86,994	612,754	94,121
Colfax Northern.....	617							205	231,651				
Crooked Creek.....	486			8				491	26,674			7,871	19
Davenport, Rock Island & N. W.....	4,616	688	516			131		1,286	97,984	16		2,965	849
Des Moines Union.....													
Des Moines Western.....	174,434		63,109	7,070	7,711	2,245		35,053	250,736	5,281	2,597	50,171	11,746
Dubuque & Sioux City (Ill. Cent.).....	98,564	991	34,104	2,063	1,395	3,815		15,708	778,835	24,600	87	51,097	7,071
Iowa Central.....	436							55	84,286	114		7,670	127
Atbia & Centerville.....													
Manchester & Oneida.....	50,551	19,313	4,885	2,247	596	1,838		28,037	232,161	2,345		18,094	5,741
Minneapolis & St. Louis.....	1,550												
Muscantine North & South.....													
Newton & Northwestern.....	8,579					25		1,689	118,869	15		759	421
Tabor & Northern.....													
Union Pacific.....	624,835	16,537	29,970	89,741	28,917	25,268		23,605	1,899,411	6,739	395,080	313,702	6147,690
Wabash.....	832,758	114,906	184,774		10,066	76,819		209,875	2,981,081	27,697	100,324	400,507	
Willmar & Sioux Falls (Great N.).....	69,961	4,151	1,794	24		101	a 88	23,379	51,215	170		13,618	2,879
Total.....	5,695,250	740,331	884,933	181,197	104,376	319,430	323,418	2,639,870	18,116,873	983,630	4,933,440	4,933,068	475,477

a Other animal products. b Other mineral products.

TABLE NO. 64—TONNAGE—ENTIRE LINE—CONTINUED.

Railroads.	Products of Forest.			Manufactures.							
	Lumber.	Ties, logs cordwood and other forest products.	Telegraph, telephone and electric light poles.	Petroleum and other oils.	Sugar.	Iron, pig and bloom.	Iron and steel rails.	Other castings and machinery.	Bar and sheet metal.	Cement and lime.	Brick.
Ames & College.	493,700			70,843	43,699	19,046	31,215	337,508	55,097	891,898	
Atchison, Topeka & Santa Fe.	225										
Chicago, Anamosa & Northern.	136,839	61,687		30,702	16,053	10,985	13,894	28,449	4,788	64,503	
Chicago, Burlington & Quincy	27,283	13,959		12,432	4,005	1,874	1,874	6,650	207	12,235	
Chicago Great Western	27,721	13,959		10,457	8,197	2,516	1,874	6,650	207	12,235	
Wisconsin, Minnesota & Pacific		2,907,234		2,835	8,710	345,094	165,801	183,918	238,031	11,847	
Chicago, Milwaukee & St. Paul.	2,114,097	2,242,231	230,776	219,045	67,680	895,922	160,081	294,169	491,144	340,800	
Chicago & North-Western.	874,915			27,632	23,493	28,091	19,318	23,848	53,154	135,541	
Chicago, St. P., Minn. & Omaha.	918,103	341,042		102,028	147,912	114,734	84,844	63,028	189,455	606,527	
Chicago, Rock Island & Pacific	3,128	141	28	117	23	14	15	23	1	181	
Colfax Northern.	452										963
Crooked Creek											
Davenport, Rock Island & N. W.				250	435	563	117	549		8,069	
Des Moines, Iowa Falls & Northern.											
Des Moines Union											
Des Moines Western.											
Dubuque & Sioux City (Ill. Cent.)	160,817	929		22,787	34,354	1,643	15,187	23,709	27,935	107,733	
Iowa Central.	170,653	2,579	1,904	25,873	8,577	19,127	16,725	13,833	15,070	11,973	19,713
Albia & Centerville.	1,155	69	81			80		15		189	
Manchester & Oneida.				18,084	10,753	14,058	13,841	17,857	4,385	53,514	47,554
Minneapolis & St. Louis.	254,447	31,539	7,889	535	265	119	106	2,063	77	5,977	
Muscatine North & South.	84,687	10,43		47		156		54		3,479	
Newton & Northwestern.											
Tabor & Northern.											
Union Pacific	444,398	8,261		37,430	78,751	33,293	161,077	118,240	45,713	144,907	193
Wabash	571,577	212,949		70,980	58,008	33,031	40,994	49,703	384,540	580,369	
Willmar & Sioux Falls (Great North'n).	83,749		57,894	2,470	1,883	1,883	1,111	2,508	659	8,081	9,275
Total	4,357,944	6,585,922	272,458	872,886	476,143	904,451	668,469	1,068,629	1,271,749	2,917,017	471,576
a Includes brick and tile.											
b Includes brick and tile.											
c Naval stores.											
d Includes brick and tile.											
e Includes naval stores, lath and shingles, and											
f Includes doors and blinds.											

a Includes brick and tile.
b Includes blinds and sash doors and blinds.

c Naval stores.
d Includes brick.

e Includes naval stores, lath and shingles, and

TABLE No. 65.—TONNAGE—ENTIRE LINE—CONTINUED.

Railroads.	Manufactures—Continued.					Other Items.		Total Tonnage.	Originating on own road.	Received from other lines.
	Title.	Agricultural implements.	Wagons, cars, etc.	Wines, liquors and beers.	Household goods and furniture.	Ice.	Merchandise.	Miscellaneous.		
Ames & College.....		51,787	25,777	59,886	70,181		634,551	733,941	9,151,016	2,697,166
Atchison, Topeka & Santa Fe.....							147	52	6,558,890	890
Chicago, Anamosa & Northern.....										
Chicago, Burlington & Quincy.....										
Chicago Great Western.....										
Mason City & Fort Dodge.....		10,885	10,140	6,197	12,892		270,122	227,809	2,815,707	
Wisconsin, Minnesota & Pacific.....		2,661	6,258		7,990		104,818	59,600	680,667	
Chicago, Milwaukee & St. Paul.....	2,702	2,515	1,773	8,055			81,098	76,694	493,237	
Chicago & North-Western.....	8,514	167,514	585,755	637,716	106,931	224,492	1,669,406	697,234	22,803,928	20,123,782
Chicago, St. Paul, Minn. & Omaha.....		173,648	805,458	295,238	192,234	539,886	1,761,763	1,229,835	37,073,894	4,037,397
Chicago, Rock Island & Pacific.....		32,802	8,916	24,496	49,596		892,519	969,059	6,613,861	4,064,894
Colfax Northern.....		106,584	88,647	17,269	106,974		879,999	1,189,236	13,184,146	8,093,111
Crooked Creek.....		38	12	1	341		490	508	225,932	8,813
Davenport, Rock Island & North-Western.....	4,476			61			675	219	47,967	1,753
Des Moines, Iowa Falls & Northern.....		891	15	48	716		13,398	3,991	197,103	15,990
Des Moines Union.....										
Des Moines Western.....										
Dubuque & Sioux City (Ill. Cent.).....	10,976	11,402	7,637	10,977	8,518	2,463	180,676	97,032	1,975,521	1,097,851
Iowa Central.....	16,406	15,169	5,201	16,787	9,206	8,675	120,342	173,378	2,060,084	1,592,017
Albia & Centerville.....			33		284		1,944	3,017	51,723	13,268
Manchester & Oneida.....	29,991	8,830	2,138	13,905	18,780	3,468	179,990	36,050	1,967,699	857,900
Minneapolis & St. Louis.....		432	9				6,166	19,884	112,180	46,451
Muscataine North & South.....		185						2,234	154,756	141,936
Newton & Northwestern.....			75	61	439					12,871
Tabor & Northern.....										
Union Pacific.....		25,746	25,248	64,969	49,694		388,032	368,589	7,851,914	8,401,115
Wabash.....		35,618	13,476	97,448	81,407		642,121	1,498,489	10,297,436	
William & Sioux Falls (Great Northern).....		2,645	708	1,331	81,619	8,046	27,686	18,773	643,383	
Total.....	136,234	660,484	1,047,460	1,266,177	661,110	883,038	7,395,914	7,438,155	111,870,890	22,807,543

TABLE No. 68—CONSUMPTION OF FUEL BY LOCOMOTIVES—IOWA.

Railroads.	Bituminous Coal.		Wood.		Total Fuel Consumed.		Miles run.	Average pounds consumed per mile.	
	Tons.	Average cost.	Hard.		Soft.	Tons.			Av. price as distributed.
			Cords.	Average cost.					
Ames & College	14,009.85	1.54	239.80	1.75		14,133.65	1.55	246,897.00	114.96
Achilles, Topeka & Santa Fe	210.00	2.50			13.00	216.50		2,081.00	208.00
Chicago, Anamosa & Northern									
Chicago, Burlington & Quincy	179,787.00	1.70	911.00	2.86		180,243.50		2,553,475.00	141.23
Chicago Great Western	79,012.00	2.25	440.00	2.87		79,232.00	2.36	1,589,233.00	113.83
Mason City & Fort Dodge	5,795.00	2.25	63.00	2.86		5,858.00		71,783.00	106.67
Wisconsin, Minnesota & Pacific									
Chicago, Milwaukee & St. Paul	643,495.00	1.86	1,765.00	2.72		646,487.00		11,316,567.00	114.25
Chicago, & North Western	27,648.00	2.27	415.00	1.49		27,856.00	2.77	573,193.00	97.29
Chicago St. Paul, Minneapolis & Omaha	569,897.03	1.53	5,438.20	2.30		635,235.23		9,453,195.00	137.50
Chicago, Rock Island & Pacific	2,681.00	1.52	60.00	2.50		2,741.00		28,239.00	254.90
Colfax Northern	1,771.88	2.00				1,772.88		1,000.00	251.34
Crooked Creek	1,741.08	1.83				1,741.08	1.83	35,858.00	98.37
Davenport, Rock Island & Northwestern	63.20	2.01				63.20	2.00	1,490.46	85.00
Des Moines, Iowa Falls & Northern									
Des Moines Union									
Des Moines Western									
Dubuque & Sioux City (Illinois Central)	253,487.00	1.33	1,472.00	2.13		254,959.00	1.34	3,715,613.00	124.97
Iowa Central	183,285.00	1.50			1,006.00	183,791.00	1.52	2,075,645.00	133.73
Albia & Centerville									
Manchester & Oneida	82,453.00	2.28				82,514.00	2.29	585,119.00	914.55
Manassas & St. Louis	5,780.00	1.84	122.00	2.40		5,934.00		41,110.00	184.60
Muscatine North & South	7,680.00	1.86	68.00	2.00		7,680.00	1.86	133,497.00	123.77
Newton & Northwestern									
Tabor & Northern	670.00	3.23				670.00	3.23	11,517.80	114.86
Union Pacific	16,461.62	1.48	16.87	1.25		16,469.40	1.43	211,142.00	158.01
Wabash									
Willmar & Sioux Falls (Great Northern)									
Total	2,012,690.06		8,467.80		19,104.76	3,037,408.45		32,879,741.46	

TABLE No. 67—TONNAGE CROSSING

Railroads.	Mississippi River.			
	Location of Bridge.	East bound.	West bound.	Total.
Ames & College				
Atchison, Topeka & Santa Fe	Fort Madison	581, 190	560, 501	1, 140, 691
Chicago, Anamosa & Northern				
Chicago, Burlington & Quincy	Burlington	1, 458, 981	1, 461, 587	2, 920, 568
Chicago Great Western				
Mason City & Fort Dodge				
Wisconsin, Minnesota & Pacific	Sabula	1, 507, 515	1, 553, 480	3, 060, 995
Chicago, Milwaukee & St. Paul	North McGregor	241, 245	189, 000	430, 245
Chicago & North-Western	Clinton	1, 750, 574	1, 328, 847	3, 079, 421
Chicago, St. P., Minneapolis & Omaha				
Chicago, Rock Island & Pacific	Davenport	2, 160, 714	1, 248, 206	3, 408, 920
Celfax Northern				
Crooked Creek				
Davenport, Rock Island & N. W.				
Des Moines, Iowa Falls & Northern				
Des Moines Union				
Des Moines Western				
Dubuque & Sioux City (Ill. Cent.)	Dubuque	861, 400	703, 533	1, 564, 933
Iowa Central	Keithsburg	897, 229	600, 177	1, 497, 406
Albia & Centerville				
Manchester & Oneida				
Minneapolis & St. Louis				
Muscatine North & South				
Newton & Northwestern				
Tabor & Northern				
Union Pacific				
Wabash				
Willmar & Sioux Falls (Great Northern)				
Total		8, 977, 090	7, 592, 190	16, 569, 280

MISSISSIPPI AND MISSOURI RIVERS.

Missouri River.			
Location of Bridge.	East bound.	West bound.	Total.
.....
.....
.....
.....
.....
Council Bluffs.	855,971	297,198	658,164
Blair	475,837	299,489	774,836
Omaha	77,887	77,949	155,816
Sioux City	205,254	188,614	453,868
.....
.....
.....
.....
.....
.....
Council Bluffs.	66,450	70,640	137,090
.....
.....
.....
.....
.....
Council Bluffs.	1,805,445
.....
Total	1,940,298	988,885	3,979,719

TABLE No 68—

Railroads.	Killed.					
	Passengers.	Employees.	Others.	Total.	Cause of Death.	
					Derrailment.	Collision. Caught in frog or switch.
Ames & College						
Atchison, Topeka & Santa Fe						
Chicago, Anamosa & Northern						
Chicago, Burlington & Quincy	1	12	15	28	3	1
Chicago Great Western		5		5		
Mason City & Fort Dodge		1		1		
Wisconsin, Minnesota & Pacific						
Chicago, Milwaukee & St. Paul	3	6	17	26		1
Chicago, & North-Western		14	88	102	8	
Chicago, St. P., Minn. & Omaha		1		1		
Chicago, Rock Island & Omaha	4	28	26	58	7	6
Celfax Northern						
Crooked Creek						
Davenport, Rock Island & N. W.			2	2		
Des Moines, Iowa Falls & Northern						
Des Moines Union						
Des Moines Western						
Dubuque and Sioux City (Illinois Central)		3	7	10		
Iowa Central		6	1	7	2	
Albia & Centerville						
Manchester & Oneida						
Minneapolis & St. Louis			2	2		
Muscatine North & South						
Newton & Northwestern		1		1		
Taber & Northern			1	1		
Union Pacific			1	1		
Wabash			1	1		
Willmar & Sioux Falls (Great Northern)		2		2		
Total	7	74	108	190	21	7

ACCIDENTS.

Killed.										Injured.														
Cause of Death.										Cause of Injury.														
Coupling car.	Falling from train.	Getting on or off trains.	Highway crossings.	Miscellaneous.	Overhead obstructions.	Stealing ride.	While intoxicated.	Trespassers on track.	Passengers.	Employees.	Others.	Total Injured.	Derailment.	Collision.	Ought in frog of switch.	Coupling car.	Falling from train.	Getting on or off trains.	Highway crossings.	Miscellaneous.	Overhead obstructions.	Stealing ride.	While intoxicated.	Trespassers on track.
1	1	3	3	4	8				1	86	4	88			1									
	1				8				21	434	66	571	8	14		15								
					8				11	88	8	52	3	8		3								
					8				6	17	1	34	1	2		1								
					8				20	187	23	196	6	28		16								
					8				54	430	60	556	5	19		37								
					8				4	8	18	72	1	1		1								
					8				18	1		1												
					8				1	2		1												
					8				5	88	8	42												
					8				6	61	5	72												
					8				1	6	4	12												
					8				1	87	2	37												
					8				1	9		10												
					8					8		8												
5	11	15	18	52	1	8	8	53	146	1,376	180	1,718	46	82		45	109	186	34	1,207	6	24	31	3

TABLE No. 1—INCOME—(Electric Lines.)

	Gross earnings.	Operating ex- penses.	Net earnings.	Miscellaneous income.	Gross income less operating ex- penses.	Deductions from income.				Net income.	Dividends paid.	Surplus.	Surplus at begin- ning of year.	Credits.	Debits.	Surplus at close of year.
						Taxes.	Interest.	Other deduc- tions.	Total.							
Boone Suburban.....	\$ 6,307.06	\$ 2,836.90	\$ 2,927.00	\$ 2,927.00	\$ 301.70	\$ 301.70	\$ 2,725.30
Cedar Rapids & Iowa City.....	71,897.08	30,672.58	31,913.50	31,913.50	31,913.50
Cedar Rapids & Marion.....	28,192.67	29,450.47	8,742.20	8,742.20	531.59	531.59	8,211.61
Iowa & Illinois.....	40,485.68	39,787.95	698.32	698.32	698.32
Inter-urban.....	103,941.96	75,633.06	68,519.90	9100.41	68,430.81	2,141.60	328,191.74	167.68	29,431.11	28,998.30	\$ 698.32
Mason City & Clear Lake.....	85,921.44	30,006.84	5,354.60	5,354.60	5,354.60
Tama & Toledo.....	11,001.05	9,512.47	1,690.68	1,690.68	239.67	239.67	751.91
Waterloo, Cedar Falls & Northern.....	180,194.11	28,806.30	61,277.81	61,297.81	20,000.00	61,297.81	108,654.61
Total.....	\$497,644.96	\$16,795.05	\$190,646.91	\$100.41	\$180,969.31	\$4,213.46	\$54,191.74	\$27.63	\$80,409.07	\$160,437.35	\$698.32	\$108,958.96

a Includes taxes.

TABLE No. 2—EARNINGS—(Electric Lines.)

	Car Earnings.						Miscellaneous earnings.	Total earnings.
	Passenger.	Chartered cars.	Freight.	Mail.	Baggage and Express.	Other cars.	Switching.	
Boone Suburban.....	\$ 6,307.00	\$ 6,307.00
Cedar Rapids & Iowa City.....	63,161.10	\$ 9,001.84	\$ 431.14	71,597.08
Cedar Rapids & Marion.....	83,080.15	4,003.96	87,184.11
Iowa & Illinois.....	83,041.53	\$ 184.06	915.06	84,140.65
Inter-Urban.....	111,837.86	889.52	30,265.20	101.46	\$ 2,387.86	144,043.84
Mason City & Clear Lake.....	27,441.04	7,860.45	240.00	35,541.44
Mason & Toledo.....
Waterloo, Cedar Falls & Northern.....	91,754.70	54,487.00	8,932.85	155,174.55
Total	\$ 871,042.86	\$ 993.52	\$ 100,804.67	\$ 249.90	\$ 5,545.51	\$ 6,240.21	\$ 2,005.03	\$ 997,745.87

TABLE No. 3-OPERATING EXPENSES-(Electric Lines.)

	Maintenance.									
	Way and Structures.					Equipment.				
	Track and roadway.	Electric line.	Buildings and fixtures.	Total.	Steam plant.	Electric plant.	Cars.	Electric equipment of cars.	Miscellaneous equipment.	Shop expense.
Boone Suburban.....	\$ 200.00	\$ 180.00	\$ 380.00	197.51	130.77	\$ 2,692.07	\$ 1,191.19	\$ 188.76	\$ 741.98
Cedar Rapids & Iowa City.....	7,135.40	539.26	41.83	5,804.99	197.51	130.77	2,750.59	2,871.11	5,538.53
Cedar Rapids and Marion.....	5,573.87	794.96	450.55	5,019.04	111.04	55.04	2,750.59	2,871.11	5,499.74
Iowa & Illinois.....	1,031.54	7.54	20.49	938.56	222.15	4.87	2,831.07	1,449.61	1,715.08
Inter-Urban.....	11,164.28	617.06	544.85	12,326.19	55.92	55.92	2,875.04	1,581.69	636.54	4,999.61
Mason City & Clear Lake.....	2,682.47	108.48	487.85	3,280.80	564.00	1,879.41	1,532.41	701.80	5,998.80
Tama & Toledo.....	14,766.21	2,899.70	390.02	18,075.93	48.86	5,497.74	114.90	5,568.96
Waterloo, Cedar Falls & Northern.....	\$10,643.84	\$ 499.48	\$ 1,946.56	\$13,090.88	\$86.99	\$288.89	\$17,839.84	\$ 7,225.04	\$ 1,697.04	\$ 1,147.51
Total.....				\$68,088.85	\$86.99	\$288.89	\$17,839.84	\$ 7,225.04	\$ 1,697.04	\$ 1,147.51

TABLE No. 4. OPERATING EXPENSES (Electric Lines.) CONTINUED.

	Transportation.									
	Operation of Power Plant									
	Wages.	Fuel.	Water.	Lubricants and waste.	Miscellaneous Sup-plies etc.	Hired power.	Total.			
Boone Suburban.....	\$ 679.44	2,268.59	\$ 53.60	6.14	\$ 5,097.71			
Cedar Rapids & Iowa City.....	1,012.81	1,804.10	395.00	140.28	78.42	2,659.54			
Cedar Rapids and Marion.....	4,066.73	5,338.56	240.50	854.18	2,963.12	10,182.08			
Iowa & Illinois.....	1,308.24	4,874.53	6,878.89			
Inter-Urban.....	2,335.39	19,697.01	\$ 80.94	890.80	22,003.64			
Mason City & Clear Lake.....	22,819.26	\$ 30.04	1,390.85	24,240.15			
Tama & Toledo.....	42,268.88			
Waterloo, Cedar Falls & Northern.....			
Total.....	\$ 10,899.10	\$22,819.26	\$ 30.04	\$ 688.11	\$ 1,390.85	\$ 2,031.54	\$ 42,268.88			

*Reports from December 22, 1903 to June 20, 1904.

TABLE NO. 5. OPERATING EXPENSES (Electric Lines.) CONTINUED.

Transportation—Continued.										
Operation of Cars.										
	Superintendent.	Wages of conductors.	Wages of motormen.	Wages of other car employees.	Wages of car house employees.	Car service supplies.	Car service expenses.	Hired equipment.	Removal of snow and ice.	Total.
Boone Suburban	\$ 720.00	1,140.00	1,140.00	518.57	1,184.78	705.83	\$ 4,849.96	198.70	\$ 892.08	8,000.00
Cedar Rapids & Iowa City	8,718.61	4,332.80	4,697.24	717.76	884.88	342.80	545.17	21,901.64
Cedar Rapids & Marion	4,085.89	4,840.25	249.11	698.72	1,611.15	90.18	10,925.09
Iowa & Illinois	4,849.24	707.06	607.26	8,084.29	1,949.44	6,908.48
Inter-Urban	3,932.88	10,401.90	10,429.73	9,133.57	34.50	43.07	94.32	788.37	40,163.98
Mason City & Clear Lake	1,717.47	2,445.07	5,840.46
Tama & Toledo
Tama & Cedar Falls & Northern	4,318.26	7,890.16	7,203.20	4,328.00	8,082.13	291.08	26,597.00
Waterloo, Cedar Falls & Northern
Total	\$ 12,509.85	\$ 23,873.26	\$ 30,518.27	\$ 14,715.80	\$ 2,086.33	\$ 2,064.19	\$ 9,878.74	\$ 1,877.73	\$ 4,471.29	\$ 114,138.45

*Reports from December 32, 1903 to June 30, 1904.

c Includes wages of motormen.

b Includes labor & express sub. stations.

c Includes clear tag & sanding track.

TABLE NO. 6—OPERATING EXPENSES—(Electric Lines)—CONTINUED.

	General,							
	Salaries of general officers.	Salaries of clerks.	Printing and stationery.	Office ex- penses.	Stores ex- penses.	Stable ex- penses.	Advertising and other miscellaneous.	Miscellaneous.
Boone Suburban	\$ 4,194.00	\$ 75.47	\$ 52.16	101.47	\$ 63.93	\$ 50.39
Cedar Rapids & Iowa City	61,808.19	108.50	108.50	89.41	828.55
Cedar Rapids & Marion	8,863.46	\$ 1,770.61	949.64	1,089.93	951.18	159.96
Iowa & Illinois	8,855.00	1,081.43	734.17	498.84	136.85	\$ 24.00	1,182.14	548.91
Inter-urban	1,880.85	600.30	200.00	411.51	51.39	260.68
Macon City & Clear Lake
Mason City & Toledo
Tama & Toledo
Waterloo, Cedar Falls & Northern	8,481.07	489.89	2,808.02	5,070.21
Total	\$12,453.47	\$ 3,482.24	\$ 2,546.18	\$ 1,905.84	\$ 288.31	\$ 24.00	\$ 4,518.17	\$ 6,808.64

*Reports from December 22, 1903 to June 30, 1905. a Includes salaries of clerks.

TABLE NO. 7—OPERATING EXPENSES—(Electric Lines)—CONTINUED.

	General—CONTINUED.							
	Damage.	Legal ex- penses in damages.	Other legal expenses.	Rent of land and build- ing.	Rent of tracks and termi- nals.	Insurance.	Total.	Grand Total.
Boone Suburban	\$ 3,122.42	200.00	\$ 125.00	\$ 3,448.42	\$ 6,893.43
Cedar Rapids & Iowa City	58.60	7.00	6.41	\$ 104.47	408.06	1,411.71	89,078.68
Cedar Rapids & Marion	816.15	993.06	186.24	450.86	4,746.31	28,918.88
Iowa & Illinois	4,965.10	915.00	\$ 9,708.08	549.89	20,743.28	59,787.86
Inter-urban	6,148.88	1,900.00	2,988.12	1,080.00	15,208.99	78,693.01
Macon City & Clear Lake	6,451.17	289.60	984.87	11,019.44	20,061.84
Mason City & Toledo
Tama & Toledo
Waterloo, Cedar Falls & Northern	477.18	2,996.41	14,800.76	88,806.30
Total	\$ 7,041.87	\$ 1,489.16	\$ 144.65	\$ 2,519.47	\$ 9,711.74	\$ 5,087.68	\$ 37,871.24	\$ 800,798.41

*Reports from December 22, 1903 to June 30, 1905. a Includes legal expenses in damage cases.

TABLE No. 8.—CONSTRUCTION AND EQUIPMENT. (Electric Lines).

Total Cost to June 30, 1935										
Organization	Engineering and superintendence.	Right of way	Trunk and roadway construction.	Real estate owned in operation of road.	Buildings and fixtures used in operation of road.	Investment, real estate.	Power plant equipment.	Electric line construction.		
Boone Suburban.....		
Cedar Rapids & Iowa City.....		
Cedar Rapids & Marion.....		
• Iowa & Illinois.....	\$ 18,557.45	1,207,108.48	\$ 737,454.74	\$ 2,075.00	\$ 58,477.88	\$ 1,500.00	\$ 104,496.59	\$ 91,133.53		
Inter-Urban.....	8,725.58	78,517.92	419,940.84	283.62	11,804.41	21,192.06	47,008.33		
Mason City & Clear Lake.....		
Tama & Toledo.....		
Waterloo, Cedar Falls & Northern.....		
Total.....	\$ 27,093.04	\$ 63,893.26	\$ 1,212,230.90	\$ 2,601.43	\$ 44,781.06	\$ 1,500.00	\$ 184,648.07	\$ 139,447.88		
• Reports from December 24, 1935 to June 30, 1936.										

• Reports from December 24, 1935 to June 30, 1936.

TABLE No. 9.—CONSTRUCTION AND EQUIPMENT—CONTINUED.

Total Cost to June 30, 1935									
Shop tools and machinery.	Cars.	Electric equipment of cars.	Miscellaneous equipment.	Interest and discount.	Miscellaneous.	Other.	Total.	Additions for years.
Boone Suburban.....
Cedar Rapids & Iowa City.....
Cedar Rapids & Marion.....
• Iowa & Illinois.....	\$ 953.48	\$ 445,694.97	\$ 129,599.75	\$ 2,784.70	\$ 61,683.47	\$ 14,591.12	\$ 1,878,334.41
Inter-Urban.....	23,563.53	18,980.24	10,202.08	54,004.00	2,083.84	717,905.25
Mason City & Clear Lake.....	807,823.97
Tama & Toledo.....
Waterloo, Cedar Falls & Northern.....	683.44	7,687.63	25.98	8,184.81	31,886.37	31,647.09	164,647.09
Total.....	\$ 1,088,269.75	\$ 777,18,544,815.94	\$ 22,193.04	\$ 144,421.74	\$ 68,131.95	\$ 2,022,323.31

• Reports from December 24, 1935 to June 30, 1936.

• Fuel and trains.

TABLE No. 10—CAPITAL STOCK AND FUNDED DEBT (Electric Lines.)

	Capital Stock.							Dividends paid during year.	Total number of stockholders.	Number of stockholders in Iowa.	Amount of stock held in Iowa.
	Total par value authorized.	Numbers of shares issued.	Par value.	Total par value issued.	Miles.	Per Mile.	Amount				
Boone Suburban.....	\$ 15,000	\$ 15,000
Cedar Rapids & Iowa City.....	1,800,000	15,000	100.00	1,800,000	36,012	\$41.652.80	15	14	\$1,459,985.00
Cedar Rapids & Marion.....	1,000,000	5,410	100.00	541,000	33,754	16,027.73
Iowa & Illinois.....	1,000,000	2,000	100.00	200,000	17,340	11,534.08
Inter-Urban.....	200,000	400	100.00	40,000	2,750	14,545.45
Mason City & Clear Lake.....	40,000
Tama & Toledo.....
Waterloo, Cedar Falls & Northern.....
Total.....	\$3,055,000	34,810	\$ 100.00	\$2,990,000	129,510	\$17,737.53	28	22	\$3,839,985.00

• Reports from December 23, 1903, to June 30, 1905.

TABLE No. 11—CAPITAL STOCK AND FUNDED DEBT—(Electric Lines)—CONTINUED.

	Debt.				Stock and Debt Per Mile.		
	Amount authorized.	Amount outstanding.	Total.	Interest accrued.	Miles.	Debt per mile.	Amount.
Boone Suburban.....
Cedar Rapids & Iowa City.....
Cedar Rapids & Marion.....
Iowa & Illinois.....	\$3,000,000.00	\$1,050,000.00	5%	4.70	33,150.95	\$5,012,470,509.75
Inter-Urban.....
Mason City & Clear Lake.....	150,000.00	80,000.00	17,805.25	83,751,83,833.03
Tama & Toledo.....	10,000.00	10,000.00	4,738.94	17,140,15,293.56
Waterloo, Cedar Falls & Northern.....	500,000.00	600,000.00	8,680.26	4,750,13,181.18
Total.....	\$3,910,000.00	\$2,542,000.00	\$23,923.49	124,310,815,000.43	139,515	45,338.77

• Reports from December 23, 1903, to June 30, 1905.

TABLE No. 12—DESCRIPTION OF ROAD AND EQUIPMENT.

	Miles.											
	Road Owned.						Road Leased.					
	First main track.	Second main track.	Total main track.	Sidings and turnouts.	Total single track.	First main track.	Second main track.	Total main track.	Siding and turnouts.	Total single track.	Lane operated under trackage rights.	Total line operated.
Boone Suburban.....	4.700		4.70		4.70							4.70
Cedar Rapids & Iowa City.....	27.050		27.05	1.90	29.55							29.55
Cedar Rapids & Marion.....												
Iowa & Illinois.....	21.908		21.908	9.044	30.012							
Inter-Urban.....	23.538		23.538		23.538						8.827	23.839
Mason City & Clear Lake.....	14.020		14.02	2.73	17.84							17.84
Tama & Toledo.....	2.740		2.76		2.76							2.76
Waterloo, Cedar Falls & Northern.....	84.330	.55	84.91	4.75	32.06	19.84		19.84	.554	30.39	7.16	38.01
Total.....	150.864	.55	151.414	12.414	103.898	19.84		19.84	.550	20.39	11.787	186.005

* Reports from December 22, 1903 to June 30, 1905.

TABLE No. 13.—DESCRIPTION OF ROAD AND EQUIPMENT (CONTINUED.)

	Cars.															
	Passenger Cars.								Other Cars.							
	Closed.				Open.				Total.				Freight.			
	A	B	A	B	A	B	A	B	A	B	A	B	A	B	A	B
Boone Suburban.....	1				1				1							
Cedar Rapids & Iowa City.....	2				2				2							
Cedar Rapids & Marion.....	4				4				4							
* Iowa & Illinois.....	4				4				4							
Inter-urban.....	4				4				4							
Mason City & Clear Lake.....	1				1				1							
Tama & Toledo.....	5				5				5							
Waterloo, Cedar Falls & Northern.....	11	6	8	1	29				29				136			
Total.....	35	10	8	1	64				64				1854			

*Reports from December 23, 1903 to June 30, 1904. A With electric equipment. B Without electric equipment.

TABLE No. 14.—MILEAGE TRAFFIC AND MISCELLANEOUS— (Electric Lines.)

	Mileage.		Hours.		Passengers Carried.		Fare and earnings.		Earnings Per Car-Hour.			
	Passenger car.	Freight mail and express.	Total car.	Passenger car.	Transfer.	Total number carried.	Average fare passenger.	Average fare all passengers.	Car earnings per mile.	Miscellaneous earnings per car-mile.	Gross earning per car-mile.	Gross earnings per car-hour.
Boone Suburban.....	251,648	16,088	268,731	225.18		225,186	\$.2486	\$.2486			\$.253	
Cedar Rapids & Iowa City.....	190,188	11,906	202,044	277.04		277,086	1.194	1.194			\$.283	
* Cedar Rapids & Marion.....	124,522	11,880	136,402	147.84		147,844	.218	.218			\$.2787	
* Iowa & Illinois.....	629,041	48,899	677,929	1,455.95	160,727	1,407,679	.0886	.0791			\$.2128	
Inter-urban.....												
Mason City & Clear Lake.....												
Tama & Toledo.....												
Waterloo, C. F. & N.....												
Total.....	1,196,844	77,303	1,274,005	1,997.04	160,727	2,037,908						

from December 22, 1903, to June 30, 1904.

TABLE No. 15—MILEAGE TRAFFIC & MISCELLANEOUS—(CONTINUED)—AND ACCIDENTS (Electric Lines.)

	Operating Expenses.					Officials & Employees during year.		Accidents to Persons.					
	Per Car Mile	And Taxes Per car mile	Per Car Hour.	And Taxes Per Car Hour.	Percent of Gross Earnings.	Average Number.	Aggregate Salaries and Wages.	Killed	Injured	Total	Passengers.	Employees.	Others.
Boone Suburban.....	163		\$	\$	57.16		\$						
Cedar Rapids & Iowa City.....	1431	14570			75.72								
Cedar Rapids & Marion.....	3784				93.13								
* Iowa & Illinois.....	1115	1163	1.135		53.50		4116,351.12						
Inter-Urban.....					64.70								
Mason City & Clear Lake.....													
Tama & Toledo.....													
Waterloo, Cedar Falls & Northern.....													
Total.....						4116,351.12		1	212	4	2	1	1

* Reports from December 22, 1903 to June 30, 1904.

TABLE No. 16—COMPARATIVE GENERAL BALANCE SHEET—Assets—(Electric Lines) Close of Year Ending June 30, 1905

	Construction & Equipment.		Other Permanent Investments.	Current Assets.				
	Total.	Increase for year.		Cash.	Bills receivable.	Accounts receivable.	Material and supplies.	
Boone Suburban.....								
Cedar Rapids & Iowa City.....								
Cedar Rapids & Marion.....								
* Iowa & Ill.....	\$ 1,225,505.24	\$ 144,634.21		\$ 1,497.84	\$ 4,779.8	\$ 4,007.61		
Inter-Urban.....	\$ 1,397,832.97			4,172.22	440.00	18,680.56	4,006.83	
Mason City & Clear Lake.....				4,892.94	2,761.84	1,839.81		
Tama & Toledo.....								
Waterloo Cedar Falls & Northern.....	2,048,741.47			4,480.82	1,602.80	26,102.90		
Total.....	\$ 3,641,299.69	\$ 144,634.21		\$14,518.32	\$40.00	\$27,454.50	\$34,737.14	

* Reports from December 22, 1903 to June 30, 1905.

TABLE No. 17—COMPARATIVE GENERAL BALANCE SHEET—ASSETS—CONTINUED.

	Current Assets.				Total assets.	Increase.	Decrease.
	Prepaid accounts.	Miscellaneous.	Sinking and other special funds.	Debits.			
Boone Suburban							
Cedar Rapids & Iowa City							
Cedar Rapids & Marion							
Iowa & Illinois					\$ 14,001.77		
Inter-Urban	\$ 1,321.77	\$ 1,905.59			1,321.77		
Mason City & Clear Lake	a 7,000.00				1,321.77		
Tama & Toledo					1,321.77		
Waterloo, Cedar Falls & Northern	b 127.40				1,321.77		
Total	\$ 1,321.77	\$ 1,905.59			\$ 3,720,539.47	\$ 157,436.83	\$ 3,175.06

• Reports from December 22, 1903 to June 30, 1905. a O. & N. W. R'y. Co., Land Commission b Due from stations.

TABLE No. 18—COMPARATIVE GENERAL BALANCE SHEET—LIABILITIES—(Electric Lines)
CLOSE OF YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1905.

	Capital Stock.		Funded Debt.	Real estate, mortgages.	Current Liabilities.					
	Preferred.	Common.			Loans and notes payable.	Accounts payable.	Matured in- terest on funded debt unpaid.	Miscellaneous matured in- terest unpaid.	Rentals due and unpaid.	Dividends unpaid.
Boone Suburban										
Cedar Rapids & Iowa City										
Cedar Rapids & Marion										
Iowa & Illinois										
Inter-Urban										
Mason City & Clear Lake	\$ 300,000	\$ 511,000.00	\$ 601,000.00		\$ 2,767.81	9,453.50				
Mason & Toledo			\$2,000.00		\$0,000.00	7,972.15				
Tama					\$0,640.00	2,289.13		\$ 283.57		
Waterloo, Cedar Falls & Northern			\$00,000.00							
Total	\$ 200,000	\$ 1,741,000.00	\$ 1,202,000.00		\$ 43,407.81	\$ 44,880.20	\$ 67,283.94	\$ 283.57		

* Reports from December 22, 1903 to June 30, 1905.

TABLE NO. 19—COMPARATIVE GENERAL BALANCE SHEET—LIABILITIES—CONTINUED.

	Current Liabilities.		Accrued Liabilities, Not yet Due.				Surplus.	Total liabilities.	Increase.	Decrease.
	Miscellaneous.	Taxes.	Interest on funded debts.	Miscellaneous interest.	Rentals.	Miscellaneous.				
Boone Suburban.....										
Cedar Rapids & Iowa City.....										
Cedar Rapids & Marion.....										
* Iowa & Illinois.....	\$ 120.00					\$ 961.58	698.82	14,009.77		
Inter-Urban.....	b 430.00		\$ 7,613.50				81,379.30	1,350,194.85	157,436.93	\$41,479.88
Mason City & Clear Lake.....	d 8,504.37		2,645.00				64,905.99	876,283.96		
Tama & Toledo.....										
Waterloo, Cedar Falls & Northern.....	80,873.92						108,654.64	2,061,054.89		
Total.....	\$ 84,438.19		\$10,177.50			\$ 961.58	\$ 235,538.15	\$ 3,730,539.47	\$ 157,436.93	\$41,479.88

* Reports from December 28, 1903 to June 30, 1905. b Motormen and conductors departments. c Reserves.

d Includes bills payable, unpaid wages, joint freight balances.

MILEAGE, OFFICERS AND DIRECTORS

OF

STEAM RAILWAY COMPANIES.



MILEAGE, OFFICERS AND DIRECTORS OF RAILWAY COMPANIES. ,

AMES & COLLEGE RAILWAY COMPANY.

PROPERTY OPERATED.

1. Railroad line represented by capital stock
a Main line.

Name.	Terminals.		Miles of line for each road named.
	From—	To—	
Ames & College.....	East Ames.....	West Ames	1.98

OFFICERS.

Title.	Name.	Location of Office.
Chairman of the board.....	W. M. Greeley.....	Ames, Iowa
President.....	W. M. Greeley.....	Ames, Iowa
First vice-president.	E. W. Stanton.....	Ames, Iowa
Secretary.....	M. K. Smith.....	Ames, Iowa
Treasurer.....	H. Westerman.....	Ames, Iowa
General manager.....	M. K. Smith.....	Ames, Iowa
Assistant general manager.....	H. Westerman.....	Ames, Iowa

ORGANIZATION.

Names of Directors.	Postoffice Address.	Date of Expiration of Term.
W. M. Greeley.	Ames, Iowa.....	January 9, 1906
E. W. Stanton.....	Ames, Iowa.....	January 9, 1906
M. K. Smith.....	Ames, Iowa.....	January 9, 1906
H. Knapp.....	Ames, Iowa.....	January 9, 1906
H. Westerman.....	Ames, Iowa.....	January 9, 1906
C. F. Curtiss.....	Ames, Iowa.....	January 9, 1906
G. H. France.....	Des Moines, Iowa	January 9, 1906

1. Total number of stockholders at date of last election, 84.
2. Date of last meeting of stockholders for election of directors, January 9, 1905.
3. Give postoffice address of general office, Ames, Iowa.
4. Give postoffice address of operating office, Ames, Iowa.

ATCHISON TOPEKA & SANTA FE RAILWAY COMPANY.

PROPERTY OPERATED.

1. Railroad line represented by capital stock:
 - a Main line.
 - b Branches and spurs.
2. Proprietary companies whose entire capital stock is owned by this company.
3. Line operated under trackage rights.

Name.	Terminals.		Miles of line for each road named.	Miles of line for each class of road named.
	From—	To—		
1 a The Atchison Topeka & Santa Fe Railway Company.	Chicago (Stewart Av.)	Ft. Madison, Ia.	28.18	
	Ft. Madison, Ia.	Big Blue Jct., Mo.	213.75	
	Kansas City, Mo.	Emporia, Kas.	114.71	
	North Ottawa, Kas.	Wellington, Kas.	211.15	
	Chanute, Kas.	Longton, Kas.	44.18	
	Emporia, Kas.	Newton, Kas.	74.04	
	Newton, Kas.	Arkansas City, Kas.	77.05	
	Florence, Kas.	Winfield, Kas.	72.73	
	Arkansas City, Kas.	Parcell, I. T.	153.62	
	Wellington, Kas.	State Line, Tex.	186.89	
	Newton, Kas.	La Junta, Colo.	267.50	
	Hutchinson, Kas.	Kingsley, Kas.	83.65	
	La Junta, Colo.	Denver, Colo.	182.28	
	La Junta, Colo.	Albuquerque, N. M.	245.78	
	Albuquerque, N. M.	State Line, Tex.	225.18	
	Rinson, N. M.	Deming, N. M.	54.66	
				2,650.25
1 b The Atchison Topeka & Santa Fe Railway Company.	Ancona, Ill.	Streator Jct., Ill.	31.80	
	Pekin Jct., Ill.	Pekin, Ill.	21.33	
	Lexington Jct., Mo.	St. Joseph, Mo.	76.40	
	St. Joseph, Mo.	Emporia, Kas.	110.98	
	Holliday, Kas.	Topeka, Kas.	51.80	
	Wilder, Kas.	Hawthorne, Kas.	45.41	
	Lawrence, Kas.	North Ottawa, Kas.	27.19	
	Burlingame, Kas.	Alma, Kas.	31.39	
	Oaage City, Kas.	Guenemo, Kas.	19.42	
	Burlington, Jct., Kas.	Gridley, Kas.	53.25	
	Colony, Kas.	Yates Center, Kas.	24.71	
	Chanute, Kas.	Chicopee, Kas.	57.71	
	Benedict Jct., Kas.	Madison Jct., Kas.	40.52	
	Cherry Vale, Kas.	Coffeyville, Kas.	17.92	
	Independence, Kas.	Cedarvale, Kas.	54.79	
	Havana, Kas.	Caney, Kas.	5.29	
	State Line, Kas.	Talis, I. T.	67.13	
	Emporia, Kas.	Moline, Kas.	53.29	
	Mulvane, Kas.	Wellington, Kas.	16.57	
	Strong City, Kas.	Bazar, Kas.	11.63	
	Neva, Kas.	State Line, Neb.	181.83	
	Abilene, Kas.	Salina, Kas.	22.59	
	Manchester, Kas.	Barnard, Kas.	43.56	
	Florence, Kas.	Ellinwood, Kas.	98.91	
	Little River, Kas.	Hollywood, Kas.	26.80	
	Augusta, Kas.	Mulvane, Kas.	80.41	
	Hutchinson, Kas.	Ponca City, O. T.	141.05	
	Adca, Kas.	Medicine Lodge, Kas.	21.02	
	Mulvane, Kas.	Caldwell, Kas.	165.23	
	Wellington, Kas.	Calwell, Kas.	20.44	
	Wellington, Kas.	Tonkawa, O. T.	43.25	
	Wichita, Kas.	Pratt, Kas.	79.77	
	Larned, Kas.	Jetmore, Kas.	46.68	
				1,782.372, 633.25

	Great Bend, Kas.....	Scott City, Kas.....	120.07	
	Canyon Junction, Col.	Canyon City and Rock- vale, Colo.....	43.04	
	Lamy, N. M.....	Santa Fe, N. M.....	18.13	
	Socorro, N. M.....	Magdalena, N. M.....	27.39	
	Deming, N. M.....	Silver City, N. M.....	46.50	
	Nutt, N. M.....	Lake Valley, N. M.....	12.52	
	Whitewater, N. M.....	Santa Rita, N. M.....	18.85	
	Hanover Junc'n, N. M.	Fierro, N. M.....	6.66	
2. Rio Grand & El Paso R. R....	State Line, Tex.,	El Paso, Tex.....	20.17	2,626.98
Eastern Oklahoma Railway..	Newkirk, O. T.....	Pauls Valley, I. T....	183.67	
	Guthrie, O. T.....	Cushing, O. T.....	47.85	
	Ripley, O. T.....	Esau, O. T.....	40.41	
	Seward, O. T.....	Cashion, O. T.....	10.60	
	Pauls Valley, I. T.....	Lindsay, I. T.....	24.18	
				262.83
5. C. & W. I. R. R.....	Dearborn Station.	Stewart Ave., Chicago, Illinois.....	1.48	
T. P. & W. Ry.....	Streater Junction, Ill.	Pekin Junction, Ill....	5.91	
K. C. Belt Railway Terminal.	Big Blue Junc't'n, Mo.	Kansas City, Mo.,	6.60	
		Terminal.....	.03	
C. R. & P. R. R.....	St. Joseph, Mo.....	Union Depot Co.....	.16	
St. Joe Terminal R. R.....		Atchison Kas.....	.21	
		Union Depot Co. (St. Joe).....	.07	
Missouri Pacific Ry....	Caney, Kas.....	Atchison Bridge... ..	19.56	
		Atchison U.D.&R.R.	.36	
O. & N. W. Ry.....	State Line.....	State Line, I. T....	.12	
Midland Valley R. R.....	Midland Valley Jct'n, I. T.....	Superior, Neb.....	1.96	
	C. & S. Jct'n, Denver, Colorado.....	Tulsa, I. T.....	2.53	
C. & S. Railway.....		Union Depot, Denver, Colorado.....	.74	
		U. D. Co.....	.17	
				33.34
				5,043.30
Miles operated June 30, 1904.....				5,030.74
Added during year:				
Owa-so, I. T. to Tulsa, I. T.....			11.02	
Sundry Adjustments.....			1.53	
				12.55
Operated mileage June 30, 1905.....				5,043.29

OFFICERS.

Title.	Name.	Location of Office.
President.....	E. P. Ripley.....	Chicago.....
Assistant to president.....	W. E. Jansen.....	Chicago.....
Assistant to president.....	A. H. Payson.....	San Francisco.....
First vice president.....	E. D. Kenna.....	Chicago.....
Third vice president.....	J. W. Kendrick.....	Chicago.....
Secretary & treasurer.....	E. Wilder.....	Topeka.....
Assistant secretary.....	L. C. Deming.....	New York.....
Assistant treasurer.....	H. W. Gardiner.....	New York.....
General counsel.....	Victor Morawetz.....	New York.....
Comptroller.....	D. L. Gallup.....	New York.....
Deputy comptroller.....	D. J. Sheehan.....	New York.....
General auditor.....	H. O. Whitehead.....	Chicago.....
Assistant general auditor.....	W. E. Bailey.....	Chicago.....
Auditor of disbursements.....	J. W. White.....	Topeka.....
Freight auditor.....	W. J. Healy.....	Topeka.....
Assistant freight auditor.....	J. D. Stuart.....	Topeka.....
Ticket auditor.....	J. F. Mitchell.....	Topeka.....
General manager.....	J. E. Hurley.....	Topeka.....
Chief engineer, system.....	James Dunn.....	Chicago.....
Chief engineer.....	W. B. Storey Jr.....	Topeka.....
Assistant chief engineer.....	O. A. Morse.....	Topeka.....
General superintendent.....	D. E. Cain.....	Topeka.....
General superintendent.....	F. C. Fox.....	La Junta.....
Superintendent of telegraph.....	O. H. Gaunt.....	Topeka.....
Signal engineer.....	T. B. Stevens.....	Topeka.....
Superintendent of transportation.....	O. W. Kouns.....	Chicago.....
Car accountant.....	J. W. Nowers.....	Topeka.....
Superintendent of motive power.....	Alfred Lovell.....	Chicago.....
General purchasing agent.....	W. E. Hodges.....	Chicago.....
Assistant general purchasing agent.....	M. J. Collins.....	Chicago.....
General solicitor.....	Gardiner Lathrop.....	Chicago.....
General attorney.....	Robert Dunlap.....	Chicago.....
General attorney.....	F. T. A. Junkin.....	Chicago.....
Claims attorney.....	J. D. M. Hamilton.....	Topeka.....
Tax commissioner.....	E. T. Cartledge.....	Topeka.....
Chief surgeon.....	J. P. Kaster.....	Topeka.....
Freight traffic manager.....	J. E. German.....	Chicago.....
Assistant freight traffic manager.....	F. B. Roughton.....	Chicago.....
Assistant freight traffic manager.....	E. Chambers.....	San Francisco.....
Passenger traffic manager.....	G. T. Nicholson.....	Chicago.....
Assistant traffic manager.....	W. A. Howell.....	San Francisco.....
General freight agent.....	J. B. Koontz.....	Topeka.....
Assistant general freight agent.....	P. O. Muegley.....	Chicago.....
Assistant general freight agent.....	P. H. Manter.....	Chicago.....
Assistant general freight agent.....	O. W. Cook.....	Chicago.....
Assistant general freight agent.....	J. J. Coleman.....	Chicago.....
General passenger agent.....	W. J. Black.....	Topeka.....
General baggage agent.....	P. Walsh.....	Topeka.....

DIRECTORS.

Names of Directors.	Address.	Date of expiration of Term.
George G. Haven.....	New York.....	1905
H. H. Rogers.....	New York.....	1906
Benjamin P. Cheney.....	Boston.....	1905
Edward P. Ripley.....	Chicago.....	1906
Charles Steele.....	New York.....	1906
Byron L. Smith.....	Chicago.....	1906
Howel Jones.....	Topeka.....	1906
H. Rieman Duval.....	New York.....	1907
Thomas P. Fowler.....	New York.....	1907
Chas S. Gleed.....	Topeka.....	1907
Victor Morawetz.....	New York.....	1907
Edward J. Berwind.....	New York.....	1909
Henry C. Frick.....	Pittsburg.....	1909
John G. McCullough.....	Vermont.....	1909
Andrew C. Jobes.....	Wichita, Kansas.....	1909

NOTE—Term of Director expires at the annual election in the year opposite name.

1. Total number of stockholders at date of last election, 18,140.
2. Date of last meeting of stockholders for election of Directors, October, 27, 1904.
3. Give postoffice address of general office, Topeka, Kansas.
4. Give postoffice address of operating office, Topeka Kansas.

CHICAGO, ANAMOSA & NORTHERN RAILROAD COMPANY.

PROPERTY OPERATED.

1. Railroad lines represented by capital stock:
 a Main line.

Name.	Terminals.		Miles of line for each road named.	Miles of line for each class of roads named.
	From—	To—		
Chicago, Anamosa & Northern Railway	Anamosa	Coggon	19.60	

OFFICERS.

Title.	Name.	Location of Office.
President	Henry Kiene.....	Dubuque, Iowa....
First vice president.....	D. C. Glasser.....	Dubuque, Iowa....
Assistant to president	Peter Kiene.....	Dubuque, Iowa....
Secretary	T. W. Ruete.....	Dubuque, Iowa....
Treasurer.....	O. H. Eighmey.....	Dubuque, Iowa....
General manager.....	John Wunderlich.....	Anamosa
Chief engineer.....	D. M. McKey.....	Anamosa
Traffic manager.....	John Wunderlich.....	Anamosa

ORGANIZATION.

Names of Directors.	Postoffice Address.	Date of Expiration of Term.
Henry Kiene	Dubuque, Iowa.....	December 1, 1905
Peter Kiene.....	Dubuque, Iowa.....	December 1, 1905
D. C. Glasser.....	Dubuque, Iowa.....	December 1, 1905
T. W. Ruete.....	Dubuque, Iowa.....	December 1, 1905
O. H. Eighmey	Dubuque, Iowa.....	December 1, 1905
W. T. Shaw.....	Anamosa, Iowa.....	December 1, 1905

1. Total number of stockholders at date of last election, twenty (20).
2. Date of last meeting of stockholders for election of directors, December 31, 1905.
3. Give postoffice address of general office, Dubuque, Iowa.
4. Give postoffice address of operating office, Anamosa, Iowa.

CHICAGO, BURLINGTON & QUINCY RAILROAD.

PROPERTY OPERATED.

1. Railroad line represented by capital stock.

a Main line.

b Branches and spurs.

5. Line operated under trackage rights.

Name.	Terminals.		Miles of line.
	From—	To—	
1. a Chicago, Burlington & Quincy	Chicago, Ill.....	Pacific Junction, Iowa.....	474.44
	Galesburg, Ill.....	Quincy, Ill.....	99.91
	Galesburg, Ill.....	Peoria, Ill.....	53.77
	Pacific Junction, Iowa.....	Kearney, Neb.....	195.29
1. b Chicago, Burlington & Quincy Railroad.....	Aurora, Ill.....	West Chicago, Ill.....	13.85
	Yates City, Ill.....	Lewiston, Ill.....	80.13
	Lewiston, Ill.....	Rushville, Ill.....	31.65
	South Aurora, Ill.....	Forreston, Ill.....	73.45
	Flag Center, Ill.....	Rockford, Ill.....	23.60
	Geneva.....	Streator, Ill.....	67.25
	Streator, Ill.....	Walnut, Ill.....	59.53
	Shabbona, Ill.....	Sterling, Ill.....	47.93
	Sheridan Junction.....	Paw Paw, Ill.....	19.54
	Mendota, Ill.....	Fulton, Ill.....	65.40
	Buda, Ill.....	Clinton, Iowa.....	41.59
	Galesburg, Ill.....	Elmwood, Ill.....	13.23
	Galva, Ill.....	New Boston, Ill.....	50.53
	Keithsburg Junction.....	Keithsburg, Ill.....	6.25
	Carthage Junction.....	Carthage, Ill.....	71.21
	Carthage.....	Quincy, Ill.....	40.44
	Sterling.....	Barstow.....	237.54
	Rock Island.....	East Alton.....	17.13
	Gladstone.....	Keithsburg.....	9.96
	Concord.....	Jacksonville.....	112.43
	Jacksonville.....	Centralia.....	46.83
	Quincy.....	East Hannibal.....	83.97
	Albia, Iowa.....	Knoxville, Iowa.....	53.97
	Des Moines, Iowa.....	Des Moines, Iowa.....	55.96
	Fort Madison, Iowa.....	Batavia, Iowa.....	36.54
	Chariton, Iowa.....	Leon, Iowa.....	36.54

[illegible]

Kansas City & Omaha Railroad.....	Englewood, S. D.....	Spearsfish, S. D.....	31.81
Grand Island & North Wyoming.....	Hill City Junction.....	Keystone, S. D.....	9.50
Big Horn South Railroad.....	Stromsburg, Nebraska.....	Alma, Nebraska.....	149.55
Denver, Utah & Pacific.....	McCool Junction, Neb.....	Kan City & Omaha Jc. Neb.....	42.53
Republican Valley & Wyoming Railroad.....	Wyoming State Line, Neb.....	Montana State Line, Wyo.....	292.86
Omaha & North Platte.....	New Castle, Wyoming.....	Cambria, Wyoming.....	7.00
	Montana State Line.....	Huntley, Montana.....	101.74
	Denver, Colorado.....	Utah Junction, Colo.....	8.00
	Burns Junction, Nebraska.....	Lyons, Colorado.....	82.67
	Cambertson, Nebraska.....	Imperial, Nebraska.....	49.17
	Omaha, Nebraska.....	Schuyler, Nebraska.....	80.59
	At South Omaha, Neb.....		60
Nebraska Wyoming & Western Railroad.....	Alliance Junction, Neb.....	Guernsey, Wyoming.....	123.83
Nebraska Wyoming & Western Railroad.....	Northport, Nebraska.....	Colorado State Line.....	52.24
Denver & Montana Railroad.....	Colorado, Nebraska St. Line.....	Sterling, Colorado.....	27.95
	Union, Colorado.....	Brush, Colorado.....	11.50
	Toluca, Montana.....	Cody, Wyoming.....	130.15
	Lead, South Dakota.....	Piedmont, South Dakota.....	24.57
	Beck, South Dakota.....	East, South Dakota.....	12.85
	Alexandria, Missouri.....	Van Wert, Iowa.....	143.71
	Van Wert, Iowa.....	Shenandoah, Iowa.....	95.51
	Des Moines, Iowa.....	Gainsville, Missouri.....	109.03
	Hannibal, Missouri.....	St. Joseph, Missouri.....	205.53
Quincy Bridge Railroad Company.....	At St. Joseph, Missouri.....		1.57
Quincy & Palmyra Railroad.....	At Quincy, Illinois.....		1.04
	West Quincy, Missouri.....	Palmyra, Junction.....	12.70
	At Quincy, Illinois.....		1.02
	Camerton Junction, Missouri.....	Kansas City, Missouri.....	53.83
	Burlington, Iowa.....	Keokuk, Iowa.....	43.83
	Keokuk, Iowa.....	St. Louis, Missouri.....	177.77
	Old Monroe.....	Elton.....	48.47
	Mt. Pleasant Junction.....	Keokuk, Iowa.....	48.01
	Kansas City Missouri.....	Council Bluffs, Iowa.....	189.58
	East Leavenworth, Missouri.....	Stillings, Missouri.....	1.81
	Arnour, Missouri.....	Winthrop, Missouri.....	8.51
	Amazonia, Missouri.....	Hopkings, Missouri.....	50.41
	Bigelow, Missouri.....	Burlington Junction.....	51.51
	Corning, Missouri.....	Northboro, Iowa.....	27.81
	Vieja, Iowa.....	Bloomfield, Iowa.....	62.79
	Moulton, Iowa.....	Carrollton, Missouri.....	121.54
	Sedan, Iowa.....	Mercerville, Missouri.....	52.04
	Mediapolis, Iowa.....	Oakaloosa, Iowa.....	90.55
	Winfield, Iowa.....	Tracey, Iowa.....	12.16
	Pluma, South Dakota.....	Washington, Iowa.....	18.64
	Kirk, South Dakota.....	Lead City, South Dakota.....	2.00
	Nevada Gulch Line.....	Terry, South Dakota.....	8.60
	White tail above Nevada.....		1.56
	Extension up North Fork of.....		1.67
	White Tail.....		6.98
	Galena Junction, S. Dak.....	Galena, South Dakota.....	7,808.16

Pennsylvania Railroad.....	At Chicago, Illinois.....	1.20
Illinois Central Railroad.....	Portage Curve.....	12.41
Duquesne & Delabque Bridge Company.....	East Dubuque, Illinois.....	1.18
Chicago & North-Western Railway Company.....	Debuque, Iowa.....	1.98
C. C. & St. Louis Railway.....	Farmington, Mo.....	19.65
D. R. I. & Northwestern Railway.....	Clinton, Iowa.....	23.49
Wabash Railroad.....	Rock Island, Illinois.....	14.11
Union Pacific Railroad.....	Bloomfield, Iowa.....	2.79
	Union Pacific Transfer.....	3.70
	Gilmore, Nebraska.....	4.54
	Sterling, Colorado.....	21.67
	St. Paul, Minnesota.....	11.65
	At St. Paul, Minnesota.....	1.58
	At Minneapolis.....	2.31
Great Northern Railway.....	East Winona, Wisconsin.....	.98
St. Paul Union Depot Company.....	At St. Louis, Missouri.....	7.08
Minneapolis Union Railway Company.....	At Hannibal, Mo.....	.39
	At Hannibal, Mo.....	.44
	At Keokuk, Iowa.....	.08
	At Keokuk, Iowa.....	.34
	At Louisiana, Missouri.....	.39
	At Kansas City, Missouri.....	.39
	Winthrop, Missouri.....	.30
	At Atchison, Kansas.....	1.70
	Stirling, Missouri.....	1.18
	Utah Junction, Colorado.....	41
	Huntley, Montana.....	11.80
	At Carlson, Iowa.....	12.63
	East Louisiana, Illinois.....	23
	Burlington, Iowa.....	2.07
	At Stromsburg, Nebraska.....	13.77
	Kansas City & Omaha Jct., Neb.....	32
	At Fairfield, Nebraska.....	2.89
	At Des Moines, Iowa.....	.58
	At Milan, Missouri.....	.08
	At Felton, Missouri.....	.07
	At Jacksonville.....	.49
Des Moines Union Railroad Company.....		
Quincy, Omaha & Kansas City.....		
Chicago & Alton Railroad.....		
Jacksonville Midland.....		
Chicago, Pacific & St. Louis Railroad.....		
Total.....		\$ 8,561.64

OFFICERS.

Title.	Name.	Location of Office.
President.....	Geo. B. Harris.....	Chicago, Ill.
First vice president.....	D. Miller.....	Chicago, Ill.
Second vice president.....	D. Willard.....	Chicago, Ill.
Secretary.....	T. S. Howland.....	Chicago, Ill.
Treasurer.....	T. S. Howland.....	Chicago, Ill.
General counsel.....	J. W. Blythe.....	Burlington, Ia.
General solicitor.....	C. M. Dawes.....	Chicago, Ill.
General solicitor.....	C. F. Manderson.....	Omaha, Neb.
General solicitor.....	C. M. Spencer.....	St. Joseph, Mo.
General auditor.....	O. I. Sturgis.....	Chicago, Ill.
Auditor.....	W. P. Durkee.....	Omaha, Neb.
General manager.....	J. M. Oruber.....	Chicago, Ill.
General manager.....	G. W. Holdrege.....	Omaha, Neb.
Chief engineer.....	T. E. Calvert.....	Chicago, Ill.
General superintendent.....	H. D. Judson.....	Chicago, Ill.
General superintendent.....	G. T. Ross.....	Burlington, Ia.
General superintendent.....	H. C. Nutt.....	St. Louis, Mo.
General superintendent.....	H. E. Byran.....	Lincoln, Neb.
General superintendent.....	J. R. Phelan.....	Alliance, Neb.
Supervisor of telegraph.....	W. W. Ryder.....	Chicago, Ill.
Freight traffic manager.....	Geo. H. Crosby.....	Chicago, Ill.
General freight agent.....	W. B. Hamblin.....	Chicago, Ill.
General freight agent.....	D. O. Ives.....	Omaha, Neb.
General freight agent.....	W. Gray.....	St. Louis, Mo.
Assistant general freight agent.....	E. R. Puffer.....	Chicago, Ill.
Assistant general freight agent.....	G. P. Lyman.....	St. Paul, Minn.
Assistant general freight agent.....	Geo. Morton.....	Chicago, Ill.
Assistant general freight agent.....	C. E. Spens.....	Chicago, Ill.
Assistant general freight agent.....	A. B. Smith.....	Omaha, Neb.
Assistant general freight agent.....	F. Montmorency.....	Omaha, Neb.
Assistant general freight agent.....	W. C. Maxwell.....	St. Joseph, Mo.
Assistant general freight agent.....	W. A. Holley.....	Chicago, Ill.
Assistant general freight agent.....	W. W. Johnston.....	Omaha, Neb.
Passenger traffic manager.....	P. S. Enstis.....	Chicago, Ill.
General passenger agent.....	John Francis.....	Chicago, Ill.
General passenger agent.....	L. W. Wakley.....	Omaha, Neb.
Assistant general passenger agent.....	W. A. Lalor.....	St. Louis, Mo.
Assistant general passenger agent.....	J. E. Buckingham.....	Omaha, Neb.
General baggage agent.....	John DeWitt.....	Chicago, Ill.
Land commissioner.....	W. W. Baldwin.....	Burlington, Ia.

ORGANIZATION.

Name of Director.	Postoffice Address.	Date of Expiration of term.
Robert Bacon.....	New York City.....	November 1, 1905
George F. Baker.....	New York City.....	November 1, 1905
George C. Clark.....	New York City.....	November 1, 1905
William P. O'ough.....	New York City.....	November 1, 1905
George B. Harris.....	Chicago, Ill.....	November 1, 1905
James J. Hill.....	St. Paul, Minn.....	November 1, 1905
James N. Hill.....	St. Paul, Minn.....	November 1, 1905
John J. Mitchell.....	Chicago, Ill.....	November 1, 1905
Charles E. Perkins.....	Burlington, Ia.....	November 1, 1905
Norman B. Ream.....	New York City.....	November 1, 1905
Samuel Thorne.....	New York City.....	November 1, 1905

1. Total number of stockholders at date of last election, 490.
2. Date of last meeting of stockholders for election of directors, November 2, 1904.
3. Give postoffice address of general office, 203 Adams street, Chicago, Ill.
4. Give postoffice address of operating office, 209 Adams street, Chicago, Ill.

CHICAGO GREAT WESTERN RAILWAY COMPANY.

PROPERTY OPERATED.

1. Railroad line represented by capital stock.
 - a Main line.
 - b Branches and spurs.
2. Proprietary companies whose entire capital stock is owned by this company.
3. Line operated under lease for specified sum.
4. Line operated under contract, or where the rental is contingent upon earnings or other consideration.
5. Line operated under trackage rights.

Name.	Terminals.		Miles of line for each road named.	Miles of line for each division of road named.
	From—	To—		
Chicago Great Western R. R.	Minneapolis Depot...	Minneapolis & St. Louis Railroad.....	.41	
1.	St. Paul, Minn.....	Dubuque, Ia.....	253.80	
.....	Galena Junction, Ill....	Forest Home, Ill....	147.81	
.....	Oelwein, Ia.....	Des Moines, Ia.....	139.23	
.....	Freight Line through	Des Moines, Ia.....	9.48	
.....	South Des Moines, Ia....	St. Joseph, Mo.....	.36	
.....	Bee Creek, Mo.....	Beverly, Mo.....	150.43	
.....	Eden, Minn.....	Mantorville, Minn....	23.92	
.....	Waverly Branch (see p. 8)...	Waverly, Ia.....	6.95	
.....	DeKalb Branch Gr't West'n b	DeKalb, Ill.....	5.81	
.....	Cedar Falls Branch.....	Cedar Falls, Ia.....	7.48	
				719.08
2. DeKalb Great Western.....	Included in b.....		723.26
3.				
4.				
5. Minneapolis & St. Louis Ry..	In Minneapolis.....	St. Paul.....	.11	
St. Paul & Northern Pacific.	Minneapolis.....	9.86	
St. Paul Union Depot Co....	In St. Paul.....69	
Dubuque & Dunleith Bridge Co....	Dubuque, Ia.....	East Dubuque, Ill....	.59	
Illinois Central Railroad.....	East Dubuque, Ill....	Portage Curve, Ill....	12.22	
Chicago, Burlington & North ern.....	Portage Curve, Ill....	Galena Junction, Ill..	.51	
Chicago Terminal Transfer Co.....	Forest Home, Ill.....	Chicago.....	10.84	
Des Moines Union Railway..	In Des Moines, Ia.....	2.23	
Des Moines & Kansas City Ry49	
Kansas City, St. Joe & Council Bluffs Railroad.....	In St. Joseph, Mo....81	
St. Joseph Terminal R. R.....40	
Atchison, Topeka & Santa Fe Railroad.....	St. Joseph, Mo.....	Bee Creek, Mo.....	7.66	
Chicago, Rock Island & Pacific Railroad.....	Beverly, Mo.....	Stillings, Mo.....	.66	
Leavenworth Term'l Bridge Co.....	Stillings, Mo.....	Leavenworth, Kan....	1.38	
Leavenworth, Topeka & South West.....	Leavenworth, Kan....	So. Leavenworth, Kan	1.40	
Atchison, Topeka & Santa Fe Railroad.....	So. Leavenworth, Kan	Soldiers' Home, Kan..	2.80	
Kansas City & Northwestern	Soldiers' Home, Kan..	Kansas City, Kan....	27.81	
Suburban Belt.....	Kansas City, Kan.....	Kansas City, Mo.....	2.20	
				85.10
Total.....				818.36

OFFICERS.

Title.	Name.	Location of Office.
Chairman of the board.....	A. B. Stickney.....	St. Paul, Minn.....
President.....	A. B. Stickney.....	St. Paul, Minn.....
First vice president.....	Ansel Oppenheim.....	St. Paul, Minn.....
Secretary.....	R. O. Wight.....	St. Paul, Minn.....
Treasurer.....	R. O. Barnard.....	St. Paul, Minn.....
General counsel.....	T. B. Kellogg.....	St. Paul, Minn.....
General attorney.....	A. G. Briggs.....	St. Paul, Minn.....
Auditor.....	O. O. Kalman.....	St. Paul, Minn.....
General manager.....	S. O. Stickney.....	St. Paul, Minn.....
Assistant general manager.....	Tracy Lyon.....	St. Paul, Minn.....
Chief engineer.....	A. Minster.....	St. Paul, Minn.....
General superintendent.....	G. A. Goodell.....	St. Paul, Minn.....
Division superintendent.....	O. E. Dufee.....	St. Paul, Minn.....
Division superintendent.....	C. P. Stembel.....	Chicago, Ill.....
Division superintendent.....	C. L. Nichols.....	Des Moines, Ia.....
General freight agent.....	S. E. Stohs.....	St. Paul, Minn.....
Assistant general freight agents.....	W. E. Pinckney.....	St. Paul, Minn.....
	G. T. Thomas.....	St. Paul, Minn.....
	O. A. Johnson.....	Chicago.....
	C. R. Berry.....	St. Joseph.....
General passenger agent.....	J. P. Elmer.....	St. Paul, Minn.....
Assistant general passenger agent.....	R. F. Malone.....	St. Paul, Minn.....
General ticket agent.....	J. P. Elmer.....	St. Paul, Minn.....
Assistant general ticket agent.....	R. F. Malone.....	St. Paul, Minn.....
General baggage agent.....	G. T. Soillman.....	Chicago, Ill.....

ORGANIZATION.

Names of Directors.	Postoffice Address.	Date of Expiration of term.
Frederick Weyerhaeuser.....	St. Paul, Minn.....	September, 1907
A. B. Stickney.....	St. Paul, Minn.....	September, 1907
Ansel Oppenheim.....	St. Paul, Minn.....	September, 1905
J. W. Lusk.....	St. Paul, Minn.....	September, 1905
S. O. Stickney.....	St. Paul, Minn.....	September, 1905
R. O. Wight.....	St. Paul, Minn.....	September, 1905
M. D. Flower.....	St. Paul, Minn.....	September, 1907
T. H. Wheeler.....	New York, N. Y.....	September, 1905
H. B. Fletcher.....	Minneapolis, Minn.....	September, 1905
1. Total number of stockholders at date of last election, 5117.		
2. Date of last meeting of stockholders for election of directors, September, 3rd, 1904.		
3. Give postoffice address of general office, St. Paul, Minn.		
4. Give postoffice address of operating office, St. Paul, Minn.		

MASON CITY & FORT DODGE RAILROAD COMPANY.

PROPERTY OPERATED.

1. Railroad line represented by capital stock:
 - a Main line.
 - b Branches and spurs.
2. Proprietary companies whose entire capital stock is owned by this company.
3. Line operated under lease for specified sum.
4. Line operated under contract, or where the rental is contingent upon earnings or other considerations.
5. Line operated under trackage rights.

Name.	Terminals.		Miles of line for each road named.	Miles of line for each class of roads named.
	From—	To—		
1. a Mason City & Ft. Dodge Railroad	Hayfield, Minnesota..	Clarion, Iowa.....	91.71	
	Clarion, Iowa.....	Fort Dodge, Iowa.....	28.06	
	Spur to	Fort Dodge Depot.	79	
	Fort Dodge, Iowa.....	Council Bluffs, Iowa..	183.90	
	Oelwein, Iowa.....	Clarion, Iowa.....	98.09	369.54
b Lehigh Branch.....	Fort Dodge, Iowa....	Lehigh, Iowa.....	15.69	
Coalville Branch.....	Carbon Junction	Coalville.....	2.90	18.59
2.				878.18
3.				
4.				
5. Union Pacific Railroad.	Council Bluffs, Iowa..	Omaha, Nebraska.....	8.11	
	Omaha, Nebraska....	South Omaha, Neb....	5.01	8.12
Total.....				896.25

OFFICERS.

Title.	Name.	Location of Office.
President.....	A. B. Stickney	St. Paul, Minn.
First vice president.....	A. F. Fairchild.....	
Secretary.....	H. S. Pace	New York, N. Y.
Treasurer.....	C. E. Seekworth	New York, N. Y.
Assistant treasurer.....	C. O. Kalman	St. Paul, Minn.
Attorney, or general counsel.....	A. G. Briggs	St. Paul, Minn.
Auditor.....	C. O. Kalman	St. Paul, Minn.
General manager.....	A. C. Stickney	St. Paul, Minn.
Assistant general manager.....	Tracy Lyons	St. Paul, Minn.
Chief engineer.....	A. Munsher	St. Paul, Minn.
General superintendent.....	G. A. Goodell.....	St. Paul, Minn.
Assistant general superintendent.....		
Division superintendent.....	O. Sornellson	Clarion, Iowa.
General freight agent.....	S. E. Sechr	St. Paul, Minn.
Assistant general freight agent.....	S. O. Parkhurst.....	Omaha, Neb.
General passenger agent.....	J. P. Elmer	St. Paul, Minn.
Assistant general passenger agent.....	R. F. Malone	St. Paul, Minn.
General ticket agent.....	J. P. Elmer	St. Paul, Minn.
Assistant general ticket agent.....	R. F. Malone	St. Paul, Minn.
General baggage agent.....	G. T. Seelman	Chicago, Ill.

ORGANIZATION.

Names of Directors.	Postoffice Address.	Date of Expiration of Term.
A. B. Stickney	St. Paul, Minn.....	September 19, 1905.
J. Q. Kernaghan.....	New York, N. Y.....	September 19, 1905.
T. H. Wheeler.....	New York, N. Y.....	September 19, 1905.
S. A. Hutchings.....	New York, N. Y.....	September 19, 1905.
Myron T. Herrick.....	Cleveland, Ohio.....	September 19, 1905.

1. Total number of stockholders at date of last election, six.
2. Date of last meeting of stockholders for election of directors, third Tuesday in May, 1905.
3. Give postoffice address of general office, St. Paul, Minnesota.
4. Give postoffice address of operating office, St. Paul, Minnesota.

WISCONSIN, MINNESOTA & PACIFIC RAILROAD COMPANY,

PROPERTY OPERATED.

1. Railroad line represented by capital stock:

a Main line.

b Branches and spurs.

Name.	Terminals.		Miles of line for each road named.	Miles of line for each class of roads named.
	From—	To—		
Wisconsin, Minnesota & Pacific railroad company.....	Mankato, Minn.....	Red Wing, Minn.....	95.70	218.90
a.....	Red Wing, Minn.....	Osage, Iowa....	118.20	
b.....	Winona, Minn.....	Sampson, Minn.....	54.20	
	Claybank Junction, Minn..	Claybank, Minn.....	2.00	
	Red Wing, Minn.....	Sewerpipe Woods	.90	57.1
Total.....				171.00

OFFICERS.

Title.	Name.	Location of office.
President.....	F. B. Kellogg.....	St. Paul, Minn.
First vice-president.....	A. B. Stickney.....	St. Paul, Minn.
Secretary.....	G. F. Philcox.....	St. Paul, Minn.
Treasurer.....	R. O. Barnard.....	St. Paul, Minn.
Assistant secretary.....	Howard S. Pace.....	New York
General solicitor.....	F. B. Kellogg.....	St. Paul, Minn.
Auditor.....	C. O. Kalman.....	St. Paul, Minn.
General manager.....	S. C. Stickney.....	St. Paul, Minn.
Chief engineer.....	A. Munster.....	St. Paul, Minn.
General superintendent.....	G. A. Goodell.....	St. Paul, Minn.
Division superintendent.....	C. S. Weston.....	Red Wing, Minn.
General freight agent.....	S. E. Stohr.....	St. Paul, Minn.
Assistant general freight agent.....	W. E. Pinckney.....	St. Paul, Minn.
General passenger agent.....	J. P. Elmer.....	St. Paul, Minn.
Assistant general passenger agent.....	R. F. Malone.....	St. Paul, Minn.
General ticket agent.....	J. P. Elmer.....	St. Paul, Minn.
Assistant general ticket agent.....	R. F. Malone.....	St. Paul, Minn.
General baggage agent.....	G. T. Spillman.....	Chicago, Ill.

ORGANIZATION.

Names of Directors.	Postoffice Address.	Date of Expiration of term.
F. B. Kellogg.....	St. Paul, Minn.....	Sept. 8, 1905.
A. B. Stickney.....	St. Paul, Minn.....	Sept. 8, 1905.
A. C. Wight.....	St. Paul, Minn.....	Sept. 8, 1905.
Kenneth Clarke.....	St. Paul, Minn.....	Sept. 8, 1905.
C. A. Severance.....	St. Paul, Minn.....	Sept. 8, 1905.

1. Total number of stockholders at date of last election, 6.
2. Date of last meeting of stockholders for election of directors, September, 1904.
3. Give postoffice address of general office, St. Paul, Minn.
4. Give postoffice address of operating office, St. Paul, Minn.

Badine and Southwestern Division.....	Badine.....	59.83	69.31	112.94
	Jacaville.....	13.56	12.83
	Rithorn.....	16.59	17.39
	Rockford.....	14.94	14.94
Dubuque Division.....	Green Island.....	124.50	24.93	140.43
	Bellevue.....	23.77	25.77
	Turkey River Jet.....	24.81	25.84
	Waukon Junction.....	23.96	27.95
Superior Division.....	Reno.....	57.77	57.77
	Preston.....	4.40	4.40
	North Milwaukee.....	253.20
	Green Bay.....	195.41	57.79	20.44
La Crosse Division.....	Hilbert Junction.....	1.29
	Menasha.....	11.94
	Oconto.....	11.94	1.52	22.61
	Menominee.....	21.09	17.65
Northern Division.....	Wausaukee.....	92.87
	Channing.....	17.63	6.94
	Ontonagon.....	196.69
	Crystal Falls.....	86.43
La Crosse Division.....	La Crosse.....	196.69	83.01
	Watertown Junction.....	36.48	52.17
	Madison.....	33.01	8.76
	East Madison.....	33.17	6.17
Northern Division.....	Viroqua Junction.....	8.76	6.39
	Onalaska.....	59.16
	North Milwaukee.....	6.17	2.09
	North Milwaukee.....	2.70
Northern Division.....	Portage City.....	6.39	1.05
	Branch.....	2.09	20.63
	Branch.....	2.70	20.94
	Branch.....	1.05	49.30
Northern Division.....	Rock.....	20.63	11.49
	North Lake.....	30.94	19.09
	Fox du Lac.....	49.30	14.89
	Berlin.....	11.49
Northern Division.....	Markesan.....	19.09
	Ripon.....	14.89
	Oshkosh.....
	Wineconne.....

[illegible]

OFFICERS.

Title.	Name.	Location of office.
Chairman of the board	Roswell Miller	New York
President	A. J. Earling	Chicago
Third vice-president	J. H. Hilland	Chicago
Secretary	E. W. Adams	Milwaukee
Treasurer	F. G. Ranney	Chicago
Assistant treasurer	J. M. McNat	Chicago
General solicitor	Burton Hanson	Chicago
General counsel	Geo. E. Peck	Chicago
Assistant general solicitor	H. H. Field	Chicago
Assistant general solicitor	O. B. Keeler	Chicago
Comptroller	H. G. Haugan	Chicago
Assistant comptroller		
General auditor	W. N. D. Winne	Chicago
Assistant general auditor	W. F. Dudley	Chicago
General manager	H. R. Williams	Chicago
Assistant general manager	W. J. Underwood	Chicago
Chief Engineer	D. J. Whittemore	Chicago
General superintendent	D. L. Bush	Chicago
Assistant general superintendents	Two in number	
Division superintendents		
Division superintendents	Eighteen in number	
Division superintendents		
Superintendent of telegraph	U. J. Fry	Milwaukee
General freight agent	E. S. Keeley	Chicago
Assistant general freight agents	Four in number	
General passenger agent	F. A. Miller	Chicago
Assistant general passenger agents	Three in number	
General baggage agent	W. D. Harrick	Milwaukee
Land commissioner	H. G. H	Milwaukee

ORGANIZATION.

Names of Directors.	Postoffice Address.	Date of Expiration of Term.
Frank S. Bond	New York	September, 1905
A. J. Earling	Chicago	September, 1905
Chas. W. Harkness	New York	September, 1905
Henry R. Rogers	New York	September, 1905
James H. Smith	New York	September, 1905
Peter Geddes	New York	September, 1906
Roswell Miller	New York	September, 1906
Wm. Rockefeller	New York	September, 1906
J. A. Stewart	New York	September, 1906
J. Ogden Armon	Chicago	September, 1907
Frederick Layton	Milwaukee	September, 1907
James Milbank	New York	September, 1907
Samuel Spencer	New York	September, 1907

1. Total number of stockholders at date of last election, 6337.
2. Date of last meeting of stockholders for election of directors, September 24, 1904.
3. Give postoffice address of general office, Chicago, Ill.
4. Give postoffice address of operating office, Chicago, Ill.

Winona.....	Pierre.....	498.01				976.99	209.11		
Eyota.....	Chaffield.....	11.46				11.46			
Eyota.....	Plainview.....	15.01				15.01			
Rochester.....	Zumbrota.....	34.43				34.43			
Manakato Junction.....	Manakato.....	1.75				1.75			
Manakato.....	New Ulm.....	95.53				23.19			
Sleepy Eye.....	Redwood Falls.....	24.53				21.98			
Evans.....	Marshall.....	45.40				43.98			
Sanborn.....	Vesta.....	56.82				53.40			
Tracy.....	Gettysburg.....	283.73				53.00			
Doland.....	Groton.....	88.84				58.84			
Tyler.....	Astoria.....	32.20				25.40			
Watertown Junction.....	Watertown.....	43.53				43.88			
Iroquois.....	Hawarden (St. Line).....	125.49				125.49			
Coatsville.....	Yankton.....	25.46				25.46			
James Valley Junction.....	Oakes.....	131.95				117.67			
Total.....		7,390.55	678.95	1,974.53	1,702.57	521.19	14.28	1,071.91	180.45
Proprietary lines, viz:									
Princeton & Western Railway.....	Needah.....	16.06			16.06				
Valley Junction.....									
DePue, Ladd & Eastern Railway Co.	Seatonville, Ill.....	8.25	3.25						
Ladd.....									
Total.....		19.81	3.25		16.96				
Leased lines, viz:									
St. Paul Eastern Grand Trunk R'y.....	Ocoonto.....	60.02			53.09				
Clintonville.....					4.02				
Spurs.....									
Total.....		60.02			60.02				

RECAPITULATION.

[illegible]

OFFICERS.

Title		Location of Office.
President.....	Marvin Hughitt	Chicago, Ill.
First vice president.....	Eugene E. Osborn.....	New York, N. Y.
Second vice president.....	Marshall M. Kirkman.....	Chicago, Ill.
Third vice president.....	Hiram W. McCullough.....	Chicago, Ill.
Secretary.....	Eugene E. Osborn.....	New York, N. Y.
Treasurer.....	S. O. Howe.....	New York, N. Y.
General counsel.....	Lloyd W. Bowers.....	Chicago, Ill.
Auditor.....	J. B. Redfield.....	Chicago, Ill.
General manager.....	W. A. Gardner.....	Chicago, Ill.
Assistant general manager.....	R. H. Ashton.....	Chicago, Ill.
Chief engineer.....	E. C. Carter.....	Chicago, Ill.
General superintendent.....	W. D. Cantillon.....	Chicago, Ill.
Assistant general superintendent.....	T. A. Lawson.....	Chicago, Ill.
Division superintendent.....	W. H. Whalen.....	Boone, Ia.
Division superintendent.....	E. G. Shevenell.....	Mason City, Ia.
Division superintendent.....	G. J. Quigley.....	Eagle Grove, Ia.
Division superintendent.....	T. Walter.....	Ioan City, Ia.
Superintendent of telegraph.....	G. H. Thayer.....	Chicago, Ill.
Freight traffic manager.....	M. Hughitt, Jr.....	Chicago, Ill.
Passenger traffic manager.....	W. B. Kniskern.....	Chicago, Ill.
General freight agent.....	E. D. Brigham.....	Chicago, Ill.
General passenger agent.....	C. A. Cairns.....	Chicago, Ill.
General ticket agent.....	C. A. Cairns.....	Chicago, Ill.
General baggage agent.....	Frank D. Taylor.....	Chicago, Ill.
Land commissioner.....	J. F. Cleveland.....	Chicago, Ill.

ORGANIZATION.

Names of Directors.	Postoffice Address.	Date of Expiration of Term.
W. H. Vanderbilt.....	New York, N. Y.	October, 1906
F. W. Vanderbilt.....	New York, N. Y.	October, 1906
H. McK. Twombly.....	New York, N. Y.	October, 1906
Byron L. Smith.....	Chicago, Ill.	October, 1906
Cyrus H. McCormick.....	Chicago, Ill.	October, 1906
Marshall Field.....	Chicago, Ill.	October, 1906
Albert Keep.....	Chicago, Ill.	October, 1906
Chauncey M. Depew.....	New York, N. Y.	October, 1906
Samuel F. Barger.....	New York, N. Y.	October, 1906
James C. Fargo.....	New York, N. Y.	October, 1906
H. C. Frick.....	Pittsburgh, Pa.	October, 1906
David P. Kimball.....	Boston, Mass.	October, 1907
Marvin Hughitt.....	Chicago, Ill.	October, 1907
Frank Work.....	New York, N. Y.	October, 1907
James Stillman.....	New York, N. Y.	October, 1907
Oliver Ames.....	Boston, Mass.	October, 1907
James Crane.....	Dalton, Mass.	October, 1907

1. Total number of stockholders at date of last election, 4,471.
2. Date of last meeting of stockholders for election of directors, October 20, 1904.
3. Give postoffice address of general office, Chicago and New York.
4. Give postoffice address of operating office, Chicago, Ill.

CHICAGO, ST. PAUL, MINNEAPOLIS & OMAHA RAILWAY COMPANY.

PROPERTY OPERATED.

1. Railroad line represented by capital stock.
 - a. Main line.
2. Proprietary companies whose entire capital stock is owned by this company.
5. Line operated under trackage rights.

Name.	Terminals.		Miles of line for each road named.	Miles of line for each class of roads named.
	From—	To—		
1. a Chicago, St. Paul, Minneapolis & Omaha.....	Siroy	St. Paul	192.89	
	North Wisconsin Jct..	Bayfield	177.87	
	Eau Claire.....	Spooner	81.51	
	Superior Junction ..	Duluth	71.70	
	St. Paul	Le Mars	248.42	
	Missouri River at Covington	Omaha	128.06	
	St. Croix Draw Bridge	Stillwater Switch....	4.55	
	Stillwater Junction ..	Stillwater	8.80	
	Hudson	Ellsworth	24.82	
	Merrillan	Marshfield	35.07	
	Ashland Junction ..	Ashland	4.28	
	Ashland shore Line..		1.81	
	West Eau Claire	Shaw's Mills	2.71	
	Fairchild	Mondovi	36.75	
	Menomonic Junction.	Menomonic City	3.01	
	Lake Crystal	Elmore	43.45	
	Heron Lake	Pipestone	55.10	
	Madella	Fairmont	29.38	
	Bingham Lake	Currie	38.68	
	Trent	Mitchell	120.13	
	Luverne	Doon	28.00	
	Osburn	Newcastle	26.95	
	Emerson	Norfolk	45.50	
	Wakefield	Hartington	34.76	
	Wayne	Bloomfield	43.14	
	Emerald	Weston	36.87	
2. Chippewa Valley & N. W. Ry	Raddison Junction ..	Raddison	36.00	1,521.00
Eau Claire, Chipp F. & N. E. Ry	Chippewa Falls	Yellow River	49.28	
5. St. Louis River Bridge, (Northern Pacific Ry.)	Superior	Rice's Point	1.69	
Great Northern Ry.	St. Paul	Minneapolis	11.40	
Minneapolis & St. Louis M. R.	Minneapolis	Merriam Junction	27.09	
Illinois Central Railroad	Le Mars	Sioux City	25.30	
Sioux City Bridge Company ..	bridge across Missouri River and tracks at			
Chicago & North-Western Ry.	Sioux City	Sioux City Bridge Co's Track	8.90	
	Sioux City50	
Total mileage operated				1,676.47

OFFICERS.

Title.	Name.	Location of Office.
President.....	Marvin Hughitt.....	Chicago, Ill.
First vice-president.....	E. E. Osborn.....	New York, N. Y.
Second vice-president.....	James T. Clark.....	St. Paul, Minn.
Secretary.....	E. E. Woodman.....	Hudson, Wis.
Treasurer.....	S. O. Howe.....	New York, N. Y.
Assistant treasurer and third assistant secretary.....	R. H. Williams.....	New York, N. Y.
General solicitor.....	Thomas Wilson.....	St. Paul, Minn.
General counsel.....	James B. Sheau.....	St. Paul, Minn.
General attorney.....	J. A. Robinson.....	St. Paul, Minn.
Comptroller.....	W. H. Stennett.....	Chicago, Ill.
Auditor of expenditures.....	Chas. P. Nash.....	St. Paul, Minn.
Local treasurer.....	A. W. Treuholt.....	St. Paul, Minn.
General manager.....	C. W. Johnson.....	St. Paul, Minn.
Chief engineer.....	T. G. Strickland.....	St. Paul, Minn.
General superintendent.....	T. W. Kennedy.....	Kau Claire, Wis.
Division superintendent.....	L. F. Slater.....	St. James, Minn.
Division superintendent.....	F. E. Nichols.....	Omaha, Neb.
Purchasing agent.....	Isaac Haddon.....	St. Paul, Minn.
Superintendent of telegraph.....	H. O. Hope.....	St. Paul, Minn.
General freight agent.....	H. M. Pearce.....	St. Paul, Minn.
Assistant general freight agent.....	E. B. Ober.....	Minneapolis, Minn.
General passenger agent.....	T. W. Teusdale.....	St. Paul, Minn.
Assistant general passenger agent.....	G. H. Mac Rae.....	St. Paul, Minn.
Second assistant freight agent.....	E. A. Gray.....	St. Paul, Minn.
General baggage agent.....	E. F. Woode.....	St. Paul, Minn.
Car service agent.....	G. L. Osaman.....	St. Paul, Minn.
General claim agent.....	E. L. Poole.....	St. Paul, Minn.
Land commissioner.....	G. W. Bell.....	Hudson, Wis.
Tax commissioner.....	T. A. Polleys.....	St. Paul, Minn.
Superintendent Quincy & Burlington cars.....	J. H. Shattuck.....	Minneapolis, Minn.

ORGANIZATION.

Names of Directors.	Postoffice Address.	Date of Expiration of Term.
Marvin O. Hughitt.....	Chicago, Ill.....	October, 1905.
David P. Kimball.....	Boston, Mass.....	October, 1905.
Horace G. Burt.....	Omaha, Neb.....	October, 1905.
Byron L. Smith.....	Chicago, Ill.....	October, 1905.
Chauncey M. Depew.....	New York, N. Y.....	October, 1905.
Eugene E. Osborn.....	New York, N. Y.....	October, 1905.
John M. Whitman.....	Chicago, Ill.....	October, 1905.
Thomas Wilson.....	St. Paul, Minn.....	October, 1905.
John A. Hambird.....	St. Paul, Minn.....	October, 1905.
Albert Keep.....	Chicago, Ill.....	October, 1907.
Wm. K. Vanderbilt.....	New York, N. Y.....	October, 1907.
H. McK. Twombly.....	New York, N. Y.....	October, 1907.
F. W. Vanderbilt.....	New York, N. Y.....	October, 1907.

1. Total number of stockholders at date of last election, 1,072.
2. Date of last meeting of stockholders for election of directors, October 20, 1904.
3. Give postoffice address of general office, St. Paul, Minn.
4. Give postoffice address of operating office, St. Paul, Minn.

CHICAGO, ROCK ISLAND & PACIFIC RAILWAY COMPANY.

PROPERTY OPERATED.

1. Railroad line represented by capital stock:
 - a Main line.
 - b Branches and spurs.
2. Proprietary companies whose entire capital stock is owned by this company.
3. Line operated under lease for specified sum.
4. Line operated under contract, or where the rental is contingent upon earnings or other considerations.
5. Line operated under trackage rights.

Name.	Terminals.		Miles of line for each road named.	Miles of line for each class of roads named.
	From—	To—		
1. a Chicago Rock Island & Pacific Railway Company	Chicago, Ill.	State Line west of Rock Island, Ill.	182.06	
	Rock Island, Ill.	Council Bluffs, Ia.	218.87	
	Council Bluffs, Ia.	Kansas-Nebraska line north of Mahaska, Kan.	128.74	
	Kansas-Nebraska line north of Mahaska, Kan.	Phillipsburg, Kan.	115.16	
	Phillipsburg, Kan.	Colorado-Kansas line west of Kanorado, Kan.	157.76	
	Colorado-Kansas line west of Kanorado, Kan.	Colorado Springs, Col.	167.27	
	Davenport, Ia.	Iowa-Missouri line South of Lineville, Ia.	194.89	
	State line south of Lineville, Ia.	Atchison via St. Joseph, Mo.	189.61	
	Altamont, Mo. (Jet. to St. Joe.)	Leavenworth (Jet. at Stillings) Kan.	67.50	
	Edgerton Jet., Mo.	Rushville Jet., Mo.	24.04	
	St. Joseph, Mo.	Caldwell, Kan.	264.38	
	Horton Jet., Kan.	N. W. H. B. of "Y" at Horton, Kan.	1.88	
	Jet. Line to Caldwell, Kan.	M. P. 172 at Herington, Kan.57	
	Jet. Line to Salina, Kan.	H. B. Stock Yard track Herington, Kan.94	
	Union Pacific Connection at Armourdale, Kan.	Wyoming St. Kan. City, Mo.	2.50	
	M. P. 295 S. of Caldwell, Kan.	State line S. of Terral, I. T.	235.41	
	M. P. 172 at Herington, Kan.	State Line south of Liberal, Kan.	267.18	
	State Line S. of Liberal, Kan.	State Line south of Texhoma, O. T.	55.64	
	Burlington, Ia.	Manly (Jet. with Ia. Cen.) Ia.	234.55	

OFFICERS.

Title.	Name.	Location of Office.
President.....	Marvin Hughitt.....	Chicago, Ill.
First vice-president.....	E. E. Osborn.....	New York, N. Y.
Second vice-president.....	James T. Clark.....	St. Paul, Minn.
Secretary.....	E. B. Woodman.....	Hudson, Wis.
Treasurer.....	S. O. Howe.....	New York, N. Y.
Assistant treasurer and third assistant secretary	R. H. Williams.....	New York, N. Y.
General solicitor.....		
General counsel.....	Thomas Wilson.....	St. Paul, Minn.
General attorney.....	James B. Shean.....	St. Paul, Minn.
Comptroller.....	J. A. Robinson.....	St. Paul, Minn.
Auditor of expenditures.....	W. H. Stennett.....	Chicago, Ill.
Local treasurer.....	Chas. P. Nash.....	St. Paul, Minn.
General manager.....	A. W. Treuholm.....	St. Paul, Minn.
Chief engineer.....	C. W. Johnson.....	St. Paul, Minn.
General superintendent.....	T. G. Stickland.....	St. Paul, Minn.
Division superintendent.....	T. W. Kennedy.....	Eau Claire, Wis.
Division superintendent.....	L. F. Slater.....	St. James, Minn.
Division superintendent.....	F. E. Nichols.....	Omaha, Neb.
Purchasing agent.....	Isaac Seddon.....	St. Paul, Minn.
Superintendent of telegraph.....	H. C. Hope.....	St. Paul, Minn.
General freight agent.....	H. M. Pearce.....	St. Paul, Minn.
Assistant general freight agent.....	E. B. Ober.....	Minneapolis, Minn.
General passenger agent.....	T. W. Teusdale.....	St. Paul, Minn.
Assistant general passenger agent.....	C. H. Mac Rae.....	St. Paul, Minn.
Second assistant freight agent.....	E. A. Gray.....	St. Paul, Minn.
General baggage agent.....	E. F. Woode.....	St. Paul, Minn.
Car service agent.....	G. L. Osman.....	St. Paul, Minn.
General claim agent.....	E. L. Poole.....	St. Paul, Minn.
Land commissioner.....	G. W. Bell.....	Hudson, Wis.
Tax commissioner.....	T. A. Polleys.....	St. Paul, Minn.
Superintendent Quincy & Burlington cars.....	J. H. Shattuck.....	Minneapolis, Minn.

ORGANIZATION.

Names of Directors.	Postoffice Address.	Date of Expiration of Term.
Marvin O. Hughitt.....	Chicago, Ill.....	October, 1905.
David P. Kimball.....	Boston, Mass.....	October, 1905.
Horace G. Burt.....	Omaha, Neb.....	October, 1905.
Byron L. Smith.....	Chicago, Ill.....	October, 1905.
Chancey M. Depew.....	New York, N. Y.....	October, 1905.
Eugene E. Osborn.....	New York, N. Y.....	October, 1905.
John M. Whitman.....	Chicago, Ill.....	October, 1905.
Thomas Wilson.....	St. Paul, Minn.....	October, 1905.
John A. Hambird.....	St. Paul, Minn.....	October, 1905.
Albert Keep.....	Chicago, Ill.....	October, 1907.
Wm. K. Vanderbilt.....	New York, N. Y.....	October, 1907.
H. McK. Twombly.....	New York, N. Y.....	October, 1907.
F. W. Vanderbilt.....	New York, N. Y.....	October, 1907.

1. Total number of stockholders at date of last election, 1,072.
2. Date of last meeting of stockholders for election of directors, October 20, 1904.
3. Give postoffice address of general office, St. Paul, Minn.
4. Give postoffice address of operating office, St. Paul, Minn.

CHICAGO, ROCK ISLAND & PACIFIC RAILWAY COMPANY.

PROPERTY OPERATED.

1. Railroad line represented by capital stock:
 - a. Main line.
 - b. Branches and spurs.
2. Proprietary companies whose entire capital stock is owned by this company.
3. Line operated under lease for specified sum.
4. Line operated under contract, or where the rental is contingent upon earnings or other considerations.
5. Line operated under trackage rights.

Name.	Terminals.		Miles of line for each road named.	Miles of line for each class of roads named.
	From—	To—		
1. a. Chicago Rock Island & Pacific Railway Company	Chicago, Ill.	State Line west of Rock Island, Ill.	182.00	
	Rock Island, Ill.	Council Bluffs, Ia.	218.27	
	Council Bluffs, Ia.	Kansas-Nebraska line north of Mahaska, Kan.	122.74	
	Kansas-Nebraska line north of Mahaska, Kan.	Phillipsburg, Kan.	116.16	
	Phillipsburg, Kan.	Colorado-Kansas line west of Kanorado, Kan.	157.75	
	Colorado-Kansas line west of Kanorado, Kan.	Colorado Springs, Col.	167.27	
	Davenport, Ia.	Iowa-Missouri line South of Lineville, Ia.	194.90	
	State line south of Lineville, Ia.	Atchison via St. Joseph, Mo.	189.61	
	Altamont, Mo. (Jct. to St. Joe.)	Leavenworth (Jct. at Salina) Kan.	67.60	
	Edgerton Jct., Mo.	Rushville Jct., Mo.	24.04	
	St. Joseph, Mo.	Caldwell, Kan.	294.33	
	Horton Jct., Kan.	N. W. H. B. of "Y" at Horton, Kan.	1.83	
	Jct. Line to Caldwell, Kan.	M. P. 172 at Herington, Kan.67	
	Jct. Line to Salina, Kan.	H. B. Stock Yard track Herington, Kan.94	
	Union Pacific Connection at Armourdale, Kan.	Wyoming St. Kan. City, Mo.	2.50	
	M. P. 286 S. of Caldwell, Kan.	State line S. of Terral, I. T.	236.41	
	M. P. 172 at Herington, Kan.	State Line south of Liberal, Kan.	267.13	
	State Line S. of Liberal, Kan.	State Line south of Texhoma, O. T.	55.64	
	Burlington, Ia.	Mainly (Jct. with Ia. Cen.) Ia.	224.55	

Wisconsin Valley Division	New Lisbon.....	Babcock.....	31.68						31.68
	Toman.....	Babcock.....	28.72						28.72
	Babcock.....	Star Lake.....	151.88						151.88
	Babcock.....	Pittsville.....	9.97						9.97
	Pittsville Junction.....	Yesper.....	8.43						8.43
	Pittsville Junction.....	Romadska.....	27.65						27.65
	Nekoosa.....	Branch.....	3.92						3.92
	Otis.....	Helman.....	7.60						7.60
	Herman.....	Gleason.....	3.56						3.56
	Gleason.....	Southward.....	14.15						14.15
River Division	North La Crosse.....	St. Paul.....	1.86						1.86
	St. Paul.....	Minneapolis.....	8.80						8.80
	St. Croix Junction.....	Stillwater.....	12.62						12.62
Wabasha Division	Wabasha.....	Zumbrota.....				54.21			54.21
Chippewa Valley Division	Wabasha.....	Chippewa Falls.....				1.88			1.88
	Red Cedar Junction.....	Mesomonte.....	61.18						61.18
			16.32						16.32
Hastings & Dakota Division	South Minneapolis.....	Ortonville.....				177.97			177.97
	Ortonville Junction.....	Aberdeen.....				1.47			1.47
	Hastings.....	Benton Junction.....				53.7			53.7
	Hopkins.....	Lake Minnesota.....				7.84			7.84
	Wincesee.....	Hutchinson.....				13.45			13.45
	Milbank.....	Sisseton.....							
	Andover.....	Harlem.....				17.30			17.30
Fargo Division	Ortonville.....	Fargo.....				69.40			69.40
James River Division	Mitchell.....	Aberdeen.....							
	Aberdeen.....	Edgeley.....				188.65			188.65
	Aberdeen.....	Bowdle.....				81.61			81.61
	Aberdeen.....	Evarts.....				27.43			27.43
	Roseau.....	Eureka.....				40.96			40.96
	Roseau.....	Linton.....				28.28			28.28
	Roseau.....	Orient.....				14.08			14.08
	Roseau.....	Woonsocket.....				36.10			36.10
Southern Minnesota Division	La Crescent.....	Woonsocket.....				206.22			206.22
	Woonsocket.....	Washington Springs.....				97.20			97.20
	Wells.....	Madison.....				15.96			15.96
	Madison.....	Bristol.....				108.08			108.08
Prairie du Chien Division	Milwaukee.....	Prairie du Chien.....	106.80						106.80
	Prairie du Chien.....	North McGregor.....	1.16						1.16
	Madison.....	Prairie du Sec.....	10.87						10.87
	Lone Rock.....	Richland Center.....	16.22						16.22
Mineral Point Division	Madison.....	Shullsburg.....	76.84						76.84
	Madison.....	New Glarus.....	22.78						22.78
	Madison.....	Mineral Point.....	81.28						81.28
	Madison.....	Platteville.....	17.14						17.14

[illegible]

OFFICERS.

Title.	Name.	Location of office.
Chairman of the board	Roswell Miller	New York
President	A. J. Earling	Chicago
Third vice-president	J. H. Hilland	Chicago
Secretary	E. W. Adams	Milwaukee
Treasurer	F. G. Ranney	Chicago
Assistant treasurer	J. M. McNat	Chicago
General solicitor	Burton Hanson	Chicago
General counsel	Geo. E. Peck	Chicago
Assistant general solicitor	H. H. Field	Chicago
Assistant general solicitor	U. B. Keeler	Chicago
Comptroller	H. G. Haugan	Chicago
Assistant comptroller	W. N. D. Winne	Chicago
General auditor	W. F. Dndley	Chicago
Assistant general auditor	H. R. Williams	Chicago
General manager	W. J. Underwood	Chicago
Assistant general manager	D. J. Whittemore	Chicago
Chief Engineer	D. L. Bush	Chicago
General superintendent	Two in number	
Assistant general superintendents		
Division superintendents	Eighteen in number	
Division superintendents		
Division superintendents		
Superintendent of telegraph	U. J. Fry	Milwaukee
General freight agent	E. S. Keeley	Chicago
Assistant general freight agents	Four in number	
General passenger agent	F. A. Miller	Chicago
Assistant general passenger agents	Three in number	
General baggage agent	W. O. Garrick	Milwaukee
Land commissioner	H. G. Harrison	Milwaukee

ORGANIZATION.

Names of Directors.	Postoffice Address.	Date of Expiration of Term.
Frank S. Bond	New York	September, 1905
A. J. Earling	Chicago	September, 1905
Chas. W. Harkness	New York	September, 1905
Henry H. Rogers	New York	September, 1905
James H. Smith	New York	September, 1905
Peter Geddes	New York	September, 1906
Roswell Miller	New York	September, 1906
Wm. Rockefeller	New York	September, 1906
J. A. Stewart	New York	September, 1906
J. Ogden Armour	Chicago	September, 1907
Frederick Layton	Milwaukee	September, 1907
James Milbank	New York	September, 1907
Samuel Spencer	New York	September, 1907

1. Total number of stockholders at date of last election, 6337.
2. Date of last meeting of stockholders for election of directors, September 24, 1904.
3. Give postoffice address of general office, Chicago, Ill.
4. Give postoffice address of operating office, Chicago, Ill.

Winona.....	Pierre.....	484.01	376.99	209.11
Eyota.....	Chatfield.....	11.46	11.46
Eyota.....	Palmyra.....	15.01	15.01
Rochester.....	Zumbrota.....	24.46	24.46
Mankato.....	Mankato.....	3.75	3.75
New Ulm.....	New Ulm.....	25.58	25.58
Steeley Eye.....	Redwood Falls.....	24.40	24.40
Erwin.....	Marshall.....	45.82	45.82
Saraborn.....	Vesta.....	28.40	28.40
Tracy.....	Gettysburg.....	238.75	238.75	190.78
Doland.....	Groton.....	88.84	88.84	68.80
Tyler.....	Astoria.....	82.20	82.20	6.80
Watertown Junction.....	Watertown.....	45.53	45.53	45.88
Irondale.....	Hawarden (St. Line).....	135.19	135.19	125.49
Centerville.....	Vinton.....	28.46	28.46	28.46
James Valley Junction.....	Oakes.....	131.95	131.95	117.67	14.28
Total.....		7,390.55	678.95	1,574.53	1,702.57	521.19	948.86	14.28	1,071.91 130.46
Proprietary lines, viz:									
Princeton & Western Railway.....	Needah.....	16.06	16.06
Valley Junction.....	
DePue, Ladd & Eastern Railway Co. Ladd.....	Seatonville, Ill.....	8.25	8.25
Total.....		19.81	8.25	16.96
Leased lines, viz:									
St. Paul Eastern Grand Trunk R'y.....	Oconomt.....	60.02	55.09
St. Clintonville.....		4.02
Spurs.....	
Total.....		60.02	60.02

RECAPITULATION.

Represented by capital stock, C. & N. W. Railway.....	7,390.55	678.95	1,574.53	1,702.57	521.19	650.80	948.86	14.28	1,071.91 130.46
Proprietary lines.....	19.81	8.25	16.09
Leased lines.....	60.02	60.02
Total.....	7,869.88	686.20	1,574.53	1,778.65	521.19	650.80	948.86	14.28	1,071.91 130.46
Add trackage rights:									
Council Bluffs (Broadway).....	8.78	8.07	5.06
Peoria Junction.....	2.02	2.02
Omaha, Nebraska.....	24.70	24.70
Ladd.....	2.80	2.80
Grand total.....	7,406.18	645.02	1,577.60	1,778.65	521.19	650.80	948.86	14.28	1,102.27 130.46

OFFICERS.

Title.		Location of Office.
President.....	Marvin Hughitt	Chicago, Ill.
First vice president.....	Eugene E. Osborn.....	New York, N. Y.
Second vice president.....	Marshall M. Kirkman.....	Chicago, Ill.
Third vice president.....	Hiram E. McCullough.....	Chicago, Ill.
Secretary.....	Eugene E. Osborn.....	New York, N. Y.
Treasurer.....	S. O. Howe.....	New York, N. Y.
General counsel.....	Lloyd W. Bowers.....	Chicago, Ill.
Auditor.....	J. B. Redfield.....	Chicago, Ill.
General manager.....	W. A. Gardner.....	Chicago, Ill.
Assistant general manager.....	R. H. Aishton.....	Chicago, Ill.
Chief engineer.....	E. C. Carter.....	Chicago, Ill.
General superintendent.....	W. D. Cantillon.....	Chicago, Ill.
Assistant general superintendent.....	T. A. Lawson.....	Chicago, Ill.
Division superintendent.....	W. H. Whalen.....	Boone, Ia.
Division superintendent.....	E. G. Shevenell.....	Mason City, Ia.
Division superintendent.....	G. J. Quigley.....	Eagle Grove, Ia.
Division superintendent.....	T. Walter.....	Loux City, Ia.
Superintendent of telegraph.....	G. H. Thayer.....	Chicago, Ill.
Freight traffic manager.....	M. Hughitt, Jr.....	Chicago, Ill.
Passenger traffic manager.....	W. B. Kniskern.....	Chicago, Ill.
General freight agent.....	E. D. Brigham.....	Chicago, Ill.
General passenger agent.....	C. A. Cairns.....	Chicago, Ill.
General ticket agent.....	C. A. Cairns.....	Chicago, Ill.
General baggage agent.....	Frank D. Taylor.....	Chicago, Ill.
Land commissioner.....	J. F. Cleveland.....	Chicago, Ill.

ORGANIZATION.

Names of Directors.	Postoffice Address.	Date of Expiration of Term.
W. H. Vanderbilt.....	New York, N. Y.	October, 1906
F. W. Vanderbilt.....	New York, N. Y.	October, 1906
H. McK. Twombly.....	New York, N. Y.	October, 1906
Byron L. Smith.....	Chicago, Ill.	October, 1906
Cyrus H. McCormick.....	Chicago, Ill.	October, 1906
Marshall Field.....	Chicago, Ill.	October, 1906
Albert Keep.....	Chicago, Ill.	October, 1906
Chauncey M. Depew.....	New York, N. Y.	October, 1906
Samuel F. Barger.....	New York, N. Y.	October, 1906
James C. Fargo.....	New York, N. Y.	October, 1906
H. C. Frick.....	Pittsburgh, Pa.	October, 1906
David P. Kimball.....	Boston, Mass.	October, 1907
Marvin Hughitt.....	Chicago, Ill.	October, 1907
Frank Work.....	New York, N. Y.	October, 1907
James Stillman.....	New York, N. Y.	October, 1907
Oliver Ames.....	Boston, Mass.	October, 1907
James Crane.....	Dalton, Mass.	October, 1907

1. Total number of stockholders at date of last election, 4,471.
2. Date of last meeting of stockholders for election of directors, October 20, 1904.
3. Give postoffice address of general office, Chicago and New York.
4. Give postoffice address of operating office, Chicago, Ill.

CHICAGO, ST. PAUL, MINNEAPOLIS & OMAHA RAILWAY COMPANY.

PROPERTY OPERATED.

1. Railroad line represented by capital stock.
 - a. Main line.
2. Proprietary companies whose entire capital stock is owned by this company.
3. Line operated under trackage rights.

Name.	Terminals.		Miles of line for each road named.	Miles of line for each class of roads named.
	From—	To—		
1. a Chicago, St. Paul, Minneapolis & Omaha.....	Elroy	St. Paul	192.83	
	North Wisconsin Jct.	Bayfield	177.57	
	Eau Claire	Spooner	81.51	
	Superior Junction	Duluth	71.70	
	St. Paul	Le Mars	248.42	
	Missouri River at Covington	Omaha	128.08	
	St. Croix Draw Bridge	Stillwater Switch	4.58	
	Stillwater Junction	Stillwater	8.80	
	Hudson	Ellsworth	24.82	
	Merrillan	Marshfield	83.97	
	Ashland Junction	Ashland	4.88	
	Ashland Shore Line		1.81	
	West Eau Claire	Shaw's Mills	2.71	
	Fairchild	Mondovi	86.76	
	Menomonie Junction	Menomonie City	3.01	
	Lake Crystal	Elmore	48.48	
	Heron Lake	Pipestone	85.10	
	Madelia	Fairmont	29.88	
	Bingham Lake	Currie	88.68	
	Trent	Mitchell	120.18	
	Luverne	Doon	28.00	
	Coburn	Newcastle	26.96	
	Emerson	Norfolk	46.50	
	Wakefield	Hartington	34.76	
	Wayne	Bloomfield	48.14	
	Emerald	Weston	86.87	
2. Chippewa Valley & N. W. Ry				1,521.60
Eau Claire, Chipp F. & N. E. Ry	Raddison Junction	Raddison	36.00	
	Onippewa Falls	Yellow River	49.28	
5. St. Louis River Bridge, (Northern Pacific Ry.)				85.28
Great Northern Ry.	Superior	Rice's Point	1.89	
Minneapolis & St. Louis N. R.	St. Paul	Minneapolis	11.40	
Illinois Central Railroad	Minneapolis	Merriam Junction	27.09	
Sioux City Bridge Company	Le Mars	Sioux City	26.80	
	Bridge across Missouri River and tracks at			
Chicago & North-Western Ry.	Sioux City		8.90	
	Sioux City	Sioux City Bridge Co's Track50	
				69.59
Total mileage operated				1,676.47

OFFICERS.

Title.	Name.	Location of Office.
President.....	Marvin Hughitt.....	Chicago, Ill.
First vice-president.....	E. E. Osborn.....	New York, N. Y.
Second vice-president.....	James T. Clark.....	St. Paul, Minn.
Secretary.....	E. H. Woodman.....	Hudson, Wis.
Treasurer.....	S. O. Howe.....	New York, N. Y.
Assistant treasurer and third assistant secretary	R. H. Williams.....	New York, N. Y.
General solicitor.....	Thomas Wilson.....	St. Paul, Minn.
General counsel.....	James B. Shean.....	St. Paul, Minn.
General attorney.....	J. A. Robinson.....	St. Paul, Minn.
Comptroller.....	W. H. Stennett.....	Chicago, Ill.
Auditor of expenditures.....	Chas. P. Nash.....	St. Paul, Minn.
Local treasurer.....	A. W. Trenholm.....	St. Paul, Minn.
General manager.....	C. W. Johnson.....	St. Paul, Minn.
Chief engineer.....	T. G. Stickland.....	St. Paul, Minn.
General superintendent.....	T. W. Kennedy.....	Eau Claire, Wis.
Division superintendent.....	L. F. Blaker.....	St. James, Minn.
Division superintendent.....	F. E. Nichols.....	Omaha, Neb.
Purchasing agent.....	Isaac Heddon.....	St. Paul, Minn.
Superintendent of telegraph.....	H. O. Hope.....	St. Paul, Minn.
General freight agent.....	H. M. Pearce.....	St. Paul, Minn.
Assistant general freight agent.....	E. B. Ober.....	Minneapolis, Minn.
General passenger agent.....	T. W. Teusdale.....	St. Paul, Minn.
Assistant general passenger agent.....	G. H. Mac Rae.....	St. Paul, Minn.
Second assistant freight agent.....	E. A. Gray.....	St. Paul, Minn.
General baggage agent.....	E. F. Woode.....	St. Paul, Minn.
Car service agent.....	G. L. Osaman.....	St. Paul, Minn.
General claim agent.....	E. L. Poole.....	St. Paul, Minn.
Land commissioner.....	G. W. Bell.....	Hudson, Wis.
Tax commissioner.....	T. A. Polleys.....	St. Paul, Minn.
Superintendent Quincy & Burlington cars.....	J. H. Shattuck.....	Minneapolis, Minn.

ORGANIZATION.

Names of Directors.	Postoffice Address.	Date of Expiration of Term.
Marvin O. Hughitt.....	Chicago, Ill.....	October, 1906.
David P. Kimball.....	Boston, Mass.....	October, 1906.
Horace G. Burt.....	Omaha, Neb.....	October, 1906.
Byron L. Smith.....	Chicago, Ill.....	October, 1906.
Chancey M. Depew.....	New York, N. Y.....	October, 1906.
Eugene E. Osborn.....	New York, N. Y.....	October, 1906.
John M. Whitman.....	Chicago, Ill.....	October, 1906.
Thomas Wilson.....	St. Paul, Minn.....	October, 1906.
John A. Humbird.....	St. Paul, Minn.....	October, 1906.
Albert Keep.....	Chicago, Ill.....	October, 1907.
Wm. K. Vanderbilt.....	New York, N. Y.....	October, 1907.
H. McK. Twombly.....	New York, N. Y.....	October, 1907.
F. W. Vanderbilt.....	New York, N. Y.....	October, 1907.

1. Total number of stockholders at date of last election, 1,079.
2. Date of last meeting of stockholders for election of directors, October 20, 1904.
3. Give postoffice address of general office, St. Paul, Minn.
4. Give postoffice address of operating office, St. Paul, Minn.

CHICAGO, ROCK ISLAND & PACIFIC RAILWAY COMPANY.

PROPERTY OPERATED.

1. Railroad line represented by capital stock:
 - a. Main line.
 - b. Branches and spurs.
2. Proprietary companies whose entire capital stock is owned by this company.
3. Line operated under lease for specified sum.
4. Line operated under contract, or where the rental is contingent upon earnings or other considerations.
5. Line operated under trackage rights.

Name.	Terminals.		Miles of line for each road named.	Miles of line for each class of roads named.
	From—	To—		
1. a Chicago Rock Island & Pacific Railway Company	Chicago, Ill.	State Line west of Rock Island, Ill.	182.00	182.00
	Rock Island, Ill.	Council Bluffs, Ia.	218.97	218.97
	Council Bluffs, Ia.	Kansas-Nebraska line north of Nebraska, Kan.	128.74	128.74
	Kansas-Nebraska line north of Nebraska, Kan.	Phillipsburg, Kan.	116.16	116.16
	Phillipsburg, Kan.	Colorado-Kansas line west of Kanorado, Kan.	157.75	157.75
	Colorado-Kansas line west of Kanorado, Kan.	Colorado Springs, Col.	167.27	167.27
	Davenport, Ia.	Iowa-Missouri line South of Lineville, Ia.	194.89	194.89
	State line south of Lineville, Ia.	Atchison via St. Joseph, Mo.	128.61	128.61
	Altamont, Mo. (Jct. to St. Joe.)	Leavenworth (Jct. at Sullivan) Kan.	67.50	67.50
	Edgerton Jct., Mo.	Rushville Jct., Mo.	24.05	24.05
	St. Joseph, Mo.	Caldwell, Kan.	294.33	294.33
	Horton Jct., Kan.	N. W. H. B. of "Y" at Horton, Kan.	1.88	1.88
	Jct. Line to Caldwell, Kan.	M. P. 172 at Herington, Kan.67	.67
	Jct. Line to Salina, Kan.	H. B. Stock Yard track Herington, Kan.94	.94
	Union Pacific Connection at Armourdale, Kan.	Wyoming St. Kan. City, Mo.	2.46	2.46
	M. P. 286 S. of Caldwell, Kan.	State line S. of Terral, I. T.	236.41	236.41
	M. P. 172 at Herington, Kan.	State Line south of Liberal, Kan.	267.13	267.13
	State Line S. of Liberal, Kan.	State Line south of Texhoma, O. T.	58.64	58.64
	Burlington, Ia.	Mainly (Jct. with Ia. Cen.) Ia.	224.56	224.56

	Northwood, Ia.		State Line south of Gordonville, Minn.	
	State Line South of Gordonville, Minn.	Comus Jet, Minn.	4.14	
1. a Chicago, Rock Island & Pacific Railway Co.	Rosamont, Minn. (Jet. with Chicago, Milwaukee & St. P.)	Newport (Jet. O. M. & S. P.)	66.41	
	Inver Grove, Minn.	West St. Paul, Minn.	13.48	
	Jet. South of Albert Lea, Minn.	North of O. M. & S. P. cross- ing Albert Lea, Minn.	8.88	
			76	2,615.06
1. b Chicago, Rock Island & Pacific Railway Co.	Waverly Junction, Iowa.	Waverly, Iowa.	5.6	
	Vinton, Iowa.	State Line East of Ellis- worth, Iowa.	247.7	
	State Line E. of Ellsworth, Ia.	State Line E. of ward, S. D.	55.81	
	State Line East of ward, S. D.	Watertown, S. D.	73.8	
	Gowrie, Iowa.	Bibley, Iowa.	109.7	8,146.48
	South Englewood, Ill.	South Chicago, Ill.	7.48	
	South Englewood, Ill.	Blue Island, (Dummy) Ill.	6.68	
	Peoria (Bridge Street) Ill.	Rock Island (30th St.) Ill.	90.49	
	Peoria, Illinois.	Lowa Junction, Ill.	2.04	
	Princeton, Illinois.	Sherrard, Illinois.	22.57	
	Wilton, Iowa.	Lime Kilns, Iowa.	6.00	
	Lee Moines, Iowa.	Monroe, Iowa.	17.02	
	Somerset, Iowa.	Winterset, Iowa.	41.08	
	Menlo, Iowa.	Indianola, Iowa.	6.40	
	Atlantic, Iowa.	Guthrie Center, Iowa.	14.51	
	Atlantic, Iowa.	Andubon, Iowa.	25.12	
	Avoca, Iowa.	Griswold, Iowa.	14.22	
	Avoca, Iowa.	Harlan, Iowa.	11.88	
	Wilton, Iowa.	Carlson, Iowa.	17.78	
	Mount Zion, Iowa.	Muscataine, Iowa.	11.97	
	Washington, Iowa.	Kossauqua, Iowa.	4.50	
		Knoxville (including Beacon Cut-off)	79.81	
	Horton, Kansas.	State Line East of Du Bois, Nebraska.	37.11	
	State Line E. of Du Bois, Neb.	Jensen, Nebraska.	69.96	
	Fairbury, Nebraska.	Nelson, Nebraska.	91.66	
	McFarland, Kansas.	Belleville, Kansas.	172.02	
	Herington, Kansas.	Salina, Kansas.	48.89	
	Bucklin, Kansas.	Dodge City, Kansas.	26.67	
	North Enid, Oklahoma.	Billings, Oklahoma.	36.75	
	Kingfisher, Oklahoma.	Cashion, Oklahoma.	16.06	
	Chandler, Oklahoma.	Guthrie, Oklahoma.	88.45	
	Chickasha, Indian Ter.	Lindsay, Indian Ter.	24.75	
	Chickasha, Indian Ter.	Mangum, Oklahoma.	97.79	
			926.32	8,146.48

1. Chicago, Rock Island & Pacific Railway Co.	El Reno "Cut off".....	2.01
	Enid, Oklahoma.....	64.74
	Gulf Junction, Oklahoma.....	82.96
	Ward, Oklahoma.....	77.06
	Anadarko, Oklahoma.....	2.94
	Bridgeport, "Cut off".....	20.88
	Lawton, Oklahoma.....	37.59
	LeVal's Bluff.....	114.20
	Linn Junction, Iowa.....	8.30
	Osborne Junction, Iowa.....	55.76
	Quincy (via Bennet) Iowa.....	2.06
	Plato, Iowa.....	44.84
	Beaumont, Iowa.....	87.81
	Vasconcel, Iowa.....	5.18
	Thoraburg, Iowa.....	20.91
	Kimira (via Iowa City) Iowa.....	
	Ellsworth, Minnesota.....	
	State Line West of Ellsworth, Minnesota.....	2.81
	State Line W. of Granite, Iowa.....	30.28
	Lake Park, Iowa.....	9.82
	State Line East of Round Lake, Minn.....	4.26
	State Line East of Round Lake, Minnesota.....	42.68
	Troskev, Minnesota.....	1.97
	Cows, Iowa.....	8.71
	Garner, Iowa.....	70.84
	Katherville, Iowa.....	21.70
	State Line S. of Brice, Minn.....	50.76
	Hopedale, Arkansas.....	81.31
	East End Switch at Little Rock, Arkansas.....	647.5
	Penton, Arkansas.....	69
	Butterfield, Arkansas.....	84.97
	Ardmore, Junction, Indian Ter.....	6.27
		117.66
		505.08
2. Choctaw, Oklahoma & Gulf Railroad Co.		
		1,788.76
		4,934.16

8. Choctaw, Oklahoma & Gulf Railroad Company	Tecumseh Junction, O. T.	Asher, O. T.	25.41
	Geary, O. T.	State Line N. of	
		Amorita, O. T.	108.81
	State Line No. of	Anthony, Kansas	14.78
	Amorita, O. T.	Alva, O. T.	15.56
	Ingersoll, O. T.	Hadleyville, I. T.	10.17
	Wilburton, I. T.		
	Prairie Creek Branch (Ameri-		
	can Smokeless Coal Compa-		
	ny Mine), Arkansas		2.55
	Bolan Darnell Coal Company		.81
	Spur, near Hartford, Ark.		
	Central Coal & Coke Company		.47
	Spur, near Hartford, Ark.		
	Mexican Gulf Coal & Trans-		
	portation Company Spur		
	(Potter's Spur)		2.58
	Turkey Creek & Le Bosquet		
	Spur, near Hughes		2.77
	Benman's Spur		.06
	Elliot's Slope No. 4 Spur, near		
	Lutie		.53
	Hally Ole Coal Company Spur,		
	near Lutie		.50
	Great Western Coal & Coke		
	Company Busby's 1 & 2		.61
	Great Western Coal & Coke		
	Company Busby's 3 & 4		.66
	Great Western Coal & Coke		
	Company Slope 7		.41
	Degman & McConnell's Slope 4		
	& 5		.40
	Degman & McConnell's Slope 6		
	& 7		.48
	Degman & McConnell's Slope 9		.41
	Degman & McConnell's Slope 10		
	& 11		
	Degman & McConnell's Slope 14		.87
	Degman & McConnell's Slope 15		.73
	Degman & McConnell's Slope 16		.18
	& 17		
	Rock Island Coal Co. Shaft 8		.21
			8.01

3. Peoria & Bureau Valley Railway Company.....	Rock Island Coal Co. Kola Inle 1.....	2.06	
White & Black River Valley Railway Company.....	Rock Island Coal Co. Shaft 7, near Gowen.....	.71	
Little Rock & Hot Springs Western Railway Company.....	Rock Island Coal Co. Shaft 8, near Harshorne.....	.87	
4. Keokuk & Des Moines Valley Railway Company.....	Halley Oia Coal Co. Mine 1, Halleyville.....	.44	
	Halley Oia Coal Co. Mine 8, Halleyville.....	1.11	
	Halley Oia Coal Co. Shaft 9, Dow (off H. W. Br.).....	.49	
	Bolan Darnell Coal Co. Spur, near Craig.....	.48	
	Indian Coal & Mining Co. (off H. W. Br.).....	.72	
	Shaft 2, Milby & Dow Rock Island Coal Co. Shaft 6, near Bache.....	1.50	
	Rock Island Coal Co. Slope 88, near MP-360.....	.82	
	Rock Island Coal Co. Shaft 5, near MP-362.....	.89	
	Baker's Spur, Busby's D. Edwards & Son Mine, near Edwards.....	1.64	
		.88	
		1,007.94	
	Peoria, Illinois.....	46.97	
	Jacksport, Ark.....	56.80	
	Gregory, Ark.....	5.96	
	Benton, Ark.....	21.97	1,189.16
	Des Moines, Iowa.....	162.81	162.81

5. Chicago, Milwaukee & St. Paul Railway Company.....	Conus Junction, Minnesota.....	Rosemount, Minn.....	37.08
Iowa Central Railway Company.....	Newport, Minn.....	Minneapolis, Minn.....	19.06
Peoria & Pekin Union Railway Company.....	Manly, Junction, Iowa.....	Northwood, Iowa.....	11.82
Union Pacific Railroad Company.....	Peoria (Bridge Street) Illinois.....	Peoria Union Depot (P. & P. U. R. R.), Ill.....	.32
Hannibal & St. Joseph Railroad Company.....	Council Bluffs, Iowa.....	State Line east of Omaha, Nebraska.....	2.12
Union Pacific Railroad Company.....	Cameron Junction, Mo.....	Kansas City, Mo.....	54.30
Union Pacific Railroad Company.....	Kansas City, Mo.....	Missouri-Kan. Line, Kan. City.....	.80
St. Joseph & Grand Island Railroad Company.....	St. Joseph, Mo.....	Missouri-Kansas Line west of St. Joe.....	.15
Atchison Bridge Company Railway.....	Wishnup, Mo.....	State Line.....	.18
Leavenworth Terminal Railway & Bridge Company.....	Stillings, Mo.....	State Line.....	.76
Des Moines & Fort Dodge Railway Company.....	Des Moines, Iowa.....	Gowrie, Iowa.....	96.63
Keokuk Union Depot Company.....	Union Depot track at Keokuk, Iowa.....		.09
St. Joseph & Grand Island Railroad Company.....	Missouri-Kansas line west of St. Joe.....	Elmwood Junction with O. R. I. & P. Railway.....	.31
Union Pacific Railroad Company.....	Missouri-Kansas line, Kanse City.....	Topeka, Kansas.....	94.95
Denver & Rio Grande Railroad Company.....	State Line East of Omaha, Union, Colorado.....	South Omaha, Nebraska.....	4.90
Atchison, Topeka & Santa Fe Railway Company.....	Denver, Colorado.....	Denver, Colorado.....	88.76
Atchison Bridge Company Railway.....	At Lansing, I. T.....	Pueblo, Colorado.....	119.60
Leavenworth Terminal Railway & Bridge Company.....	State Line.....		.75
St. Louis & San Francisco Railroad Company.....	State Line.....	Atchison, Kansas.....	.18
	Memphis Union N. C. R. R.....	Leavenworth, Kansas.....	.81
		J. B. Butler Bldg.....	3.84

OFFICERS.

Title.	Name.	Location of Office.
Chairman of the board	D. G. Reid	New York, N. Y.
Chairman executive committee	Robert Mather	New York, N. Y.
President	B. L. Winchell	Chicago, Ill.
First vice president	R. A. Jackson	Chicago, Ill.
Second vice president	H. U. Mudge	Chicago, Ill.
Third vice president	W. B. Bibbie	Chicago, Ill.
Assistant to the president	S. T. Fulton	Chicago, Ill.
Assistant to the second vice president	W. M. Hobbs	Chicago, Ill.
Secretary	George H. Crosby	Chicago, Ill.
Treasurer		
Comptroller	W. W. Stevenson	New York, N. Y.
General council	Robert Mather	New York, N. Y.
General solicitor	R. A. Jackson	Chicago, Ill.
General attorney	M. A. Low	Topeka, Kansas
General attorney	W. F. Evans	St. Louis Missouri
General auditor	Frank Noy	Chicago, Ill.
Auditor disbursements	H. M. Sloan	Chicago, Ill.
Auditor freight traffic	W. H. Burns	Chicago, Ill.
Auditor passenger traffic	D. Laughlin	Chicago, Ill.
General manager central and Northern dist.	F. O. Melcher	Chicago, Ill.
General manager Southwestern & Choctaw dist.	D. E. Cain	Topeka, Kansas
Chief engineer	W. L. Darling	Chicago, Ill.
Purchasing agent	C. F. Forbes	Chicago, Ill.
General superintendent Central district	C. H. Hubbell	Davenport, Iowa
General superintendent Southwestern district	O. O. Crockett	Kansas City, Mo.
General superintendent Northern district	H. S. Cable	Cedar Rapids, Iowa
General superintendent Choctaw district	W. S. Tinsman	Little Rock, Ark.
Division superintendent	W. G. Bierd	Chicago, Ill.
Division superintendent	J. R. Blair	Kansas City, Mo.
Division superintendent	L. B. Allen	Rock Island, Ill.
Division superintendent	B. Smalley	Des Moines, Iowa
Division superintendent	W. H. Givens	Des Moines, Iowa
Division superintendent	W. M. Whiteman	Trenton, Missouri
Division superintendent	C. W. Jones	Topeka, Kansas
Division superintendent	O. J. Wilson	Fairbury, Neb.
Division superintendent	A. T. Abbott	Colo-Spring, Colo.
Division superintendent	H. M. Hallock	Oklahoma City, O. T.
Division superintendent	M. E. Seabee	Dalhart, Texas
Division superintendent	H. P. Greenough	Cedar Rapids, Iowa
Division superintendent	C. L. Brown	Esterville, Iowa
Division superintendent	G. A. Merrill	Little Rock, Ark.
Division superintendent	A. C. McColl	Chickasha, I. T.
Division superintendent	T. H. Beacom	St. Louis, Missouri
Superintendent telegraph	John G. Jennings	Chicago, Ill.
Superintendent mails	Guy Adams	Chicago, Ill.
Freight traffic manager	J. F. Holden	Chicago, Ill.
Assistant freight traffic manager	H. Gower	Chicago, Ill.
General freight agent	H. H. Embury	Kansas City, Mo.
Assistant general freight agent	E. W. Morrison	Little Rock, Ark.
Assistant general freight agent	T. H. Simmons	Chicago, Ill.
Assistant general freight agent	H. A. Snyder	Chicago, Ill.
Assistant general freight agent	H. H. Seaverns	Chicago, Ill.
Assistant general freight agent	R. G. Brown	Minneapolis, Minn.
Assistant general freight agent	F. J. Shubert	Chicago, Ill.
Assistant general freight agent	K. M. Wherry	Kansas City, Mo.
Assistant general freight agent	G. B. Albright	St. Louis, Missouri
Assistant general freight agent	L. F. Kimball	Denver, Colorado
Assistant general freight agent	M. A. Patterson	Chicago, Ill.
Assistant general freight agent	S. H. Johnson	Little Rock, Ark.
Passenger traffic manager	John Sebastian	Chicago, Ill.
General passenger agent	L. M. Allen	Chicago, Ill.
General passenger agent	George H. Lee	Little Rock, Ark.
Assistant general passenger agent	W. J. Leahy	St. Louis, Missouri
General baggage agent	George F. Lee	Chicago, Ill.
Real estate and tax agent	James T. Maher	Chicago, Ill.

ORGANIZATION.

Name of Directors.	Postoffice Address.	Date of expiration of term.
D. G. Reid.....	New York, N. Y.....	1905
W. B. Leeds.....	New York, N. Y.....	1905
Robert Mather.....	New York, N. Y.....	1905
Marshall Field.....	Chicago, Ill.....	1905
R. R. Cable.....	Chicago, Ill.....	1905
J. H. Moore.....	Chicago, Ill.....	1905
F. S. Hine.....	New York, N. Y.....	1905
Ogden Mills.....	New York, N. Y.....	1905
A. E. Orr.....	New York, N. Y.....	1905
W. H. Moore.....	New York, N. Y.....	1907
R. F. Yoakum.....	New York, N. Y.....	1907
B. L. Winchell.....	Chicago, Ill.....	1907
George G. McMurtry.....	New York, N. Y.....	1907

1. Total number of stockholders at date of last election, 633.
2. Date of last meeting of stockholders for election of Directors, October 13, 1904.
3. Give postoffice address of general office, Chicago, Illinois.
4. Give postoffice address of operating office, Chicago Illinois.

COLFAX NORTHERN RAILROAD COMPANY.

PROPERTY OPERATED.

1. Railroad line represented by capital stock :
a Main line.
2. Line operated under lease for specified sum.

Name.	Terminals.		Miles of line for each road named.	Miles of line for each class of roads named.
	From—	To—		
Colfax Northern Railroad.....	1. a Colfax, Ia..... 2. Colfax, Ia.....	Valera, Ia. Seevers, Ia.....	6 5	6 5
Total.....			11	11

OFFICERS.

Title.	Name.	Location of Office.
President	J. L. Parrise.....	Des Moines, Iowa.
First vice president	J. B. Ryan.....	Des Moines, Iowa.
Secretary.....	Frank B. Hooper.....	Colfax, Iowa.....
Treasurer.....	L. W. Bates.....	Colfax, Iowa.....
General Manager.....	Frank B. Hooper.....	Colfax, Iowa.....

ORGANIZATION.

Name of Directors.	Postoffice Address.	Date of Expiration of Term.
J. L. Parrist.....	Des Moines, Iowa.....	June 16, 1906.....
J. B. Ryan.....	Des Moines, Iowa.....	June 16, 1906.....
F. H. Griggs.....	Davenport, Iowa.....	June 15, 1906.....
Frank B. Hooper.....	Colfax, Iowa.....	June 16, 1906.....
M. B. Wheelock.....	Colfax, Iowa.....	June 16, 1906.....

1. Total number of stockholders at date of last election, 6.
2. Date of last meeting of stockholders for election of directors, June 23, 1905.
3. Give postoffice address of general office, Colfax, Iowa.
4. Give postoffice address of operating office, Colfax, Iowa.

CROOKED CREEK RAILROAD AND COAL COMPANY.

PROPERTY OPERATED.

1. Railroad line represented by capital stock:

a Main line.

b Branches and spurs.

Name.	Terminals.		Miles of line for each road named.	Miles of line for each class of roads named.
	From—	To—		
Crooked Creek Railroad and Coal company.	Lehigh, Iowa .. .	Webster City, Iowa ..	17.61	17.61

OFFICERS.

Title.	Name.	Location of Office.
President.....	A. K. Hamilton.....	Milwaukee, Wis.
First vice-president.....	John Q. Burnham.....	Milwaukee, Wis.
Secretary.....	D. M. McK. Sinclair.....	Milwaukee, Wis.
Treasurer.....	L. A. McMurray.....	Webster City, Ia.
Auditor.....	W. H. Whitford.....	Lehigh, Iowa.
General manager.....	F. E. Willson.....	Webster City, Ia.
General freight agent.....	F. E. Willson.....	Webster City, Ia.
General passenger agent.....	F. E. Willson.....	Webster City, Ia.

ORGANIZATION.

Names of Directors.	Postoffice Address.	Date of Expiration of Term.
F. E. Willson.....	Webster City, Iowa.....	September 20, 1905.
John Q. Burnham.....	Milwaukee, Wis.	September 20, 1905.
A. K. Hamilton.....	Milwaukee, Wis.	September 20, 1905.
F. P. Stone.....	Oconomowoc, Wis.....	September 20, 1905.
Charles T. Burnham.....	Milwaukee, Wis.	September 20, 1905.
D. McK. Sinclair.....	Milwaukee, Wis.	September 20, 1905.
L. A. McMurray.....	Webster City, Iowa.....	September 20, 1905.

1. Total number of stockholders at date of last election, 18.
2. Date of last meeting of stockholders for election of officers, September 20, 1904.
3. Give postoffice address of general office, Lehigh, Iowa.
4. Give postoffice address of operating office, Webster City, Iowa.

DAVENPORT, ROCK ISLAND & NORTHWESTERN RAILWAY COMPANY.

PROPERTY OPERATED.

1. Railroadline represented by capital stock.
 a Main line.
 5. Line operated under trackage rights.

Name.	Terminals.		Miles of line for each road named.	Miles of line for each class of road named.
	From—	To—		
1. Line represented by capital stock Davenport, Rock Island & North- western Railway Company.....	Clinton, Iowa.....	Silver Mines, Rock Island County, Ill	48.76	48.76
5. Line operated under trackage rights Chicago, Milwaukee & St. Paul Rail- way Company.....	Waterworks, Davenport, Iowa	Iowa Street, Davenport, Iowa.	.81
Chicago, Burlington & Quincy Rail- way.....	Seventeenth street, Rock Island, Ill	Thirty-eighth Street, Rock land, Ill.....	1.45	2.26
Total.....				49.03

OFFICERS.

Title.	Name.	Location of Office.
President.....	H. R. Williams.....	Chicago, Illinois..
Vice President.....	Jas. C. Hutchins.....	Chicago, Illinois..
Secretary {	P. L. Hinrichs.....	Davenport, Iowa..
Treasurer }	J. H. Ellis.....	Davenport, Iowa..
Auditor and Assistant Treasurer.....	O. B. Grant.....	Davenport, Iowa..
General Manager.....		

ORGANIZATION.

Names of Directors.	Postoffice Address.	Date of Expiration of Term.
Chas. V. Carpenter.....	Chicago, Illinois.....	January 16, 1906.
Herman H. Field.....		
James H. Hiland.....		
James C. Hutchins.....		
Henry W. Weiss.....		
Darius Willard.....		
H. R. Williams.....		

1. Total number of Stockholders at date of last election, 9.
2. Date of last meeting of of Stockholders for election of Directors, January 17, 1905.
3. Give postoffice address of General Office, Davenport, Iowa.
4. Give postoffice address of Operating Office, Davenport, Iowa.

DES MOINES, IOWA FALLS & NORTHERN RAILROAD COMPANY.

PROPERTY OPERATED.

1. Railroad line represented by capital stock.
 - a Main line.
 - b Branches and spurs.
4. Line operated under contract, or where the rental is contingent upon earnings or other considerations.

Name.	Terminals.		Miles of line for each road named.
	From—	To—	
Des Moines, Iowa Falls & Northern Railway....	Iowa Falls.....	Des Moines.....	70.44
Des Moines Western Railway.....	Des Moines Term inal Co. only.....	4.63
Total.....	75.06

OFFICERS.

Title.	Name.	Location of Office.
President.....	E. S. Ellsworth.....	Iowa Falls.
First vice president.....	Wm. Welden.....	Iowa Falls.
Secretary.....	J. H. Turk.....	Iowa Falls..
Treasurer.....	W. H. Woods.....	Iowa Falls.
Attorney, or General Counsel.....	J. H. Turk.....	Iowa Falls.
Auditor.....	W. J. Sander.....	Iowa Falls.
Superintendent.....	W. J. Sander.....	Iowa Falls.
General freight agent.....	R. A. Belding.....	Des Moines.
General passenger agent.....	R. A. Belding.....	Des Moines.

ORGANIZATION.

Names of Directors.	Postoffice address.	Date of Expiration of Term.
E. S. Ellsworth.....	Iowa Falls.....	Dec. 18, 1905.
Wm. Welden.....	Iowa Falls.....	Dec. 12, 1905.]
J. H. Turk.....	Iowa Falls.....	Dec. 12, 1905.
W. V. Shipley.....	Iowa Falls.....	Dec. 12, 1905.
Geo. A. Farnold.....	Boston, Mass.....	Dec. 12, 1905.
Geo. A. W. Dodge.....	Boston, Mass.....	Dec. 12, 1905.

1. Total number of stockholders at date of last election, 7.
2. Date of last meeting of stockholders for election of directors, second Tuesday in Dec.
3. Give postoffice address of General Office, Iowa Falls, Ia.
4. Give postoffice address of operating office, Iowa Falls, Ia.

DES MOINES UNION RAILWAY COMPANY.

PROPERTY OPERATED.

1. Railroad line represented by capital stock.

- a Main line.
b Branches and spurs.

Name.	Terminals.		Miles of line for each road named.	Miles of line for each class of roads named.
	From—	To—		
Des Moines Union Railway company.	Des Moines, Iowa	Des Moines, Iowa	4	4

OFFICERS.

Title.	Name.	Location of Office.
Chairman of the board	F. C. Hubbell...	Des Moines, Iowa.
President.....	F. C. Hubbell..	Des Moines, Iowa.
First vice president.....	H. D. Thompson	Des Moines, Iowa.
Secretary.....	F. M. Hubbell..	Des Moines, Iowa.
Treasurer.....	H. D. Thompson	Des Moines, Iowa.
Auditor.....	E. G. Mitchell..	Des Moines, Iowa.
Chief engineer.....	A. L. Morgan...	Des Moines, Iowa.
General superintendent.....	J. A. Wagner...	Des Moines, Iowa.

ORGANIZATION.

Names of Directors.	Postoffice Address.	Date of Expiration of Term.
F. C. Hubbell.....	Des Moines, Iowa..	January, 1906
F. M. Hubbell	Des Moines, Iowa..	January, 1906
H. D. Thompson.....	Des Moines, Iowa..	January, 1906
Cyrus Kirk, Jr.....	Des Moines, Iowa..	January, 1906
O. Huttenlocher.....	Des Moines, Iowa..	January, 1906
A. J. Earling	Chicago, Ill.....	January, 1906
Joseph Ramsey, Jr....	St. Louis, Mo.....	January, 1906
H. B. Williams	Chicago, Ill.....	January, 1906

1. Total number of stockholders at date of last election, 12.
2. Date of last meeting of stockholders for election of directors, January 5, 1905.
3. Give postoffice address of general office, Des Moines, Iowa.
4. Give postoffice address of operating office, Des Moines, Iowa.

DES MOINES WESTERN RAILWAY.

PROPERTY OPERATED.

1. Railroad line represented by capital stock:

a Main line.

b Branches and spurs.

Name.	Terminals.		Miles of line for each road named.
	From--	To--	
Des Moines Western Railway.....	East Des Moines, Iowa.	East Des Moines, Iowa.	4.63

OFFICERS.

Title.	Name.	Location of Office.
Chairman of the board	F. M. Hubbell.....	Des Moines, Iowa.
President.....	F. M. Hubbell.....	Des Moines, Iowa.
First vice-president.....	E. S. Ellsworth.....	Iowa Falls, Iowa.
Secretary.....	H. D. Thompson.....	Des Moines, Iowa.
Treasurer.....	H. D. Thompson.....	Des Moines, Iowa.
Auditor.....	H. E. Bates.....	Des Moines, Iowa.
General Manager.....	C. H. Hurston.....	Des Moines, Iowa.

ORGANIZATION.

Names of Directors.	Postoffice Address.	Date of Expiration of Term.
F. M. Hubbell.....	Des Moines, Iowa.....
H. H. Thompson.....	Des Moines, Iowa.....
C. Huttenlocher.....	Des Moines, Iowa.....
E. S. Ellsworth.....	Iowa Falls, Iowa.....
Wm. Welden.....	Iowa Falls, Iowa.....

1. Total number of stockholders at date of last election, 8.
2. Date of last meeting of stockholders for election of directors, January 7, 1905.
3. Give postoffice address of general office, Des Moines, Iowa.
4. Give postoffice address of operating office, Des Moines, Iowa.

DUBUQUE & SIOUX CITY RAILROAD COMPANY.

PROPERTY OPERATED.

1. Railroad line represented by capital stock:

a Main line.

b Branches and spurs.

Name.	Terminals.		Miles of line for each road named.	Miles of line for each class of roads named.
	From—	To—		
1. a Dubuque & Sioux City R. R.	Dubuque, Iowa.	Sioux City, Iowa.....		530.25
1. b Dubuque & Sioux City R. R.	Manchester, Iowa....	Oedar Rapids, Iowa....	41.85	
	Osawa, Iowa.....	Sioux Falls, So. Dak..	155.55	
	Tara, Iowa.....	Council Bluffs, Iowa..	188.85	
	Cedar Falls Jct., Iowa	Glenville Jct., Minn..	94.85	
	Stacyville Jct., Iowa.	Stacyville, Iowa.....	7.05	
Total.....				8.00
				750.85

OFFICERS.

Title.	Name.	Location of Office.
President.....	Stuyvesant Fish.....	New York City, N. Y.
Vice president....	John O. Welling.....	Chicago, Ill.....
Second vice president....	J. T. Harahan.....	Chicago, Ill.....
Secretary and assistant treasurer.....	J. F. Merry.....	Dubuque, Iowa.....
Treasurer.....	E. T. H. Gibson.....	New York City, N. Y.
Local treasurer.....	J. F. Titus.....	Chicago, Ill.....
Assistant secretary.....	Chas. H. Wenman.....	New York City, N. Y.
Comptroller.....	Con F. Krebs.....	Chicago, Ill.....
General manager.....	J. T. Harahan.....	Chicago, Ill.....
General superintendent.....	F. B. Harriman.....	Chicago, Ill.....

ORGANIZATION.

Names of Directors.	Postoffice Address.	Date of Expiration of Term.
Stuyvesant Fish.....	New York City, N. Y..	October, 1905.
E. H. Harriman.....	New York City, N. Y..	October, 1905.
E. T. H. Gibson.....	New York City, N. Y..	October, 1905.
J. W. Anderson.....	New York City, N. Y..	October, 1905.
John E. Welling.....	Chicago, Ill.....	October, 1905.
J. T. Harahan.....	Chicago, Ill.....	October, 1905.
J. V. Rider.....	Dubuque, Iowa.....	October, 1905.
M. M. Walker.....	Dubuque, Iowa.....	October, 1905.
F. D. Stout.....	Chicago, Ill.....	October, 1905.
O. O. Tolson.....	Sioux City, Iowa.....	October, 1905.
A. E. Loomis.....	Fort Dodge, Iowa.....	October, 1905.
W. H. Torbert.....	Dubuque, Iowa.....	October, 1905.
J. W. Conchar.....	Dubuque, Iowa.....	October, 1905.
W. G. Dowd.....	Cedar Rapids, Iowa.....	October, 1905.
Geo E. Lighty.....	Waterloo, Iowa.....	October, 1905.

1. Total number of stockholders at date of last election, 51.
2. Date of last meeting of stockholders for election of directors, October 20, 1904.
3. Give postoffice address of general office, Dubuque, Iowa.
4. Give postoffice address of operating office, Chicago, Ill.

IOWA CENTRAL RAILWAY COMPANY.

PROPERTY OPERATED.

1. Railroad line represented by capital stock:
 - a Main line.
 - b Branches and spurs.
2. Proprietary companies whose entire capital stock is owned by this company.
3. Line operated under lease for specified sum.
4. Line operated under contract, or where the rental is contingent upon earnings or other considerations.
5. Line operated under trackage rights.

Name.	Terminals.		Miles of line for each road named.	Miles of line for each class of roads named.
	From—	To—		
1. a Main line.....	Northwood, Iowa.....	Albia Iowa.....	188.96	
Eastern division.....	Oskaloosa, Iowa.....	Iowa-Illinois state line.....	98.90	
Illinois division.....	Iowa-Illinois state line.....	Iowa Junction, Ill.....	89.20	875.06
1. b Belmont branch.....	Hampton, Iowa.....	Belmont, Iowa.....	22.30	
Story City branch.....	Minerva Jct., Iowa.....	Story City, Iowa.....	24.61	
State Center branch.....	Newburg, Iowa.....	State Center, Iowa.....	26.64	
Montezuma branch.....	G. & M. Jct., Iowa.....	Montezuma, Iowa.....	13.61	
Newton branch.....	New Sharon, Iowa.....	Newton, Iowa.....	27.75	
	Lynaville, Jct., Iowa.....	Lynaville, Iowa.....	2.60	137.31
2.				
3.				
4. Iowa Central & Western Ry..	Belmont, Iowa.....	Algona, Iowa.....	37.00	37.00
5. Main line.....	Northwood, Iowa.....	Albert Lee Minn.....	16.86	
Illinois division.....	Iowa Junction, Ill.....	Peoria Ill.....	2.80	19.16
Total.....				553.43

OFFICERS.

Title.	Name.	Location of Office.
President.....	Edwin Hawley.....	New York, N. Y.
Vice President.....	F. H. Davis.....	New York, N. Y.
Vice President.....	L. F. Day.....	Minneapolis, Minn.
Secretary.....	A. C. Doan.....	New York, N. Y.
Treasurer.....	F. H. Davis.....	New York, N. Y.
Assistant Treasurer.....	Joseph Gaskell.....	Minneapolis, Minn.
General Counsel.....	Geo. W. SeEVERS.....	Minneapolis, Minn.
Auditor.....	L. G. Scott.....	Minneapolis, Minn.
General manager.....	L. F. Day.....	Minneapolis, Minn.
Chief engineer.....	H. G. Kelley.....	Minneapolis, Minn.
Superintendent.....	C. B. Hoyden.....	Oskaloosa, Ia.
Superintendent of telegraph.....	T. J. Brown.....	Oskaloosa, Ia.
Freight traffic manager.....	J. N. Tittlemore.....	Minneapolis, Minn.
Assistant general freight agent.....	S. G. Lutz.....	Peoria, Ill.
West general freight agent.....	C. P. Eastman.....	Minneapolis, Minn.
Assistant general freight agent.....	H. F. Marsh.....	Minneapolis, Minn.
General passenger agent.....	A. S. Outts.....	Minneapolis, Minn.
General ticket agent.....	A. B. Outts.....	Minneapolis, Minn.
General baggage agent.....	A. B. Outts.....	Minneapolis, Minn.

ORGANIZATION.

Name of Directors.	Postoffice Address.	Date of Expiration of Term.
Paul Morton.....	Chicago, Ill.....	September, 1905
Jno. E. Searles.....	New York, N. Y.....	September, 1905
Horace J. Morse.....	New York, N. Y.....	September, 1905
L. O. Weir.....	New York, N. Y.....	September, 1905
Edwin Langdon.....	New York, N. Y.....	September, 1905
Russel Sage.....	New York, N. Y.....	September, 1905
Edwin Hawley.....	New York, N. Y.....	September, 1907
F. H. Davis.....	New York, N. Y.....	September, 1907
Geo. Crocker.....	New York, N. Y.....	September, 1907
T. P. Shouts.....	Chicago, Ill.....	September, 1908
E. O. Bradley.....	New York, N. Y.....	September, 1908
H. E. Huntington.....	New York, N. Y.....	September, 1908
L. F. Day.....	Minneapolis, Minn.....	September, 1909
Henry A. Gardiner.....	Chicago, Ill.....	September, 1909
William Shillaber.....	New York, N. Y.....	September, 1909

1. Total number of stockholders at date of last election, 686.
2. Date of last meeting of stockholders for election of directors, September, 2, 1904.
3. Give postoffice address of general office, Minneapolis, Minn.
4. Give postoffice address of operating office, Minneapolis, Minn.

ALBIA & CENTERVILLE RAILWAY COMPANY.

PROPERTY OPERATED.

1. Railroad line represented by capital stock:
 a Main line.

Name.	Terminals.		Miles of line for each road named.	Miles of line for each class of roads named.
	From—	To—		
1. a Albia & Centerville Railway company	Albia, Iowa	Centerville, Iowa	24.44	24.44
Total				24.44

OFFICERS.

Title.	Name.	Location of office.
President	Russell Sage	New York City, N.Y.
First vice-president	T. P. Shouts	New York City, N.Y.
Secretary	J. J. Slocum	New York City, N.Y.
Treasurer	Russell Sage	New York City, N.Y.
Assistant treasurer	O. W. Osborne	New York City, N.Y.
General counsel	Geo. W. Seever	Oskaloosa, Iowa
Auditor	L. G. Scott	Minneapolis, Minn.
General manager	L. F. Day	Minneapolis, Minn.
Chief engineer	W. G. Kelley	Minneapolis, Minn.
Superintendent	O. S. Hayden	Oskaloosa, Iowa
Superintendent of telegraph	T. J. Brown	Oskaloosa, Iowa
Freight traffic manager	J. M. Tittermore	Minneapolis, Minn.
Assistant general freight agent	S. G. Lutz	Peoria, Ill.
Assistant general freight agent	H. F. Marsh	Minneapolis, Minn.
Assistant general freight agent	O. P. Eastman	Minneapolis, Minn.
General passenger agent	A. B. Cutts	Minneapolis, Minn.
General ticket agent	A. B. Cutts	Minneapolis, Minn.
Assistant general ticket agent	A. B. Cutts	Minneapolis, Minn.
General baggage agent	A. B. Cutts	Minneapolis, Minn.

ORGANIZATION.

Names of Directors.	Postoffice Address.	Date of Expiration of Term.
Russell Sage	New York City	May 18, 1906.
T. H. Shouts	New York City	May 18, 1906.
O. W. Osborne	New York City	May 18, 1906.
E. C. Osborn	New York City	May 18, 1906.
J. J. Slocum	New York City	May 18, 1906.

- Total number of stockholders at date of last election, 7.
- Date of last meeting of stockholders for election of directors, May 18, 1905.
- Give postoffice address of general office, 31 Nassau St., New York City.
- Give postoffice address of operating office, 1123 Metropolitan Building, Minneapolis, Minn.

MANCHESTER AND ONEIDA RAILWAY COMPANY.

PROPERTY OPERATED.

Railroad line represented by capital stock:

1. a Main line.

d Branches and spurs.

Name.	Terminals.		Miles of line for each road named.	Miles of line for each class of road named.
	From—	To—		
Manchester & Oneida Railway company	Manchester, Iowa.....	Oneida, Iowa.....	8	8
Total				

ORGANIZATION.

Names of Directors.	Postoffice Address.	Date of Expiration of Term.
E. M. Carr.....	Manchester, Iowa.....	April, 1906.
E. H. Hoyt.....	Manchester, Iowa.....	April, 1906.
C. J. Seeds.....	Manchester, Iowa.....	April, 1906.
W. N. Wolcott.....	Manchester, Iowa.....	April, 1906.
H. O. Haerberle.....	Manchester, Iowa.....	April, 1906.
A. S. Blair.....	Manchester, Iowa.....	April, 1907.
J. J. Hoag.....	Manchester, Iowa.....	April, 1907.
L. Matthews.....	Manchester, Iowa.....	April, 1907.
Wm. Nockaday.....	Manchester, Iowa.....	April, 1907.
Jos. Hutchinson.....	Manchester, Iowa.....	April, 1907.
M. F. LeRoy.....	Manchester, Iowa.....	April, 1908.
R. B. Robinson.....	Manchester, Iowa.....	April, 1908.
A. A. Morse.....	Manchester, Iowa.....	April, 1908.
A. Hollister.....	Manchester, Iowa.....	April, 1909.
B. W. Jewell.....	Omaha, Nebraska.....	April, 1908.

1. Total number of stockholders at date of last election, 298.
2. Date of last meeting of stockholders for election of directors, April 4, 1906.
3. Give postoffice address of general office, Manchester, Iowa.
4. Give postoffice address of operating office, Manchester, Iowa.

ALBIA & CENTERVILLE RAILWAY COMPANY.

PROPERTY OPERATED.

1. Railroad line represented by capital stock:
 a Main line.

Name.	Terminals.		Miles of line for each road named.	Miles of line for each class of roads named.
	From—	To—		
1. a Albia & Centerville Railway company	Albia, Iowa	Centerville, Iowa	24.44	24.44
Total				24.44

OFFICERS.

Title.	Name.	Location of office.
President	Russell Sage	New York City, N.Y.
First vice-president	T. P. Shouts	New York City, N.Y.
Secretary	J. J. Slocum	New York City, N.Y.
Treasurer	Russell Sage	New York City, N.Y.
Assistant treasurer	O. W. Osborne	New York City, N.Y.
General counsel	Geo. W. SeEVERS	Oakalocsa, Iowa
Auditor	L. G. Scott	Minneapolis, Minn.
General manager	L. F. Day	Minneapolis, Minn.
Chief engineer	H. G. Kelley	Minneapolis, Minn.
Superintendent	C. S. Hayden	Oakalocsa, Iowa
Superintendent of telegraph	T. J. Brown	Oakalocsa, Iowa
Freight traffic manager	J. M. Tittmores	Minneapolis, Minn.
Assistant general freight agent	S. G. Lutz	Peoria, Ill.
Assistant general freight agent	H. F. Marsh	Minneapolis, Minn.
Assistant general freight agent	O. P. Eastman	Minneapolis, Minn.
General passenger agent	A. B. Cutts	Minneapolis, Minn.
General ticket agent	A. B. Cutts	Minneapolis, Minn.
Assistant general ticket agent	A. B. Cutts	Minneapolis, Minn.
General baggage agent	A. B. Cutts	Minneapolis, Minn.

ORGANIZATION.

Names of Directors.	Postoffice Address.	Date of Expiration of Term.
Russell Sage	New York City	May 18, 1906.
T. H. Shouts	New York City	May 18, 1906.
O. W. Osborne	New York City	May 18, 1906.
E. C. Osborn	New York City	May 18, 1906.
J. J. Slocum	New York City	May 18, 1906.

- Total number of stockholders at date of last election, 7.
- Date of last meeting of stockholders for election of directors, May 18, 1905.
- Give postoffice address of general office, 31 Nassau St., New York City.
- Give postoffice address of operating office, 1123 Metropolitan Building, Minneapolis, Minn.

MANCHESTER AND ONEIDA RAILWAY COMPANY.

PROPERTY OPERATED.

Railroad line represented by capital stock:

1. a Main line.
- d Branches and spurs.

Name.	Terminals.		Miles of line for each road named.	Miles of line for each class of road named.
	From—	To—		
Manchester & Oneida Railway company	Manchester, Iowa.....	Oneida, Iowa.....	8	8
Total				

ORGANIZATION.

Names of Directors.	Postoffice Address.	Date of Expiration of Term.
E. M. Carr.....	Manchester, Iowa.....	April, 1906.
E. H. Hoyt.....	Manchester, Iowa.....	April, 1906.
C. J. Seeds.....	Manchester, Iowa.....	April, 1906.
W. N. Wolcott.....	Manchester, Iowa.....	April, 1906.
H. O. Haeberle.....	Manchester, Iowa.....	April, 1906.
A. S. Blair.....	Manchester, Iowa.....	April, 1907.
J. J. Hoag.....	Manchester, Iowa.....	April, 1907.
L. Matthews.....	Manchester, Iowa.....	April, 1907.
Wm. Nockaday.....	Manchester, Iowa.....	April, 1907.
Jos. Hutchinson.....	Manchester, Iowa.....	April, 1907.
M. F. LeRoy.....	Manchester, Iowa.....	April, 1908.
R. R. Robinson.....	Manchester, Iowa.....	April, 1908.
A. A. Morse.....	Manchester, Iowa.....	April, 1908.
A. Hollister.....	Manchester, Iowa.....	April, 1909.
E. W. Jewell.....	Omaha, Nebraska.....	April, 1909.

1. Total number of stockholders at date of last election, 298.
2. Date of last meeting of stockholders for election of directors, April 4, 1906.
3. Give postoffice address of general office, Manchester, Iowa.
4. Give postoffice address of operating office, Manchester, Iowa.

MINNEAPOLIS & ST. LOUIS RAILROAD COMPANY.

PROPERTY OPERATED.

1. Railroad line represented by capital stock:
 - a Main line.
 - b Branches and spurs.
4. Line operated under contract, or where the rental is contingent upon earnings or other considerations.
5. Line operated under trackage rights.

Name.	Terminals.		Miles of line for each road named.	Miles of line for each class of roads named.
	From—	To—		
1. a The Minneapolis & St. Louis R. R. Co.	Minneapolis, Minn.	Angus, Iowa.....	259.90	259.90
1. b The Minneapolis & St. Louis R. R. Co.	Kalo Junction, Ia	Kalo, Iowa.....	1.14	
	Hopkins, Minn.....	Watertown, S. D.	215.43	
	Manitou Jc., Minn.	Tonka Bay, Minn.	1.45	
	Winthrop, Minn..	Storm Lake, Iowa	153.50	
4. The Des Moines & Ft. Dodge R. R. Co.	Ruthvin, Iowa....	Des Moines, Iowa	128.04	271.51
		At Ft. Dodge, Ia.	.61	
5. Northern Pacific Railway company.....	Minneapolis, Minn.	St. Paul, Minn....	10 11	123.65
Chicago, Milwaukee & St. Paul Ry. Co.....	Spencer, Iowa....	Ruthven, Iowa....	12.45	
Illinois Central Railroad company.....	Tara, Iowa.....	Ft. Dodge, Iowa..	6.07	
Des Moines Union Railway company.....		At Des Moines, Ia.	.58	
				29.21
Total.....			799.27	799.27

OFFICERS.

Title.	Name.	Location of Office.
President.....	Edwin Hawley....	New York, N. Y.
Vice president.....	L. F. Day.....	Minneapolis, Minn.
Secretary and assistant treasurer.....	Joseph Gaskell....	Minneapolis, Minn.
Treasurer.....	F. H. Davis.....	New York, N. Y.
General counsel.....	Geo. W. Seevera....	Minneapolis, Minn.
Auditor.....	L. G. Scott.....	Minneapolis, Minn.
General Manager.....	L. F. Day.....	Minneapolis, Minn.
Chief engineer.....	H. G. Kelley.....	Minneapolis, Minn.
Superintendent.....	D. C. Noonan.....	Minneapolis, Minn.
Freight traffic manager.....	J. N. Tattlemore....	Minneapolis, Minn.
Assistant general freight agent.....	H. F. Marsh.....	Minneapolis, Minn.
Assistant general freight agent.....	O. P. Eastman.....	Minneapolis, Minn.
Assistant general freight agent.....	S. G. Lutz.....	Peoria, Illinois
General passenger agent.....	A. B. Cutts.....	Minneapolis, Minn.
General ticket agent.....	A. B. Cutts.....	Minneapolis, Minn.
General baggage agent.....	A. B. Cutts.....	Minneapolis, Minn.

ORGANIZATION.

Names of Directors.	Postoffice Address.	Date of Expiration of Term.
Geo. Crocker	New York, N. Y.	October 1905
H. E. Huntington	New York, N. Y.	October 1905
Edwin Langdon	New York, N. Y.	October 1905
F. H. Davis	New York, N. Y.	October 1906
J. E. Searies	New York, N. Y.	October 1906
L. F. Day	Minneapolis, Minn.	October 1906
Edwin Hawley	New York, N. Y.	October 1907
F. E. Palmer	New York, N. Y.	October 1907
L. C. Weir	New York, N. Y.	October 1907

1. Total number of stockholders at date of last election, 518.
2. Date of last meeting of stockholders for election of directors, October 4, 1904.
3. Give postoffice address of general office, Minneapolis, Minn.
4. Give postoffice address of operating office, Minneapolis, Minn.

MUSCATINE NORTH & SOUTH RAILWAY COMPANY.

PROPERTY OPERATED.

1. Railroad line represented by capital stock.
a Main line.

Name.	Terminals.		Miles of line for each road named.	Miles of line for each class of road named.
	From—	To—		
Muscatine North & South Railway Company.....	Muscatine, Iowa.	Erick Junction, Iowa.....	23.67	

OFFICERS.

Title.	Name.	Location of Office.
President	Harold M. Still.....	Philadelphia, Pa..
Vice President.....	Chas. Howard.....	Muscatine, Iowa..
Secretary.....	George Reeder.....	Muscatine, Iowa..
Treasurer.....	George Reeder.....	Muscatine, Iowa..
Auditor.....	George Reeder.....	Muscatine, Iowa..
General Manager.....	Chas. Howard.....	Muscatine, Iowa..
Superintendent of Telegraph.....	James A. Coe.....	Muscatine, Iowa..
General Freight Agent.....	G. B. Birch.....	Muscatine, Iowa..
General Passenger Agent.....	G. B. Birch.....	Muscatine, Iowa..

ORGANIZATION.

Names of Directors.	Postoffice Address.	Date of Expiration of Term.
None given.		
New company organized on February 8, 1905, but no directors named.		

- Total number of Stockholders at date of last election,
 - Date of last meeting of Stockholders for election of Directors,
 - Give postoffice address of General Office, Muscatine, Iowa.
 - Give postoffice address of Operating Office, Muscatine, Iowa.
- } No meeting held.

NEWTON & NORTHWESTERN RAILROAD COMPANY.

PROPERTY OPERATED.

Railroad line represented by capital stock.
α Main line.

Name.	Terminals.		Miles of line for each road named.	Miles of line for each class of roads named.
	From—	To—		
1. α Newton & Northwestern Railroad	Newton, Iowa.	Rockwell City, Iowa	10.25	
Total				10.25

OFFICERS.

Title.	Name.	Location of Office.
President	Homer Loring	Boston, Mass.
First vice president	Frederick A. Farrar	Boston, Mass.
Secretary	Charles F. Oushman	Boston, Mass.
Treasurer	Frederick A. Farrar	Boston, Mass.
Attorney, or General Counsel	S. L. Dyer	Boone, Iowa.
Auditor	W. Chamberlain	Boone, Iowa.
General Manager	J. L. Blake	Boone, Iowa.
General freight agent	W. H. Benn	Boone, Iowa.
General passenger agent	W. H. Benn	Boone, Iowa.

ORGANIZA

Name of Directors.	Postoffice Address.	Date of Expiration of Term
Hamilton Browne	Geneva, Ill.	May 8, 1906.
Frederick A. Farrar	Boston, Mass.	May 8, 1906.
Homer Loring	Boston, Mass.	May 8, 1906.
S. D. Loring	Boston, Mass.	May 8, 1906.
Henry W. Poor	New York, N. Y.	May 8, 1906.

1. Total number of stockholders at date of last election, 97.
2. Date of last meeting of stockholders for election of directors, June 22, 1905.
3. Give postoffice address of general office, Boone, Ia.
4. Give postoffice address of operating office, Boone, Ia.

TABOR & NORTHERN RAILWAY COMPANY.

PROPERTY OPERATED.

1. Railroad line represented by capital stock:
a Main Line.
5. Line operated under trackage rights.

Name.	Terminals.		Miles of line for each road named.	Miles of line for each road named.
	From—	To—		
No. 1. a Tabor & Northern Railway Co.	Tabor	Malvern	8.79	
No. 5. Chicago, Burlington & Quincy Railway Co., spur track	Connection at Malvern		1.96	

OFFICERS.

Title.	Name.	Location of office.
Chairman of the board	Robt. McClelland	Tabor.
President	Robt. McClelland	Tabor.
First vice-president	Thos. McClelland	Galesburg, Ill.
Secretary	H. C. Dye	Tabor, Iowa.
Treasurer	H. C. Dye	Tabor, Iowa.
Auditor	R. S. McClelland	Tabor, Iowa.
General manager	Robt. McClelland	Tabor, Iowa.
Traffic manager	R. S. McClelland	Tabor, Iowa.

ORGANIZATION.

Names of Directors.	Postoffice address.	Date of Expiration of Term.
Robt. McClelland	Tabor, Iowa	June 10, 1905
Thos. McClelland	Galesburg, Illinois	June 10, 1905
H. C. Dye	Tabor, Iowa	June 10, 1905
R. S. McClelland	Tabor, Iowa	June 10, 1905
J. M. Bacham	Los Angeles, California	June 10, 1905

1. Total number of stockholders at date of last election, 41.
2. Date of last meeting of stockholders for election of directors, June 10, 1905.
3. Give postoffice address of general office, Tabor, Iowa.
4. Give postoffice address of operating office, Tabor, Iowa.

UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD COMPANY.

PROPERTY OPERATED.

1. Railroad line represented by capital stock:
 a Main line.
 b Branches and spurs.

Name.	Terminals.		Miles of line for each road named.	Miles of line for each class of roads named.
	From—	To—		
1. a Union Pacific railroad company.				
Nebraska Division.....	Council Bluffs, Iowa.....	North Platte, Nebraska..	238.88	
Nebraska Division.....	Initial Point Spur, Neb..	Omaha, Nebraska.....	3.95	
Wyoming Division.....	North Platte, Nebraska..	Green River, Wyoming..	533.29	
Utah Division.....	Green River, Wyoming..	Ogden, Utah.....	176.00	
Kansas Division.....	Kansas City, Missouri....	Ellis, Kansas.....	802.56	
Colorado Division Eastern District.....	Ellis, Kansas.....	Denver, Colorado.....	836.94	
Colorado Division Northern District.....	Cheyenne, Wyoming.....	Denver (Pullman) Colo..	104.13	
Colorado Division Julesburg District.....	Julesburg, Colorado.....	La Salle, Colorado.....	131.53	1,901.67
1. b Beatrice branch.....	Valley, Nebraska.....	Beatrice, Nebraska.....	96.72	
Stromsburg branch.....	Valparaiso, Nebraska.....	Stromsburg, Nebraska..	53.30	
Norfolk branch.....	Columbus, Nebraska.....	Norfolk, Nebraska.....	50.37	
Albion branch.....	Oconee, Nebraska.....	Albion, Nebraska.....	34.54	
Cedar Rapids branch.....	Genoa, Nebraska.....	Spalding, Nebraska.....	44.42	
Ord branch.....	Grand Island, Nebraska..	Ord, Nebraska.....	60.77	
Scottia Spur branches.....	Scottia Jet., Nebraska....	Scottia, Nebraska.....	1.37	
Loup City branch.....	St. Paul, Nebraska.....	Loup City, Nebraska.....	39.40	
Pleasanton branch.....	Boelus, Nebraska.....	Pleasanton, Nebraska....	22.06	
Kearney branch.....	Kearney, Nebraska.....	Callaway, Nebraska.....	65.79	
Leavenworth branch.....	Leavenworth, Kansas....	Lawrence, Kansas.....	31.68	
Manhattan branch.....	Manhattan, Kansas.....	Beatrice, Nebraska.....	92.53	
Blue Springs Spur.....	Blue Springs Jet., Neb..	Blue Springs, Nebraska..	.67	
Junction City branch.....	{ Junction City, Kansas..	Concordia, Kansas.....	76.36	
	{ Lawrenceburg, Kansas..	Belleville, Kansas.....	17.13	
Solomon branch.....	Solomon, Kansas.....	Beloit, Kansas.....	56.91	
McPherson branch.....	Salina, Kansas.....	McPherson, Kansas.....	36.46	
Plainville branch.....	Salina, Kansas.....	Plainville, Kansas.....	108.85	
Colby branch.....	Oakley, Kansas.....	Plainville, Kansas.....	123.60	
Boulder branch.....	Brighton, Colorado.....	Boulder, Colorado.....	27.06	
Park City branch.....	Echo, Utah.....	Park City, Utah.....	27.54	
Total.....				2,955.45

OFFICERS.

Title.	Name.	Location of office.
Chairman of the executive committee	E. H. Harriman.	New York, N. Y.
President	E. H. Harriman.	New York, N. Y.
Vice president	Wm. D. Cornish	New York, N. Y.
Secretary	Alex. Millar.	New York, N. Y.
Treasurer	Fred V. S. Crosby	New York, N. Y.
Assistant secretary	Jos. Hellen	New York, N. Y.
General solicitor	W. R. Kelly.	Omaha, Neb.
Counsel	R. S. Lovett.	New York, N. Y.
Attorney	Maxwell Evaria.	New York, N. Y.
Comptroller	Wm. Mahi.	New York, N. Y.
Assistant comptroller	H. S. Bradt.	New York, N. Y.
General auditor	Erastus Young.	Omaha, Neb.
Assistant auditor	Ralph Blaisdell	Omaha, Neb.
Director of purchases	W. V. S. Thorne	New York, N. Y.
Vice president and general manager	A. L. Mohler.	Omaha, Neb.
Chief engineer	J. B. Berry	Omaha, Neb.
General superintendent	Wm. L. Park	Omaha, Neb.
Superintendent	J. O. Brinkeroff.	Kansas City, Mo.
Superintendent	Chas. Ware	Omaha, Neb.
Superintendent	W. A. Whitney	Cheyenne, Wyo.
Superintendent	H. O. Ferris.	Denver, Colo.
Superintendent	H. V. Hilliker.	Salt Lake City, Utah
Superintendent of telegraph	L. H. Korty	Omaha, Neb.
Freight traffic manager	J. A. Munroe	Omaha, Neb.
General freight agent	E. H. Wood	Omaha, Neb.
First assistant general freight agent	Chas. J. Lane	Omaha, Neb.
Assistant general freight agent	W. H. Garratt	Omaha, Neb.
General passenger agent		
Assistant general passenger agent	Gerrit Fort	Omaha, Neb.
General passenger and ticket agent	E. L. Lomax	Omaha, Neb.
General baggage agent	Andrew Traynor	Omaha, Neb.
Land commissioner	B. A. McAllister	Omaha, Neb.
Traffic director	J. C. Stubbs	Chicago, Ill.
Assistant traffic director	P. O. Stohr	Chicago, Ill.
Assistant traffic director	E. O. McCormick	Chicago, Ill.
Director of maintenance and operation	J. Kruttschnitt	Chicago, Ill.
Assistant director of maintenance and operation	W. B. Scott	Chicago, Ill.

ORGANIZATION.

Name of Directors.	Postoffice Address.	Date of Expiration of Term.
Oliver Ames	Boston Massachusetts	October 10, 1905
Wm. D. Cornish	New York, New York	October 10, 1905
Thos. T. Bokert	New York, New York	October 10, 1905
Henry O. Frick	Pittsburg, Pennsylvania	October 10, 1905
E. H. Harriman	Arden, New York	October 10, 1905
Marvin Hughitt	Chicago, Illinois	October 10, 1905
James H. Hyde	New York, New York	October 10, 1905
Otto H. Kahn	Horriatown, New Jersey	October 10, 1905
R. S. Lovett	New York, New York	October 10, 1905
Charles A. Peabody	New York, New York	October 10, 1905
Wm. G. Rockefeller	New York, New York	October 10, 1905
Henry H. Rogers	New York, New York	October 10, 1905
Jacob H. Schiff	New York, New York	October 10, 1905
Joseph F. Smith	Salt Lake City, Utah	October 10, 1905
James Stillman	New York, New York	October 10, 1905

1. Total number of stockholders at date of last election, 12, 185.
2. Date of last meeting of stockholders for election of directors, October 11, 1904.
3. Give postoffice address of general office, 120 Broadway, New York, N. Y.
4. Give postoffice address of Operating office, Omaha, Neb.

WABASH RAILROAD COMPANY.

PROPERTY OPERATED.

1. Railroad line represented by capital stock:
 - a Main line.
 - b Branches and spurs.
 2. Proprietary companies whose entire stock is owned by this company:
 3. Lines operated under lease for specified sum:
 4. Lines operated under contract, or where the rent is contingent upon the earnings or other considerations.
- Lines operated by the Wabash Railroad Company.

Name.	Terminals.		Miles of line for each road named.	Miles of line for each class of road named.
	From—	To—		
The Wabash Railroad, a Main line	Toledo	Aladdin	480.5	
	Bluffs	Camp Point	89.1	
	Clayton	Elveston	84.5	
	Decatur	Bridge Junction	109.4	
	Bridge Junction	E. St. Louis Ft. House	0.6	
	O. & W. I. Junction	Edgingham	305.0	
	Shumway	Altamont	9.4	
	Delray	Butler	109.9	
	Montpelier	Clarke Junction	149.5	
	St. Louis (Tayon Ave.)	Twenty-third Street	0.4	
	St. Louis (33d Street.)	Harlem	274.6	
	Moberly	Ottumwa	181.8	
	Pattonsburg	Council Bluffs	142.7	
	Quincy	0.9	
	St. Louis (Carr St.)	Ferguson	10.7	
				1,430.3
The Wabash Railroad, b Branches and spurs	Sidney	Champaign	11.7	
	Edwardsville	Edwardsville Jct.	2.3	
	Atasca	Covington	14.8	
	Fairbury	Sreator	80.9	
	Salisbury	Glasgow	15.4	
				75.0
Brunswick & Chillicothe Railroad	Brunswick	Chillicothe	88.8	
St. Louis, Council Bluffs & Omaha Railroad	Chillicothe	Pattonsburg	41.4	
Columbia & St. Louis Railroad	Centralia	Columbia	21.6	
Kansas City, Excelsior Sp'gs & Northern Railroad	Excelsior Springs Jct.	Milwaukee Junction	9.7	
Moulton, Albia & Des Moines Railroad	Moulton	Chesterfield	91.1	
Toledo & Chicago Railroad	Maumee	Montpelier	49.6	
Fort Wayne & Detroit Railroad	Butler	New Haven	25.7	
				280.4
Louisiana & Pike County Railroad	Mayesville	Pittsfield	6.3	
Hannibal Bridge Company	Aladdin	Hannibal	2.9	

PROPERTY OPERATED—CONTINUED.

5. Line operated under trackage rights:

Name.	Terminals.		Miles of line for each road named.	Miles of line for each class of road named.
	From—	To—		
Ann Arbor Railroad.....	Toledo.....	Milan.....	80.4	
Grand Trunk Railroad.....	Detroit.....	Black Rock.....	227.1	
Grand Trunk Railroad.....	Wellard Junction.....	Suspension Bridge..	17.4	
Erie Railroad.....	Suspension Bridge.....	Buffalo.....	25.6	
Erie Railroad.....	Black Rock.....	International Jct....	4.4	
Detroit Union Depot & Station Company.....	Detroit Union Depot..	Delray.....	4.4	
Pere Marquette Railroad.....	Delray.....	0.1	
Chicago & Western Indiana Railroad.....	Chicago.....	C. & W. I. Junction..	8.1	
Chicago & Western Indiana Railroad.....	State Line (Ind. & Ill.)	C. & W. I. Junction..	11.4	
Chicago Terminal & Transfer Railroad.....	Clarke Junction.....	State Line (Ind. & Ill.)	5.7	
Chicago, Burlington & Quincy Railroad.....	Camp Point.....	Quincy.....	22.7	
Chicago, Burlington & Quincy Railroad.....	East Hannibal.....	Quincy.....	16.4	
Chicago, Burlington & Quincy Railroad.....	Elvaston.....	Hamilton.....	6.1	
Toledo, Peoria & Western Railroad.....	Forrest Junction.....	Fairbury.....	6.2	
Toledo, Peoria & Western Railroad.....	Hamilton.....	Keokuk.....	1.1	
Keokuk Bridge Company... Railroad.....	Hannibal Br. Track... Bridge Junction.....	Hannibal (U. D.).... St. Louis (Union Sta.)	0.4 2.4	
Terminal Railroad Association of St. Louis.....	Altamont.....	0.1	
Chicago & Eastern Illinois Railroad.....	St. Louis (Union Sta.)	Twenty-third Street..	0.7	
Terminal Railroad Association of St. Louis.....	Harlem.....	Kansas City.....	1.5	
Hannibal & St. Joseph Railroad.....	Albia.....	Albia Connection....	0.2	
Iowa Central Railway.....	Hannibal.....	Moberly.....	69.7	
Missouri, Kansas & Texas Railroad.....	St. Louis (Olive St.)	Carr Street.....	0.6	
Missouri Pacific Railway... Des Moines Union Railway..	Chesterfield.....	Des Moines.....	2.4	
Union Pacific Railway.....	Council Bluffs.....	Omaha.....	2.6	
Chicago, Milwaukee & St. Paul Railway.....	Wabash, connection..	Council Bluffs (U. D.)	0.3	472.5
Total mileage operated..		2 517.2

OFFICERS.

Title.	Name.	Location of Office.
Chairman of the board.....	Geo. J. Gould.....	New York City, N. Y.
President.....	J. Ramsey, Jr.....	St. Louis, Mo.
First vice president.....	F. A. Delano.....	Chicago, Ill.
Vice president.....	Edgar T. Welles.....	New York City, N. Y.
Third vice president.....	Wells H. Blodgett.....	St. Louis, Mo.
Vice president.....	A. C. Bird.....	Chicago, Ill.
Secretary.....	J. C. Otteson.....	New York City, N. Y.
Treasurer.....	F. L. O'Leary.....	St. Louis, Mo.
General solicitor.....	Wells H. Blodgett.....	St. Louis, Mo.
Attorney, or general council.....	Wells H. Blodgett.....	St. Louis, Mo.
Auditor.....	T. J. Tobin.....	St. Louis, Mo.
General manager.....	Henry Miller.....	St. Louis, Mo.
Chief engineer.....	W. S. Newhall.....	St. Louis, Mo.
General superintendent.....	H. L. Magee.....	St. Louis, Mo.
Division superintendent.....	S. E. Cotter.....	Decatur, Ill.
Division superintendent.....	J. L. Stumff.....	Springfield, Ill.
Division superintendent.....	G. M. Burns.....	Detroit, Mich.
Division superintendent.....	A. E. Robbins.....	Buffalo, N. Y.
Division superintendent.....	L. J. Ferritor.....	Ferr, Ind.
Division superintendent.....	J. S. Goodrich.....	Moberly, Mo.
Division superintendent.....	R. Doyle.....	Moberly, Mo.
Superintendent of telegraph.....	G. C. Kinsman.....	Decatur, Ill.
General freight agent.....	L. B. Knight.....	St. Louis, Mo.
Assistant general freight agent.....	R. W. Coyle.....	St. Louis, Mo.
General passenger agent.....	C. S. Crane.....	St. Louis, Mo.
Assistant general passenger agent.....	H. V. P. Taylor.....	St. Louis, Mo.
General ticket agent.....	U. S. Crane.....	St. Louis, Mo.
Assistant general ticket agent.....	H. V. P. Taylor.....	St. Louis, Mo.
General baggage agent.....	S. H. Overholt.....	St. Louis, Mo.

ORGANIZATION.

Names of Directors.	Postoffice Address.	Date of Expiration of Term.
Geo. J. Gould.....	New York City, N. Y.....	2d Tues. in October, 1905
Edward T. Jeffery.....	New York City, N. Y.....	2d Tues. in October, 1905
Edgar T. Welles.....	New York City, N. Y.....	2d Tues. in October, 1905
Henry K. McHarg.....	New York City, N. Y.....	2d Tues. in October, 1905
Frederic A. Delano.....	New York City, N. Y.....	2d Tues. in October, 1905
James Hazen Hyde.....	New York City, N. Y.....	2d Tues. in October, 1905
S. C. Reynolds.....	Toledo, Ohio.....	2d Tues. in October, 1905
Edwin Gould.....	New York City, N. Y.....	2d Tues. in October, 1905
Thos. H. Hubbard.....	New York City, N. Y.....	2d Tues. in October, 1905
John T. Terry.....	New York City, N. Y.....	2d Tues. in October, 1905
Russell Sage.....	New York City, N. Y.....	2d Tues. in October, 1905
Winslow S. Pierce.....	New York City, N. Y.....	2d Tues. in October, 1905
Joseph Ramsey, Jr.....	New York City, N. Y.....	2d Tues. in October, 1905

1. Total number of stockholders at date of last election, 2189.
2. Date of last meeting of stockholders for election of directors, October 11, 1904.
3. Give postoffice address of general office, St. Louis, Mo.
4. Give postoffice address of operating office, St. Louis, Mo.

WILLMAR & SIOUX FALLS RAILWAY COMPANY.

PROPERTY OPERATED.

1. Railroad line represented by capital stock:
 - a Main line.
 - b Branches and spurs.
2. Proprietary companies whose entire capital stock is owned by this company.
3. Line operated under trackage rights.

Name.	Terminals.		Miles of line for each road named.	Miles of line for each class of roads named.
	From—	To—		
1. Willmar & Sioux Falls Railway....	Willmar, Minn.... Garretson, S. D....	Sioux City, Iowa Yankton, S. D....	223.76 80.49	804.25 129.16
2. Sioux City & Western Railway.....	So. Sioux City, Neb	O'Neill, Neb.....	129.16	
5. Union Terminal Co.....	In Sioux City, Iowa Junction with W. & S. F. R'y.....	Junct. with tracks of the C., M. & St. P. R'y.....	1.57	8.32 476.73
Chicago, Milwaukee & St. Paul R'y..	Junct. with tracks of Union Termi- nal Company.....	Junct. with tracks of Combination Bridge Co.....	.60	
Combination Bridge Company.....	Junct. with tracks of C., M. & St. P. R'y.....	South Sioux City, Neb.....	1.15	
Total.....				

OFFICERS.

Title.	Name.	Location of Office.
President.....	Louis W. Hill.....	St. Paul, Minn.
First vice-president.....	Robt. I. Ferrington.....	St. Paul, Minn.
Secretary.....	Edward Sawyer.....	St. Paul, Minn.
Treasurer.....	Edward Sawyer.....	St. Paul, Minn.
General solicitor.....	R. A. Wilkinson.....	St. Paul, Minn.
Assistant general solicitor.....	Wm. E. Begg.....	St. Paul, Minn.
Comptroller.....	John G. Drew.....	St. Paul, Minn.
Auditor.....	F. E. Draper.....	St. Paul, Minn.
General manager.....	F. E. Ward.....	St. Paul, Minn.
Chief engineer.....	A. H. Horeland.....	St. Paul, Minn.
General superintendent.....	Geo. T. Slade.....	St. Paul, Minn.
Assistant general superintendent.....	E. L. Brown.....	St. Paul, Minn.
Division superintendent.....	L. W. Bowen.....	Willmar, Minn.
Superintendent of telegraph.....	E. J. Little.....	St. Paul, Minn.
Passenger traffic manager.....	F. J. Whitney.....	St. Paul, Minn.
Freight traffic manager.....	W. W. Broughton.....	St. Paul, Minn.
General freight agent.....	Fred Rogers.....	Sioux City, Iowa
General passenger agent.....	Fred Rogers.....	Sioux City, Iowa
General baggage agent.....	G. A. Smart.....	St. Paul, Minn.

ORGANIZATION.

Names of Directors.	Postoffice Address.	Date of Expiration of Term.
Louis W. Hill.....	St. Paul, Minn.....	When successor is elected
R. I. Farrington.....	St. Paul, Minn.....	When successor is elected
E. Sawyer.....	St. Paul, Minn.....	When successor is elected
F. E. Ward.....	St. Paul, Minn.....	When successor is elected
Wm. R. Begg.....	St. Paul, Minn.....	When successor is elected

1. Total number of stockholders at date of last election, six.
2. Date of last meeting of stockholders for election of directors, February 17, 1905.
3. Give postoffice address of general office, St. Paul, Minn.
4. Give postoffice address of operating office, St. Paul, Minn.

OFFICERS AND DIRECTORS

OF

ELECTRIC INTER-URBAN LINES.



**BOONE SUBURBAN RAILWAY COMPANY.
BOONE IOWA.**

**PROPERTY OPERATED.
OFFICERS OF THE COMPANY.**

Name.	Title.	Official Address
John Reynolds.....	President.....	Boone, Iowa.
J. F. Reynolds.....	Vice-president.....	Chicago, Ill.
F. H. Richardson.....	Secretary.....	Boone, Iowa.
City Bank.....	Treasurer.....	Boone, Iowa.

DIRECTORS OF THE COMPANY.

Name.	Residence.
John Reynolds.....	Boone, Iowa.
J. F. Reynolds.....	Chicago, Ill.
T. H. Reynolds.....	Boone, Iowa

Date of close of fiscal year, June 30th.

Date of stockholders' annual meeting, first Monday in October.

**CEDAR RAPIDS IOWA CITY RAILWAY AND LIGHT COMPANY.
CEDAR RAPIDS, IOWA.**

Historical sketch of organization, construction, leasing, and consolidation of lines now operated.

Present company is a consolidation of Cedar Rapids, Iowa City and Southern Railway and Cedar Rapids Electric Light & Power Company.

OFFICERS OF THE COMPANY.

Name.	Title.	Official Address.
William G. Dows	President	Cedar Rapids, Iowa.
N. M. Hubbard Jr.	Vice President	Cedar Rapids, Iowa.
Isaac B. Smith	Secretary	Cedar Rapids, Iowa.
Isaac B. Smith	Treasurer	Cedar Rapids, Iowa.
Chas. S. Woodward	Auditor	Cedar Rapids, Iowa.
William G. Dow	General manager. .	Cedar Rapids, Iowa.

DIRECTORS OF THE COMPANY.

Name.	Residence.
S. L. Dows	Cedar Rapids, Iowa.
J. H. Smith	Cedar Rapids, Iowa.
William G. Dows	Cedar Rapids, Iowa.
Isaac B. Smith	Cedar Rapids, Iowa.
Ed H. Smith	Cedar Rapids, Iowa.
Benjamin Thaw	Pittsburg, Pa.
N. M. Hubbard Jr.	Cedar Rapids, Iowa.
E. E. Pinney	Cedar Rapids, Iowa.
E. P. Smith	Chicago, Ill.
W. F. Severs	Cedar Rapids, Iowa.
John A. Reed	Cedar Rapids, Iowa.
O. J. Ives	Cedar Rapids, Iowa.

1. Date of close of fiscal year, December 31st.
2. Date of stockholders' annual meeting, 1st Tuesday in May.

CEDAR RAPIDS AND MARION CITY RAILWAY COMPANY,

CEDAR RAPIDS, IOWA.

Historical sketch of organization, construction, leasing and consolidation of lines now operated:

In the year 1879 the Cedar Rapids and Marion Railway Company built a steam motor line from the city limits of Cedar Rapids to Marion, connecting this line with horse cars into the business portion of Cedar Rapids. Afterwards additional horse-car lines were built into said last named city and up to 1891 operated by the company constructing the same.

In 1891 the property, rights and franchises of the Cedar Rapids Railway Company were purchased by the present company, who built and extended the lines within the city of Cedar Rapids and installed electric service thereon and to Marion.

In the year 1904 a branch line was constructed in the city of Cedar Rapids to one of the city parks, a distance of 2.15 miles.

OFFICERS OF THE COMPANY.

Name.	Title.	Official Address.
P. E. Hall.....	President.....	Cedar Rapids, Iowa.
J. S. Ely.....	Vice-president.....	Cedar Rapids, Iowa.
Henry V. Ferguson.....	Secretary.....	Cedar Rapids, Iowa.
J. S. Ely.....	Treasurer.....	Cedar Rapids, Iowa.
A. M. Wragg.....	Auditor.....	Cedar Rapids, Iowa.
F. L. Diserens.....	Superintendent.....	Cedar Rapids, Iowa.

DIRECTORS OF THE COMPANY.

Name.	Residence.
P. E. Hall.....	Cedar Rapids, Iowa.
George B. Douglas.....	Cedar Rapids, Iowa.
Walter D. Douglas.....	Cedar Rapids, Iowa.
J. S. Ely.....	Cedar Rapids, Iowa.
Henry V. Ferguson.....	Cedar Rapids, Iowa.
David P. Kimball.....	Boston, Mass.
J. E. Ainsworth.....	Moline, Ill.

Date of close of fiscal year, December 31st.

Date of stockholders' annual meeting, second Tuesday in February.

IOWA AND ILLINOIS RAILWAY COMPANY.

CLINTON, IOWA.

PROPERTY OPERATED.

OFFICERS OF THE COMPANY.

Name.	Title.	Official Address.
G. E. Lamb.....	President.....	Clinton, Iowa.
F. W. Ellis.....	Vice president.....	Clinton, Iowa.
E. B. McCoy.....	Secretary.....	Clinton, Iowa.
.....	Treasurer.....
F. A. Perkins.....	Auditor.....	Clinton, Iowa.
P. P. Crafts.....	General manager...	Clinton, Iowa.

Directors of the Company.

Name.	Residence.
G. E. Lamb.....	Clinton, Iowa.
F. W. Ellis.....	Clinton, Iowa.
E. B. McCoy.....	Clinton, Iowa.
L. M. Ellis.....	Clinton, Iowa.
A. L. Register.....	Philadelphia, Pa.
David Pepper, Jr.....	Philadelphia, Pa.

Date of close of fiscal year, December 31st.

Date of stockholders' annual meeting, September 1st.

INTER-URBAN RAILWAY COMPANY
DES MOINES IOWA.

PROPERTY OPERATED.

OFFICERS OF THE COMPANY

Name.	Title.	Official Address.
H. H. Polk	Presi.	Des Moines, Iowa.
A. W. Harris	Vice-president	Chicago, Illinois.
W. J. Haskitt	Secretary	Des Moines, Iowa.
G. B. Hippee	Treasurer	Des Moines, Iowa.
C. L. Wright	Auditor	Des Moines, Iowa.
H. H. Polk	General Manager	Des Moines, Iowa.

DIRECTORS OF THE COMPANY.

Name.	Residence.
H. H. Polk	Des Moines, Iowa.
A. W. Harris	Chicago, Illinois.
N. W. Harris	Chicago, Illinois.
G. B. Hippee	Des Moines, Iowa.
N. T. Guernsey	Des Moines, Iowa.

Date of close of fiscal year, December 31.

Date of stockholders' annual meeting, third Tuesday in January.

MASON CITY & CLEAR LAKE TRACTION COMPANY.

MASON CITY, IOWA.

Historical sketch of organization, construction, leasing, and consolidation of lines now operated.
Organized December 16, 1896.

OFFICERS OF THE COMPANY.

Name.	Title.	Official Address.
W. E. Brice	President	Mason City, Iowa
F. J. Hanlon	Vice-president	Mason City, Iowa
F. J. Hanlon	Secretary	Mason City, Iowa
W. E. Brice	Treasurer	Mason City, Iowa
F. J. Hanlon	Auditor	Mason City, Iowa
W. E. Brice	General manager	Mason City, Iowa
F. J. Hanlon	Superintendent	Mason City, Iowa

DIRECTORS OF THE COMPANY.

Name.	Residence.
W. E. Brice	Mason City, Iowa
F. J. Hanlon	Mason City, Iowa

Date of close of fiscal year, July 31.

Date of stockholders' annual meeting, first Thursday after first Monday in January.

TAMA & TOLEDO ELECTRIC RAILWAY COMPANY.

OFFICERS.

Name.	Title.	Official Address.
W. C. Walters.....	Chairman of Board.	Toledo, Iowa.
W. C. Walters.....	President.....	Toledo, Iowa.
O. E. Walters.....	First vice president.	Toledo, Iowa.
H. A. Shanklin.....	Secretary.....	Toledo, Iowa.
H. A. Shanklin.....	Treasurer.....	Toledo, Iowa.
O. E. Walters.....	General solicitor....	Toledo, Iowa.
H. A. Shanklin.....	Auditor.....	Toledo, Iowa.
W. C. Walters.....	General manager....	Toledo, Iowa.
R. M. Rockford.....	Chief engineer.....	Toledo, Iowa.

ORGANIZATION.

Names of Directors.	Postoffice Address.	Date of Expiration of Term.
W. C. Walters.....	Toledo, Iowa.	February 10, 1906.
O. E. Walters.....	Toledo, Iowa.	February 10, 1906.
H. A. Shanklin.....	Toledo, Iowa.	February 10, 1906.
L. Clark.....	Toledo, Iowa.	February 10, 1906.
W. E. Brice.....	Mason City, Iowa.	February 10, 1906.

1. Total number of stockholders at date of last election, 4.
2. Date of last meeting of stockholders for election of directors, February 4, 1906.
3. Give postoffice address of general office, Toledo, Iowa.
4. Give postoffice address of operating office, Toledo, Iowa.

WATERLOO, CEDAR FALLS & NORTHERN RAILWAY COMPANY.

WATERLOO, Iowa

OFFICERS OF THE COMPANY.

Name.	Title.	Official Address.
L. S. Cass.....	President.....	St. Paul, Minn.
J. H. Cass.....	Vice-president.....	Sumner, Iowa.
F. E. Farwell.....	Secretary.....	Waverly, Iowa.
E. A. Boggs.....	Treasurer.....	Waterloo, Iowa.
E. A. Boggs.....	Auditor.....	Waterloo, Iowa.
O. D. Cass.....	General Manager.....	Waterloo, Iowa.

DIRECTORS OF THE COMPANY.

Name.	Residence.
L. S. Cass.....	St. Paul, Minn.
J. F. Cass.....	Sumner, Iowa.
O. D. Cass.....	Waterloo, Iowa.
E. R. Boicot.....	Chicago, Ill.

Date of close of fiscal year, January 1st.

Date of stockholders' annual meeting, January 2.

Decisions of Commissioners.

DECISIONS.

No. 2895—1905.

In the matter of the petition of the Chicago Great Western Railway Company for permission to condemn certain lands in Black Hawk county, Iowa.

In the matter of the petition of the Chicago Great Western Railway Company for permission to condemn certain lands as provided by section 1998 of the Supplement Code of Iowa of 1902, in Black Hawk county, Iowa, the Board of Railroad Commissioners of the State of Iowa do hereby certify that upon application of the Chicago Great Western Railway Company to the Board stating the desire of said company to condemn the property herein more particularly described for the use of said company, the Commissioners proceeded in conformity with law to examine into the matter of said application, and do hereby certify that, in the opinion of the Board of Railroad commissioners the additional lands described in said application are necessary for the use of such railway company, to-wit:

Commencing at the northerly corner of lot seven of Auditor Rainbow's plat of Cooley's sub-division in the southwest quarter of section twenty-four, township eighty-nine, range thirteen in the City of Waterloo, Iowa; thence southwest along the northwest line of said lot seven 150 feet; thence northeasterly to a point on the east line of said lot seven, 65 feet south of the point of beginning; thence north to the point of beginning containing seven one-hundredths acres, for additional depot grounds and yards.

In witness whereof this Board has caused this certificate to be executed and duly signed and attested by its Secretary, with instructions that the same be filed with the Clerk of the District Court of Black Hawk county, Iowa.

Dated at Des Moines Iowa, Nov. 30, 1904.

No. 2896—1905.

In the matter of the application of the Iowa & Great Northern Railway Company for authority to condemn lands for railroad purposes.

CERTIFICATE OF THE BOARD OF RAILROAD COMMISSIONERS OF THE STATE OF IOWA.

To the Clerk of the District Court of Woodbury County:

In the matter of the petition of the Iowa & Great Northern Railway Company for permission to condemn certain lands as provided by section 1998

of the Code of 1897, as amended by section 1, chapter 70, laws of the Twenty-eighth General Assembly, in the County of Woodbury, State of Iowa, the Board of Railroad Commissioners of the State of Iowa, do hereby certify that upon the application of the Iowa & Great Northern Railway Company to the Board stating the desire of said company to condemn the property herein-after more particularly described, for additional grounds for the use of said company, the Commissioners proceeded in conformity with law to examine into the matter of said application, and do hereby certify that additional lands described in said application are necessary for the use of said railway company, to-wit:

Lot 1, Block 19, Central Sioux City.

Lot 16, Block 1, Chase Addition.

Lot 10, Block 25, Central Sioux City.

Lot 16, Block 20, Central Sioux City.

East one-half Lot 5, and west one-half Lot 4, Block 20, Central Sioux City.

In witness whereof the said Board has caused this certificate to be executed and duly signed and attested by its Secretary with instructions that the same be filed with the Clerk of the District Court of Woodbury County, State of Iowa.

Done at Des Moines, Iowa, March 22, 1905.

No. 2897—1905.

In the matter of the petition of the Chicago, Milwaukee and St. Paul Railway Company for permission to condemn certain lands in Benton county, Iowa.

In the matter of the petition of the Chicago, Milwaukee and St. Paul Railway Company for permission to condemn certain lands as provided by section 1998 of the Supplement Code of Iowa of 1902, in Benton County, Iowa, the Board of Railroad Commissioners of the state of Iowa do hereby certify that upon application of the Chicago, Milwaukee and St. Paul Railway Company to the Board stating the desire of said company to condemn the property hereinafter more particularly described for the use of said company, the Commissioners proceeded in conformity with law to examine into the matter of said application, and do hereby certify that in the opinion of the Board of Railroad Commissioners the additional lands described in said application are necessary for the use of such railroad company, to-wit:

A part of the Southeast Quarter (SE $\frac{1}{4}$) of Section Thirteen (13), Township Eighty-three (83) north, range nine (9) west, and more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

A strip of land, twenty-five (25) feet in width, lying northerly of, parallel to, and adjoining the present right of way of the Chicago, Milwaukee & St. Paul Railway Company, and extending easterly fifteen hundred (1500) feet from the north and south quarter line of said section thirteen (13); Also a strip of land, twenty-five (25) feet in width, lying southerly of, parallel to, and adjoining the present right of way of the Chicago, Milwaukee & St. Paul Railway Company, and extending westerly eight hundred fifty (850) feet from

the east line of said Section Thirteen (13); all of said land being located in Benton County, Iowa, and containing one and thirty-five hundredths (1.85) acres.

In witness whereof this Board has caused this certificate to be executed and duly signed and attested by its secretary, with instructions that the same be filed with the clerk of the District Court of Benton County, Iowa.

Des Moines, Iowa, June 13th, 1905.

No. 2898—1905.

In the matter of the petition of the Atchison, Topeka & Santa Fe Railway Company for permission to condemn certain lands in Lee county, Iowa.

In the matter of the petition of the Atchison, Topeka & Santa Fe Railway Company for permission to condemn certain lands as provided by section 1998 of the Supplement Code of Iowa of 1902, in Lee county, Iowa, the Board of Railroad Commissioners of the State of Iowa do hereby certify that upon application of the Atchison, Topeka & Santa Fe Railway Company to the Board stating the desire of said company to condemn the property hereinafter more particularly described for the use of said company, the Commissioners proceeded in conformity with law to examine into the matter of said application, and do hereby certify that in the opinion of the Board of Railroad Commissioners the additional lands described in said application are necessary for the use of such railway company, to-wit:

All that part of the west one-half of the northwest quarter of section 31, township 67, north range 5 west, in Lee county, Iowa, lying southeast of a line 100 feet normally distant from, southeast of, and parallel with the center line of the main track of the Atchison, Topeka & Santa Fe Railway as now constructed and operated over and across the said west one-half of the northwest quarter of section 31, and lying northwest of a line 150 feet normally distant from, southeast of and parallel with the said center line of track; and lying adjacent to and adjoining the present 100 ft. strip of right of way of said railway; the same being a strip of land 50 feet in width and containing 1.77 acres more or less. And—

All that part of the southeast quarter of the southeast quarter of section 30, township 67, north, range 5 west, in Lee county, Iowa, lying northerly of a line 100 feet normally distant from, northerly of, and parallel with the center line of the main track of the Atchison, Topeka & Santa Fe Railway as now constructed and operated over and across the said southeast quarter of the southeast quarter of section 30, and lying southerly of a line 125 feet normally distant from, northerly of and parallel with the said center line of track; and lying adjacent to and adjoining the present strip of the right of way of said railway: The same being a strip of land 25 feet in width and containing 0.8 of an acre, more or less.

In witness whereof this Board has caused this certificate to be executed and duly signed and attested by its secretary, with instructions that the same be filed with the clerk of the District court of Lee county, Iowa.

Des Moines, Iowa, July 3, 1905.

No. 2899—1905.

In the matter of the petition of the Keokuk & Des Moines Railway Company for permission to condemn certain lands in Marion County, Iowa.

In the matter of the petition of the Keokuk & Des Moines Railway Company for permission to condemn certain lands as provided by section 1998 of the Supplement Code of Iowa of 1902, in Marion county, Iowa, the Board of Railroad Commissioners of the State of Iowa do hereby certify that upon application of the Keokuk & Des Moines Railway Company to the Board stating the desire of said company to condemn the property hereinafter more particularly described for the use of said company, the Commissioners proceeded in conformity with law to examine into the matter of said application, and do hereby certify that in the opinion of the Board of Railroad Commissioners the additional lands described in said application are necessary for the use of such company, to-wit:

Beginning at the Southeast corner of lot one, block thirteen, of the town of South Pella, Iowa, a part of the town of Pella, Iowa, and continuing north along east line of said lot to the south line of University Street thence west along said south line of University Street to a point where said south line intersects the north line of the right of way of the Keokuk & Des Moines Railway now leased and operated by the Chicago, Rock Island & Pacific Railway Company, as said railway is located through the town of Pella, Iowa, thence eastwardly along said right of way line to a point where it intersects the south line of lot two, block thirteen, thence east along said south line of lot two and lot one to the point of beginning.

In witness whereof this Board has caused this certificate to be executed and duly signed and attested by its secretary, with instructions that the same be filed with the clerk of the District court of Marion county, Iowa.

Des Moines, Iowa, July 10, 1905.

No. 2900—1905.

In the matter of the petition of the Chicago, Rock Island & Pacific Railway Company for permission to condemn certain lands in Washington county, Iowa.

In the matter of the petition of the Chicago, Rock Island & Pacific Railway Co. for permission to condemn certain lands as provided by section 1998 of the Supplement Code of Iowa of 1902, in Washington county, Iowa, the Board of Railroad Commissioners of the State of Iowa do hereby certify that upon application of the Chicago, Rock Island & Pacific Ry. Co. to the Board stating the desire of said company to condemn the property hereinafter more particularly described for the use of said company, the Commissioners proceeded in conformity with law to examine into the matter of said application, and do hereby certify that in the opinion of the Board of Railway Commissioners the additional lands described in said application are necessary for the use of such railway company, to-wit:

A strip of land 350 feet long and 20 feet wide in the northwest quarter of section 32, in township 74 north, in range 8 west, in Washington County, Iowa,

described as follows: Commencing at the intersection of the north right of way line of the Chicago, Burlington & Quincy Railway and the east right of way line of the Chicago, Rock Island & Pacific Railway, thence in a northerly direction along said east right of way line of the Chicago, Rock Island & Pacific Railway Company, a distance of 350 feet; thence at right angles to said right of way line a distance of 20 feet; thence southerly parallel to and 20 feet distant from said east right of way line of the Chicago, Rock Island & Pacific Railway Company a distance of 350 feet to the north right of way line of the Chicago, Burlington & Quincy Railway Company, thence west along said north right of way line of the Chicago, Burlington & Quincy Railway Co. to the place of beginning, containing 16-100 acres. And—

A strip of land 30 feet wide bordering the east side of present right of way of the Chicago, Rock Island & Pacific Railway Company, through the land owned by Eva Heacock, in the northeast quarter of section 31, in township 74 north, in range 8 west, in Washington county, Iowa, described as follows: Commencing at the intersection of the present east right of way line of the Chicago, Rock Island & Pacific Railway and the south line of the land at present owned by Eva Heacock, which point is 511.5 feet north of south line of said northeast quarter and 102 feet east of proposed center line of Brighton cut-off of the Chicago, Rock Island & Pacific Railway measured on a line parallel to said south line of the northeast quarter; thence northerly along the east line of the right of way of the Chicago, Rock Island & Pacific Railway a distance of 390 feet, more or less, to the south right of way line of the Iowa Central Railway Company; thence easterly along said south right of way of the Iowa Central to a point which is 30 feet distant from east right of way line of the Chicago, Rock Island & Pacific Railway measured at right angles, thence southerly parallel to and 30 feet distant from said east right of way line of the Chicago, Rock Island Railway Company, a distance of 382 feet more or less, to the south line of land owned by Eva Heacock; thence west along south line of land owned by Eva Heacock to point of beginning on the east right of way line of the Chicago, Rock Island & Pacific Railway Company, containing 27-100 acres more or less.

In witness whereof this Board has caused this certificate to be executed and duly signed and attested by its secretary, with instructions that the same be filed with the clerk of the District court of Washington county, Iowa.

Des Moines, Iowa, July 8, 1905.

No. 2901—1905.

In the matter of the petition of the Chicago, Milwaukee & St. Paul Railway Company for permission to condemn certain lands in Linn county, Iowa.

In the matter of the petition of the Chicago, Milwaukee & St. Paul Railway Company for permission to condemn certain lands as provided by section 1998 of the Supplement Code of Iowa, of 1902, in Linn county, Iowa, the Board of Railroad Commissioners of the State of Iowa do hereby certify that upon application of the Chicago, Milwaukee & St. Paul Railway Company to the Board stating the desire of said company to condemn the property hereinafter more particularly described for the use of said company, the Com-

missioners proceeded in conformity with law to examine into the matter of said application, and do hereby certify that in the opinion of the Board of Railroad Commissioners the additional lands described in said application are necessary for the use of such railway company, to-wit:

A part of the southeast quarter of the southwest quarter of section ten and a part of the northeast quarter of the southwest quarter of section ten all in township eighty-three north range eight west of the Fifth P. M. in Linn county, Iowa, more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at a point where the center line of the said railway company as now surveyed, located and staked out for a change of line, crosses the south line of the southeast quarter of the southwest quarter of said section ten, thence west along said south line, to a point which is fifty feet, measured northwesterly and at right angles from said center line, thence northeasterly, on a line drawn parallel to and fifty feet northwesterly, measured at right angles from said center line of railway sixteen hundred feet more or less to the center of what is known as the Vinton Road; thence southeasterly along the center of said road to a point which is one hundred fifty feet, measured southeasterly at right angles from the said center line of railway; thence southwesterly on a line drawn parallel and one hundred fifty feet southeasterly, measured at right angles from said center line of railway thirteen hundred sixty feet more or less to the south line of the southeast quarter of the southwest quarter of said section ten, thence west along said south line to the place of beginning containing six and five tenth acres. Also all that part of the northeast quarter of the southwest quarter of said section ten which lies southeasterly of a line drawn parallel to and fifty feet northwesterly, measured at right angles from said center line of railway and extending from the south side to the east side of the northeast quarter of the southwest quarter of said section ten containing three-tenths of an acre and in all seven and one-tenth acres.

In witness whereof this Board has caused this certificate to be executed and duly signed and attested by its secretary, with instructions that the same be filed with the clerk of the District court of Linn county, Iowa.

Des Moines, Iowa, July 18th, 1905.

No. 2902—1905.

DANIEL PAUP, E. C. PANKOW, JOHN HEIDEN,

ET AL, *Complainants*,

v.

CHICAGO, MILWAUKEE & ST. PAUL RY. CO.

*Establishment of Station at
Spragueville*

Complaint filed June 11, 1903.

STATEMENT OF FACTS AND OPINIONS.

The following complaint in substance was filed with the Board of Railroad Commissioners against the Chicago, Milwaukee & Saint Paul Railway Company:

Complainants allege and state:

That the Chicago, Milwaukee & Saint Paul Railway Company own and have operated for a number of years a line of road in and near the south boundary of Jackson county,

Iowa, through the incorporated town of Preston, Iowa, a station for freight and passengers, having a population of about six hundred.

That in the year 1901 for purposes of their own they constructed another line north of their main line between the stations of Green Island, Jackson county, and Browns, Clinton county, that in general they follow the course of the Maquoketa river along the south shore.

That about midway between the two last above mentioned stations said new line of road runs through the village of Spragueville with a population of about one hundred.

That Spragueville has been a postoffice and an inland trading point for nearly sixty years. That they receive daily mail from trains; that it is a money-office and has proposed rural free delivery mail routes into the body of the country.

That near this point there is a county bridge across the Maquoketa river and by reason thereof all adjacent county roads converge at that point.

That residents north, east and west thereof are now compelled to cross the tracks at this point and confune four miles beyond to the town of Preston for railroad accomodations.

That the number to be convenienced are fifteen hundred.

That the village of Spragueville is in every way a suitable location for tracks, switches and station grounds. That trains would have no heavy or excessive grades to contend against in entering and leaving the proposed station in either direction.

That said railroad now maintains a station located three miles east thereof called "Rockaway" on the south shore of the Maquoketa river and affords no accomodations to the public that would be benefited by a station at Spragueville in the territory east, west and north thereof, and that the station of Rockaway is nearly inaccessible from all direcions by reason of the topography of the country.

That by reason of its peculiar geographical and topographical location, the county of Jackson is the only county in the state of Iowa without any railroad facilities for the interior of the county. That the Milwaukee operates lines on the extreme northern, eastern and southern boundaries of the county, and that the Milwaukee and Northwestern have lines across the southwest corner thereof.

That the station of Rockaway is nearly inaccessible by reason of lack of public highways to people on the south shore of the Maquoketa river and from the territory north, east and west thereof is inaccessible by reason of no public highways and no means to cross the Maquoketa river.

That the facilities afforded to the general public by the station of Rockaway are nil.

That the prospective freight business at the proposed station of Spragueville would be about three hundred cars to four hundred cars annually; which said business must all now be handled from other stations to the great loss and detriment of the general public.

That in the spring usually and at all times during high water by reason of the overflow of Sugar Creek and Deep Creek, the public highway between Spragueville and Preston becomes impassable for hauling grain, livestock and other commodities.

Wherefore complainants pray for the establishment of a station and shipping point for forwarding and receiving freight, as is reasonable and expedient in order to promote the security, convenience and accomodation of the public. "We especially ask and pray that the Railroad Commissioners inspect the said situation and report their findings according to law, and for such other and further relief as may be deemed equitable and proper in the premises."

That several other complaints touching the same subject matter were filed with the Board, signed by many persons who were also interested in the same subject matter, residing at and near Spragueville and within Jackson county. That copies of these petitions together with a letter by the Commission were addressed to the Chicago, Milwaukee & Saint Paul Railroad company, and a reply requested thereto. The reply made by the Railway company is that, in 1901 the Chicago, Milwaukee and St. Paul Railway Company built a new line between the stations of Browns and Green Island for the purpose of securing an easler grade line for its business between Marion and Savannah. The distance between the stations of Browns and Green Island is thirteen and nine-tenths miles. That it was necessary to have a track midway between these points for use in meeting and passing trains. The only place where the grade

line was suitable for such a track was six and seven-tenths miles from Green Island and seven and two-tenths miles from Browns where it established a track known as Rockaway. That there are no towns between Green Island and Browns and nothing but through passenger and freight trains are operated over this line. The local passenger trains and the way freight trains still continue to run by Elk River Junction in order to accommodate the business of the towns of Tweed's Grove, Miles and Preston. The new line between Browns and Green Island passes within a short distance from the cross roads where the postoffice of Spragueville is located, and has been for a great many years. The track on the new line in the vicinity of Spragueville is on the maximum or five-tenths grade.

The railway company alleges that it would not be practicable to establish a station at this place as freight trains going west if compelled to stop at that place, it would be impossible for them to get started again; and further alleging there is always more or less danger in maintaining a station on maximum grade.

A great deal of correspondence has passed between the Board and the respective parties with reference to the conditions and feasibility of the establishment of a station at Spragueville, as well as a discussion of the condition of the passing track at Rockaway, and the surrounding country, which might be benefited by a station, as well as the character of the public highways leading to the Rockaway station or passing track.

A time was fixed by the Board for a hearing, it appearing to the Board that no amicable adjustment could be reached by the parties to this controversy, at Spragueville, due notice having first been given by the Board to all interested parties. At this hearing the complainants were represented by Messrs. Cole & Cole, and the railway company by Mr. J. H. Foster, Superintendent of the Chicago, Milwaukee & Saint Paul Railway Company. A full opportunity was given at this hearing to all parties to present such complaints as they might have with reference to the matter under consideration, and many of the farmers and business men of that locality were heard by the Board. After the statements were submitted to the Board, a very full and exhaustive argument was made by Messrs. Cole & Cole in behalf of the complainants, and Mr. Foster in behalf of the railway company presented its side of the case. At this hearing certain estimates to be made by the engineers of the different parties, were to be submitted to the Board, particularly with reference to the character of the surrounding country and its topography at and near the station of Rockaway. The facts and conditions as disclosed by the investigation made by the Board, are substantially as follows:

That in 1901 the Chicago, Milwaukee & Saint Paul Railway Company constructed a line of railway in a westerly direction from Green Island and extending through Spragueville to the station of Brown. That this line between the station of Green Island and Brown is a distance of a little over thirteen miles. That there are no established stations between the two points (Green Island and Browns), and that it was the purpose and object of the railway company in the construction of such line to use it for what might be termed "through business", that grade being a much easier and lighter grade than the old line running parallel thereto and in a southerly direction therefrom.

We find that the town of Spragueville has a postoffice, creamery, blacksmith shop, and such other accommodations usually and ordinarily maintained in towns of the character of one hundred inhabitants or thereabouts. We find that the surrounding country is devoted largely to stock raising as well as other agricultural products. That Spragueville is situated a little over three miles from the town of Preston, a station on the Chicago, Milwaukee & St. Paul Railway, which is the trading point of Spragueville and the immediate surrounding country and was prior to the construction of this new line. That Preston is a town of about eight hundred to one thousand inhabitants.

We further find that the highways in and around this locality during the heavy rains in the spring of the year are not always in a condition to admit of the hauling of heavy traffic. We find as a matter of fact, there is or will be a substantial improvement of the highway between the town of Spragueville and the town of Preston. We further find that the highways such as are now established, and those which it has been suggested may be established in and around Rockaway on account of the topography of the country, are inadequate and wholly insufficient for the use of and in transporting the ordinary farm products, and that Rockaway is not a suitable point or place

for the establishment or maintaining of a station on account of the condition of the highways and the wet and low character of some of such highways, and that a large amount of money would be required to place such highways in a suitable condition. We find that at Spragueville where it was suggested that the station should be constructed and maintained, is a point at the maximum grade of the railway between the stations of Green Island and Browns; but at a point about eighty rods west of the one suggested and upon and at the next highway crossing, a station might be maintained so far as the grade is concerned. While it would not be the best and most feasible point in that respect, yet the character of the grade is not such that it would prohibit the maintenance of a station at that point.

Section 2113 of the Code of 1897, defining the duties and powers of the Railroad Commission, is as follows:

"It shall from time to time carefully examine into and inspect the condition of each railroad, its equipment and the manner of its conduct and management with regard to the public safety and convenience in the state; make semi-annual examination of its bridges, and report the condition thereof to the company to which they belong; and if found by it unsafe it shall immediately notify the railroad company whose duty it is to put same in repair, which shall be done by it within ten days after receiving such notice. If any corporation fails to perform this duty, the Board may forbid and prevent it from running trains over the same while unsafe. When in the judgment of the Board, any railway corporation fails in any respect to comply with the terms of its charter or articles of incorporation or the laws of the state; or when in its judgment any repairs are necessary upon its road; or any addition to its rolling stock, or addition to or change in its stations or station houses, or change in its rates of fare for transporting freight or passengers, or change in the mode of operating its road or conducting its business, is reasonable and expedient in order to promote the security, convenience or accommodation of the public, the Board shall serve a notice upon such corporation, in the manner provided for the service of an original notice in a civil action, which notice shall be signed by its secretary, of the changes and improvements which it finds to be proper; and a report of such proceedings shall be included in its annual report to the governor as provided in the next section; but nothing in this section shall be so construed as relieving any railroad company from its present responsibility or liability for damage to person or property."

While section 2103 expressly refers to station houses at crossings, that is, where one railroad crosses another, and while it may be urged that the legislature having in mind the subject of stations, and having only expressly extended the authority of the Board of Railroad Commissioners for the establishment of station houses at such crossings, that by implication authority was not extended to the Board to require rail carriers to build and maintain depots at other points along the railway, yet there may be a distinction and difference between station houses as used by the statute and the term "depot and depot grounds". Be that as it may, we do not feel called upon at this time to express any opinion upon this branch of the case, it not having been raised or presented to the Board at this hearing.

The only case construing section 2113, so far as we are now advised, is the case of the State of Iowa, appellant, v. Des Moines & Kansas City Railway Company, appellee, reported beginning on page 644, 87 Iowa Reports. In this case the Board of Railroad Commissioners made an order against the railroad company, requiring it to reestablish and maintain a station on its line of railway at a place called "Leslie". The railroad company refused to carry out the order of the Commissioners and an action was brought by the attorney general to compel the railway company to comply with such order. There was a trial thereon in the District Court of Polk county, and the district court after hearing the testimony of a large number of witnesses, entered a decree dismissing the petition, and the case was appealed to the Supreme Court, and the judgment of the district court was affirmed. Near the end of the opinion the court uses this language: "It appears to us that the owners of the road should not be interfered with in the management of their property, including the location of their stations, where as in this case there is no competent evidence that any patron of the road has been deprived of reasonable facilities for the transaction of business with defendant."

of the Code of Iowa, in Linn County, Iowa, the Board of Railroad Commissioners of the State of Iowa do hereby certify that upon application of the Chicago, Milwaukee & St. Paul Railway Company to the Board stating the desire of said company to condemn the property hereinafter more particularly described for the use of said company, the Commissioners proceeded in conformity with law to examine into the matter of said application, and do hereby certify that in the opinion of the Board of Railroad Commissioners the additional lands described in said application are necessary for the use of such railroad company, to-wit:

A part of the south half ($S\frac{1}{2}$) of the Southwest Quarter ($SW\frac{1}{4}$) of section two (2), and a part of the north half ($N\frac{1}{2}$) of the Northwest Quarter ($NW\frac{1}{4}$) of section eleven (11), Township Eighty-three (83) north, Range Eight (8) west of the fifth principal meridian, in Linn County, Iowa, and more particularly described as follows:

A strip, belt, or piece of land, one hundred (100) feet in width, being fifty (50) feet of such width upon each side of the center line of the Chicago, Milwaukee & St. Paul Railway, as now surveyed and staked out for a change of line, and extending from the north side of the south sixty-three and one-third (63 $\frac{1}{3}$) acres of the South Half ($S\frac{1}{2}$) of the Southwest Quarter ($SW\frac{1}{4}$) of said section two (2), to the west side of the north half ($N\frac{1}{2}$) of the northwest quarter ($NW\frac{1}{4}$) of said section eleven (11);

Also a strip of land, twenty-five feet (25) in width, on the northwesterly side of and adjoining the strip of land above described, and extending from the west side of the north half ($N\frac{1}{2}$) of the northwest quarter ($NW\frac{1}{4}$) of said section eleven (11) in a northeasterly direction a distance of seven hundred fifty-eight (758) feet;

Containing in all six and one-half ($6\frac{1}{2}$) acres.

In witness whereof this Board has caused this certificate to be executed and duly signed and attested by the secretary, with instruction that the same be filed with the clerk of the district court of Linn County, Iowa.

Des Moines, Iowa, September 7, 1905.

No. 2905—1905.

In the matter of the petition of the Chicago, Milwaukee & St. Paul Railway Company for permission to condemn certain lands in Linn County, Iowa.

In the matter of the petition of the Chicago, Milwaukee & St. Paul Railway Company for permission to condemn certain lands as provided by section 1998 of the Code of Iowa, in Linn County, Iowa, the Board of Railroad Commissioners of the State of Iowa do hereby certify that upon application of the Chicago, Milwaukee & St. Paul Railway Company to the Board stating the desire of said company to condemn the property hereinafter more particularly described for the use of said company, the Commissioners proceeded in conformity with law to examine into the matter of said application, and do hereby certify that in the opinion of the Board of Railroad Commissioners the additional lands described in said application are necessary for the use of such railroad company, to-wit:

A part of the Southwest Quarter (SW $\frac{1}{4}$) of the Northeast Quarter (NE $\frac{1}{4}$) and a part of the Northwest Quarter (NW $\frac{1}{4}$) of the Southeast Quarter (SE $\frac{1}{4}$) of Section One (1), Township Eighty-three (83) north, Range Eight (8) west, and more particularly described as follows:

Commencing at a point where the new center line of the Chicago, Milwaukee & St. Paul Railway crosses the east line of the Southwest Quarter (SW $\frac{1}{4}$) of the Northeast Quarter (NE $\frac{1}{4}$) of said Section One (1); thence north along said line, to the northerly line of the present right of way of the Chicago, Milwaukee & St. Paul Railway Company, and the point of beginning of the land to be described; thence due north, to a point which is fifty (50) feet, measured northerly at right angles, from the new center line of said railway, as now surveyed and staked out; thence southwesterly, on a line drawn parallel to and fifty (50) feet northerly, measured at right angles, from said new center line, a distance of eleven hundred (1100) feet, more or less, to intersect the northerly right of way line of said railway company thence northeasterly on said northerly right of way line, to the point of beginning; containing forty-seven hundredths (.47) of an acre.

In witness whereof this Board has caused this certificate to be executed and duly signed and attested by the secretary, with instructions that the same be filed with the clerk of the district court of Linn County, Iowa.

Des Moines, Iowa, September 7, 1905.

No.2906—1905.

In the matter of the application of the Wilmar & Sioux Falls Railway Company for authority to condemn land for railroad purposes.

Certificate of
Board of Rail-
road Commis-
sioners of Iowa.

To the Clerk of the District Court of Woodbury County, Iowa

Whereas, on the 18th day of August, 1905, the Willmar & Sioux Falls Railway Company filed with the Board of Railroad Commissioners of the State of Iowa its sworn petition representing that it is a corporation owning a complete line of railway from Willmar in the state of Minnesota to the city of Sioux City, in the State of Iowa, and now operating a line of railway from the city of Sioux City, Iowa, to the city of O'Niell in the state of Nebraska, and it is necessary for the present and prospective purpose of the said railway company that it should acquire additional grounds for right of way, tracks, yards, and for excavations, embankments and places for the deposit of waste earth within the limits of Sioux City, Woodbury county, Iowa, and that it was proper and necessary to acquire for such purposes additional grounds adjacent and contiguous to its main line and right of way, and for such purposes the said company desired to acquire the several tracts of land hereinafter set out, and which petition fully described said land and the owners of record of the same, and,

Whereas, the Board of Railroad Commissioners of the state of Iowa on the 23d day of August, 1905, issued its notice to be served upon all of its owners that said application and petition would be heard on the 7th day of September, 1905, at Sioux City, Iowa, and,

Whereas, it appears to the satisfaction of the Board that personal service of said notice has been made upon all of the owners of record of said lands therein set out more than ten days prior to said hearing, And,

Whereas, it further appears that said railway company has not been able to purchase said respective piece of land hereinafter set out from the owners thereof. And,

Whereas, on the 7th day of September, 1905, the said Board of Railroad Commissioners made personal inspection of said additional grounds desired by said railway company for said purposes aforesaid. And,

Whereas, on the said 7th day of September, 1905, the said Board of Railroad Commissioners duly heard the petitioner upon said application, and also gave full opportunity to the owners of said several tracts to be heard in objections. thereto. And,

Whereas, after due consideration and hearing the said Board of Railroad Commissioners found that the lands hereinafter described are necessary for the present and prospective purposes of said company as additional grounds and lands for right of way, switches, tracks, yards, and for excavations, embankments and places for the deposit of waste earth.

and that the Willmar & Sioux Falls Railway Company owns a complete line of railway from Willmar, Minnesota, to the city of Sioux City, Iowa, and operates a line of railway from the city of Sioux City, Iowa, to the City of O'Neill, Nebraska.

Now, therefore, the Railroad Commissioners of the State of Iowa do hereby certify that the Willmar & Sioux Falls Railway Company is a corporation owning and operating a complete line of railway into the city of Sioux City and in said city; that the following lands are necessary for the present and prospective purposes and needs of said company for right of way, switches, tracks, yards, and for excavations, embankments and places for the deposit of waste earth, and that the said company has power and is hereby authorized to condemn the said lands for such railway purposes, which tracts of land are described as follows, to-wit:

That part of the east one-half (E 1-2) of the northeast (N E) of section twenty-two (22), Township Eighty-nine (89), Range forty-seven (47). otherwise known as lots two (2) and three (3), Auditor's subdivision of said tract described as follows:

Commencing at a point on the south line of the southeast (SE) of the northeast (NE) of said section twenty-two (22) where the west right of way line of the Willmar & Sioux Falls Railway Company through said land intersects the same; thence west along on said south line to a point which is 660 feet west of the southeast corner of said southeast of said northeast of said section 22 aforesaid; thence due north on a straight line to the north line of said lot 2 aforesaid in the northeast of the northeast of said section 22 aforesaid; thence due east on said north line to east line of said section; thence south along said east line to the west right of way line of said Willmar & Sioux Falls Railway Company as now located through said tract; thence southerly along the west right of way line of said railway company to the place of beginning.

Also that part of the northeast (NE) of the northeast quarter (NE 1-4) of said section twenty-two (22) known as lot one (1) Auditor's Subdivision, described as follows:

Commencing at the northeast corner of the northeast quarter (NE $\frac{1}{4}$) of the northeast quarter (NE $\frac{1}{4}$) of section twenty-two (22); thence south along the east line of said northeast quarter of the northeast quarter aforesaid to the south line of said lot 1; thence west along the south line of said lot a distance of six hundred sixty (660) feet; thence due north on a straight line to the north line of said northeast quarter of the northeast quarter of said section 22 aforesaid; and thence due east along said north line 660 feet to place of beginning.

also that part of the northwest quarter (NW 1-4) of the northwest quarter (NW 1-4) of section twentythree (23), township eighty-nine (89), range forty-seven (47), described as follows; that part thereof lying west of the west right of way line of said Willmar & Sioux Falls Railway Company through said tract containing 7.21 acres according to survey.

Also that part of the west one-half (W1-2) of the northwest of said section twenty-three (23) aforesaid, described as follows:

Commencing at a point where the east right of way line of said Willmar & Sioux Falls Railway Company intersects the north line of said tract; thence easterly along said north line to a point where a line parallel with said easterly line of right of way and four hundred (400) feet distant therefrom and easterly thereof, crosses said north line; thence in a southwesterly direction on a straight line to the southwest corner of said tract; thence north along the west line of said tract to its intersection with the easterly line of said right of way; thence northeasterly along the easterly line of said right of way to the place of beginning and containing seventeen and eighty-three hundredths (17.83) acres.

Also that part of the southwest of the southwest quarter (SW $\frac{1}{4}$) of section fourteen (14), township eighty-nine (89), range forty-seven (47), described as follows:

Commencing at the southwest corner of said southwest quarter (SW 1-4) of the southwest quarter (SW 1-4) of said section fourteen (14); thence northeasterly on a straight line to a point on the north line of said southwest quarter of the southwest quarter of said section 14 aforesaid, where said north line intersects the west right of way line of the Willmar & Sioux Falls Railway Company across the same; thence southerly along the west right of way line of said railway company to the south line of said southwest quarter of said southwest quarter of said section 14 aforesaid; thence due west along said south line to the place of beginning and containing six and eighty-five hundredths (6.85) acres according to survey.

Also that part of the southwest quarter of the southwest quarter of said section 14 aforesaid, described as follows:

Commencing at a point on the south line thereof where said south line intersects the east right of way line of said Willmar & Sioux Falls Railway Company is located across said tract; thence northerly along said east right of way line to the north line of said southwest quarter of the southwest quarter of said section 14 aforesaid; thence east along said north line to the northeast corner of said southwest of southwest of said section 14 aforesaid; thence south along the east line of said southwest of southwest aforesaid to a point where a line parallel with the easterly line of said right of way and 400 feet distant easterly therefrom intersects the easterly line of said tract; thence southerly on a line parallel to and 400 feet distant from said right of way line to the south line of

said southwest of southwest aforesaid; thence due west on said line to place of beginning and containing ten and forty hundrehts (10.40) acres according to survey.

Also that part of the northwest of the southwest of said section 14 aforesaid, described as follows:

Commencing at the southeast corner of said tract; thence due west along the south line thereof to a point where the east right of way line of the Willmar & Sioux Falls Railway Company intersects said south line; thence northerly along said east right of way line of said railway to a point where said east right of way line intersects the east line of said northwest quarter of the southwest quarter aforesaid; thence due south along said east line to the south line of said tract being the place of beginning and containing one and thirty-one hundredths (1.31) acres according to survey.

WITNESS, our hand and seal of said Board hereto attached this 8th day of September, 1905.

Des Moines, Iowa, September 8th, 1905.

No. 2907-1905.

APPANOOSE COUNTY,

vs.

CHICAGO, BURLINGTON & QUINCY RAIL-
ROAD COMPANY,

} Drainage

Complaint filed July 31st, 1905.

DECISION OF COMMISSIONERS.

The matter submitted before the Board in this case is a proposed change in the course of several miles of the Chariton river, which, it is claimed, becomes necessary in the construction of what may be termed a public ditch for the purpose of reclaiming and draining agricultural lands situated and being in Appanoose county. The proposed construction of said ditch and the diversion of that part of the Chariton river which is involved in this case, crossing a branch of the Chicago, Burlington & Quincy Railroad in said Appanoose county at the point or place where it is proposed to construct said ditch and divert said stream across the right of way of said railway.

The land is low, wet and swampy, and the railway at the present time has constructed a wooden structure or trestle work for several hundred feet. The height of said trestle work is from seven to ten feet above the natural surface of the ground and is several hundred feet in length.

The statute under which the Board of Railroad Commissioners is required to act with reference to matters of this kind provides that if the engineer in charge and the railway company cannot agree, or if the railroad company should fail, neglect or refuse to confer with the engineer in charge, the county auditor shall at once notify the Railroad Commissioners in writing by registered letter of the failure of the engineer in charge and the railroad company to agree, and it shall be the duty of the Railroad Commissioners to proceed within thirty days to view the premises and to hear the parties in relation thereto, giving to the county auditor and railroad company notice by registered letter of the time when they will view said premises and hear the parties, when notice shall be mailed to each party at least ten days prior to the time fixed for such hearing.

All the provisions of this part of the law have been fully carried out, the respective notices having been received by the auditor and by the railroad company with reference to the time and place of the hearing by the Commissioners, and in pursuance thereof on the 29th day of August, 1905, upon the premises in controversy, that is the place where the ditch is proposed to cross the railroad track, the Railroad Commission duly viewed the premises and heard the respective parties. The county auditor together with his attorney, Mr. Baker, and the engineer in charge appearing on the part of those interested in the ditch, and the railroad company being represented by its engineer and Mr. Payne, its attorney:

Upon the hearing it became apparent there was no substantial controversy existing between the railroad company and the engineer in charge, with reference to the place where said proposed diversion of the stream should cross the railroad company's track, or the manner or method of such improvements. The only question which seemed to be involved in the controversy existing between the railroad company and those interested in the drainage was whether or not a steel, stone and cement structure for several hundred feet over and across the low and swamp ground where the present wooden structure now used by the railroad company, should take the place of the wooden structure, and the cost and expense thereof charged up to and included in the drainage assessment. It would seem as though this question was more in harmony with how the tracks of the railroad company should cross the ditch, and not how the ditch and the improvement thereof should be constructed across the railroad company's right of way.

If there was a controversy between the parties with reference to the width which might properly be used in carrying the ditch and improvements across the company's right of way, possibly the Railroad Commissioners might have jurisdiction, but there seemed to be no controversy in that respect.

It was claimed and contended at this hearing that the natural fall of the Chariton river where it was diverted into the ditch would gradually cut and carry away much of the earth and that the ditch would gradually become deeper and wider; but, has the Board of Railroad Commissioners anything to do with that part of it? If it had, it might determine what it would deem a proper and reasonable width and depth across the company's right of way, after hearing testimony in relation thereto; it might be possible for it to determine that the width of this ditch should not exceed a certain distance, and that the sides of this ditch where it crosses the company's right of way should be properly protected, so that it would not cut or carry away additional earth, and thereby make the ditch wider.

It was requested at the time of this hearing that action of the Board of Railroad Commissioners should be deferred until the parties could have an opportunity to see whether or not an amicable adjustment could be reached. The Board is in receipt of notice from one of the parties claiming they do not care to carry on further negotiations in that regard, and asking that the Board decide this matter.

The Board of Railroad Commissioners has reached the conclusion that as there is no controversy between the parties with reference to the place where the ditch crosses the railroad tracks and right of way, and the method and

manner of such improvement, that it is without jurisdiction and therefore holds that it is not a proper matter at this time to bring before the Board. The Board of Railroad Commissioners being without jurisdiction, therefore dismisses the proceedings.

Des Moines, Iowa, September 19th, 1905.

No. 2908—1905.

In the matter of the proposed Viaduct over Wall Street in the City of Sioux City, Iowa.

DECISION OF THE COMMISSIONERS.

On the 7th day of February, 1905, the City of Sioux City enacted an ordinance known as Ordinance No. G 885, which is as follows:

ORDINANCE NO. G 885.

An ordinance declaring the necessity of constructing a viaduct on Wall street from the south line of Fourth to the North curb line of Grand Street, at expense of railways hereinafter named.

Be It Ordained by the City Council of the City of Sioux City, Iowa:

Section 1. That it be and is hereby declared necessary for the safety and protection of the public to construct a viaduct on Wall Street from the south line of Fourth Street to the north curb line of Grand Street over and above the tracks of the Chicago, St. Paul, Minneapolis & Omaha Railway Company, the Union Terminal Railway Company, the Chicago, Milwaukee & St. Paul Railway Company, the Chicago & Northwestern Railway Company and the Illinois Central Railroad Company, and intervening grounds on said Wall Street, according to plans and specifications to be prepared by the City Engineer, and that it is proposed to construct same at expense of said railway companies.

Section 2. That the City Engineer be and is hereby instructed to forthwith prepare plans and specifications for said viaduct and submit the same to the City Council for consideration and so that the same may be submitted to the Board of Railroad Commissioners, as provided by law.

Section 3. This ordinance shall take effect from and after its passage and publication, as provided by law.

Passed, February 7, 1905.

Approved, February 8, 1905.

(Signed) W. G. SEARS, Mayor.

(Signed) A. A. SMITH, City Clerk.

Published, Union Advocate, February 9, 1905.

That said ordinance was signed, approved and published on the 9th day of February, 1905.

That on the 27th day of February, 1905, said ordinance together with the plans and specifications of the viaduct therein proposed was transmitted to the Board of Railroad Commissioners at its office in Des Moines, Iowa. Subsequent thereto and on or about the 2nd day of May, 1905, due notice thereof having been given to all railways interested therein, as well as the city of Sioux City, a hearing was had by the Board of Railroad Commissioners at Sioux City, at which time the City of Sioux City was represented by Mr. J. N. Weaver, the Chicago, St. Paul, Minneapolis & Omaha Railway by Mr. A. F. Call, the Illinois Central Railway Company by W. S. Kenyon, the Chicago & Northwestern Railway Company by James C. Davis, and the Chicago, Milwaukee & St. Paul Railway Company by W. H. Farnsworth. The different railways made answer to the proceedings herein substantially as follows:

1st. That there is no necessity for a viaduct at the point proposed by the City Council of Sioux City, as set forth in said ordinance on Wall Street from the south line of Fourth Street to the north line of Grand Street over and above the railroad tracks, now located at said point, for the reason that the amount of travel at said point does not justify the large expense which would be incurred in the construction of said viaduct.

2nd. That at this time there is no necessity for said viaduct and it would not be proper to order the same, for the reason that other railroad interests about to make large improvements in the City of Sioux City, contemplate an elevated track, which would pass over the point of the proposed viaduct. That the construction of the viaduct at this point if intersected by the proposed elevated line, would make a surface crossing on the said viaduct, which would be undesirable, and would destroy the usefulness and purpose of said viaduct, and if the contemplated elevated line is to be constructed, the viaduct would have to be constructed, especially with reference to that proposed construction.

3rd. That the proposed viaduct would be constructed over a large area of ground not occupied by tracks of any of the railroads that are parties to this proceeding, and such viaduct would be constructed over a large area of ground not occupied by any railroad tracks. That the power of cities to order the construction of a viaduct is limited to such viaduct as passes over or under railroad tracks, and there is no authority on the part of the city council or on the part of the Railroad Commission to require the construction of a viaduct over large areas of ground that are not occupied by the tracks of railroads, or used for railroad purposes and that no provision has been made by the City of Sioux City to bear the cost of the construction of said viaduct over said unoccupied spaces.

4th. It is further objected, that no provision has been made by the City of Sioux City, by ordinance or otherwise, that it will furnish, without cost or expense to the railroad companies which are expected to construct said viaduct, the right of way for the approaches of said viaduct, as provided by law.

5th. It is further submitted that Ordinance No. G 885, passed February 7th, 1905, by the City Council of the City of Sioux City, entitled "an Ordinance declaring the necessity of constructing a viaduct on Wall Street from the south line of Fourth to the North curb line of Grand Street, at expense of the railroads hereinafter named", is void, for the reason that the several railway companies at whose expense the said viaduct is proposed to be constructed, had no notice or knowledge of the proposed passage of said ordinance, and no opportunity to appear before the City Council of Sioux City, and present any objections which there might be to the construction of the said viaduct; and the provisions of the Code of Iowa, providing that "The council shall fix a time and place where it will consider such matters and any objections that may be made to the construction of said viaduct and approaches thereto", were not observed, and, therefore, the said ordinance was passed without jurisdiction on the part of the said City Council, and is void and of no effect.

6th. The plans and specifications for the proposed viaduct contemplate a structure unnecessarily large and unnecessarily expensive to accommodate the present and prospective travel over the same, and are, therefore, unreasonable and unnecessary for the safety of the traveling public.

7th. That the statutes under which the proceedings have been taken by the City Council, and under which these proceedings are now being conducted, are in controvention of the constitution of the state of Iowa, and also of the United States. They are in controvention of section Nine of Article One of the constitution of Iowa, in that the said section of the statute does not provide for any hearing before any tribunal having judicial powers, in which hearing the rights of the railroad companies can be determined, and said statutes provide for the taking of the property of the railroad companies without due process of law. That said statutes are void and unconstitutional, because they violate the Fourteenth Amendment to the Constitution of the United States, in that the said statutes nowhere provide for any hearing before any judicial tribunal, and no day in court in which the rights of the railroad companies may be heard, and judicially determined; for which reason the statutes do not constitute due process of law, and provide for the taking of the property of the railroad companies without due process of law.

Wherefore the Railroad Commission is asked to withhold its approval as to the necessity of the proposed viaduct, and its approval of the plans proposed and submitted by the city of Sioux City.

No appearance was made on the part of the Great Northern Railway Company or of the Union Terminal Railway Co., although the latter company had due and proper notice of the meeting and hearing of the Railroad Commissioners.

The railroad companies also contended that the Great Northern railroad company should be made a party to this proceeding.

That before this hearing the Board of Railroad Commissioners gave all parties interested in the proceedings a full and ample opportunity to present such facts and conditions as they might deem advisable; that the Board inspected and viewed the premises in controversy and listened to the respective statements made by each and all parties as well as the arguments pro and con.

The following facts are fairly established by the testimony over which there seems to be but little, if any, controversy:

1st. That running parallel with Wall Street and running north and south, beginning on the south line of Fourth street to the North line of Grand street, there are Iowa on the west and Howard on the East, as well as other streets; that the distance of the superstructure of the proposed viaduct is about 924 feet, and that the entire length including approaches is about 1850 feet; that the height of the superstructure from the surface street grade is about 27 feet; and that the Union Terminal Company operates over Iowa street, which is the next adjacent street to Wall street, and over the different tracks of the respective railways and at the same surface grade, a street car system, carrying many passengers daily to and fro thereon; that between the south line of Fourth street and the north line of Grand street and over which it is proposed to construct this viaduct, the Chicago, St. Paul, Minneapolis & Omaha Railway Company, the Chicago, Milwaukee & St. Paul Railway Company, the Chicago & Northwestern Railway Company and the Illinois Central Railroad Company have about fifteen railway tracks, some of which are side, spur and storage tracks over which many trains are operated daily, together with several switch engines which are used in the handling of the railway business of the respective lines, this being a terminal point of all the lines except the Chicago, St. Paul, Minneapolis & Omaha Railway, and the C. M. & St. P. Ry., and it being the division point of said railroads; that Sioux City is a place of about 41,000 inhabitants. That its growth is substantial, expansive and progressive.

East of the business portion of Sioux City there are large stock yard and packing house interests where about 1500 people are daily employed, and also a suburb of Sioux City known as Morningside of a considerable population. That a street car system daily carries a large passenger traffic between these respective places.

That it was further disclosed upon said hearing that at and prior to the passage of said ordinance concerning the proposed construction of the viaduct, the respective railway companies had no notice thereof.

Further that the City Council of Sioux City by ordinance granted and extended the right to the Great Northern Railway Company to build and construct an elevated railroad with double tracks, crossing and intersecting at the same point or grade as that of the proposed viaduct, said elevated road to be constructed on or before

.....
That it was further shown that about 150 feet of the intervening property, over which the proposed viaduct is to be constructed between the south line of Fourth Street and the North line of Grand Street is not occupied or owned by any or either of the respective railway companies, the same being what may be termed private property.

That it is further shown without dispute, that no action of the City Council of Sioux City has been taken respecting the closing or obstructing of the surface of the street now traveled by pedestrians, teams and vehicles upon Wall Street over which it is proposed to construct the viaduct.

That it further appears from the facts as disclosed at this hearing that the City Council by proper ordinance, or the residents of Sioux City, have in no wise provided or granted to the Union Terminal Company or any other street railway the right or franchise to use that part of Wall street over which it is proposed to construct said viaduct. That it clearly appears from the undisputed facts as disclosed upon this hearing that the City Council have in no wise attempted to regulate, prohibit or obstruct the travel of passengers, teams or vehicles, or other means of travel over the surface of either Wall, Iowa or any other streets running parallel and adjacent to said Wall street, and over the railroad tracks, or in any other way, to divert and compel the public to use said proposed viaduct, if constructed. It was conceded, as we understand the record, that the cost of this viaduct would be \$225,000 or \$230,000.

That it further appears without dispute that there is other outlying residence property within the limits of Sioux City that depends upon the Union Terminal Company for its means of transportation, as well as many other residents who are required to pass to and fro over the different railway tracks over which it is proposed to construct this viaduct. The question of the necessity of the viaduct is one that was earnestly contested by the respective railway companies.

It was disclosed by the testimony of the witnesses in behalf of the city of Sioux City that the travel for passengers, teams and other vehicles as well as the street cars, was greatly impeded by reason of the number of railway tracks and the movement of cars and engines thereon, by those who had occasion to traverse over these different railroad tracks. The evidence discloses the fact that there are many trains operated daily over these respective railway tracks, and that switch engines were in frequent and almost constant use thereon. That cars standing upon the different railway tracks obstruct, more or less, the view of approaching engines and trains and cars upon the tracks of other railways thereby endangering the lives of passengers and safety of property.

It was shown by the testimony of Mr. Lewis, city engineer, that about 5000 people reside from the Missouri River to the proposed improvement, that is, the proposed viaduct, and from Court street east, and that he estimates the number of teams that pass over these tracks daily between six in the morning and seven in the evening to be eight hundred to one thousand, and about six hundred to eight hundred foot passengers or pedestrians. That there are 15 tracks occupied by the four different railways. That the distance across this system of railway tracks is about 2800 feet: These facts were not disputed so far as it is disclosed in the record in this case, and were fully sustained by several other witnesses.

The railway companies offered evidence of several parties to the effect that no serious accident has occurred for a number of years by reason of the public travel to and fro across where it is proposed to construct the viaduct, and upon the several streets running parallel thereto crossing said railway tracks. They also offered testimony to show that a viaduct, as it is proposed to construct this one, would not divert from other streets running parallel thereto, but little of the traffic across the railway tracks, and that the large expense which would be incurred and occasioned by the construction of this viaduct is greatly in excess of what would be ordinarily required where there is no more danger and hazard than exists at the present time in the operation of the respective railways over the street in question.

It may be said in the outset of this opinion in relation to the foregoing facts that this Board may not be in entire harmony upon the question of the public necessity at this time for the construction of this proposed viaduct, but a majority of the Board are of the opinion that the facts disclosed by the testimony in this case and from an investigation and examination of the premises and an observation of the conditions existing at Sioux City, that the city has established by a fair preponderance of the testimony as well as the facts and conditions submitted, that there may be public necessity for the construction of this viaduct if the conditions hereinafter stated are complied with.

It was urged with much force upon the part of the railway companies that the plans and specifications require a much larger, stronger and more elaborate viaduct than are necessary or present conditions require, and these elaborate plans carry with them a large additional expense in the construction of the viaduct as required by the plans and specifications, because it is proposed to carry over and upon this viaduct a street car system. Hence it is argued by the railroad companies that they ought not to be required or compelled to provide means for another common carrier of passengers to transport its traffic over the rails of a different railroad company at the expense of the latter. It is urged that this is an injustice to the railroad companies. That the street car system is a common carrier of passengers for hire, and that it ought to provide its own means, and pay the expenses of building and constructing its lines so that passengers and traffic may be safely and properly carried thereon and that the railroad companies ought not to be compelled to pay the expenses incurred thereby. We confess that we are in a good deal of uncertainty with reference to this branch of the case. If the street car system is eliminated from the plans and specifications of this viaduct, then it must be conceded that the plans and specifications are larger and greater and more expensive than would ordinarily be required; but as we view the law which governs our action in this matter, we are not required to express an opinion upon the merits or justice of this objection made by the railroad company at this time.

We believe that for the safety and convenience of the passengers and people patronizing the street car system, that it ought not to be carried across these different railroad tracks upon the same surface grade as that of the railroad tracks; that it is too hazardous and dangerous. That in this case it ought to be carried upon this viaduct, if a viaduct is constructed.

We further find that the question of notice or want of notice to the railway which has been so earnestly presented to the Board, is a matter upon which we cannot at this time pass. We see much force in the position taken by counsel that before they should be required by this ordinance in question to pay out the sum of two or three hundred thousand dollars, they ought to have an opportunity to present such objections as may seem advisable and proper, so that the City Council may act advisedly in the matter; but this objection is made upon constitutional grounds. This Board is only an administrative body clothed, it may be said with some judicial powers, and may be required to pass judicially upon some questions, but yet that is a question that is clearly not within the province of the Board, therefore it ought not to pass upon or consider the same.

It will be observed in this case, as in many other cases of this kind, which have been and are constantly being presented to the Board of Railroad Commissioners, that the plans and specifications and the ordinance accompanying the order for the construction of the proposed viaduct, in no wise and in no manner attempts to prohibit or obstruct or eliminate the surface travel upon and along the streets adjacent and parallel to that upon which the proposed viaduct is to be constructed, or even the surface of the street under the viaduct. We cannot concur in this view of the city council, and we are unanimous upon this branch of the case. We believe that if the railroad companies are required to expend this large sum of money in the construction of a viaduct, that at least the street under the viaduct as well as the adjacent street running parallel on each side of the proposed viaduct, should be closed against surface

travel thereon across the railway tracks, and that the city council should by proper and reasonable means provide some method by which the surface travel upon Iowa Street and Howard Street, as well as Wall Street should be prohibited and obstructed, where they are crossed by the net-work of tracks, and that the large travel upon these streets be diverted to and over the viaduct, and this public travel should include all manner of vehicles, teams, and pedestrains. We believe this is in the interest of the public as well as that of the railway companies. That it should not be left to the option of a passenger or those who may be in charge of a loaded team or other vehicle to say whether or not they will use the surface street or the street with the viaduct. They should be obliged to use the viaduct.

Another question which we believe should be adjusted and settled at this time, is that of the change of the street railway from Iowa Street to that of Wall Street. If this viaduct is to be constructed upon the proposed plans, and a large sum of money is to be expended in its final construction so that it may admit of the street car system passing over it, then the city council should make it certain and clear that it is its intention to require said street car system to use said viaduct, and as the law stands at the present time, that matter is not entirely within the province of the city council, and we believe that the city should proceed to ascertain now what authority it may have in the matter.

We understand that if a franchise is granted to extend this street car line over the viaduct, that matter must be properly submitted to the voters of Sioux City. This has not been done.

To order this viaduct at this time under those plans and specifications, and to allow this street car system to still continue its operation over the surface grade of the different railways, in the judgment of this commission would be improper and unjust, and largely defeat the purpose and object of the proposed viaduct.

The action of the city council in passing an ordinance granting to the Great Northern Railway Company the right to build and construct an elevated railway intersecting with the proposed viaduct, is something that this Commission is at a loss to account for and at the hearing no reasonable explanation was made or reason given for such action, as viewed by the Commission. Ordinarily the object and purpose of the construction of a viaduct is to separate the travel of vehicles and pedestrains from that of the railways, either by carrying the public travel over or under the railway tracks, and that seems to have been the object and purpose of the city council when they adopted and passed the ordinance for the proposed viaduct.

If it was clear to the Commission that the Great Northern Railway Company would take advantage of this ordinance granting it the right to build elevated railway it may be said that the Commission would hesitate to hold that there was a public necessity for the construction of this viaduct and it certainly would withhold its approval of the plans thereof. But under the circumstances it is not essential at this time to go further in this case than to suggest that if a viaduct is constructed in the future over the proposed street and railway tracks in question, that this proposed elevation of the Great Northern Railway at the point mentioned in the ordinance should be eliminated. To divert the travel over the proposed viaduct, if we are to rely upon the

testimony showing the extent of such travel, certainly it would greatly increase the danger and hazard upon this viaduct, if the Great Northern Railway should be permitted to construct across and intersecting with this viaduct a double track railway. It seems to this Commission as though the real purpose and object of a viaduct at this point would thereby be defeated.

There are other questions which are involved somewhat in this hearing, but it is not deemed advisable at this time to go into this matter further.

From the present showing, taking into consideration the question of the street car system as well as the failure to make any provision to eliminate public travel upon those streets, that is, Iowa, Wall and Howard streets, the Commission could not even say there was a public necessity, if present conditions were allowed to continue and exist. That a majority of the Board are of the opinion that if conditions are changed so that they may be in harmony with this opinion, then it would hold that public necessity demanded a viaduct; but when that demand is complied with, it must be clear that the public will be obliged and required, over part of this territory at least, to use this viaduct. The Board is unanimous in its disapproval of the plans and specifications, and for the reasons stated herein, it withholds its approval therefrom, and declines to find that a public necessity exists at the present time for the construction of this proposed viaduct.

Des Moines, Iowa, September 29, 1905.

No. 2909—1905.

INTERLOCKER AT ROCKWELL CITY.

To All Whom It May Concern :

Know YE, That the interlocking device, with its various parts and appliances, situated at the crossing of the tracks of the Illinois Central Railway Co., the Newton & Northwestern Railroad Co., and the Chicago, Milwaukee & St. Paul Railway Co. at or near Rockwell City Station, Iowa, having been duly inspected and examined under authority of the Board of Railroad Commissioners of the State of Iowa, as provided by law, and having been found suitable and sufficient for the purposes of such device,

Now THEREFORE, It is hereby ordered, that the said interlocking device be, and the same is, hereby approved in manner and form as the same is now constructed, and these presents shall authorize the above-mentioned railroad companies, and each of them to run said crossing without stopping, until the further order of this Commission; subject, however, to the following conditions, to wit:

First.—Said companies shall cause said device to be frequently inspected, and shall keep the same in first-class working order and in good repair, and shall provide for its efficient operation by a competent person or persons, so long as it shall be in use under this permit.

Second.—Each engine and train shall be brought under control after passing distance signal and shall proceed under control over said crossing. "Control," as here used, means speed of train must be governed by brake power at command, and in no case exceed the power of the trainmen to readily stop train within safe distance should danger appear between distance signal and crossing, or at crossing.

Third.—No change shall be made in the location of said device, or any of its parts, nor in the mechanical construction thereof, nor in the manner of operating the same, without the approval of the Commission; and, in case of any such change without such approval having first been obtained, the authority hereby conferred shall at once cease.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, Taht said Board of Railroad Commissioners of the State of Iowa has caused these presents to be signed by its Chairman and attested by its Secretary, this 22 day of March 1905.

No. 2910—1905.

INTERLOCKER AT IOWA FALLS.

To All Whom it May Concern :

Know YE, That the interlocking device, with its various parts and appliances, situated at the crossing of the tracks of the Illinois Central Railroad Co. and the Chicago, Rock Island & Pacific Railway Co. at or near Iowa Falls Station, Iowa, having been duly inspected and examined under authority of the Board of Railroad Commissioners of the State of Iowa, as provided by law, and having been found suitable and sufficient for the purposes of such device.

Now THEREFORE, It is hereby ordered, that the said interlocking device be, and the same is, hereby approved in manner and form as the same is now constructed, and these presents shall authorize the above-mentioned railroad companies, and each of them, to run said crossing without stopping, until the further order of this Commission; subject, however, to the following conditions, to wit:

First.—Said companies shall cause said device to be frequently inspected, and shall keep the same in first-class working order and in good repair, and shall provide for its efficient operation by a competent person or persons, so long as it shall be in use under this permit.

Second.—Each engine and train shall be brought under control after passing distance signal and shall proceed under control over said crossing. "Control," as here used, means speed of train must be governed by brake power at command, and in no case exceed the power of the trainmen to readily stop train within safe distance should danger appear between distance signal and crossing, or at crossing.

Third.—No change shall be made in the location of said device, or any of its parts, nor in the mechanical construction thereof, nor in the manner of operating the same, without the approval of the Commission; and, in case of any such change without such approval having first been obtained, the authority hereby conferred shall at once cease.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, The said Board of Railroad Commissioners of the State of Iowa has caused these presents to be signed by its Chairman and attested by its Secretary, this 29th day of March 1905.

No. 2911—1905.

INTERLOCKER AT SPENCER.

To All Whom it May Concern :

Know YE, That the interlocking device, with its various parts and appliances, situated at the crossing of the tracks of the Chicago, Milwaukee & St. Paul Railway Co. and the Minneapolis & St. Louis Railway Co. at or near Spencer Station, Iowa, having been duly inspected and examined under authority of the Board of Railroad Commissioners of the State of Iowa, as provided by law, and having been found suitable and sufficient for the purposes of such device.

Now THEREFORE, It is hereby ordered, that the said interlocking device be, and the same is, hereby approved in manner and form as the same is now constructed, and these presents shall authorize the above-mentioned railroad companies, and each of them, to run said crossing without stopping, until the further order of this Commission; subject, however, to the following conditions, to wit:

First.—Said companies shall cause said device to be frequently inspected, and shall keep the same in first-class working order and in good repair, and shall provide for its efficient operation by a competent person or persons, so long as it shall be in use under this permit.

Second.—Each engine and train shall be brought under control after passing distance signal and shall proceed under control over said crossing. "Control," as here used, means speed of train must be governed by brake power at command, and in no case exceed the power of the trainmen to readily stop train within safe distance should danger appear between distance signal and crossing, or at crossing.

Third.—No change shall be made in the location of said device, or any of its parts, nor in the mechanical construction thereof, nor in the manner of operating the same, without the approval of the Commission; and, in case of any such change without such

approval having first been obtained, the authority hereby conferred shall at once cease.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, The said Board of Railroad Commissioners of the State of Iowa has caused these presents to be signed by its Chairman and attested by its Secretary, this 9th day of June 1905.

No. 2912—1905.

In the matter of the adoption of Iowa classification No. 13.

On Dec. 29, 1904, the Board directed that a new Iowa Classification be prepared and published, such classification to be the same as the one now in force known as No. 12 with the exception that all amendments which had been made to such Iowa Classification No. 12 be embodied in and made a part of the new classification which should be known as Iowa Classification No. 13. The work was immediately begun and as soon as ready notice of the taking effect thereof was issued, and the date for its taking effect made March 1, 1905.

Inasmuch as Iowa Classification No. 13 is the same as Iowa Classification No. 12, which was published in full in the report of this Board for 1901, with amendments thereto, all of which have from time to time been published by the Board in its reports, it has not been thought advisable to print Iowa Classification No. 13, and making it a part of this report.

The Commissioners have a supply of the current classification on hand, and any one desiring a copy thereof may obtain it upon application to the Board.

Des Moines, Iowa, Dec. 1, 1905.

CASES CLOSED BY CORRESPONDENCE.

CASES CLOSED BY CORRESPONDENCE.

No. 2913—1905.

N. D. LOOMIS, Hedrick,

vs.

IOWA CENTRAL RAILWAY COMPANY.

} *Farm crossing-undergrade.*

Complaint filed November 14, 1899.

In this case Hon. James Wilson wrote the Board stating that a neighbor of his, Mr. N. D. Loomis, owning a farm through which ran a line of the Iowa Central railway had until recently been provided with an open farm crossing under a bridge, but that the railway had now put in sewer pipe and filled up the crossing, notwithstanding his protest.

The commissioners took this matter up with the railway company and on December 18, Mr. J. N. Tittmore wrote the Board saying:

"I have had this matter carefully investigated and find that we have at no time been under contract with Mr. Loomis to maintain a bridge for the purpose of allowing him an under crossing. This bridge became so old that it was necessary either to rebuild or fill it. To rebuild it safely for a cattle pass would require masonry abutments, the height of bank being such as to prohibit ordinary timber bulk heads. The drainage area was so small as to render a 36 inch pipe sufficient to carry the water, and we decided to dispose of it in this way. Mr. Loomis having no contract with the company for the maintenance of an under crossing and being already provided with a grade crossing 329 feet east of the bridge, we saw no occasion for maintaining an opening for the simple purpose of a cattle pass. Aside from this, I also understand that the old bridge spanned a slough, which, for a considerable part of the year, was so muddy that it was impassable for cattle or horses.

"With this explanation, I believe you will agree with me that the position taken by Mr. Loomis is hardly a fair one under the existing circumstances. In fact, I understand from our Road Department that although this bridge has been in, as stated by Mr. Loomis, he has not used it for a year and a half, as a crossing.

A copy of the foregoing was sent to Mr. Wilson on December 26. Nothing was heard from him. However, on May 9, 1900, he was again written and in reply stated that no adjustment had been made of the complaint.

The Commissioners visited the crossing in controversy on July 25, 1900, and looked the situation over thoroughly at that time. The case ran along for some time, pending proposed adjustment by the railway company direct with the complainant. The Commissioners at a later date understood adjustment had been made of the complaint, and as it has been some time since any further communication has been received by the Board, the case is closed.

Des Moines, Iowa, December 1, 1905.

No. 2914—1905.

CITIZENS OF HIATTSVILLE,

vs.

WABASH RAILWAY COMPANY.

} *Petition for depot.*

Complaint filed December 7, 1900.

The petitioners in this case requested the establishment of a freight and passenger station. The attention of the railway company was called to the

petition, and it declined to establish the station for the reason that there was no need for a new station at this point. The Commissioners closed the case without prejudice.

Des Moines, Iowa, December 1, 1905.

No. 2915—1905.

CITIZENS OF OGDEN,	
	vs.
MINNEAPOLIS & SAINT LOUIS and	} <i>Joint station.</i>
CHICAGO & NORTH WESTERN RAILWAY COMPANY	

Complaint filed September 6, 1901.

This petition recited that the C. & N. W. Ry. Co. was about to relocate its depot which would make the depots of the respondent railway companies more than a mile apart. The companies responded that there was no need of a union station; that the depots as located were accessible to the citizens of the town, etc. The Board did not deem it advisable at this time to take any further action in the case and it was closed without prejudice.

Des Moines, Iowa, Dec. 1, 1905.

No. 2916—1905.

A. C. LEIGHT, ET AL, DOWS,	
	vs.
CHICAGO GREAT WESTERN RAILWAY COMPANY.	} <i>Petition for establishment of station.</i>

Petition filed March 18, 1902.

This was a request for the establishment of a station between Rowan and Colter.

The case was taken up with the railway company, but at that time Mr. Stickney, Gen Mgr. stated that there was not enough business on this new line to warrant putting in an intermediate station. The commissioners did not believe that at this time they would be warranted in making an order in the case.

Des Moines, Iowa, Dec. 1, 1905.

No. 2917—1905.

W. M. PALMER, Webb,	
	vs.
CHICAGO, ROCK ISLAND & PACIFIC RAILWAY COMPANY.	} <i>Depot facilities.</i>

Complaint filed May 14, 1902.

The complainant in this case recited that the Rock Island had a track within 2335 feet of the post office of Webb and they desired the company to build a depot. Inasmuch, however, as the Rock Island had a station three miles away by the name of Clay the Board made no order in the case.

Des Moines, Iowa, Dec. 1, 1905.

No. 2918—1905.

H. B. GLOVER Co., Dubuque,
vs.
WESTERN PASSENGER ASSOCIATION. } *Excess baggage rates.*

Complaint filed Feb. 14, 1903.

This was an inquiry by H. B. Glover Co. as to the proposed advance in excess baggage rates. The Board investigated the matter and found that an advance had been agreed upon to take effect March 1, but that it had since been postponed until April 1st. The Commissioners also learned that it was probable before that time was reached the proposition would be modified or withdrawn. The Commissioners informed the H. B. Glover Co. of the result of their investigations, and on Feb. 27 that company replied sending a protest against the proposed advance, and asked the Board to keep it on file for a possible future reference.

Des Moines, Iowa, Dec. 1, 1905.

No. 2919—1905.

CITIZENS OF HILLSBORO,
vs.
CHICAGO BURLINGTON & QUINCY RAILWAY COMPANY. } *Train service on the Ft. Madison branch.*

Complaint filed August 18, 1903.

This complaint was called to the attention of the Board originally by a letter from Mr. J. W. Young of Hillsboro. At a later date a petition was received from many towns along the Ft. Madison Branch of the C. B. & Q. Ry. Co. complaining of insufficient train service. The Board made personal investigation of the conditions along this branch line and had a hearing in their office in Des Moines. The company insisted that the revenues derived from this branch line were insufficient to support an exclusive passenger train. However, after many conferences the company agreed to restore passenger train service after a certain date until such time as it might put on motor car equipment. The case was closed.

Des Moines, Iowa, Dec. 1, 1905.

No. 2920—1905.

CITIZENS OF FREDONIA,
vs.
CHICAGO ROCK ISLAND & PACIFIC RAILWAY COMPANY. } *Station facilities.*

Complaint filed August 28, 1903.

This was a protest against the action of the railway company in making Fredonia a "prepay" station. The railway company filed a statement with the Board showing the business done from July 1902 to July 1903 both passenger and freight, to the amount of \$1670.01. Under this showing the Board took no further action, closing the case until such time as it might be again called up.

Des Moines, Iowa, Dec. 1, 1905.

No. 2921—1905.

TOM CLAER, Ayrshire,

vs.

CHICAGO ROCK ISLAND & PACIFIC RAILWAY COMPANY.

} *Drainage.*

Complaint filed Sept. 16, 1903.

Complainant in this case stated that the company in building a bridge had not put in the right place so that the water was dammed up and overflowed his land, which was quite a loss to him. The case was taken up with the railway company and the Commissioners understood that the matter had been adjusted. On April 13th, 1905, the Commissioners wrote Mr. Claer asking him to advise whether the Commissioners were correctly informed as to the cause for this complaint being removed. Nothing, however, has been heard from him.

Des Moines, Iowa, Dec. 1, 1905.

No. 2922—1905.

M. J. SPAIN, Petersville,

vs.

CHICAGO & NORTH WESTERN RAILWAY COMPANY.

} *Petition for telegraph operator.*

Complaint filed Dec. 16, 1903.

The complainant stated in this case that the telegraph operator had been taken from Petersville, and the service should be restored. The Commissioners endeavored to have the matter adjusted, but the company insisted that a town of 15 or 20 people did not demand telegraph services, when the company maintained a telegraph station at Delmar which was connected with Petersville by telephone. The Commissioners did not believe that the showing made justified any interference upon their part.

Des Moines, Iowa, Dec. 1, 1905.

No. 2923—1905.

CITIZENS OF OXFORD MILLS,

vs.

CHICAGO MILWAUKEE & SAINT PAUL RAILWAY COMPANY.

} *Maintenance of station.*

Petition filed Dec. 21, 1903.

There came to the Board a petition numerously signed asking that the Commissioners compel the railway company to reopen the station of Oxford Mills. The petition was sent to the railway company and on Dec. 31, 1903, Mr. H. R. Williams, Gen. Manager, wrote the Board stating that the station had been closed because the business done at that point was not sufficient to warrant the company in maintaining it any longer. Mr. Williams stated that the total amount received for the month of November for freight received and forwarded was \$64.00, ticket sale \$7.67.

The petitioners were furnished a copy of Mr. Williams statement and on Jan. 14, the Board received the following petition:

Oxford Mills, Iowa, Jan. 6, 1904.

To the Railroad Commissioners of the State of Iowa:
Gentlemen:—

We, the undersigned, residents of Oxford Mills in Jones County, Iowa and vicinity ask that you require the Chicago, Milwaukee & St. Paul Railway Company

to maintain and keep open for the use of the public at said village of Oxford Mills a railroad passenger and freight depot, and we present the following facts bearing thereon.

Previous to the time that the Davenport & St. Paul Railroad was built a large number of citizens of Oxford Mills subscribed for the building of said road on condition that the company build and permanently locate at said Oxford Mills a depot and 49 of the citizens of Oxford Mills subscribed for stock in said Davenport & St. Paul Railroad Company amounting to \$8000.00, the express condition of said subscription and payment for stock being that a depot should be permanently maintained at or convenient to said Oxford Mills. That the name of said Davenport & St. Paul Railroad was subsequently changed to Davenport & Northwestern Railroad, and afterwards said Davenport & Northwestern Railroad Company sold and conveyed all its right of way, franchise, rolling stock, tools, etc., etc., to the Chicago, Milwaukee & St. Paul Railroad Company, which latter company has ever since maintained said road, said road being constructed from Davenport, Iowa, to St. Paul, Minn. That in 1872 the said Davenport & St. Paul Railroad was constructed from Davenport to Oxford Mills and the passenger and freight depot there located, which depot has ever since been maintained by said Davenport & Northwestern Railroad Company and said Davenport & N. W. R. R. Co. and said Chicago, Milwaukee & St. Paul R. R. Co. until a short time ago when said C. M. & St. P. R. Co. closed said depot and have declared its intention to not further maintain a depot at said place. That said depot was constructed and maintained close to said village of Oxford Mills at a convenient place and was satisfactory to all the citizens of said Oxford Mills and vicinity. That herewith submitted is a copy of the subscription for stock to which is signed 49 names for stock, aggregating, as stated, \$8000.00, all of which was taken and paid for on the consideration that said depot should be established and maintained, as stated. That the subscription list cannot be now found but it is substantially the same as the contract and subscription for stock.

Your petitioners further represent that the center of the village of Oxford Mills is situated a little more than a mile from the depot at Oxford Junction, at which place the company maintains a depot and ever has maintained one. That the Wapishicon River flows between said towns and in high water a considerable portion of the distance between Oxford Mills and Oxford Junction is flooded so that the road cannot be traveled; that the station at Oxford Mills is necessary for the convenience and accommodation of a very large number of farmers who take the train at said place and deliver hogs and stock at said place, as well as receive corn from the railroad company which they purchase; that said depot is a great convenience and necessity for the accommodation of the people of Oxford Mills and vicinity and has ever been so considered by the railroad company. That about four weeks ago the railway company closed said depot at Oxford Mills, both passenger and freight, and since then has not taken on freight at said depot nor has it delivered freight at that place, but has stopped its trains at said depot to allow passengers to alight and take the train there, but sells no tickets nor permits passengers to enter its depot. That the citizens of Oxford Mills and vicinity have no other convenient railroad accommodation and the nearest point at which they can take the train is about one mile from the Oxford Mills depot, the nearest station being Oxford Junction, and the station at Oxford Junction is the nearest point at which freight can be shipped or received. That the closing of said depot can be accounted for only on the theory that the said C. M. & St. P. R. Co. has no competition at said place and has the power of compelling people to go to Oxford Junction without materially lessening its receipts and that it can thereby save a small amount of expense. That Oxford Mills has a population of about 200, has a post office, stores, church, public graded school, flour mill, large electric light plant, etc.

Your petitioners therefore pray that you make an order requiring the C. M. & St. P. Ry. Co. to reopen and maintain said depot at said Oxford Mills and that it be required to stop its passenger and freight trains at said depot as it did previous to closing the

same. That a time be fixed for hearing this application, of which your petitioners be given notice, and that said hearing be had at the depot building of Oxford Mills.

FRANK BATCHELLER.
H. L. BATCHELLER.
HENRY L. BATCHELLER.
FRED COKER.
ED. JOHNSON.
N. B. LATHEOP.
L. S. ROGERS.
ALBERT VOHOSKA.
A. E. MINKLER.
LESTER MEAD.

and 46 others."

The Commissioners in acknowledging receipt of this petition said to Herrick & Bauder, Attorneys, at Monticello, who had sent in the petition:

"In this connection it may be well to call your attention to the so-called Leslie case wherein the Commissioners ordered the railway company to maintain the station of Leslie on the D. & K. C. Ry. The company resisted and you will find the opinion of the state supreme court, 87 Iowa, page 644.

"You will understand, of course, that this Board cannot enforce the terms of contracts."

A copy of the additional petition was sent to the railroad company and elicited the following reply:

"Replying to your favor of Jan. 14th enclosing copy of petition from the citizens of Oxford Mills, I beg to advise that we have discontinued maintaining an agent at that point on account of the extremely light business there is to be handled. The total amount of earnings on freight received, freight forwarded and ticket sales, for last year, amounted to less than \$150.00 per month. The distance from Oxford Junction, where we have ample and first class facilities is only nine-tenths of a mile. We continue to stop our trains at Oxford Mills for passengers and handle freight on a prepaid basis, giving freight and passenger facilities the same as before, except that we have no agent.

The stock subscription of \$8000.00 mentioned in the petition addressed to your honorable body on January 8th, does not seem to have been based upon any clear or definite condition that a depot should be located and permanently maintained at Oxford Mills, and certainly there is nothing in the subscription that requires the employment of an agent at that point. In addition to this the subscription was made to the Davenport & St. Paul Railway Company, which was subsequently foreclosed and I am advised that it is entirely clear that the Chicago, Milwaukee & St. Paul Railway Company never assumed or became liable for any alleged agreement contained in the subscription paper."

A copy of the above was sent to the attorneys for the petitioners, who stated on January 21, 1904, "that we are confident that we can show by affidavits by credible parties that one firm alone receives freight amounting to \$40.00 per month and that the freight business done at Oxford Mills would average from \$1600.00 to \$2000.00 annually. We shall proceed at once to procure evidence on this point and submit the same to you for further consideration."

Nothing further was ever heard from the petitioners.

Des Moines, Iowa, Dec. 1, 1905.

No. 2924—1905.
C. E. GARDNER, Leon,

vs.

CHICAGO, BURLINGTON & QUINCY RAILWAY COMPANY }

Drainage.

Complaint filed Jan. 21, 1904.

The complainant stated that the grade of the railway company obstructed natural drainage, whereby his land was damaged, and he desired the Commis-

sion to investigate and grant him such relief as he was entitled to. The Board made this case the subject of voluminous correspondence, the railway company contending that its grade did not interfere with the natural drainage. Both parties furnished profiles and statements of civil engineers to support their contention. The Commissioners, however, finally induced the parties to adjust their differences between themselves, and the case was closed.

Des Moines, Iowa, Dec. 1, 1905.

No. 2925—1905.

C. E. SHIFFER, Nodaway,	}	<i>Farm crossing.</i>
vs.		
CHICAGO, BURLINGTON & QUINCY RAILWAY COMPANY.		

Complaint filed Jan. 27, 1904.

The complainant in this case stated that he owned land on both sides of the railroad, that he had no crossing, and that he desired to have an under-grade crossing. He was advised by the Board that the statute granted the right to the land owner to have an adequate crossing constructed for his use when a railroad intersected his land and that the state supreme court had held that this meant that the crossing should be grade crossing, unless there was no practicable place on the farm to construct such crossing.

The complaint was, however, taken up with the railway company and on Feb. 8, 1904, the Board received letter from the company concerning this case as follows:

"In reply to your letter of the 4th instant about claim of Mr. C. E. Shiffer, of Nodaway. Mr. Shiffer owns lands on both sides of our railroad. I presume he is entitled to an ordinary farm crossing. Such a crossing can be built at this place, although it would cost us \$600 or \$700 to do so. We have repeatedly offered to construct such a crossing, but he has declined to accept it, insisting that we must either buy a part of his land at what seems to us a very extravagant price, or build him an under crossing, neither of which alternatives we have felt justified in adopting. We have made repeated and numerous efforts to adjust the matter with him without success, and I do not believe it would be of any avail for us to take it up with him any further. We are ready now, as we have been in the past to put him in a good farm crossing with easy grades. We cannot buy his land, and cannot put him in an undercrossing."

The commissioners did not think that under the circumstances they could carry this case any further, inasmuch as the company stated it was ready to build crossing as provided for by law.

Des Moines, Iowa, Dec. 1, 1905.

No. 2926—1905.

CITIZENS OF TALMAGE,	}	<i>Transfer track.</i>
vs.		
CHICAGO, BURLINGTON & QUINCY RAILWAY AND CHICAGO GREAT WESTERN RAILWAY COMPANY.		

Filed Feb 23, 1904,

The petitioners in this case desired the Board to order a transfer track built connecting the two railways at Talmage in Union county. The conditions,

however, did not seem to be different than they were in 1893 when the Board passed upon this case, consequently the Board took no further action than to send copy of the petitions to the respective railway companies.

Des Moines, Iowa, Dec. 1, 1905.

No. 2927—1905.

In reference to depot at Waverly on the C. G. W. Ry.

In this matter the Commissioners wrote the C. G. W. Ry. Co. in March 1904 calling its attention to the need for a station at Waverly properly lighted and heated and provided with such modern improvements as necessary for the convenience and the accommodation of the public. The station, the Commissioners understood, was about to be constructed by the railway company, and the suggestions were made in order that a proper station should be built and maintained.

Des Moines, Iowa, Dec. 1, 1905.

No. 2928—1905.

NEIL SULLIVAN, Afton,	}	<i>Farm crossing.</i>
vs.		
CHICAGO GREAT WESTERN RAILWAY COMPANY.		

Complaint filed March 17, 1904.

The complainant in this case state that inasmuch as he used his farm crossing for stock he should have an under-grade crossing, also that the present grade crossing was in a dangerous place.

The company replying to this complaint stated that they had had representatives of the company call on Mr. Sullivan and explain to him the impracticability of putting in an under-grade crossing for him and that Mr. Sullivan did not believe now that the expense would be justified. A copy of the statement of the company was sent Mr. Sullivan to which he has made no response.

Des Moines, Iowa, Dec. 1, 1905.

No. 2929—1905.

CITIZENS OF SHELLSBURG,	}	<i>Train service.</i>
vs.		
CHICAGO, ROCK ISLAND & PACIFIC RAILWAY COMPANY.		

Complaint filed April 13, 1904.

This was a petition that trains No 8 and 606 should stop at that point on signal. Responding to this complaint the railway company stated that it had many local trains carrying passengers, three west bound, and four east bound, and did not believe it should be required to stop the additional trains, which were fast trains, for local traffic. However, the railway company said they were stopping train 606 for passengers to Chicago, and the case was closed.

Des Moines, Iowa, Dec. 1, 1905.

No. 2930—1905.

CITIZENS OF EXLINE,
vs
CHICAGO, BURLINGTON & QUINCY } *Depot facilities.*
RAILWAY COMPANY.

Complaint filed May 31, 1904.

T. I. Johnson & Son complained that Exline was not furnished with proper depot facilities for handling freight. The Commissioners laid the complaint before the railroad company and after considerable correspondence ensued the Commissioners were advised that a temporary building had been placed there for the storage of freight which gave more room for the accommodation of passengers in the station, and as a result patrons were no longer complaining.

Des Moines, Iowa, Dec. 1, 1905.

No. 2931—1905.

CITIZENS OF TITONKA,
vs
CHICAGO, ROCK ISLAND & PACIFIC } *Train service.*
RAILWAY COMPANY.

Complaint filed June 8, 1904.

The complaint in this case was as follows:

"The merchants, shippers and residents of the town of Titonka, Iowa, have repeatedly made complaints to the town council regarding the poor service given by the Chicago, Rock Island & Pacific R. R. Co. on its only train in and out of Titonka, (Kossuth Co).

"On motion, at a meeting of the town council the following list of complaints was directed to be forwarded to your honorable body for consideration with the request that you take such action as will furnish our people some measure of relief.

"(1) The regular time table announces the leaving time of the train at 3 a. m. but on four or five days of the week the train does not leave until 7 a. m.

"(2) The arriving time of the train on its return trip is 5 p. m., but whenever convenient to the R. R. Co. the train is held at Garner, or used as a special or gravel train further on down the line and frequently arrives too late for merchants to receive their mail the same day.

"(3) With the only train arriving at 5 p. m. when on time, the R. R. Co. refuses to check out any freight until the following day.

"(4) On one occasion two cars of stock, to be transferred at Garner for Sioux City, were refused because the same were not to be shipped through to Chicago on their line.

"(5) The company refuses to accept stock shipments excepting on two days in the week, thus frequently causing the shipper quite a loss on account of holding and feeding his stock two or three days.

"(6) The only train makes no connection at Garner (the eastern end of the run) with any of the trains on the Milwaukee system.

"(7) The mail service is such that the mail transferred at Garner is delayed from 12 to 24 hours.

We would respectfully suggest that, by the R. R. Co. taking this one train and making the run to and from Garner twice a day, which we believe could be done without causing any very heavy additional expense, Titonka and the other towns along the line would be afforded ample facilities to make connections with all the trains at Garner besides improving the mail service and freight and express services.

W. A. LADENDOFF, Town Clerk,

A. H. YOUNG, Mayor.

Dated and signed at Titonka, Iowa, this 6th day of June, 1904."

The complaint was sent to the railway company and answer of the company follows:

BEFORE THE BOARD OF RAILROAD COMMISSIONERS OF THE STATE OF IOWA.

In the matter of the complaint of town authorities at Titonka.. Reply.

Comes now the Chicago, Rock Island & Pacific Railroad Company and in response to the complaint signed by the Mayor and Town Clerk of the town of Titonka, respectfully state:

That in many respects the complaint is not warranted by the facts. It is true that our train is due to arrive at that station at 5 p. m., but the facts are that during the first twenty-three days of June the train was an average of only thirty-two minutes late; that on the second day of June it was late, reaching Titonka at 9:30 p. m., the delay being occasioned by the fact that our trains were held at Clear Lake to accommodate the picnic of the Modern Woodmen and to accommodate passengers from Titonka who were attending such picnic. It does not run on Sunday for the reason that there seems to be no demand therefor.

To accommodate stock shippers (most of the stock being destined to Chicago), we run two stock trains out of Titonka, on Sundays and Wednesdays. If there is no stock for Chicago no train is ordinarily run. The business would not justify the running of trains to accommodate the shipment of stock to Sioux City. We accommodate other freight on this stock train if the Chicago stock does not make full tonnage.

The train has not been used for gravel service this season and has not been used for special service except on Sunday and on June 2nd when it accommodated the Woodmen picnic at Clear Lake.

The business upon this branch would not justify the operation of another train. The operation of another would involve the addition of fifty-two additional miles (train miles) per day, increasing the wages of employes over \$40.00 with additional cost for fuel, supplies, etc., of \$160.00 per month. This would make the cost of the operation of an additional train \$200 each month, and as stated before, the business upon this branch would not justify the expense.

It is true that our train is scheduled to leave at 3 a. m. and it does leave at that hour on two days in the week when it is run to accommodate the Chicago stock. It is necessary to leave at that hour to make connection with the special stock train that runs over the Forest City line, and this arrangement seems to be satisfactory. The other days in the week it leaves at 7 o'clock and this is to the satisfaction of the patrons of the road generally. It is known by all the patrons of the road that the train does not leave at that time. We cannot run special stock trains except as indicated as the business will not justify it.

I have attempted to answer all of the features of the complaint referred to and beg to suggest that if anything further is desired I shall be glad to take the matter up and obtain any information that may be desired in the investigation of the complaint.

CARROLL WRIGHT,

JOHN I. DILLE,

Attorneys for C. R. I. & P. RY. Co.

On July 25th the Board wrote the mayor of the town sending copy of the answer of the railway company, and asking for such reply as they desired to file in the case. Nothing further has ever been heard from the complainants.

Des Moines, Iowa, Dec. 1, 1905.

No. 2932—1905.

STEWART BROTHERS & STAMP, Keota,

vs.

CHICAGO, ROCK ISLAND & PACIFIC
RAILWAY COMPANY.

Complaint filed June 8, 1904.

Train service for stock shipments.

The complainants in this case stated that stock train had been annulled on several occasions to the great disadvantage of and damage to the complain-

ants. The matter was taken up by the railway company who explained the annulling of the trains was due to the fact that no tonnage had been reported for such trains. It seemed that some misunderstanding between the shipper and the agent had resulted in the report of no tonnage being made. No further complaints have come to the Board and it is presumed that the shippers are having no more trouble.

Des Moines, Iowa, Dec. 1, 1905.

No. 2933—1905.

M. ELDER, Klemme,	}	<i>Site for coal house.</i>
vs.		
CHICAGO, ROCK ISLAND & PACIFIC		
RAILWAY COMPANY.		

Complaint filed July 8, 1904.

This was an application for site for coal house presented to the Board by Mr. F. A. Arnold. The railroad company in answering the application said that they already had two coal-houses on their grounds at Klemme which is a town of but 250 inhabitants and they did not think that another coal house was needed, besides it was quite likely the company would soon be compelled to use all of their station grounds at Klemme for other and proper railroad facilities.

Later the Board was advised that Mr. Elder had sold his coal business and no longer desired a site.

Des Moines, Iowa, Dec. 1, 1905.

No. 2934—1905.

M. F. HELMER, Lisbon,	}	<i>Fencing and Gates.</i>
vs.		
CHICAGO & NORTH-WESTERN		
RAILWAY COMPANY.		

Complaint filed July 8, 1904.

The complainant in this case stated that the fence through his farm was in poor condition, that the gates were hard to handle and he should be provided with an open crossing, with wing fence and cattle guards, that he must cross the railroads to reach the highway.

The complaint was taken up with the railway company and on Sept. 24, it filed the following answer thereto:

"The Chicago & North Western Railway Company, replying to complaint of M. F. Helmer dated July 4th, 1904, respectfully states that, so far as the complaint concerning the fence is concerned, this fence has been from time to time repaired; very considerable repairs being made in 1901 and again in 1903, and since the date of July 4th, 1904, the fence complained of has been fully repaired.

"As to the open private crossing requested by Mr. Helmer, the Chicago & North Western Railway Company denies that Mr. Helmer is entitled at this place to a crossing of the character requested. A private crossing has been maintained for many years by the company, connecting the land owned by Mr. Helmer, and the point where he desires the new crossing is only about 210 feet east of his present crossing, by which he has

access to his land on both sides of the railroad and to the adjacent highway. It is respectfully represented that an open crossing, such as requested, would require on the north side of the right of way a cut of at least three feet or more, and would connect two enclosures in which stock of various kinds could run at large, and a crossing of this character on the main line of the company's road, over which the entire traffic of the system from the Missouri to the Mississippi river, is carried would render the operation of said road dangerous and would be such a menace and danger to the safety of freight and passenger traffic, that a crossing of the character asked for by the petitioner should not be located on said land.

"The Chicago & North Western Railway Company, therefore, respectfully submits that petitioner has no just cause of complaint either as to existing condition of fences or the crossing facilities which he is afforded."

A copy of this answer was sent to the complainant and in reply to the answer of the company Mr. Helmer said:

"In reply to Attorney Davis of C. & N. W. R. R. regarding fence and open crossing. About one hundred rods of the fence referred to has received no repairs of consequence for ten years. The posts are 6 feet apart and five rotten wires hanging loosely to them. Most of the remaining fence has been constructed to proper height by spiking 2x4 pine to top of posts and adding one new wire at the same.

As to proposed open crossing, the difficulties in construction, danger and menace to traffic, etc. 'The cut of at least three feet or more' has reference to a bank of dirt thrown out of a ditch which is ten or twelve feet from track two and one-half feet above the level of track. The general level beyond is less than two feet. An intervening ditch will hold all the extra dirt and make an easy grade. One man could do that job in about six hours. 'The two enclosures where live stock of various kinds run at large' will not exist as I will construct a lane both north and south of railway if given time before winter, if not time I can shift the live stock to other quarters. 'The danger and menace will be lessened ten times. The danger to myself, family and live stock will be reduced still more. For instance, my son is now hauling cord wood, he crosses the railway six times a day, every time he must open and close two gates, one of which is a bent tube of iron with twelve barb wires hangign loosely to it and must be held open or fastened open before a team can be driven through it. The other gate is about six feet high, contains about six eight inch boards, on a windy day it is a tussle for one man to handle it say nothing about driving the team. Thirty acres of corn will soon have to be transported across the same place one way. The view is now entirely obstructed to the westward where is a heavy grade. All trains from that direction pass here at greatly increased speed. School children and others passing through here do not understand the importance of properly closing these gates. About four weeks ago one was open the section men found both gates open. Two weeks ago one was open and one cow was on the track.

Please refer to the drainage and notice the 20 acre pasture south of R. R. how it is covered with creek. Understand that will have to be cut into two lots if an open crossing. Note the angle of the present road, also the proposed and you may understand why I wish to move to the eastward.

I have tried for years for improvement at this place and hope now through the good office that you hold, though an individual against a corporation, that the mandates of the supreme court of Iowa be honored."

The Board again laid this complaint before the railway company, and Mr. James C. Davis Atty. said that the railway company would deal direct with Mr. Helmer and see if adjustment could not be made. On Dec. 22, 1904, Mr. Davis wrote the Board stating that the road master of the company had had a personal interview with Mr. Helmer, and as a result fences had been repaired, new gates of approved pattern had been put in, and the company had done everything except to furnish the open crossing. That this could not be provided on account of the menace to the traffic on the line. On July 13, 1905, a member of the Board directed the following letter to be sent to Mr. Helmer.

"We are advised by Mr. Davis, their attorney, that the C. & N. W. Road Master in charge of that division has reported to him that he has repaired your fences, put in new gates and made such other improvements as were satisfactory to you. We take it, therefore, that your case has been satisfactorily adjusted, and will close the same unless we hear from you to the contrary."

Des Moines, Iowa, Dec. 1, 1905.

No. 2935—1905.

A. B. SHRIVER ET AL, Winterset,	}	<i>Train Service.</i>
VS.		
CHICAGO, ROCK ISLAND & PACIFIC RAILWAY COMPANY.		

Complaint filed July 13, 1904.

The complaint of inefficient train service between Des Moines and Winterset reached the Board from many different sources, and was taken up by the commissioners with the railway company.

The Board suggested to the company that better services should be afforded the people of Winterset, and on Feb. 22, 1905, Superintendent Smalley advised the Board that train service had been so rearranged that he thought it would be satisfactory to the people of Winterset. On Feb. 25th in reply Hon. James J. Crossley wrote the Commissioners thanking them on behalf of the people of Winterset, for their assistance in securing the change inaugurated by the railway company.

Des Moines, Iowa, Dec. 1, 1905.

No. 2936—1905.

W. E. NICOLA, Riverside,	}	<i>Farm crossing.</i>
VS.		
CHICAGO, ROCK ISLAND & PACIFIC RAILWAY COMPANY.		

Complaint filed July 20, 1904.

This was a request for the construction and maintenance of a farm crossing at a point designated in the application of Mr. Nicola. The Commissioners corresponded with both the railway company and the petitioner at great length in this case, and a member of the Board also visited the farm of Mr. Nicola. While the railway company insisted they were not bound to furnish the crossing as desired by the complainant, yet the Commissioners were able finally to bring about an adjustment, the result of which provided Mr. Nicola with a crossing.

Des Moines, Iowa, Dec. 1, 1905.

No. 2937—1905.

CITIZENS OF NIRA,
VS.
CHICAGO, ROCK ISLAND & PACIFIC } *Station service.*
RAILWAY COMPANY.

Petition filed July 29, 1904.

The petitioners in this case desired to have agent reinstated at Nira. The matter was taken up with the railway company and after some correspondence on June 13 the company stated:

"We do not see our way clear to put an agent at Nira, Iowa, at this time. The passenger business at this station amounted to about \$23.00 per month and the freight forwarding business to about \$400.00 last year.

As you are aware, business all over the state has very rapidly fallen off this spring and our earnings from all local stations are small as compared with previous periods. On the other hand our expenses have increased; the rates paid labor are from ten to fifteen per cent higher, and, with these conditions, the railroads have a very serious problem ahead of them for the remainder of this year at least."

A copy of the above statement was sent to the petitioners, and as no response was made by them the case was closed without prejudice.

Des Moines, Iowa, Dec. 1, 1905.

No. 2938—1905.

C. F. MOHR, ET AL, Westfield,
VS.
CHICAGO, MILWAUKEE & ST. PAUL } *Freight train service.*
RAILWAY COMPANY.

Complaint filed August 2, 1904.

The complainants stated that freight was often carried through station and returned on other freight trains, which delayed their shipments very much. Considerable correspondence was had with the railway company by the Board, which resulted in an adjustment satisfactory to all parties concerned.

Des Moines, Iowa, Dec. 1, 1905.

No. 2939—1905.

CITY OF ACKLEY,
VS.
ILLINOIS CENTRAL RAILROAD COMPANY. } *Street crossing.*

Complaint filed August 4th, 1904.

The complainants in this case stated that the Illinois Central Railroad Company in order to reduce grades on its line had made a cut through the town of Ackley about 2,500 feet in length and in places 14 feet deep; that in consequence it was impossible to use "Street No. 1" across the right of way of the company. The town desired that the Board take such steps as would

compel the railroad company to furnish a railroad crossing for the street named. In replying to this complaint Mr. J. T. Harahan, Second Vice-President, said:

"In relation to petition of citizens of Ackley, that Mitchell St. be opened across the right of way of the I. C. R. R. Co., beg to advise that I have carefully looked into same, and strongly object to granting the request of the Ackley people, as that town is now well supplied with crossings, beginning at the west, Worth, Franklyn and Cerro Gordo streets cross the tracks, and an overhead crossing at Hardin street. In addition an overhead crossing is under construction on the county line east of town. These facilities would seem to serve fully the necessities of a town like Ackley, and I do not, therefore, as stated above, think Mitchell street should be opened, especially in view of the fact that such crossing would be extremely dangerous."

On July 27th, 1905, the Commissioners wrote the Mayor of Ackley as follows:

"The Commissioners have had the matter of your crossings before them for sometime and have understood that Ackley is at the present very well supplied with safe and convenient crossings both overhead and at grade. This being true it is presumed you do not care to have the Board further consider the petition which you sent the office nearly a year ago. Unless you are heard from to the contrary the Board will close the case."

Nothing further being heard from the people of Ackley, the case is closed.

Des Moines, Iowa, Dec. 1, 1905.

No. 2940—1905.

CITIZENS OF TYRONE,

vs.

CHICAGO, BURLINGTON & QUINCY
RAILWAY COMPANY.

} *Petition for station.*

Petition filed August 11, 1904.

The petitioners in this case requested the Board to restore station services at Tyrone. Other petitions were filed later in the same matter and the Commissioners made this the subject of thorough investigation by correspondence as well as by personal conference, all of which finally resulted in the company restoring the station services at that point "for a time at least."

Des Moines, Iowa, Dec. 1, 1905.

No. 2941—1905.

POCAHONTAS COUNTY,

vs.

CHICAGO, ROCK ISLAND & PACIFIC
RAILWAY COMPANY.

} *Drainage.*

Complaint filed August 30, 1904.

On the 30th day of August, Mr. Geo. W. Day, Auditor of Pocahontas County, filed with this Board statement as follows:

"I have been notified by the engineer in charge of the survey of a proposed drainage district, of the inability of himself and the engineer of the Chicago, Rock Island & Pacific Ry. Co. to agree on the point of the crossing of said company's right of way.

"The point in dispute is at bridge No. 41 on said company's Gowrie and Sibley line located on section 27, township 93, range 34, Pocahontas County.

"This action is taken under section 18, chapter 68, acts of Thirtieth General Assembly."

The matter was taken up immediately with the railway company, and in its answer the company objected to the construction of the ditch as planned by the engineer in charge of the drainage district as follows:

"* * * We have objected to open ditch under bridge 41 below the same is now used by the farmer as a cattle pass under a contract. If an open ditch passes through this bridge it will be impossible for the farmer to use it as a cattle pass. Our engineer suggests that if a pipe were laid under the bridge, this might obviate the objection. We also object to this ditch which runs parallel to our track and inside of our right of way fence, because it will affect our track, and because when we desire to lay additional track it will be impossible to do so with the ditch so located. We claim that the authorities have no right to take our right of way for the purpose of constructing ditch thereon."

On Friday, September 23rd, after due notice had been given, the commissioners viewed the premises involved in the dispute, reserving their decision pending negotiations between the parties for a settlement of the difficulty on the basis of suggestions made by the Board on the ground.

The final disposition was to have been made by the Board on October 13, but by agreement of all parties the Commissioners were requested to hold the case open until November 10. On November 10 the Board met, and were advised that the Railway company and the County Authorities had agreed upon a settlement of the case.

Des Moines, Iowa, Dec. 1, 1905.

No. 2942—1905.

HENRY HUMKE, ACKLEY,

vs.

ILLINOIS CENTRAL RAILROAD COMPANY.

} *Farm crossing.*

Complaint filed Sept. 2, 1904.

The complaint in this case was as follows:

"Application is hereby made to you asking an order from you to the Illinois Central Railroad Company requiring said company to put in an adequate crossing between his land north and south of said railroad, said land being the east half of the southeast quarter of section 36, township 90, range 19, Franklin county, Iowa. This applicant asks and applies for an adequate crossing or causeway, whether it be grade or under grade, but thinks that he is entitled to an under grade crossing, since the railroad has put in a grade as high as sixteen feet where the railroad crosses his land, and asks that such crossing be put within four rods from east boundary of his land.

"That this applicant had a private grade crossing up to 1903, when the above mentioned railroad raised the grade on their right of way, crossing his land and took out his crossing and have continually kept out his crossing to the present time, and he has at this time no way of crossing the railroad right of way and reaching the land north of the right of way, his house and barn and all his buildings being located to the south of the right of way on the above mentioned premises."

The complaint was taken up at once with the railway company, and on October 24, 1904, in reply, W. S. Kenyon District Atty. for the Ill. Cent. said that the complainant had had a crossing, immediately adjacent to his land, on a public highway, for a number of years, which was perfectly satisfactory, and seemed to fulfill all the purposes desired.

On Nov. 22, Mr. John R. Heinz again wrote the Board on behalf of his client Mr. Humke asking that the crossing be provided very soon. On May 12, 1905, Mr. W. J. Harahan, Fourth Vice President wrote the Board in reference to this case as follows:

"Referring to the matter of private crossing desired by Mr. Henry Humke in Hardin county, Iowa, concerning which you have had some correspondence with our Second Vice President.

"What Mr. Humke desires is a private crossing as shown in blue print attached, within four rods of the east boundary of his land, while as a matter of fact a public highway with an undergrade crossing is located immediately adjacent to the eastern boundary of his property, which is also shown on attached blue print, and which we think should fully answer all Mr. Humke's purposes.

"We at all times stand ready to give parties owning land on either side of our right of way, crossings where they are needed, but we cannot see the equity in the request of Mr. Humke. Of course, it is our desire to avoid grade crossings wherever it can be done, and we feel sure that your commission will see that Mr. Humke's request is without reason and hesitate before ordering another crossing put in."

On May 17, 1905, a member of the Board wrote Mr. Heinz the attorney for the complainant, and sent a copy of the letter from Mr. Harahan, and said: "It is as Mr. Harahan suggests,—somewhat hazardous to have too many grade crossings, and it is becoming more necessary, as our trunk lines increase the number of trains and speed, to eliminate grade crossings. Taking this view of the matter we would not feel like adding to these dangers when it can be favorably prevented. However, we are always anxious to meet all fair requirements."

Des Moines, Iowa, Dec. 1, 1905.

No. 2943—1905.
JOHN A. WESSELS, ACKLEY,
VS.
ILLINOIS CENTRAL RAILROAD COMPANY. } *Farm crossing.*

Complaint filed Sept. 13, 1904.

The complainant in this case stated that he had formerly been provided with a crossing such as his needs demanded, but that in the summer of 1903 the company made a cut or ditch through his land on its right of way and tore up his private crossing. That in consequence at the present time he has no crossing. The complaint was laid before the officials of the Illinois Central Railroad Co., and on October 11 the Commissioners were advised by General Mgr. Harahan that the company had provided a crossing at the point where it was desired by Mr. Wessels. On October 17, 1904, Mr. John R. Heinz wrote the Board stating that he did not believe the crossing provided by the railroad company was adequate; that he was endeavoring to settle the matter with the railroad company; that if he failed he would again take the matter up with the Board. Nothing further has been heard from him.

Des Moines, Iowa, Dec. 1, 1905.

No. 2944—1905.

W. H. BROMLEY, VENTURA,
vs.
CHICAGO, MILWAUKEE & ST. PAUL
RAILWAY COMPANY. } *Train service.*

Complaint filed Sept. 27th, 1904.

This was a petition to have the so-called "Flyer" on the C. M. & St. P. stop at that town and take on mail and passengers. The Board gave the matter considerable attention and upon investigation found that the town of Ventura had three trains each way per day carrying passengers and advised Mr. Bromley on June 28th that it seemed to the Commissioners that Ventura was getting good service, etc.

Des Moines, Iowa, Dec. 1, 1905.

No. 2945—1905.

ANDY WILSON, MOUNTAIN GROVE, Mo.
vs.
CHICAGO, ROCK ISLAND & PACIFIC
RAILWAY COMPANY. } *Overcharge—interstate.*

Complaint filed October 3, 1904.

The complainant stated that he had been overcharged on a car of emigrant movables from Calendar, Iowa, to Mountain Grove, Mo. While the case was interstate the Board took it up with the Railway company and it is understood a settlement was reached.

Des Moines, Iowa, Dec. 1, 1905.

No. 2946—1905.

CITIZENS OF DANVILLE,
vs.
CHICAGO, BURLINGTON & QUINCY
RAILWAY COMPANY. } *Petition for train and depot service.*

The people of Danville, a town of about 300 inhabitants, petitioned the Board to order the C. B. & Q. Ry. Co. to stop train No. 9 at that town, and also that the depot be kept open for train No. 4 at 9:30 P. M. The Board presented the petition to the railway company and after some correspondence the Commissioners were informed by General Superintendent Nutt that the depot would be kept open for train as requested. The petitioners did not press the request to have the other train stop at that town, and the case is closed.

Des Moines, Iowa, Dec. 1, 1905.

No. 2947—1905.

FEINER FISH CO., CLINTON, }
vs. } *Joint rates.*
EXPRESS COMPANIES.

Complaint filed Oct. 27, 1904.

The Board received the following communication from the Feiner Fish Co.:

"Gentlemen:—

"Isn't it possible for you to insist on the Express companies pro-rating our shipments, at least in this state? We frequently have a small shipment over two lines, and the express charge is more than the goods. Would like to hear from you if anything can be done in regard to this matter".

The Board thereupon called the attention of the express companies to the matter. Considerable correspondence passed between the Board, the Feiner Fish Company and the express companies in reference to this case and on November 5th the Commissioners wrote the following letter to the Feiner Fish Company:

"Replying to yours of November 3rd, I think we appreciate your situation and you may rely upon it that the Board will endeavor to see that you and all Iowa shippers are not discriminated against in any way. You say that if there are any suggestions that we can make that will get the matter started in the right channel you would be glad to have them. I do not think it will be necessary for you to employ a lawyer, although, of course, you may act at your discretion in the matter. You will note by Section 2152 that companies may fix joint rates; that by Section 2153 all railway companies, etc., shall 'upon the demand of any person or persons interested, establish reasonable joint through rates for the transportation of freight between points upon their respective lines within this state' etc., etc. Then by Section 2155 you will see that 'in the event of said railway companies failing to establish through joint rates, or fail to establish and charge reasonable rates for such through shipments, it shall be the duty of the Board of Railroad Commissioners, upon the application of any person interested, to establish such rates,' etc., etc. The opinion of the supreme court upon the case brought by the Board to compel the railway companies to abide by their joint rate order was of such a character that this Board has reached the conclusion that before it can act in the matter of fixing joint rates, the parties desiring the same shall first make application to the railway companies for such joint rates and upon their refusal or failure to comply with the request then the matter may be submitted by the persons desiring the rate, to the Board of Railroad Commissioners, showing in their application to the Board the fact that they applied to the companies for a joint rate and that the same was refused or that the companies failed upon reasonable notice to grant such joint rates. The Board thereupon will present the case to the companies interested and if they still refuse or fail to establish rates as requested, then the Board will serve notices for a hearing and make such order as is found to be just and equitable."

In answering the foregoing the complainants said:

"We will ask for some rates from the express company in a day or two, and when we do will send you a copy of our letter, as we hardly expect the express companies to grant them. Under existing conditions, we are simply 'shut out' completely on all points in the state that are not operated on by the American, U. S. and Adams, and we believe that with your assistance we can get this matter fixed so that we can do business on such lines as the Chicago Great Western."

As nothing further was heard from the complainant the Board regarded the case as closed.

Des Moines, Iowa, Dec. 1, 1905.

No. 2948—1905.

CITIZENS OF GRUNDY CENTER
vs.
CHICAGO, ROCK ISLAND & PACIFIC
RAILWAY COMPANY. } *Night operator.*

Petition filed Nov. 2, 1904.

This was a petition asking that the respondent railway company re-install a night operator at Grundy Center. The Board presented the matter to the railway company, which at first declined to employ the operator for the reason they did not believe the expense would be justified. Later, however, the company advised the Board that a night operator would be placed at that station.

Des Moines, Iowa, Dec. 1, 1905.

No. 2949—1905.

S. A. NARGANG, NEW HAMPTON,
vs.
CHICAGO GREAT WESTERN RAILWAY
COMPANY. } *Farm crossing.*

Complaint filed Nov. 7, 1904.

The complainant said the company had failed to put in a crossing, though he had requested it as he believed he was entitled to it, and asked the assistance of the Board. The attention of the Company was called to the case and the crossing was put in.

Des Moines, Iowa, Dec. 1, 1905.

No. 2950—1905.

A. NELSON, CAMBRIDGE,
vs.
DES MOINES, IOWA FALLS & NORTHERN RY. CO. } *Under-grade crossing.*

Complaint filed Nov. 9, 1904.

The complaint in this case was substantially as follows:

"That he owns 320 acres in section No. 82-28, and that the line of railway divides the same; that when the road was built one Mr. Shaw made the agreement with Mr. Nelson to provide two under-grade crossings and two grade crossings; that he has only been provided with one grade crossing and no under-grade crossings; that owing to the fact that he uses a large portion of this farm for pasture an under-grade crossing is necessary for the proper conduct thereof; that he also has large fields for which he has no crossing whatever and for which he was promised both a grade and an under-grade crossing."

The company was made acquainted with the complaint, and in its answer disclaimed that it had failed to provide the complainant with such crossings as he was entitled to. The complainant insisted, however, that he was entitled to what he asked. In the spring of 1905 the Commissioners visited the farm, met the parties, and at a later time effected a settlement between the railway company and the complainant.

Des Moines, Iowa, Dec. 1, 1905.

No. 2951—1905.

VEUBA NEMETZ Co., DUNCAN,
vs.
CHICAGO, MILWAUKEE & ST. PAUL
RAILWAY COMPANY. } *Depot facilities.*

Complaint filed Nov. 15, 1904.

The complainants stated that two years previous to the filing of their complaint the railway company had removed the agent and closed the depot, and that in consequence it made it very difficult to ship freight and receive it, to the great detriment of that community.

The company in answering this complaint stated that a custodian was employed who met all passenger trains, kept the waiting room warm, also looked after the freight received, and forwarded. Upon investigation it was found that considerable business was done at Duncan station and the company after investigating the conditions more thoroughly at that point employed a man to look more carefully after the freight and passenger business.

Des Moines, Iowa, Dec. 1, 1905.

No. 2952—1905.

H. J. HENNINGS, CEDAR FALLS,
vs.
ILLINOIS CENTRAL RAILROAD COMPANY. } *Farm crossing.*

Complaint filed Nov. 19, 1904.

The complainant stated that he could not procure farm crossing although he had repeatedly notified the company that he must have same.

The case was taken up with the railway company in the regular way and on April 17, 1905, the Board was advised that a representative of the company had made an adjustment with Mr. Hennings which was entirely satisfactory to him.

Des Moines, Iowa, Dec. 1, 1905.

No. 2953—1905.

REV. J. J. CONDON, MALOY,
vs.
CHICAGO GREAT WESTERN RAILWAY COMPANY. } *Train service.*

Complaint filed Nov. 26, 1904.

This was a request made on behalf of the citizens of Maloy asking that train No. 1 stop at Maloy to discharge passengers from Des Moines and principal points beyond and to take on passengers for New Conception, St. Joseph and Kansas City.

Request of the citizens was laid before the railway company and on December 7th Mr. Stickney, General Manager, stated that the business was amply taken care of at Maloy by the trains which stopped there and they did not feel they could stop their other day train.

The Board wrote Rev. Mr. Condon on Dec. 10th and asked him to advise the Board what amount of business would probably be given that train at Maloy if it should stop there. No reply was received to this inquiry.

Des Moines, Iowa, Dec. 1, 1905.

No. 2954—1905.

CITY COUNCIL NEW MARKET, vs. CHICAGO, BURLINGTON & QUINCY RAILWAY COMPANY.	}	<i>Street crossing.</i>
---	---	-------------------------

Complaint filed Nov. 26, 1904.

This complaint came to the Board as follows:

R. R. Commission of Iowa.

New Market, Iowa, November 24, 1904.

Gentlemen:—At the last meeting of the city council I was requested to write you in regard to a proposed street crossing over the R. R. at this place. Here are the conditions: There is but one crossing over the road and that is on main street close by the depot and on the switch also where most all of the switching is done. Now a good part of the residents live on the north side of the track and as all the business part also, the schools are on the south side you can see they all have to cross at a dangerous place. There is a street running north and south past school house intersecting with the one running east and west in north part of town but it is not complete as there is no crossing over right of way as there will be some cutting down to do as road runs through small cut at the place where the proposed crossing is. Now if crossing is made it will give access to those people in the north part of town and the school children to school at about half the distance they now go and a crossing away from where switching is done, and instead of teams waiting on trains it will make another way of getting to and from town. Now if we do the work and fix street up to the right of way cannot the road be made to make the crossing as it will be about one thousand feet from other crossing.

"Respectfully,

*"F. M. MILLESON,
"Member of Council.*

In answering the foregoing the Board said:

"From your letter and the map which you enclose it would seem that there is no street established which crosses the railroad at the point where you desire the crossing made. Under the law this Commission cannot order a crossing constructed unless a street or highway was platted and duly established according to law across the railway company's right of way. The proper course for your town would be to establish a street so that it would cross the company's right of way, of course, observing with the railway company the same forms and legal steps as though it were a private owner. When this done the Board would have power to take action to provide suitable means for a crossing.

"However, this matter will be taken up with the railway company with a view of having it adjusted without the delay and expense that would otherwise be necessary as outlined above."

Replying to this case the railway company said:

"Investigation shows that this street was laid out after the railroad was built, and has not yet been opened, and that there is no general sentiment among the peo-

ple of New Market in favor of opening it, because the present crossing seems to be ample for present needs; there being only four families in the northern part of the town that this crossing would benefit. It is a bad place for a crossing owing to a heavy cut and the view being obscured on that account from approaching trains, which would also make it expensive in construction. I report Mr. Tomlinson, President of the bank of New Market, who was a member of the Board for a number of years, as saying that the crossing is unnecessary."

The petitioners were apprised of the above statement and asked to make such further statements as they might desire, but nothing further was heard from them.

Des Moines, Iowa, Dec. 1, 1905.

No. 2955—1905.

CITIZENS LUMBER COMPANY, Benson,	} Abandonment of station.
vs.	
ILLINOIS CENTRAL RAILROAD CO.	

Complaint filed Nov. 30th, 1904.

The complaint in this case follows:

"The Illinois Central Railroad Company have abandoned the station at Benson, Iowa, having established a new station two miles from that place. We, the undersigned are engaged in business at Benson, Iowa as follows:

Citizens Lumber Co.,
S. C. Canfield,
Lee Canfield,

Dealers in lumber.
Dealer in coal.
Dealer in general merchandise.

We, each of us have suffered heavy loss and inconvenience by said abandonment of depot by said railroad company, we are unable to reach our respective places of business by railroad or receive or ship freight from that point.

We, therefore each of us make claim against the Illinois Central Railroad Company for five thousand dollars (\$5000.00) or the re-establishment of depot and former train service.

We respectfully petition your honorable Board to submit our claim to railroad company and to use your full legal powers to secure the satisfaction of same and to report promptly and fully to Lee Canfield, Waterloo, Iowa."

In answering the foregoing complaint the railroad company under date of January 9th, 1905, through Mr. J. T. Harahan, Second Vice-President, said:

"Dear sir:

"Replying to your favor of the 8th ulto., in regard to petition of the Citizens Lumber Company, S. C. Canfield and Lee Canfield, of Benson, Iowa, that this company pay each of them \$5,000, or re-establish the depot and former train service at that point, beg to advise:

"Our line, as it existed at, and prior to, the time the original station was in existence at Benson, contained maximum grades of 71 feet to the mile, which you will readily recognize made it impossible to economically handle traffic. There are other grades on the same division which were also more excessive than the grades on our lines farther west, and in order to secure the greatest economy in operation all of these grades were considerably reduced, which resulted in the line in this vicinity being diverted for a short distance, it being moved to a point south some 8,000 feet from the original location of Benson station.

"There was no contract whatever in relation to the maintenance of this depot on its former site, and the business was not sufficient to justify us in maintaining the station on the heavy grade line as against the lighter grade on the new line less

than 1½ miles distance. For instance the receipts on freight received at, and forwarded from, Benson station shrank from \$5,860.00 during the fiscal year 1901-02 to \$3,180.99 in the fiscal year 1903-04, and during the three years (1901-1903, inclusive) our ticket sales amounted to \$277.27 per annum, on an average.

"The last census shows the population of the town of Benson to be 36 people.

"Under these circumstances we cannot consider the claims of these people, and they are respectfully declined."

A copy of Mr. Harahan's letter was sent to the complainants, who stated, replying thereto, that they declined to withdraw their claims and requested the Board to bring such claims before the proper court for adjudication.

On January 20th, 1905, the Board wrote to the complainants stating that the Commissioners had no authority whatever over claims for damages for violation of contract, neither could they take such a case before the courts. They were also advised that the Board could carry this case no further.

Des Moines, Iowa, Dec. 1, 1905.

No. 2956—1905.

JAMES CAMPBELL, ET AL, LEHIGH,	}	<i>Switching charges</i>
VS.		
CHICAGO GREAT WESTERN RAILWAY COMPANY.		

Complaint filed Dec. 6th, 1904.

The C. G. W. Ry. Co. by their tariff No. 11152, taking effect Nov. 15th, 1904, made the switching charge at Lehigh on soft coal one cent per 100 pounds with minimum weight of 50,000 pounds to which the complainants objected claiming that it was exorbitant and that the former charge of \$2.50 per car was right.

In response to this complaint on Dec. 28th Mr. S. C. Stickney, General Manager wrote the Board as follows:

"In revising our switching rates we have attempted to preserve as far as possible the rates which have been in effect for handling the cars of connecting lines between the interchange point and our industry tracks.

"For switching to and from our team tracks as distinguished from industry tracks, we have made a higher charge. Most roads will not switch business of connecting lines to and from their team tracks, but we have decided to perform such switching, although we do not believe we are required to do so, either by the law or by custom.

"As to so-called switching between any point on our own rails and any other nearby point, we think we are entitled to collect the maximum rates for the minimum distance provided in the Iowa Commissioner's Distance Tariff, which on carload classes vary from 8 cents per hundred lbs. on sheep to 1.4 cents per hundred on slack coal. For all this business at Fort Dodge, Lehigh and intermediate we have made a rate of 1 cent per hundred lbs. when both origin and destination are inside of the limits of one station. Where the business is from one station to another, we have intended to adhere to the Iowa Railroad Commissioners' tariff.

"Under the existing law, if we deem it to our interest to do so, we think we are entitled to charge the Commissioners' rate for five miles for any service we perform.

"In many cases the entire gross earnings from switching revenue is not sufficient to pay the interest and maintenance on the side tracks where the freight is loaded or unloaded. As we are under no obligation in most cases to construct the industry tracks and as we are at liberty in most cases to remove them if we regard the business as unprofitable, we do not believe the interests of the firms involved would be best served by attempting to require us to do business at a loss.

"In this connection, I might also say that the average weight and capacity of our cars has increased very much in the last few years and we think it should be taken into account in any fair consideration of what switching rates should be.

"If our views do not appeal to the Commission, I will be glad of an opportunity to take up the matter at any time."

A copy of Mr. Stickney's letter was sent to the complainants on January 6th, 1905, and they were asked to state whether they loaded upon team track of another company, or whether their mines were located upon switching tracks of another company having track connections with the C. G. W. To this inquiry no reply was ever received.

Des Moines, Iowa, Dec. 1, 1905.

No. 2957—1905.

OTTUMWA FUEL COMPANY, OTTUMWA,
vs.
CHICAGO, ROCK ISLAND & PACIFIC
RAILWAY COMPANY. } *Track scales.*

Complaint filed Dec. 8, 1904.

The complainant stated that the respondent railway company had no track scales at Ottumwa which was a great inconvenience and loss to them. The matter was taken up with the railway company and at a later date the Board was advised that the company expected to construct track scales at Ottumwa, but the location had not as yet been agreed upon.

Des Moines, Iowa, Dec. 1, 1905.

No. 2958—1905.

JOHN WEIR, SHELDON,
vs.
CHICAGO, ST. PAUL, MINNEAPOLIS & OMAHA
RAILWAY COMPANY. } *Farm crossing.*

Complaint filed Dec. 9, 1904.

The complainant in this case desired a crossing near the center of his farm about 2 1-2 miles north of Sheldon, and his needs were made known to the railway company by the Board. The company immediately provided Mr. Weir with the crossing desired.

Des Moines, Iowa, Dec. 1, 1905.

No. 2959—1905.

T. W. KELLEY, NEOLA,
vs.
CHICAGO, MILWAUKEE & ST. PAUL
RAILWAY COMPANY. } *Train service.*

Complaint filed Dec. 12, 1904.

The complaint in this case was as follows:

"Owing to great inconvenience in loading stock for South Omaha market, I appeal to you to have train No. 61 on C. M. & St. P. Ry. due here at 10:05 P. M. stop to pick up stock for above market if possible. The train (No. 63) that takes stock

now due here at 12:00 P. M. is very uncertain in arriving, often not until 5:00 A. M., thereby causing unnecessary hardship in the way of remaining up at night and losing rest in order to load at the proper time."

The railway company in answering this complaint stated that

"in order to move the traffic generally in a manner satisfactory to the public it is necessary for us to run certain freight trains with through freight on good time and without making stops at local stations; other trains are run purely for the purpose of accommodating local stations and the time on these trains is necessarily slower than it is on the through trains. We have passing through Neda No. 61 at 10:05 P. M., No. 65 at 12:01 A. M., No. 63 at 4:45 A. M., Nos. 61 and 63 are the through freight trains and cannot stop to do work at local stations. No. 65 is run to do that work and while we aim to run it as nearly on time as possible, it is naturally subject to some delay on account of doing this work and because at some times there is more local than there is at others."

In sending this answer to Mr. Kelley he was asked on Dec. 15, 1904 to keep watch on train No. 65 for the next three or four weeks and advise the Board how often this train was late, and how much late. Nothing was ever heard from Mr. Kelly.

Des Moines, Iowa, Dec. 1, 1905.

No. 2960—1905.

JOHN OWENS, CLARKSON,
vs.

CHICAGO, BURLINGTON & QUINCY
RAILWAY COMPANY.

Farm crossing.

Complaint filed Dec. 14, 1904.

The complainant in this case appeared before the Board and made the following statement:

"I own a farm of 300 acres adjoining Clarkson on the east, 100 acres is on the south side of the C. B. & Q. and 200 acres on the north side. The improvements are all on the 100 acres. For 20 years I have had an under passage way for stock convenient to the buildings and pasture which was perfectly satisfactory to me. I had no grade crossing and did not want any. Sometime ago the engineer, whose name I have forgotten, asked me if I had any objections to filling this opening which I used as an under crossing. I told him I certainly had as it was my principal place of crossing and also told him that if he would leave me 10 feet in the clear I would have no objection to the balance of the opening being filled up. Now, they have filled the entire opening and Mr. Fabin, the superintendent, states that he did not know that I had any objections to this work being done. When the road was built Mr. Wood, the right of way agent, agreed with me that I should have a passage way underneath the track. I have another under crossing that will permit the passage of cattle but it is down at the slough, a point very inconvenient for me as it would require me to drive my cattle one-half mile each time I took them to pasture. I desire that the company should re-open a passage way for me at least 10 feet where it has been maintained for me for the past 20 years."

The commissioners took this matter up with the railway company and after considerable correspondence, and personal investigation on the part of the Board the following agreement was reached by the parties to the controversy:

"Mr. Owens agrees that if the C. B. & Q. Ry. Co. grade a crossing at old bridge 56.62, 12 feet wide on top and 60 feet from the center of track on south side and about same on north side, and put in wing fences and cattle guards

on east side and maintain present cattle guard on the west side with gates in right of way fence, he will waive right to under crossing on his farm, and if company decide to move the fence on south side to take in land owned by company, he will build and maintain at his own expense the wing fence beyond the 75 ft. line of present right of way fence on south side."

Des Moines, Iowa, Dec. 1, 1905.

No. 2961—1905.

A. R. MOLYNEUX, CHEROKEE,
vs.
ILLINOIS CENTRAL RAILROAD COMPANY. } *Discrimination in minimum weights.*

Complaint filed Dec. 16, 1904.

Mr. A. R. Molyneux wrote the Board as follows:

"Shippers at Cherokee and Marcus and other points that have no competing lines complain that they are being discriminated against by the Illinois Central railroad company because they are not permitted what is called light billing on stock shipments, but are charged the full rate of 17,000 as a minimum, while competing points are allowed a minimum of 15,000 which, of course, is unjust to shippers and an unjust discrimination.

"They have asked me to call your attention to the matter to the end that it may be adjusted and justice be done."

The matter was taken up by the Board with the Railway company. The Commissioners were later advised by Mr. Molyneux that conditions were now satisfactory to the complainants.

Des Moines, Iowa, Dec. 1, 1905.

No. 2962—1905.

R. W. SANDERS, COLLINS,
vs.
CHICAGO GREAT WESTERN RAILWAY COMPANY. } *Overcharge emigrant movables—interstate.*

Complaint filed Dec. 17, 1904.

This was a complaint that respondent company had overcharged on a car of emigrant movables on an interstate shipment. While the Commissioners assumed no jurisdiction the case was laid before the officials of the railway company who declined to readjust the charges made, claiming they were correct, according to the tariff.

Des Moines, Iowa, Dec. 1, 1905.

No. 2963—1905.

CITIZENS OF PERSIA,
vs.
CHICAGO, MILWAUKEE & ST. PAUL } *Train service.*
RAILWAY COMPANY.

Petition filed Dec. 29, 1904.

This was a request that the respondent railway stop its passenger train No. 1 at Persia.

The company on February 3, 1905, notified the Board that although it would be "very unpleasant to be obliged to stop our overland train to accommodate the very few that would get on at the station," it had arranged to stop as requested for passengers going to Council Bluffs and west.

Des Moines, Iowa, Dec. 1, 1905.

No. 2964—1905.

M. C. HICKMAN, Metz,
vs.
CHICAGO, ROCK ISLAND & PACIFIC } *Farm crossing.*
RAILWAY COMPANY.

Complaint filed Dec. 30, 1904.

The complainant stated that his private crossing was blockaded so that he could not use his crossing without the same being repaired at once. The railway company stated that the planks had been taken from the crossing in order that a snow plow could be used, but that the plank had been replaced, and there would be no further cause for complaint.

Des Moines, Iowa, Dec. 1, 1905.

No. 2965—1905.

Wreck at Iowa Falls at Crossing of Ill. Central Rd. and C. R. I. & P. Ry. Co. Interlocking System.

On Jan 12, 1905, the Commissioners having learned that a wreck occurred within the limits of the interlocking system at Iowa Falls, addressed letters to both companies requesting a full report of the accident, also inquiring, "why is it that the Rock Island train could receive a clearance signal when the derail was open."

The companies reported to the Board that the plant was in perfect condition at the time of the accident; that it was not due to any defect in the interlocking plant, and that the accident occurred simply by reason of the failure of the engineer to see the signal.

Des Moines, Iowa, Dec. 1, 1905.

No. 2966—1905.

J. PETTY, PERRY,
vs.
MINNEAPOLIS & ST. LOUIS RAILWAY } *Rates on ice.*
COMPANY.

Complaint filed Jan. 13, 1905.

The complainant stated that on account of high rates on the M. & St. L. Ry. Co. he could not handle ice between Perry and Dallas Center, and other points.

The matter was taken up with the railway company and the company adjusted the case with the complainant.

Des Moines, Iowa, Dec. 1, 1905.

No. 2967—1905.

FREMONT COUNTY, SIDNEY,
vs.
CHICAGO, BURLINGTON & QUINCY } *Drainage.*
RAILWAY COMPANY.

Complaint filed Jan. 14, 1905.

This was an application by the county of Fremont for the Board to determine the location for the crossing of their drainage ditch over the right of way of the respondent railway company.

The application was made under Section 18, Chapter 68 of the laws of the Thirtieth General Assembly. The Board fixed January 20th for inspection and hearing, but on January 24th the Commissioners received notice from the county auditor, Mr. R. S. Williams, that the ditch matter had been postponed. The case has not since been brought to the attention of the Board.

Des Moines, Iowa, Dec. 1, 1905.

No. 2968—1905.

H. C. HJERLEID, DECORAH,
vs.
CHICAGO, MILWAUKEE & ST. PAUL } *Stock yards.*
RAILWAY COMPANY.

Complaint filed Jan. 16, 1905.

The complainant stated that the railroad companies refused to allow any shippers except one to use the stock yards and scales at that point; that the one shipper was given the monopoly of the business. The case was taken up with the railway company, and Mr. H. R. Williams, Gen. Mgr. wrote the Board on Feb. 11, as follows:

"I have inquired into the matter and am of the opinion that the complaint was made at the instigation of a man by the name of Stortz, with whom we have had some trouble at that point, and in reply I wish to say that our shipping facilities at that point as well as all others, are open for use by any shipper whether farmer or dealer, who has a car load of stock ready to ship. Before giving any serious consideration to the complaint I would suggest that you get the views of prominent business men of Decorah who have knowledge of the situation."

At a date subsequent to the receipt of this letter a member of the Board and the Secretary visited Decorah and personally inspected the stock yards. It was found that a portion of the yards had been fixed up for the exclusive use of the shippers at that point who ship most of the stock from that town; however, that there were other yards available to the general public of such size and convenience as would ordinarily take care of the business. It seemed that there had been some misunderstanding with reference to the use of the yards, but the Board was assured there would be no further cause for complaint. The case was therefore closed without prejudice.

Des Moines, Iowa, Dec. 1, 1905.

No. 2969—1905.

C. L. PERCIVAL CO., DES MOINES,	}	<i>Misapplication of rates.</i>
vs.		
MINNEAPOLIS & ST. LOUIS RAILWAY COMPANY.		

Complaint filed Jan. 16, 1905.

It seemed that the complaint made by this firm arose from a misunderstanding due to the taking over by the M. & St. L. of the Des Moines & Fort Dodge road, the inequality in rates arising before the schedules had been properly adjusted. The matter was explained to the complainants and the case was closed.

Des Moines, Iowa, Dec. 1, 1905.

No. 2970—1905.

C. S. ALLEN, LAURENS,	}	<i>Failure to furnish cars.</i>
vs.		
MINNEAPOLIS & ST. LOUIS RAILWAY COMPANY.		

Complaint filed Jan. 16th, 1905.

This was a complaint on account of failure to receive cars for shipment of hay. The matter was taken up by telegraph with the railway company and complainant satisfied.

Des Moines, Iowa, Dec. 1, 1905.

No. 2971—1905.

TOWN OF ARCADIA,	}	<i>Inquiry concerning sidewalk to depot.</i>
vs.		
CHICAGO & NORTHWESTERN RY. CO.		

Inquiry filed January 18, 1905.

Mr. E. C. Mohr, Recorder of the town of Arcadia, wrote the Board by order of the town council as follows:

Dear Sir:—

"Please give the desired information concerning the following:

"Previous to December, 1902, the C. & N. W. Ry. Co. extended through the heart of our town, Arcadia, Iowa.

"On December 10th, 1901, the said company removed its depot or office out of the corporate limits of the aforementioned town. The distance between the old road bed and the new is about 3000 feet.

"The railroad company built a sidewalk from its new depot site to a sidewalk at the SE corner of block 25, town plat.

"They also graveled the road from its new site a distance of about 4200 feet connecting same with the main street in town.

"The R. R. Company has been keeping the above named sidewalk in repair and keeping the same free from ice and snow, but now refuse to do so.

"Kindly advise us. Can we force the company to keep the walk as has been done. Also on whom would the responsibility fall in case of accident."

The Board asked Mr. Mohr to state whether the walk or street referred to belonged to the railway company or whether it was a public highway. In response to this inquiry Mr. Mohr said:

"The C. & N. W. R. R. Co. removed its tracks to a roadbed outside of the limits of the corporated city. Distance between old and new right of way about one half mile. Railway company voluntarily laid a sidewalk from new depot site along public highway called Division street to connect with sidewalk at corner of Block No. 25, as indicated by ink drawing. Railway company also graveled the public highway from its new depot site to SE corner to Block 25, thence west to corner of Gault and Corning thence north along Gault street to connect with Main St. Entire distance graveled about 3800 ft. Railroad company does not own the land on which above walk is laid, the same being a public highway. Any other information will be gladly given."

On Feb. 14th the Board wrote Mr. Mohr advising him that Section 1522 of the Code had never been adjudicated. Also stated that:

"The railway company or any other person may build a sidewalk along a public highway and it would then probably become the property of the public, and the public might be bound to keep it in repair. This is only the opinion of the Board and must not be considered a decision with reference to the duties of the company in the premises."

It was also suggested to Mr. Mohr that the matter be taken up with the county board of supervisors.

Des Moines, Iowa, Dec. 1, 1905.

No. 2972—1905.

C. W. TUNNELL, Exline,
vs.

CHICAGO, BURLINGTON & QUINCY
RAILWAY COMPANY.

} *Use of Drake Siding.*

Complaint filed Jan. 18, 1905.

The complainant in this case stated that he desired to use Drake Side track put in by the Mendota Coal Co. for loading his coal, but that they insist on charging him 25 cents per ton for the privilege. He asked the Board whether this was a proper charge. The matter was taken up with the Railway company, and Gen. Superintendent Miller advised the Board that while the side track was the private property of the Mendota Coal Co., the Railway company would try to arrange a satisfactory adjustment of the charges for its use by Mr. Tunnell. Later the Board was advised that a charge of \$2.00 per car had been agreed upon, and this seemed to satisfy Mr. Tunnell.

Des Moines, Iowa, Dec. 1, 1905.

No. 2973—1905.

KEAN & TURNIS, CASCADE,	} <i>Train Service.</i>
vs.	
CHICAGO, MILWAUKEE & ST. PAUL	
RAILWAY COMPANY.	

Complaint filed Jan. 25, 1905.

This was a complaint by live stock shippers that they could not get their stock shipped on account of the railway company failing to move trains. The complaint was filed at a time when all the roads in Iowa were having difficulty in moving trains on account of severe weather, but in a very few days the company had trains into the points along this branch line and the cause for complaint removed.

Des Moines, Iowa, Dec. 1, 1905.

No. 2974—1905.

FARMERS' ELEVATOR COMPANY, ET AL., Lanyon,	} <i>Interstate rates on grain.</i>
vs.	
RAILWAY COMPANIES.	

During the month of February, 1905, the Board received a good many communications from various grain dealers in Iowa complaining that the rates on corn and oats from Iowa stations to the Mississippi river were greater than the rates on the same commodity from Omaha to Baltimore, Maryland. The Board investigated the complaint and found the discrepancies existed by reason of a "rate war" from the west to the Atlantic seaboard and from the west to points on the Gulf.

It also developed that the rates quoted by the complainants from Iowa stations to the Mississippi River were "proportionals" on through shipments.

The Commissioners advised each complainant of the conditions as they found them and there is herewith quoted one of the letters of the Board which explains such conditions:

"Your letter of recent date received and contents carefully noted. Replying I am directed to say: The Board would be glad to render you any assistance within their jurisdiction but they have absolutely no authority under the law to make any part of a through rate. The rate to which you refer as being excessive is undoubtedly the so-called "proportional" of the through rate. This Board has never had anything to do with fixing this "proportional" and can have, under the law, nothing whatever to do with fixing it. The courts have held that any part of an interstate haul is interstate business and is not subject to the jurisdiction of any state authority, except in matter of police regulations.

"The rate fixed by this Board can be made to apply only on shipments beginning and ending in Iowa. The Commissioners' rate on corn, oats, barley, etc., for 217 miles is 9.46 cents per hundred pounds; so you see the Iowa rate is much lower for the same distance than the rate which you quoted the Board.

"The Board has had this matter in hand for some time and believes that it will not be long until all rates will be placed upon an equitable basis and Iowa shippers properly taken care of, so long as the "cut rates" are in effect between Omaha and the east.

"The Commissioners are at all times ready and willing to aid Iowa shippers in any manner they may be able."

Des Moines, Iowa, Dec. 1, 1905.

No. 2975—1905.

JOHN JONES, ELKPORT,

vs.

CHICAGO, MILWAUKEE & ST. PAUL
RAILWAY COMPANY.

} *Obstruction to stream.*

Complaint filed Feb. 1, 1905.

The complainant stated that

"The C. M. & St. P. Ry. passes through my property and crosses the Turkey river at that place. A few years ago the said company drove piling in the shape of a pier in the center of the river which have since always blockaded the ice and driftwood and always floods all the property over the said bridge.

"Now last summer the said company drove piling at both sides of this pier in the center of the river which now blockades the entire river, and what will become of us and our farms is hard to tell."

In answering this complaint the railway company said that it had been necessary to place these temporary bents in order to make some repairs, that they would not be of serious damage to Mr. Jones' property and would be taken out in the spring.

Des Moines, Iowa, Dec. 1, 1905.

No. 2976—1905.

FARMERS' INCORPORATED CO-OPERATIVE
CO., PALMER,

vs.

CHICAGO, ROCK ISLAND & PACIFIC
RAILWAY COMPANY.

} *Elevator site.*

Application filed Feb. 3, 1905.

This was an application by the complainants for a site for elevator and coal sheds. The Commissioners presented the matter to the railway company and a member of the Board personally investigated the situation at Palmer. It was found that there was no more room available on sidetrack for elevator accessible to any street, and the Commissioners suggested to the complainants that the town should open a street in order to have access to any location that could be assigned them. Later, however, the Board was advised that the Farmers Association had purchased an elevator already located, and the case was closed.

Des Moines, Iowa, Dec. 1, 1905.

No. 2977—1905.

CITIZENS OF PLYMOUTH,

vs.

CHICAGO, MILWAUKEE & ST. PAUL
RAILWAY COMPANY.

} *Depot facilities.*

Petition filed Feb. 4, 1905.

The petition in this case was largely signed by business men and others of Plymouth, and was as follows:

"We, the undersigned business men and residents of Plymouth, Iowa, hereby petition your honorable body to ask the C. M. & St. P. Ry. Co. to open their depot at this place

for the accommodation of their patrons who desire to alight from or get on the evening passenger train due in the city at 8:52 p. m., for the following reason:

The waiting room in the depot is locked and people who wait to take the train are compelled to stand in the cold and wait for the train which is sometimes fifteen minutes to an hour late. There are generally from one to five passengers for this train.

Therefore the undersigned petition you to take such action as is necessary in the matter."

The case was taken up with the railway company and arrangements were made whereby the company was to keep the depot opened and warmed for passengers as requested.

Des Moines, Iowa, Dec. 1, 1905.

No. 2978—1905.

M. B. TURNER, OSKALOOSA,

vs.

IOWA CENTRAL AND NEWTON & NORTH-
WESTERN RY. CO.

} *Overcharge.*

Complaint filed Feb. 8, 1905.

This complaint was taken up with the Iowa Central Ry. Co., which resulted in a prompt adjustment of the claim.

Des Moines, Iowa, Dec. 1, 1905.

No. 2979—1905.

GEO. B. JOHNSON, BUFFALO CENTER,

vs.

CHICAGO, ROCK ISLAND & PACIFIC
RAILWAY COMPANY.

} *Failure to furnish cars.*

Complaint filed Feb. 10, 1905.

The complainant stated that he and other shippers were unable to get cars for the shipment of stock, etc.

The Commissioners immediately called the attention of the railway company to the complaint and it transpired that the difficulty was due to the severe weather which had caused the railway company a great deal of trouble in handling trains. Conditions were made better and in a few days it is understood regular service was resumed.

Des Moines, Iowa, Dec. 1, 1905.

No. 2980—1905.

OLSON BROS., SWEA CITY,

vs.

CHICAGO, ROCK ISLAND & PACIFIC
RAILWAY COMPANY.

} *Failure to furnish cars.*

Complaint filed Feb. 11, 1905.

The complainant in this case stated they had difficulty in getting cars for shipment of live stock. The matter was taken up with the railway company and complaint was adjusted.

Des Moines, Iowa, Dec. 1, 1905.

No. 2981—1905.

M. Y. AMES, MARCUS,
vs.

ILLINOIS CENTRAL RAILROAD COMPANY.

} *Time of stock trains into Chicago.*

Complaint filed Feb. 11th, 1905.

The complaint in this case follows:

"The railroad company has been for a long time promising us to get us into Chicago on better time. We have been getting in there too late for market from ten till after market closes or so late we have to take from 10 to 25 cents decline in the market or hold over extra feed and shrinkage. They want to haul more cars than they can haul. Our cattle looks bad being on the cars so long, from 35 to 60 hours, without feed or water and this thing has got to be fixed some way. What is the law? Keep them on the cars more than 28 hours? The R. R. company claims when they get us to Hawthorne where we weigh them they are out of their hands. They bunch their trains all up and being on the west end we have to take the worst of it and have all winter, and then they split up our shipment and unload at two to five different platforms and we can't get the cattle together for a long time after they get to Chicago. Now, I want you to see what can be done about it. They haul us to Ft. Dodge and wait two to three hours. Sometimes they give us a bunk caboose and sometimes not. Most of the time we have a full train out of Marcus, all they ought to haul. I haven't said half yet but will next time if this thing isn't got into shape soon. All we want is to get in Chicago by six or seven o'clock in the morning so we can have a fair chance with the rest of the people."

The Commissioners sent the complaint to the railroad company and on March 29th, Mr. J. T. Harahan, Second Vice-President, replied:

Referring further to your letter of February 14th, in regard to complaint of Mr. M. Y. Ames of Marcus, Iowa, this matter has been gone into very thoroughly and I am unable to confirm the statements made by this gentleman.

"Our records show that we have not held stock at Fort Dodge two or three hours. It is sometimes held 45 minutes or an hour in order to consolidate it with other stock, as in this way you will readily appreciate better time can be made than if two trains were run, filling out to some extent with less important freight. In no instance has the holding of stock in this manner resulted in poor movement or late deliveries at the yards.

"Mr. Ames has been interviewed personally by our representative, but made only general statements and cited no specific instances, the burden of his complaint being delays getting into stock yards proper, a matter which, of course, is not under our control.

We appreciate that our interests in the matter are identical with those of shippers and our people are doing and will continue to do everything in our power to render them satisfactory service. There are, however, as you of course readily understand, occasions when, due to causes over which we have no control, emergencies will arise in the operation of a railroad, which makes it impossible to handle these matters as would be desired by all concerned, but we are giving them preferred attention and do not consider our record unfavorable."

Copy of the foregoing was sent to Mr. Ames and nothing further has been heard from him.

Des Moines, Iowa, Dec. 1, 1905.

No. 2982—1905.

W. M. TONG, BOONE,

vs.

NEWTON & NORTHWESTERN RD. CO.

} *Improper operation of road.*

Complaint filed Feb. 13, 1905.

The complaint in this case was as follows:

Boone, Iowa, February 10, 1905.

To the Board of Railroad Commissioners of Iowa:

"Your honorable body, I wish to call your attention to the Newton & Northwestern R. R. I think it your duty to investigate the manner in which that company handle that road, also the way they use the men. They have some engines that are not safe for men to work with. I believe it is the duty for the proper authority to investigate for the safety of men. I worked a short time for them as engineer.

Yours respectfully,

W. M. Tong.

The complaint was taken up at once with the Railway Company and Mr. J. L. Blake, General Manager, wrote the Board on February 20th, as follows:

"I beg to acknowledge receipt of your esteemed favor of the 14th addressed to Hamilton Browne in reference to an imaginary condition that Mr. W. M. Tong saw fit to write up. To begin with I have only to say to you that Mr. Tong was an old man and was unable during the cold weather to do an able-bodied man's work and as he had only been with us a week or ten days we were compelled to dispense with his services. This seemed to enrage the old gentleman and he made threats as to what he would do, and so on, etc., and I assume that this in one of the methods pursued by him in order to make us some trouble.

"I assure you that we are in good shape, our line was cleared of snow Thursday of last week and all trains run on schedule time early Friday; we delivered coal to every station on the line on Friday that was short of coal, and our line was as clean as a whistle Saturday.

I think you will admit that a line is in fairly good shape that can truthfully state the above.

What I assume Mr. Tong had reference to was that during the severe cold our engine 8 leaked steam more or less on account more particularly of the severe cold weather, but no more than is the case with all the other lines and only on Saturday last at Marshalltown I saw a nice new big compound engine there that you could not see the engine for steam after the throttle was open and Saturday was a mild day. We, of course will have this engine in much better shape in a few days now; in fact she does not show the leaking of steam so much except in extreme cold weather, but I assure you that you have no cause for alarm at all. This is simply the outcome of discharging a man who was unable to perform all the duties assigned to him and as I have already said to you he is disgruntled."

The Commissioners sent a copy of Mr. Blake's letter to the complainant, but all letters sent to him were returned to the Board unopened.

Des Moines, Iowa, Dec. 1, 1905.

No. 2983—1905.

DAVIS BROTHERS, KEOTA,

vs.

CHICAGO, ROCK ISLAND & PACIFIC
RAILWAY COMPANY.

} *Failure to furnish cars.*

Complaint filed Feb. 15th, 1905.

This complaint was an urgent appeal for the assistance of the Board in having coal shipped into Keota. The complainants stated that the town was

entirely out of coal, that there would soon be much suffering, that they had had two cars of coal on the road, one since January 26th and one since February 6th. The Board at once called the attention of the company to this condition of affairs and on March 9th they received a letter from the complainants thanking the Commission for its aid and stating that their coal had come and that was what they wanted.

Des Moines, Iowa, Dec. 1, 1905.

No. 2984—1905.

AUGUST LAU & SONS, KLEMME,	}	<i>Failure to furnish cars.</i>
vs.		
CHICAGO, ROCK ISLAND & PACIFIC RAILWAY COMPANY.		

Complaint filed Feb. 17, 1905.

This complaint was similar to others received during this period of severe weather. The companies furnished the cars as soon as possible.

Des Moines, Iowa, Dec. 1, 1905.

No. 2985—1905.

CHARLES A. ROSS, GRAY,	}	<i>Excessive rates-interstate.</i>
vs.		
CHICAGO & NORTHWESTERN RAILWAY COMPANY.		

Complaint filed Feb. 17, 1905.

The complainant in this case thought that the rate on emigrant movables from his town to Bonesteel, S. D., was too high. The Board laid the matter before the railway for such action as it would be pleased to take therein.

Des Moines, Iowa, Dec. 1, 1905.

No. 2986—1905.

W. J. ROBERTSON, FARMINGTON,	}	<i>Minimum weight on hay shipments.</i>
vs.		
CHICAGO, BURLINGTON & QUINCY RAILWAY COMPANY.		

Complaint filed Feb. 18, 1905.

The complainant stated that he could not get forty foot cars for shipments of hay to St. Louis, and he could not load the minimum in the small cars given him. The matter was taken up with the railway company and the complaint was adjusted.

Des Moines, Iowa, Dec. 1, 1905.

No. 2987—1905.

SLOAN ELEVATOR CO., SLOAN,
vs.
CHICAGO & NORTHWESTERN RAILWAY
COMPANY. } *Failure to furnish cars.*

Complaint filed Feb. 21, 1905.

This complaint recited that the elevator company was unable to obtain cars for shipment to Council Bluffs.

The matter was taken up with the railway company and adjusted.

Des Moines, Iowa, Dec. 1, 1905.

No. 2988—1905.

TOWN OF MYSTIC,
vs.
CHICAGO, MILWAUKEE & ST. PAUL
RAILWAY COMPANY. } *Dangerous street crossing.*

Complaint filed Feb. 23, 1905.

Mr. R. J. Oughton, City Clerk, of Mystic, asked that a flagman be required to protect the main crossing in their town. The matter was taken up with the railway company. Correspondence passed between the Board, the railway company and the town authorities of Mystic. Finally the company opened another street crossing their tracks, that was more convenient for the people of Mystic, and obviated the danger complained of at the old crossing.

Des Moines, Iowa, Dec. 1, 1905.

No. 2989—1905.

D. B. ZUCK, Dallas Center,
vs.
MINNEAPOLIS & ST. LOUIS RAILWAY
COMPANY. } *Failure to furnish cars.*

Complaint filed Feb. 23, 1905.

The complainant in this case stated that he had been waiting for two weeks to get cars for shipment of stock and asked the assistance of the Commissioners. The Board telegraphed the company at once and the cars were provided for the complainant.

Des Moines, Iowa, Dec. 1, 1905.

No. 2990—1905.

CITIZENS OF DECORAH,
vs.
CHICAGO, ROCK ISLAND & PACIFIC
RAILWAY COMPANY. } *Train service.*

Complaint filed February 23, 1905.

This complaint was occasioned by the snow blockades and severe weather which resulted in delays and abandonment of the service on the Decorah

branch of the Rock Island. The matter was taken up at once with the railway company and extra efforts were made to open the tracks for business. On Feb. 27th the Board received word from the complainants thanking the Commissioners for their prompt action in their behalf.

Des Moines, Iowa, Dec. 1, 1905.

No. 2991—1905.

N. R. CLIFT, ZEARING,
vs.
IOWA CENTRAL RY. CO. } *Overcharge.*

Complaint filed Feb. 23, 1905.

The complainant in this case stated that the Iowa Central charged him 22 cents for car rate on wire fencing and staples from the Joliet mills, while other towns on their road got a much less rate.

While the Commissioners did not assume jurisdiction in this case, it being interstate, yet the complaint was sent to Mr. J. N. Tittamore of the Iowa Central who stated on March 9h that their rate was 16 cents and he did not understand how Mr. Clift got the idea that the rate was 22 cents. He asked that Mr. Clift send in his claim for overcharge when it would be given careful consideration.

Mr. Clift did so and the claim was promptly adjusted, the overcharge having arisen on account of oversight of agent.

Des Moines, Iowa, Dec. 1, 1905.

No. 2992—1905.

M. O. SKUTLE, GUTHRIE CENTER,
vs.
CHICAGO, ROCK ISLAND & PACIFIC } *Lost in transit.*
RAILWAY COMPANY.

Complaint filed Feb. 24, 1905.

This complaint was taken up with the railway company and on March 10, the Commissioners were advised that the goods, car of salt, had been delivered.

Des Moines, Iowa, Dec. 1, 1905.

No. 2993—1905.

D. H. PEPPER, DOWS,
vs.
CHICAGO, ROCK ISLAND & PACIFIC } *Claim for loss in transit.*
RAILWAY COMPANY.

Complaint filed Feb. 25, 1905.

The complainant in this case stated that he was to a loss of \$20.00 on account of shrinkage, due to the time and expense in holding a car of cattle

from Jan. 21, to Feb. 6th, waiting for shipment to Chicago. This was a matter that the Board could not exercise jurisdiction in and the complainant was so advised.

Des Moines, Iowa, Dec. 1, 1905.

No. 2994—1905.

W. L. BROWN & SON, WEST BEND.	}	<i>Failure to furnish cars.</i>
VS.		
CHICAGO, ROCK ISLAND & PACIFIC RAILWAY COMPANY.		

Complaint filed Feb. 27, 1905.

The complainants in this case stated that they were unable to get cars for the shipment of stock, etc. The company answered this complaint by stating that owing to the severe weather and storms they had been greatly handicapped, but hoped soon to be able to furnish necessary service promptly.

On March 16th the complainants stated that conditions were much better and thanked the Board for its action.

Des Moines, Iowa, Dec. 1, 1905.

No. 2995—1905.

SOUERS & LANGDON, GRUNDY CENTER	}	<i>Delay in shipment of coal.</i>
VS.		
CHICAGO, ROCK ISLAND & PACIFIC RAILWAY COMPANY.		

Complaint filed Feb. 28, 1905.

The complainants stated that it seemed that they were being discriminated in the matter of coal shipments, that, whereas other shippers could get coal they could not, that there had been coal sent to them since early in Feb., which they had not as yet received. The matter was taken up at once with the Rock Island Co. with the suggestion that such measures be taken at once that would hurry shipments to complainants. The railway company answering said that no discrimination was being practiced against the complaining firm that the company was having a great deal of trouble along this line, but it was believed that the complainants would have no more cause for complaint. Messrs. Souers & Langdon were advised of the statement of the company, and no further statement has reached the Board from that firm.

Des Moines, Iowa, Dec. 1, 1905.

No. 2996—1905.

D. B. STERLING, ET AL., BUFFALO CENTER.	}	<i>Failure to furnish cars.</i>
VS.		
CHICAGO, ROCK ISLAND & PACIFIC RAILWAY COMPANY.		

Complaint filed March 1, 1905.

This was a complaint that the company had failed to furnish cars for shipment of stock. The matter was taken up with the railway company and cars were furnished.

Des Moines, Iowa, Dec. 1, 1905.

No. 2997—1905.

CITIZENS OF THOMPSON,
vs.

CHICAGO, ROCK ISLAND & PACIFIC
RAILWAY COMPANY.

} *Failure to furnish cars.*

Complaint filed March 3, 1905.

The complainants in this case said that their shippers were unable to get cars for shipment of cattle or produce of any kind and asked that the Board take some action. The Commissioners immediately laid the complaint before the officials of the railway company and on March 8, Mr. Carrol Wright, attorney, wrote the Board that they were having much difficulty on the Dakota division, but the conditions were improving, and would soon be normal. On March 17th Mr. A. B. Larsen for the petitioners, wrote the Board stating that service was much improved, and thanking the Commission for its action.

Des Moines, Iowa, Dec. 1, 1905.

No. 2998—1905.

J. E. DAVIS, COIN,
vs.

CHICAGO, BURLINGTON & QUINCY
RAILWAY COMPANY.

} *Use of siding No. 434.*

Complaint filed March 6, 1905.

The complainant stated that he had ordered cars for stock to be loaded at siding No. 434, but that the company refused to let him load at that point. The case was presented to the railroad company and on March 18th Mr. Henry Miller wrote the Board stating that:

"Siding 434 was put in under an arrangement with Mr. Lingo who owns the property adjoining, and it appears that he and Mr. Davis have had some misunderstanding, and Mr. Lingo objects to the use of his property by Mr. Davis. Under the circumstances it is not clear how we can insist on Mr. Davis being allowed the use of siding 434 however much we would like to do so in order to control the business."

Mr. Davis was advised of what Mr. Miller said and on June 16th sent the Board a sketch showing the location of the switch and stock pen to the public highway, also showing the switch to the adjoining land and that it was not necessary to use anything but railway property to get to the switch.

Considerable correspondence was had by the Board with the railway company, which finally resulted in the adjustment of the matter satisfactory to the complainant.

Des Moines, Iowa, Dec. 1, 1905.

No. 2999—1905.

CLINTON GRAIN COMPANY, CRYSTAL LAKE,
vs.
CHICAGO, ROCK ISLAND & PACIFIC
RAILWAY COMPANY.

} *Failure to furnish cars.*

Complaint filed March 6, 1905.

This was a letter dated February 27th, sent to a member of the Board inquiring what they could do to induce the railway company to furnish cars for Chi-

cago. This complaint was one of many received during this period on account of the severe weather and storms and the railway company soon had the situation well in hand.

Des Moines, Iowa, Dec. 1, 1905.

No. 3000—1905.

MANVILLE & WARD, OCHEYDAN,	}	<i>Failure to furnish cars.</i>
CHICAGO, ROCK ISLAND & PACIFIC		
RAILWAY COMPANY.		

Complaint filed March 6, 1905.

Complainants stated that they could not obtain cars for shipment of live stock.

The attention of the company was called to the case and on March 18th the Board received word from the complainants that their wants were being supplied.

Des Moines, Iowa, Dec. 1, 1905.

No. 3001—1905.

F. R. CAMPBELL, SHEFFIELD,	}	<i>Damages.</i>
IOWA CENTRAL RY. CO.		

Complaint filed March 7, 1905.

Complainant stated that the agent refused to sell him Homeseekers' tickets to Groton, South Dakota, claiming that he didn't have time to prepare them so that he had to telegraph to Rockwell for the tickets and had to pay extra fare and for telegraphing, etc. He stated that he asked for the tickets an hour before train time and thought he should have \$2.00 damages. The Commissioners called the attention of the company to this case and Mr. L. F. Day, General Manager, on April 11th, said:

With reference to your favor of the 15th ult. regarding complaint of Mr. F. R. Campbell, Sheffield, Iowa. The matter has been thoroughly investigated and I beg to advise that our information is that this gentleman called for his tickets at 11:40 a. m., 25 minutes before the train on which he was to depart was due at the station, the agent at that time being engaged in making out other homeseekers' tickets for Devils Lake, North Dakota, and with other necessary work at the station he knew that he did not have sufficient time in which to prepare the tickets to Groton and so advised Mr. Campbell. He then telephoned to Rockwell and had the tickets prepared at that station. The only expense Mr. Campbell was put to in this connection was his railroad fare of \$.20 per ticket, which we will gladly refund on return of the receipts to us. Mr. Campbell was put to no expense as nothing was charged him for the use of the telephone in ordering his tickets from Rockwell. We regret, however, that Mr. Campbell was put to the slightest inconvenience, but you of course are aware that homeseeker's tickets take some little time to prepare, and it is desirable to give the agent as long notice in advance as possible, so that the tickets may be ready upon arrival."

Mr. Campbell was advised of Mr. Day's letter and on May 16th he wrote the Board stating that he had called for the tickets at 10:45 A. M., that he did

not use the railroad telephone, and that they knew that the parties for Devil's Lake bought their tickets the night before and went on the 3:30 A. M. train. The Board again wrote General Manager Day who stated in reply that the company was ready to reimburse Mr. Campbell for any loss he had sustained.

Des Moines, Iowa, Dec. 1, 1905.

No. 3002—1905.

C. G. FINLAYSON, ARMSTRONG,	}	<i>Failure to furnish cars.</i>
vs.		
CHICAGO, ROCK ISLAND & PACIFIC RAILWAY COMPANY.		

Complaint filed March 7, 1905.

Complainant stated that in shipping live stock he had been greatly handicapped by the failure of the railway company to furnish cars. The case was laid before the officials of the railway company with the request that the needs of the shippers at Armstrong be supplied and on March 17th, 1905, the complainant advised the Board that conditions were now satisfactory.

Des Moines, Iowa, Dec. 1, 1905.

No. 3003—1905.

GLUCOSE SUGAR REFINING CO., CHICAGO,	}	<i>Discrimination in grain rates against Davenport.</i>
vs.		
RAILWAY COMPANIES.		

Complaint filed March 11, 1905.

The complainants in this case stated that their plant at Davenport, Iowa, was at great disadvantage on account of great discrimination against that point on corn rates. The matter was taken up with the companies and on March 18th the Glucose Company wrote the Board stating that:

"Through your good offices the conditions at Davenport have been adjusted to our entire satisfaction. We wish to thank you for the courteous and prompt attention which you gave to our complaint, and assure you that in this instance your commission has wielded a great influence towards protecting your industries."

Des Moines, Iowa, Dec. 1, 1905.

No. 3004—1905.

OSCAR KELSEY, LOVELAND,	}	<i>Telephone across right of way.</i>
vs.		
ILLINOIS CENTRAL RAILROAD COMPANY.		

Complaint filed March 13, 1905.

The complainant stated that an association of farmers and others constructing rural telephone line desired to cross the Ill. Cent. Ry. Co. at a

public crossing with their wires 27 feet and 9 inches from the top of the rail. That they run their wires over the right of way of the company but that the employes of the company cut the wires, that then they had gone upon private property and taken their wires under the Iron bridge following the Boyer river; that the railway companies' employes again cut the wires. The matter was taken up with the railway company and was adjusted satisfactorily.

Des Moines, Iowa, Dec. 1, 1905.

No. 3005—1905.

WESLEY ELEVATOR CO., RADCLIFFE,	}	<i>Failure to furnish cars.</i>
vs.		
CHICAGO, MILWAUKEE & ST. PAUL		
RAILWAY COMPANY.		

This was a complaint of shortage of cars on the C. M. & St. P. Ry. Co., at the stations of Wesley, Sexton and Hutchins.

The matter was taken up with the railway company, and at a later date the complainants advised the Board that they were getting the cars that they needed, and thanked the Commissioners for their action.

Des Moines, Iowa, Dec. 1, 1905.

No. 3006—1905.

In the matter of condemnation proceedings by the C. M. & St. P. Ry. Co. upon land in Shelby county.

Petition filed March 16, 1905.

This was a petition in the regular way asking the Board to grant the railway company right to condemn certain lands therein specified for railroad purposes. The Commissioners set a date for hearing but before the time so fixed they were advised by the railway company that land had been purchased so that there was no necessity for further action by the Board.

Des Moines, Iowa, Dec. 1, 1905.

No. 3007—1905.

W. S. BROWN, MANSON,	}	<i>Overcharge.</i>
vs.		
ILLINOIS CENTRAL RAILROAD COMPANY.		

Complaint filed March 16th, 1905.

This was a claim for overcharge on account of the railway company, as alleged, charging freight rates on more coal than was contained in the car. This complaint concerned an interstate shipment and while the Board did not assume jurisdiction, as is usual in such cases, the Commissioners made an effort to have the claims adjusted and on May 4th, 1905, Mr. Brown thanked the Board for the assistance in getting his claim satisfactorily settled.

Des Moines, Iowa, Dec. 1, 1905.

No. 3008—1905.

JAMES PARSONS, GALT,
vs.
CHICAGO, ROCK ISLAND & PACIFIC
RAILWAY COMPANY. } *Street crossing.*

Complaint filed March 17, 1905.

In this matter the complainant wrote the Board stating that the town of Galt wanted another crossing, that the one crossing they had was inconvenient and dangerous.

The complaint was sent the railway company, but it transpired that at the point where the people desired the crossing no street or highway had been established across the company's right of way. The complainant was advised that the County Board of Supervisors or other proper tribunal must establish the highest before the railroad commissioners could take cognizance of the case.

Des Moines, Iowa, Dec. 1, 1905.

No. 3009—1905.

A. T. HOUGH, Newton,
vs.
NEWTON & NORTHWESTERN RAILWAY
COMPANY. } *Drainage.*

Complaint filed March 18, 1905.

The complainant stated that the respondent railway company had opened a cut which allows the water to run into his cornfield, to his great damage.

The complaint was taken up with the railway company and as a result such changes were made as removed the cause for complaint.

Des Moines, Iowa, Dec. 1, 1905.

No. 3010—1905.
CITIZENS OF RHODES,
vs.
CHICAGO, MILWAUKEE & ST. PAUL
RAILWAY COMPANY. } *Train service.*

Petition filed March 20, 1905.

The petitioners in this case said that

"At the present time we have only one train going east; that leaves at 1:39 p. m. We would be pleased to have this place put on as a flag station for No. 6 due here at 11:41 p. m. going east. No. 6 stops at Collins and Maxwell, Iowa, the two first places west of Rhodes, Iowa, and at Melbourne, Iowa, the first station east. This town has a population of 500. The C. M. & St. P. keep a night man here all the time and also have a water tank here."

The matter was taken up with the railway company which stated that they could not stop the train as requested on signal, as it was one of their heavy through trains and could not make local stops and accomplish the through time required.

In closing this case the Board called the attention of the petitioners to the report of the Board for 1900 Page 389, quoting decision of the United States Supreme Court which denied the right of the state, or a state commission to interfere with the operation of through passenger trains.

Des Moines, Iowa, Dec. 1, 1905.

No. 3011—1905.

W. O. RICE, OMAHA, NEBR.,

vs.

CHICAGO, ROCK ISLAND & PACIFIC
RAILWAY COMPANY.

} *Excess baggage rates.*

Complaint filed March 24, 1905.

The complaint in this case was as follows:

"I wish to enter complaint to you against the night baggage man of the union station, Keokuk, Iowa. I buy the 2,000 mile interchangeable mileage book, good on all roads in the state, and come to checking my baggage from Keokuk to Davenport, presenting my mileage as my credential and paying cash excess on my baggage, he refuses to check it through for me, and will only check it to West Liberty, thereby making me lose the close connection and lay over in the night two hours, and paying double excess. I do not think this is justice and this man is very arbitrary in the matter, and I would like to have you look into the matter."

The case was taken up at once with the railway company, and Mr. Carroll Wright for the company said in a letter dated March 27:

"Referring to the complaint of W. O. Rice, copy of which you forwarded me on the 24th, I wish you would ask the complainant to advise you the circumstances under which he asked that his baggage be checked from Keokuk to Davenport. I have an impression that Mr. Rice was intending to take passage himself only to West Liberty. I cannot understand how he failed to have his baggage checked to Davenport if he presented a baggage coupon which was provided for in the mileage strip entitling him to have it so checked. If Mr. Rice will give you further information I will make investigation and ascertain all of the facts."

A copy of Mr. Wright's letter was sent to the complainant who replied stating that the trouble arose over the arbitrary actions of the baggage man at Keokuk. It then transpired that the party complained of is an agent of the Union Depot company and not under the control of the Rock Island, but that the Rock Island company had endeavored to secure a change of rules of the Union Depot that would permit handling of baggage as Mr. Rice requested. Mr. Rice was informed of the conditions and the case was closed without prejudice.

Des Moines, Iowa, Dec. 1, 1905.

No. 3012—1905.

SAC CITY MILLING CO., SAC CITY,

vs.

CHICAGO & NORTHWESTERN RAILWAY
COMPANY.

} *Excessive rate-interstate.*

Complaint filed March 25, 1905.

This matter was taken up with the railway company, and the Board is advised, was adjusted.

Des Moines, Iowa, Dec. 1, 1905.

No. 3013—1905.

J. R. MCKEE, EAST PERU,
 VS.
 CHICAGO GREAT WESTERN RAILWAY
 COMPANY. } *Drainage.*

Complaint filed March 28, 1905.

The complainant stated that on account of the water tank being in bad repair water ran over his land to his damage. The attention of the railway company was called to his complaint and proper repairs were made.

Des Moines, Iowa, Dec. 1, 1905.

No. 3014—1905.

T. W. PRINDLE, STEAMBOAT ROCK,
 VS. } *Fire set by engine.*
 IOWA CENTRAL RY. Co.

Complaint filed April 5, 1905.

This was a claim of \$30.00 on account of loss of 30 loads of manure which was burned by fire starting from a locomotive of the railway company. The railway company responding said that they had no record of any claim of that kind but that they would look into the matter.

Later the Board was informed that the claim was adjusted and the case closed.

Des Moines, Iowa, Dec. 1, 1905.

No. 3015—1905.

CITIZENS OF OKOBOJI,
 VS. } *Opening station.*
 CHICAGO, MILWAUKEE & ST. PAUL
 RAILWAY COMPANY.

Complaint filed April 10, 1905.

People interested in Okoboji station, a summer resort in northern Iowa, requested the Board to induce, if possible, the railway company to open its station by May 15th rather than wait until June 15th as was customary. The request of the people was presented to the company and was complied with under protest.

Des Moines, Iowa, Dec. 1, 1905.

No. 3016—1905.

In reference to delay in stock shipments at Oelwein on the Chicago Great Western.

In 1905 several complaints were made to the Board that live stock was unduly delayed at Oelwein en-route to market. The Commissioners took the

case up with the railway company which resulted in orders being issued by the management that resulted, so far as the commission has been able to ascertain, in bettering the services so that no further complaints have been made to the Board.

Des Moines, Iowa, Dec. 1, 1905.

No. 3017—1905.

CHARLES I. REIGARD, SPIRIT LAKE,
VS.
CHICAGO, ROCK ISLAND & PACIFIC
RAILWAY COMPANY.

Under-grade farm crossing.

Complaint filed April 13, 1905.

The complainant in this case stated that a certain client of his desired an under-grade crossing about fifty rods east of the west line of the east half of section 31 township 100 range 35 in Dickinson County. In answer to this complaint the railway company objected to placing such a crossing on the farm for the reason they had already built a permanent stone culvert for drainage purposes that was adequate for the purpose; and also that the cost would be something like \$700 for an opening six feet wide by 6 feet high, and that as the complainant's farm buildings were located there was no reasonable demand for such a crossing. Mr. Reigard was advised of the answer of the company, but did not seem to care to carry the matter further before the Board.

Des Moines, Iowa, Dec. 1, 1905.

No. 3018—1905.

E. T. JACKSON, LATTY,
VS.
CHICAGO, ROCK ISLAND & PACIFIC
RAILWAY COMPANY.

Rebuilding of depot.

Complaint filed April 20, 1905.

The complainant stated that the depot at Latty had been burned three weeks previous to the filing of his complaint, and that the company seemed to have no intention of rebuilding it; that the people who desired to take trains had to stand out in all sorts of weather waiting, and the people there desired some means of shelter.

The attention of the company was called to Mr. Jackson's complaint, and in answer stated that they would provide a box-car to take care of the passengers until a new station could be built, which it was their intention to do at an early date.

Des Moines, Iowa, Dec. 1, 1905.

No. 3019—1905.

WILLIAM MARTENS, CHURCHVILLE,
 vs.
 CHICAGO GREAT WESTERN RAILWAY
 COMPANY. } *Farm crossing-undergrade.*

Complaint filed April 21, 1905.

The complainant in this case called at the office of the Board and made the following statement:

"That he owns about 700 acres of land in Warren county through which the C. G. W. railroad runs from north to south, dividing the same into two separate tracts, about 280 acres being on the west side of the right of way and about 470 acres on the east side thereof; that all of his buildings and improvements are located on the east side of said railroad; that he has, on an average, the year around, 150 head of cattle, 200 head of hogs and 25 head of horses; that he wishes to use some of the tract on the west side of the track for pasturage, and he requests that an under crossing be made for him at some reasonable and convenient point to both the company and himself.

"Further, Mr. Martens says that he has two grade crossings, but that the gates to same are so heavy and poor that boys cannot open and close them at all, and that they are very hard for even a man to operate; that the right of way fence is not in good repair and does not turn stock."

The complaint was sent to the railway company, and after some correspondence had been had the Board was advised on May 31, by General Atty. A. G. Briggs, that the company had made an adjustment that was satisfactory to the complainant. Mr. Martens was asked to advise the Board whether the case could now be closed. Not hearing anything from him it is presumed the adjustment made was satisfactory.

Des Moines, Iowa, Dec. 1, 1905.

No. 3020—1905.

ROBERT CAMPBELL, MARTINSBURG,
 vs.
 IOWA CENTRAL RY. Co. } *Right of way fence.*

Complaint filed April 21, 1905.

The complainant stated that the right of way fence through his farm was in bad condition and he desired to have it repaired. The case was called to the attention of the railway company and the railway company assured the Board that the fence would be placed in proper condition.

Des Moines, Iowa, Dec. 1, 1905.

No. 3021—1905.

GEO. HOLLAND, KELLEY,
 vs.
 NEWTON & NORTHWESTERN RAILWAY
 COMPANY. } *Farm crossing.*

Complaint filed April 21, 1905.

The complainant stated that he had a contract with the railway company for a certain farm crossing which the company had failed to provide him.

The Commissioners called the attention of the company to the complaint and on April 22 General Manager Blake wrote the Board that they would provide him one crossing, and if he insisted on the terms of the contract, would provide him more.

Des Moines, Iowa, Dec. 1, 1905.

No. 3022—1905.

CITY OF IOWA FALLS,
vs.
ILLINOIS CENTRAL RAILROAD COMPANY. } *Flagman at crossing.*

Complaint filed April 22, 1905.

Hon. J. H. Funk, Mayor, wrote the Board by direction of the City Council asking that the Illinois Central Railroad Company be ordered to put in gates at the crossing of Rocksylvania street. Mr. Funk stated that this crossing was very dangerous on account of the trains hidden from view until teams would be on the crossing.

The complaint was laid before the officials of the railroad company and flagman was provided for the crossing. This adjustment was satisfactory to the city.

Des Moines, Iowa, Dec. 1, 1905.

No. 3023—1905.

FRED HENNING, NEWTON,
vs.
NEWTON & NORTHWESTERN RAILWAY } *Drainage.*
COMPANY.

Complaint filed April 25, 1905.

This complaint referred to insufficiency of a ditch for drainage purposes. The railway company adjusted the case to the satisfaction of the complainant. Des Moines, Iowa, Dec. 1, 1905.

No. 3024—1905.

GEORGE S. WILSON, BERLIN,
vs.
CHICAGO GREAT WESTERN RAILWAY } *Damage to goods in transit.*
COMPANY.

Complaint filed April 27, 1905.

This was claim for damage on account of breaking of discs. The complainant stated that he put in a bill for the price of the castings, also for loss of time, two dollars a day for four days, or \$8.00, and stated that the company had asked him to withdraw the \$8.00 claim, but he had refused to do so. The matter was taken up with the railway company which stated that while it was

ready to pay for the damages done shipment, they refused to allow the claim for loss of time. The Board advised the claimant that they could do nothing more for him in the matter and advised him that his proper remedy was in the court.

Des Moines, Iowa, Dec. 1, 1905.

No. 3025—1905.

E. S. WELCH, SHENANDOAH,
vs.

CHICAGO, BURLINGTON & QUINCY
RAILWAY COMPANY.

Minimum weight on shingle shavings.

Complaint filed April 27, 1905.

The complainant stated that he had shipped from various Iowa mills carloads of saw dust and shavings in bulk, he stated that the companies applied a 30,000 lbs. minimum on such cars in Iowa while on interstate shipments but 24,000 lbs. was used as a minimum. The matter was taken up with the railway company with the advice that no greater minimum should be applied on shingle shavings in bulk than were applied to such commodities in packages, which remedied the trouble.

Des Moines, Iowa, Dec. 1, 1905.

No. 3026—1905.

CITIZENS OF JASPER Co.,
vs.

NEWTON & NORTHWESTERN RAILWAY Co.,

Dangerous highway crossing.

Petition filed April 28, 1905.

The petitioners stated that the Newton & Northwestern railway company had failed to provide a proper crossing for the highway running east and west through the center of the North one-half Section 23-80-19, connecting with West Main Street in Newton. The Board placed the case before the railway company and the company very promptly fixed the crossing so that all complaints were satisfied.

Des Moines, Iowa, Dec. 1, 1905.

No. 3027—1905.

ALFRED HURST & Co., MAQUOKETA,
vs.

CHICAGO, ROCK ISLAND & PACIFIC
RAILWAY COMPANY.

Overcharge.

Complaint filed April 29, 1905.

The complainant in this case stated that they had been charged for shipments via longer lines than the shipments should have gone over, in other words that the freight charges should be based on the shortest line available.

The complaint was laid before the officials of the railway company and the claims were promptly adjusted.

Des Moines, Iowa, Dec. 1, 1905.

No. 3028—1905.

THOS. J. PETERSON, TITONKA,
 VS.
 CHICAGO, ROCK ISLAND & PACIFIC
 RAILWAY COMPANY. } *Damage to hay in transit.*

Complaint filed May 1, 1905.

This was more in the nature of an inquiry asking the Board whether the complainant could recover from the railway company for damages to hay in transit on account of delay in shipment.

The Board advised Mr. Peterson that it had no jurisdiction in such a case, but would present the matter to the railway company trusting that it would result in an adjustment for him. The railway company responded that the claim of Mr. Peterson would be looked into at once, and that if they were liable to him proper adjustment would be made.

Des Moines, Iowa, Dec. 1, 1905.

No. 3029—1905.

FARMERS' ELEVATOR CO., INWOOD,
 VS.
 CHICAGO, MILWAUKEE & ST. PAUL
 RAILWAY COMPANY. } *Site for elevator.*

Complaint filed May 1, 1905.

This was an application by the Farmers' Elevator Company for site for elevator at Inwood, which was immediately taken up with the railway company. On June 17th the Board was advised that the Farmers' Elevator Company had purchased an elevator already constructed so no further action on the part of the Commission was necessary.

Des Moines, Iowa, Dec. 1, 1905.

No. 3030—1905.

BOONE CEREAL CO., BOONE,
 VS.
 CHICAGO & NORTHWESTERN, CHI-
 CAGO, MILWAUKEE & ST. PAUL
 AND NEWTON & NORTHWESTERN
 RAILWAY COMPANIES. } *Y connections.*

Inquiry filed May 3, 1905.

The Boone Cereal Co. inquired of the Board how they might proceed to have the Newton & Northwestern railway company connect with the C. & N. W. and the C. M. & St. P. Ry. Co. so that they might ship goods from their mill located on the C. & N. W. Ry. Co. and the C. M. & St. P. Ry. Co.'s tracks. The Commissioners informed them of the law and also made investigation into the situation at Boone. It was found that the track of the Newton

& Northwestern crossed both the other railroads overhead, and that the expense necessary to make such a "Y" would be so great that it would not be justified by the amount of business to be transferred at this time.

Des Moines, Iowa, Dec. 1, 1905.

No. 3031—1905.

L. R. FRITZ, ADAZA,

VS.

CHICAGO, MILWAUKEE & ST. PAUL
RAILWAY COMPANY.

} *Site for coal-house.*

Complaint filed May 8, 1905.

Complainant desired a location on side track for coal house and a designated place for unloading tile. The case was taken up at once with the officials of the railway company and on July 11th, 1905, the complainant, thanking the Board for its assistance, withdrew his complaint.

Des Moines, Iowa, Dec. 1, 1905.

No. 3032—1905.

WALLER BROS., CHARLES CITY,

VS.

* * * * *

} *Live stock rates.*

Complaint filed May 15, 1905.

The complainant stated that they had looked over the commissioners classification and found that the rate on hog shipments from Charles City to Mason City should be 5.08 cents per cwt. while the company was charging them 7.33 cents per cwt. The commissioners advised Waller Bros. that the distance from Charles City to Mason City was 27 miles, which would take a thirty mile rate, 7.33 cents per hundred pounds on hogs. From a subsequent letter received from Waller Brothers it appeared that they had referred to a lettered class rate rather than a hog rate. The matter was explained to Waller Brothers and no further communication was received from them.

Des Moines, Iowa, Dec. 1, 1905.

No. 3033—1905.

MOORE & WOOLENBERG, ARION.

VS.

CHICAGO, MILWAUKEE & ST. PAUL
RAILWAY COMPANY.

} *Switch to private property.*

Complaint filed May 17, 1905.

The Arion Milling Co., (Moore & Woolenberg), desired that the C. M. & St. P. Ry. Co. construct to their milling company a spur track, but stated that the company would not do so without the payment to them of \$350. The Commissioners laid the case before the railway company which resulted in a more

liberal proposition from the railway company, so that the amount expended for the side track would eventually be refunded to the milling company. The complainants were advised of the proposition made by the railway company, and the Commissioners stated that "We are of the opinion that it would not be fair to require the railway company to build a switch to your mill without more consideration, if what they say about your not having furnished any business for their line is true."

Des Moines, Iowa, Dec. 1, 1905.

No. 3034—1905.

U. L. PATTON, MANNING,

vs.

CHICAGO GREAT WESTERN RAILWAY
COMPANY.

} *Feeding and watering live
stock in transit.*

Complaint filed May 19, 1905.

The complaint in this case stated that the respondent railway company on shipment of live stock to Chicago charged him \$5.00 for stopping to feed and water and he objected to this charge stating that other companies did not make it.

The complaint was laid before the railway company with request that it be given such attention as it seemed to deserve. The reply was made that their rules in this respect were identical with the rules of all other companies. They also stated that they would have a representative of the company call on Mr. Patton and explain the matter fully.

Des Moines, Iowa, Dec. 1, 1905.

No. 3035—1905.

CITIZENS OF KEOSAUQUA, ET AL.,

CHICAGO, ROCK ISLAND & PACIFIC
RAILWAY COMPANY.

} *Train service.*

Filed May 20, 1905.

Mr. George F. Smith of Keosauqua wrote the Board under date of May 19th sending petitions from the residents of Keosauqua, Douds', Leande, Salem, Mount Zion, Bentonsport, Bonaparte and Farmington requesting the Board of Railroad Commissioners to order better train service upon the respondents' line, particularly from Eldon to Keokuk. The matter was taken up by the Board with Railway Co., and at a later date the railway company provided additional trains and the case was closed.

Des Moines, Iowa, Dec. 1, 1905.

No. 3036—1905.

C. R. FAIRFIELD LUMBER CO., MARION,
vs.
CHICAGO, MILWAUKEE & ST. PAUL
RAILWAY COMPANY.

} *Wrongful routing of freight.*

Complaint filed May 20, 1905.

The complainants stated that in having goods shipped from Boone the C. & N. W. Ry. Co. would carry them to Cedar Rapids where they were transferred to Marion making an additional charge whereas the C. M. & St. P. Ry. Co. should carry them all the way. It developed that the trouble was with the consignor of the goods and the complaint was withdrawn.

Des Moines, Iowa, Dec. 1, 1905.

No. 3037—1905.

THOMAS M. PAPE, HILLSBORO,
vs.
CHICAGO, BURLINGTON & QUINCY
RAILWAY COMPANY.

} *Street crossing.*

Complaint filed May 22, 1905.

The complainant in this case stated that he had been trying for two years to get the Railroad Company to provide a crossing for one of the streets in that town, and further stated that the street was laid out up to the right of way. He desired to know what steps he should take to get them to do this. The company objected to opening an additional street at this time, stating that they already had two good crossings such as the size of the town warranted. The commissioners wrote Mr. Pape advising him of the steps necessary to be taken before the railway company could be compelled to construct a crossing.

Des Moines, Iowa, Dec. 1, 1905.

No. 3038—1905.

TOWN OF GILBERT,
vs.
CHICAGO & NORTHWESTERN RAILWAY
COMPANY.

} *Street crossing.*

Complaint filed May 26, 1905.

Mr. F. M. Bell, Mayor of Gilbert, stated that the railway company refused to open Second Street across their right of way. The case was taken up with the railway company by the Board, and on June 8th Supt. Whalen advised the Commissioners that an adjustment had been made with the town of Gilbert by opening the street desired and closing others. The petitioners were asked to write the Board if this adjustment was not satisfactory, and nothing being heard from them the case is closed.

Des Moines, Iowa, Dec. 1, 1905.

No. 3039—1905.

FARMERS ELEVATOR CO. ROCK RAPIDS,
 vs.
 CHICAGO, BURLINGTON & QUINCY
 RAILWAY COMPANY. } *Site for elevator at Alvord.*

Application filed June 3, 1905.

The complaint in this case was as follows:

"We have a matter of business that we would like to call to your attention. We farmers of Alvord, Iowa, located on the Great Northern Ry. have organized and incorporated a company for the purpose of handling our grain business. In our early meetings we were assured by the road to get ready and if we wanted a site on which to build a house we could get it. We have now made application for a site and they wont grant it unless we erect a 80,000 bushel elevator. This is unreasonable as a house of such capacity is not necessary for our business and we object to building such an expensive house as it is showing partiality against us for the line elevator companies that operate in Alvord were given sites for houses of 10 to 18 thousand bushel capacity and two of them were built quite recently. There is not the right kind of grain business at this point as farmers have been losing from 4 to 7 cents per bushel and it is very important that we receive grant of a site for a house 12,000 bushel, that we build at once. It is getting late in the season and we must have a site soon. The Ry. officials are now turning a deaf ear to us and we kindly ask for your assistance in getting our rights."

The Board took the matter up, but before the case was reached the Commissioners were notified that the complainants had purchased an elevator already located, and there was no occasion for action by the Board.

Des Moines, Iowa, Dec. 1, 1905.

No. 3040—1905.

WM. BROWNING ET AL, NEW MARKET,
 vs.
 CHICAGO, BURLINGTON & QUINCY
 RAILWAY COMPANY. } *Failure to furnish cars.*

Complaint filed June 6, 1905.

The complainants said they were unable to get cars promptly for the shipment of coal, to their damage and inconvenience. The Board had some correspondence with the railway company concerning the complaint and conditions were adjusted to the satisfaction of all concerned.

Des Moines, Iowa, Dec. 1, 1905.

No. 3041—1905.

ORRICK BBOS., DUBUQUE,
 vs.
 UNITED STATES EXPRESS CO. } *Loss of goods.*

Complaint filed June 9, 1905.

This was a claim for loss of strawberries in transit, which was adjusted by the express company.

Des Moines, Iowa, Dec. 1, 1905.

No. 3042—1905.

JACKSON GRAIN Co., Cedar Rapids,
vs.
ILLINOIS CENTRAL RAILROAD COMPANY. } *Minimum weights.*

Complaint filed June 12, 1905.

This complaint arose over some misunderstanding with reference to the minimum that should be applied on grain in Iowa and was promptly adjusted by the railroad company.

Des Moines, Iowa, Dec. 1, 1905.

No. 3043—1905.

L. E. C. VERNOCOM, VALERIA,
vs.
COLFAX NORTHERN RY. CO. } *Drainage.*

Complaint filed June 13, 1905.

The complainant in this case stated that the company had been neglectful in allowing its ditch along the right of way to fill up thus damming the water, and overflowing his land; that this had existed for over two years; that he had repeatedly called the company's attention to the matter but without avail. The complaint was taken up with the railway company which resulted in a culvert being placed for the benefit of the complainant and the case was closed.

Des Moines, Iowa, Dec. 1, 1905.

No. 3044—1905.

W. E. SVENDSON, MASON CITY,
vs.
IOWA CENTRAL RAILWAY COMPANY
AND MINNEAPOLIS & ST. LOUIS
RAILWAY COMPANY. } *Right of way fence.*

Complaint filed June 15, 1905.

This complaint was to the effect that the respondent railway companies had permitted their right of way fences to become practically useless through the complainant's farm. Considerable correspondence followed between the Board and the Railroad Co., and on October 20, 1905, Cliggitt, Rule & Keeler Attys, for complainant wrote the Board stating that the fences were now satisfactory, and thanking the Commission for its services.

Des Moines, Iowa, Dec. 1, 1905.

No. 3045—1905

In the matter of train connections at Waterloo between C. G. W. & C. R. I. & P. Ry. Co.

A member of the Board in June 1905 called the attention of the Chicago Great Western and the C. R. I. & P. Ry. Co., to the fact that by a little change

in time, connections might be made between No. 5 on the C. G. W. and No. 46 on the Rock Island at Waterloo. The companies finally adjusted their time card to meet the suggestions made by the Commissioners.

Des Moines, Iowa, Dec. 1, 1905.

No. 3046—1905.

EDWIN URFER, MONTROSE,
vs.

CHICAGO, BURLINGTON & QUINCY
RAILWAY COMPANY.

} *Drainage.*

Complaint filed June 22, 1905.

The complainant in this case wrote the Board as follows:

"You are probably aware of the heavy flood that swept this part of the country upon the 10th of this month. We, along with with others, have sustained severe losses by reason of the high water and our losses are much greater by reason of the railroad (C. B. & Q.) having a dump or fill across our bottom land and confining the waters of the creek to a bridge of possibly one hundred and forty feet in length. The bridge went out and possibly 2-5 of the fill in all, making a total of some five hundred feet swept away. Now, we wish to restrain the company from ever making the fill that is gone as it is none too wide as it is to permit the water sufficient outlet.

The matter was taken up at once with the Railway Co. and on June 30th General Supt. H. C. Nutt wrote the Board concerning this case in which he said:

"We had an unprecedented rainfall in that region in the early part of June, which caused some very serious washouts on the railroads and highways in that vicinity, and among other damage to our line, we sustained the loss of a Howe truss bridge on stone abutments together with several hundred feet of the embankment. We built a wooden trestle across this opening in order to open the line for traffic and have no immediate intention of reconstructing our embankment where the trestle now is, and I think Mr. Urfer has no good reason for any anxiety, in connection with it; although I want to say that I do not feel that the destruction of our embankment by such an unprecedented flood as the one just experienced would in any way prejudice our right to reconstruct the embankment whenever we may deem it necessary or expedient."

The complainant wrote the Board thanking the Commission for what it had done, and stating that he did not desire to carry the case any further.

Des Moines, Iowa, Dec. 1, 1905.

No. 3047—1905.

FARMERS' ELEVATOR CO., MELVIN,
vs.

CHICAGO, ROCK ISLAND & PACIFIC
RAILWAY COMPANY.

} *Site for elevator.*

Complaint filed June 26, 1905.

This was an application in the regular way for a site on the railway company's grounds at Melvin for an elevator. The Commissioners filed the application with the railway company but as there seemed to be no probability of an amicable adjustment, the Board, after due notice had given to all

parties, went to Melvin on Sept. 8th to personally investigate the wants of that community for another elevator. After a full hearing had been had upon it the Commissioners again took the matter up with the railway company and on Sept. 13th were advised that a site had been granted the complainants and the case is therefore closed.

Des Moines, Iowa, Dec. 1, 1905.

No. 3048—1905.

JOHN DEEMER, IOWA FALLS,

vs.

CHICAGO, ROCK ISLAND & PACIFIC
RAILWAY COMPANY.

} *Drainage.*

Complaint filed June 29th, 1905.

The complaint in this case follows:

"I write you for your help in regard to a waterway through the Rock Island railroad. The railroad has a waterway there now but it is on the level of the ground. It was put there before the ditch was put in. In 1904 there was about 5 miles of ditch put in with a ditching machine, six feet wide and three feet deep and drains about 800 acres of land. We came from the south with the ditch to the railroad and crossed over and went on with. What I want you to understand is that the railroad outlet is on the level of the ground and the water will not run out until the ditch runs over and backs the water on the land. I have asked the company to have their drain fixed but they will not do it so I do not know what to do, only ask your help. The land is the north and west quarter of section fourteen (14), eighty-nine (89) north range twenty (20) west fifth P. M., and the drain right beside of mile post 93, east of Iowa Falls on the Rock Island."

The Commissioners presented the case to the railway company and Mr. Carroll Wright, the general attorney for the company, replied on July 14th.

"Replying to the complaint of John Deemer of Iowa Falls, I have to say that many years ago this Company constructed an opening under its track at the point referred to in the complaint at such depth as would take care of all of the water coming to the track at that point. Our culvert is 5 feet and 8 inches below the top of the rail. Subsequent to that time I am advised that certain land owners joined together to dig a ditch without pursuing the provisions of the statute and have constructed a ditch to our right-of-way which is seven feet and 8 inches below the top of our rail. In order to accommodate them it will be necessary to lower our culvert 2 feet. I am advised that this would involve an expense of about \$200.00. I do not think that we should be expected to incur this expense. Certainly if the parties had pursued the provisions of our statute in reference to drainage, we would, in accordance with the provisions of the statute, be entitled to the expense of changing the drainage under our track. I believe upon investigation you will agree with me that it would be illegal to attempt to require us to pay the expense of the change.

"If I can furnish you any further information please command me."

The Commissioners sent a copy of Mr. Wright's answer to the complainant, and also called his attention to Section 1955 of the code. With this the case was closed.

Des Moines, Iowa, Dec. 1, 1905.

No. 3049—1905.

CHICAGO, ST. PAUL, MINNEAPOLIS & OMAHA
RAILWAY COMPANY.

vs.

A. HOLSTER, SIOUX CITY.

Petition for right to condemn.

Petition filed July 3, 1905.

This was an application in the regular way, and the Board fixed a date for hearing. Before the hearing, however, the Commissioners were notified that the company had adjusted the matter with the land owner.

Des Moines, Iowa, Dec. 1, 1905.

No. 3050—1905.

G. H. GIBSON, THAYER,
vs.

CHICAGO, BURLINGTON & QUINCY
RAILWAY COMPANY.

Fencing.

Complaint filed July 7, 1905.

Complainant stated that the railway company neglected to keep in repair their right of way fence where it crossed a stream through his farm. The complaint was taken up with the railway company. The railway company in answering this complaint said:

"We cross the Four Mile Creek, to which Mr. Gibson refers, on a bridge about sixty-five feet in height. We have naturally high embankment on both sides and our right-of-way is fenced on both sides with wings up to the bridge so that the cattle cannot get on the track.

"The thing that troubles Mr. Gibson is that his cattle follow the creek underneath the bridge on to someone else's land. This is a natural water-way, and as our right-of-way is fully fenced so as to keep stock from getting on to the track, I think we have done our full duty. If any fence has ever been built across this creek at this place underneath the bridge by anyone connected with the company it has been done without authority.

"As a matter of fact, the water rises in this creek to such an extent at times that I do not think that anybody could successfully maintain a fence. This creek is forty-five feet wide from top to top of the bank, and eight feet deep, and at times runs full of water and overflows the bank."

Mr. Gibson was advised of the answer of the company on August 5th, and the Board, under the circumstances, closed the case.

Des Moines, Iowa, Dec. 1, 1905.

No. 3051—1905.

LEEDS BRICK WORKS, SIOUX CITY,
vs.

ILLINOIS CENTRAL RAILROAD COM-
PANY AND GREAT NORTHERN RAIL-
WAY COMPANY.

Switching charges.

Complaint filed July, 10, 1905.

The complainants in this case stated that they operated a brick plant located in the Leeds district of Sioux City, about one quarter of a mile east of a

side track owned jointly by the respondent railroads; that in shipping in coal and shipping out brick, when going over other lines the aforesaid companies had changed their switching to the Iowa Distance Tariff rate of 30 cents per ton. The complainants stated that this haul properly being only a switch did not believe they should be required to pay distance tariff rate. The Commissioners immediately notified the companies of the complaint and on August 2nd, 1905, the Board was advised by the companies that a switch rate would be made of \$2.50 per car. On Sept. 23 the Leeds Brick Works wrote the Commissioners thanking them for their prompt action in the matter.

Des Moines, Iowa, Dec. 1, 1905.

No. 3052—1905.

GILBERT BENDICKSON, LAKE MILLS,

vs.

CHICAGO & NORTHWESTERN RAILWAY
COMPANY.

} *Drainage*

Complaint filed July 11, 1905.

Complainant in this case said that:

"I have about \$400 to \$500 worth of tile that is in the ground that is apt to get spoiled if I can't get outlet for the water soon. We have also a private crossing over the C. & N. W. Ry. that is in poor condition, so it is hard to get over it. Will you try to hurry them up with this for me."

He said further that he had notified the railroad company to provide drainage across its right of way to connect with his drain tile, but that the company paid no attention to it.

The Board advised Mr. Bendickson that Section 1955 of the code seemed to provide for the methods to be pursued in such cases, and the Commissioners also took the case up with the railway company.

On August 26th the Board was advised that Mr. Bendickson had laid his tile across the right of way of the railway company.

Des Moines, Iowa, Dec. 1, 1905.

No. 3053—1905.

E. C. WHITE, COUNTY ATTY., HARLAN,

vs.

CHICAGO GREAT WESTERN RY., Co.,

} *Dangerous highway crossings.*

Complaint filed July 11, 1905.

The Grand Jury of Shelby County reported that in its opinion certain crossings in Harlan were dangerous and should be protected. The County Attorney called the attention of the railroad commission to the finding of the grand jury, the commission called the attention of the railway company to the case, and in November the Board were advised that such steps had been taken by the railway company as removed the cause for complaint.

Des Moines, Iowa, Dec. 1, 1905.

No. 3054—1905.
 TOWN OF TRURO,
 vs.
 CHICAGO, BURLINGTON & QUINCY
 RAILWAY COMPANY. } *Drainage of street crossing.*
 Complaint filed July 15, 1905.

Mr. H. D. Brown, Town Clerk of Truro, for the Town Council, complained to the Board, that the Railway Company did not properly drain Main street where it crossed the railway tracks. The complaint was laid before the officials of the railway company, and on August 3, the Board was advised that all matters complained of had been adjusted.

Des Moines, Iowa, Dec. 1, 1905.

No. 3055—1905.

M. L. HIMES, ELKADER,
 vs.
 CHICAGO, MILWAUKEE & ST. PAUL
 RAILWAY COMPANY. } *Overcharge.*
 Complaint filed July 19, 1905.

This was a complaint of overcharge, but was based upon a misapprehension of the proper rates that were applicable to the shipment.

The matter was explained to Mr. Himes.

Des Moines, Iowa, Dec. 1, 1905.

No. 3056—1905.

FARMERS' ELEVATOR CO., WIGHTMAN,
 vs.
 CHICAGO GREAT WESTERN RAILWAY
 COMPANY. } *Elevator site.*
 Complaint filed July 19, 1905.

This was an application by the Farmers' Elevator Company through its attorney, Mr. F. F. Hunter, for location on sidetrack for elevator. The Commissioners presented the application to the railway company and after considerable correspondence the railway company granted the request.

Des Moines, Iowa, Dec. 1, 1905.

No. 3057—1905.

LEO J. LUCEY, BREDÁ,
 vs.
 ILLINOIS CENTRAL RAILWAY COMPANY. } *Claim for damages.*
 Complaint filed July 24, 1905.

This was a complaint that the respondent railway company's caboose car on which complainant had ridden was in such bad condition that he tore his overcoat, for which he asked \$5.00. The Commissioners notified Mr. Lucey that they could not take cognizance of his claim for damages, but would suggest to the railway that it should provide better caboose for stock men to ride in. This latter was done.

Des Moines, Iowa, Dec. 1, 1905.

No. 3058—1905.

PETER REIFSCHNIEDER, LAUREL, }
 vs. } *Fencing.*
 IOWA CENTRAL RY. CO.

Complaint filed July 31, 1905.

Mr. A. L. Moser reported to the Board in above complaint that the company failed to keep its right of way fence in proper condition.

The complaint was taken up with the railway company and the fence was placed in repair.

Des Moines, Iowa, Dec. 1, 1905.

No. 3059—1905.

GEO. W. KERR, ALBIA, }
 vs. } *Fencing.*
 WABASH RD. CO.

Complaint filed August 7, 1905.

This complaint stated that the right of way fence was in bad condition and he wanted it repaired at once. The commissioners presented the case to the railroad company and on Aug. 28, the Commissioners were advised that orders had been issued to put this fence in perfect condition.

Des Moines, Iowa, Dec. 1, 1905.

No. 3060—1905.

FEINER FISH CO., CLINTON, }
 vs. } *Special fish and oyster rates.*
 AMERICAN EXPRESS CO.

Complaint filed August 15, 1905.

The complaint in this case was as follows:

"We have endeavored in every manner possible especially with the American Express Company to have them reinstate their special Fish and Oyster rate of eighty cents from Chicago, Illinois to Clinton, Iowa. So far we have been unsuccessful.

"We are compelled to pay on this class of commodity one dollar per hundred with twenty-five per cent additional for ice from Chicago to Clinton, a distance of one hundred and thirty-six miles. The same class of goods travel from Chicago to Omaha for a rate of one dollar and twenty-five cents. Again the same class of goods travel by the same company from Chicago to Louisville, Kentucky for one dollar one hundred, four times the distance.

"The Express Companies feel that they can do as they please about this matter because it is interstate work, that our own state Railroad Commission has no authority.

"However we are fully convinced that if you will take this matter up with them at once that we can get the old rate of eighty cents put in force."

The Commissioners at once took the matter up with the express company, although the Commissioners informed the complainants that this was an interstate case over which they could not exercise jurisdiction. The superintendent of the express company stated that he would take the case up with the New York office and on Sept. 16 the Board received word from the complainants that the rate which was desired had been reinstated, thanking the Commission for its efforts in its behalf.

Des Moines, Iowa, Dec. 1, 1905.

No. 3061—1905.

MILLER & SON, CLERMONT,

vs.

CHICAGO GREAT WESTERN AND CHI-
CAGO & NORTH WESTERN RAIL-
WAY COMPANY.

} *Joint rates on brick.*

Complaint filed August 19, 1905.

The complaint or application in this case follows:

"Just got home from Waterloo very much disappointed in losing a \$1,000 brick order simply because we have to pay freight on our brick to Cedar Rapids and back up to Waterloo instead of having a joint rate. There was a difference in price of 50 cts per M and if we had had a joint rate would have been 25 cts per M lower than the competing parties.

"We would ask you for a joint rate by way of Oelwein over the Great Western which we must have at once in order to secure some of the many contracts which are to be let there soon.

"We would be pleased to refer you to Ex-Gov. Larrabee of our city who understands the situation perfectly.

"Hoping you will give this your prompt attention we are, etc."

The Board published notice for hearing as required by law, also notified the parties personally of the date set for hearing. The notice follows:

NOTICE.

"To Whom It May Concern:

"Notice is hereby given that the Board of Railroad Commissioners of the State of Iowa, will, on the 10th day of October, 1905, at 10 A. M., meet at its office at Des Moines, Iowa., for the purpose of considering the matters herein set forth; that it is the intention of the Board to establish joint rates in the application filed by C. Miller & Son, of Clermont, Iowa, for a joint rate on brick from Clermont, Iowa, to Waterloo, Iowa, via Oelwein, Iowa, passing over the lines of the Chicago, Rock Island & Pacific Railway Co. and the Chicago Great Western Railway Company, and that upon said date and at place aforesaid the Commissioners will give all persons desiring to be heard an opportunity to appear before them in relation to the said application."

The Board of Railroad Commissioners,

By DWIGHT N. LEWIS,

Secretary.

"Des Moines, Iowa, Sept. 19, 1905.

On the date named in the notices the parties appeared before the Board. The following will indicate what was done upon that date:

MILLER & SON, CLERMONT,

vs.

C. G. W. AND THE C. R. I. & P. RY. CO.

} *Hearing.*

"Before the Board of Railroad Commissioners. October 10, 1905.

"The Complainant was represented by Mr. C. Miller. The Chicago Great Western was represented by Mr. J. P. Hewitt, Atty., The C. R. I. & P. Ry. Co. was represented by Mr. Carroll Wright Attorney and T. H. Simmons, Traffic Manager.

"Mr. E. A. Dawson of the Board announced that the Commissioners were ready to hear the application of C. Miller & Sons for joint rate on brick between Clermont and Waterloo via the C. R. I. & P. and C. G. W. Thereupon Mr. Miller made the following statement

"Gentlemen—I wish to state that the C. R. I. & P. Ry. has not had sufficient notice of this matter to arrange with the other company as they ought to, so I have decided to withdraw the case temporarily to see whether they may not agree, or something else may be done.

"Mr. Dawson: We may understand that this case is substantially withdrawn?

"Mr. Miller: Yes sir.

"Mr. Dawson: If you cannot arrange it then, upon that may depend what action you bring in the future?

"Mr. Miller: Yes sir.

"Mr. Dawson: Then it will be so considered."

Case closed.

Des Moines, Iowa, Dec. 1, 1905.

No. 3062—1905.

G. M. FOSTER, WAVERLY,
vs.

ILLINOIS CENTRAL RAILROAD COMPANY.

} *Stock yards.*

Complaint filed Aug. 19, 1905.

This complaint was made with reference to the construction and maintenance of stock yards at Waverly. The matter was taken up by the Board, the company notified of the complaint, and the stock yards were constructed and are now maintained. The case is closed.

Des Moines, Iowa, Dec. 1, 1905.

No. 3063—1905.

CITY OF DUBUQUE,

vs.

CHICAGO GREAT WESTERN RAILWAY
COMPANY.

} *Reconstruction of viaduct.*

Complaint filed August 25, 1905.

Complaint came to this Board from the city of Dubuque by Mr. C. F. Arendt, City Recorder, as follows:

"At the session of the City Council of the City of Dubuque, held Aug. 17th, 1905, the following resolution was passed:

"Whereas, the viaduct at present constructed on Peru Road over and across the tracks of the Chicago Great Western Railway Company, has by reason of natural decay and old age become unsafe for public travel;

"Therefore; Be it Resolved by the City Council of the City of Dubuque:

"That the City Recorder be and is hereby directed to at once notify the State Board of Railroad Commissioners of the necessity of the reconstruction of the viaduct on Peru Road over and across the tracks of the Chicago Great Western Railway Company, and that the Railroad Commissioners be and are hereby asked to examine into the condition of said viaduct to determine the necessity of such reconstruction for the public safety and convenience and to examine and approve the plans of such viaduct as prepared by the City Engineer."

The case was presented at once to the railway company and the Commissioners were advised on Sept. 8th by General Attorney A. G. Briggs that:

"Your favor of the 25th ult. to our general manager relating to viaduct over the Peru road at Dubuque, Iowa, was received with considerable surprise by me, as I supposed we had been proceeding with proper diligence to satisfy the city with reference to this viaduct. There is no occasion so far as the city is concerned, for renewal of this viaduct. In other words, the viaduct is perfectly safe; but we have prepared plans which have been submitted to the city for approval and have been approved by the city, and believe everything is entirely satisfactory to them. I know of no reason why the viaduct should not be renewed with reasonable promptness."

The Commissioners wrote the city authorities at Dubuque asking them to advise the Commissioners if the arrangements made would not be satisfactory. Nothing was heard, however, and the Board presumes that the city is satisfied with the provisions made for the reconstructions of the viaduct.

Des Moines, Iowa, Dec. 1, 1905.

No. 3064—1905.

FARMERS' CEREAL COMPANY, SLOAN,
vs.
CHICAGO & NORTHWESTERN RAILWAY
COMPANY. } *Elevator site.*

Complaint filed Sept. 2, 1905.

This was an application by the Farmers Cereal Co. for site for elevator at Sloan. The Commissioners presented the application to the railway company and on Oct. 2nd the Board was advised that the company had located the Farmers Cereal Company and the case was closed.

Des Moines, Iowa, Dec. 1, 1905.

No. 3065—1905.

ASHBY & MCGEE, LUCAS,
vs.
CHICAGO, BURLINGTON & QUINCY
RAILWAY COMPANY. } *Condition of stockyards.*

Complaint filed September 4, 1905.

Complainants stated that the stock yards at Lucas were in very bad condition, that they had suffered damages to stock on account of the mud and that the yards were too small. The complaint was taken up with the railway company and Gen. Supt. Ross stated that it was the intention to move the stock yards to a better location just as soon as they could get to it.

Des Moines, Iowa, Dec. 1, 1905.

No. 3066—1905.

PAGE COUNTY,
vs.
CHICAGO, BURLINGTON & QUINCY
RAILWAY COMPANY. } *Drainage.*

Complaint filed September 11, 1905.

This was an action brought by the county under the drainage law of the state passed by the Thirtieth General Assembly and was immediately brought to the attention of the railway company. Before the date for hearing was fixed by the Board Mr. W. S. Jordan, County Auditor, wrote the Commission that it would be unnecessary for the commissioners to visit the premises involved as an adjustment had been made with the railway company.

Des Moines, Iowa, Dec. 1, 1905.

No. 3067—1905.

HUGO GERST, OAKVILLE,
vs.
IOWA CENTRAL RY. CO. } *Farm Crossing.*

Complaint filed Sept. 11, 1905.

The complainant stated that the private crossing provided for him by the Iowa Central Railway Company was in bad condition and as he wanted to use it for heavy traffic very soon he asked that the Board call the attention of the railroad company to his wants. The Commissioners wrote them, and on Sept. 22nd were advised that the crossing had been placed in good condition.

Des Moines, Iowa, Dec. 1, 1905.

No. 3068—1905.

OTTO GERMAR, VOLGA CITY,
vs.
CHICAGO, MILWAUKEE & ST. PAUL
RAILWAY COMPANY. } *Delay in shipment.*

Complaint filed Sept. 12, 1905.

The complainant made the following statement to the Board:

"I have car No. 17882 C. M. & St. P. R. R. Co. loaded with lime at the switch of Eagle Point Lime Works for the past four days. The Milwaukee people fail to take it out. We also had a car of lumber delayed side track 12 miles below this station for four days. The Company is not giving us prompt service on this line and we are getting tired of it and I want you to call Supt. J. W. Stapleton's, Dubuque Division, Dubuque, Iowa, attention to this matter."

The Commissioners did as requested by Mr. Germar but before the answer of the Ry. Co. was received he again wrote the Board stating that advise from the shipper of the lime stated that the car was loaded the 9th instead of the 7th, and that the railroad company had informed him that the car was not loaded until the 11th, and that he received it the 13th. He said that under the circumstances he had no complaint against the railroad company.

Des Moines, Iowa, Dec. 1, 1905.

No. 3069—1905.

In the matter of the condition of the toilet room in the Ames station on the C. & N. W. Ry.

Complaint filed Sep. 13, 1905.

Several persons complained to a member of the Board that the sanitary conditions of the C. & N. W. depot at Ames needed attention, and after a personal investigation by the Commission the attention of the railway company was called to the conditions on September 13, 1905, and at a later date the Board was informed that the closets had been put in first class condition.

Des Moines, Iowa, Dec. 1, 1905.

No. 3070—1905.

L. H. GRIFFITH, ELLIOT,

vs.

CHICAGO, BURLINGTON & QUINCY
RAILWAY COMPANY.

} *Freight train service.*

Complaint filed Sept. 15, 1905.

Complainant stated that he had had difficulty in getting the product of his mills handled promptly and asked the assistance of the Board in getting better train service. The Commissioners laid the complaint before the officials of the company and suggested that the proper provision should be made for taking care of the way-freight. General Superintendent Geo. T. Ross replied that instructions had been issued to arrange suitable provision for handling the way-freight on the proper train. The complainant was so advised.

Des Moines, Iowa, Dec. 1, 1905.

No. 3071—1905.

J. W. KINCADE, SEWAL,

vs.

CHICAGO, ROCK ISLAND & PACIFIC
RAILWAY COMPANY.

} *Flag stop at Kniffin.*

Complaint filed Sept. 20, 1905.

The complainant stated that the railway company had discontinued stopping trains 3 and 4 at the station of Kniffin, and asked that the service be restored. The attention of the company was called to the case and request was complied with.

Des Moines, Iowa, Dec. 1, 1905.

No. 3072—1905.

S. RICE, SHELLROCK,

vs.

CHICAGO GREAT WESTERN RAILWAY
COMPANY.

} *Farm crossing.*

In October 1905 the railway company was notified of the above complaint and at once complied with the request that the crossing be put in. The crossing is now maintained satisfactorily to Mr. Rice, and the matter is closed.

Des Moines, Iowa, Dec. 1, 1905.

No. 3073—1905.

TRUSTEES OF SHELLROCK TOWNSHIP, BUTLER CO.,

vs.

CHICAGO ROCK ISLAND & PACIFIC RAILWAY COMPANY.

} *Drainage.*

During the month of October, 1905, complaint was made by Mr. Richards, one of the trustees of Shellrock Township, Butler county, against the C. R. I. & P. Ry. Co., claiming that proper drainage was not provided for, and that in

consequence thereof the public highway was impaired. The case was taken up with the railway company which provided the proper drainage, and the matter adjusted to the satisfaction of the trustees.

Des Moines, Iowa, Dec. 1, 1905.

No. 3074—1905.

J. N. HURTO, LAUREL,
vs.
IOWA CENTRAL RY. CO. } *Fencing.*

Complaint filed October 3, 1905.

Complainant stated that the company's fence through his land was in bad condition, and he wanted the same repaired. The railway company stated that the repairs would be made immediately.

Des Moines, Iowa, Dec. 1, 1905.

No. 3075—1905.
AUGUST LAU & SONS, KLEMME,
vs.
CHICAGO, ROCK ISLAND & PACIFIC
RAILWAY COMPANY. } *Failure to furnish cars.*

Complainant stated that they were losing money on account of their inability to obtain empty cars, and something must be done at once. The Commissioners took the matter up with the railway company, and such relief as possible was afforded the complainants.

Des Moines, Iowa, Dec. 1, 1905.

No. 3076—1905.

McCOLL BROS., WOODWARD,
vs.
CHICAGO, MILWAUKEE & ST. PAUL
RAILWAY COMPANY. } *Choice of market.*

Complaint filed Oct. 5, 1905.

There seemed to be some misunderstanding with reference to shipping grain to Des Moines, and the Commissioners corresponded with both the complainant and the railway company to the end that an understanding was reached between the parties.

Des Moines, Iowa, Dec. 1, 1905.

No. 3077—1905.

AMERICAN SONS OF EQUITY, CUMBERLAND,
vs.
CHICAGO, BURLINGTON & QUINCY
RAILWAY COMPANY. } *Site for coal house.*

Petition filed October 18, 1905.

While the petition in this case was not received until October 18, 1905, the Board had been corresponding with Mr. W. J. Mathews et al at Cumber-

land concerning the same matter. After the receipt of the petition, the Commissioners made this application the subject of careful attention, and found that there was already located at Cumberland on the railroad company's ground, a sufficient number of coal dealers to handle the business at that point.

Des Moines, Iowa, Dec. 1, 1905.

No. 3078—1905.

CHARLES E. ANDERSON, CYLINDER,
VS.
CHICAGO, MILWAUKEE & ST. PAUL
RAILWAY COMPANY.

Delay in transit.

Complaint filed October 21, 1905.

The complainant stated that a shipment of household goods from Chicago to Cylinder had become lost, and they could neither get their goods, nor a settlement. The goods were shipped October 3d. The matter was taken up with the railway company, and on October 28 the Commissioners were advised that this shipment was received by a railway company from van storage with the understanding that the same was to be assembled with other goods until a carload had been made up, and then forwarded to the distributing point; also that the goods had gone forward from Chicago on October 26.

Des Moines, Iowa, Dec. 1, 1905.

No. 3079—1905.

HENRY KUESTER, MELVIN,
VS.
CHICAGO, ROCK ISLAND & PACIFIC
RAILWAY COMPANY.

Failure to furnish cars.

Complaint filed October 24, 1905.

The complaint stated that he needed cars very much for his shipments, but that he was unable to obtain the same. The complaint was taken up in the regular way, and so far as advised complainant's wants were fully satisfied.

Des Moines, Iowa, Dec. 1, 1905.

No. 3080—1905.

GUS SCHNOOR, ET AL., LAUREL,
VS.
IOWA CENTRAL RAILWAY COMPANY.

Condition of right of way fence.

Complaint filed October 30, 1905.

Mr. George D. Brown of Laurel wrote the Board stating that the Messrs. Gernie Talbott and Gus Schnoor desired to complain concerning the fence through their farms along the right of way of the Iowa Cent. Ry. Co. The complaint was called to the attention of the management of the railway company, and such repairs were made as were necessary to place the fence in proper condition.

Des Moines, Iowa, Dec. 1, 1905.

No. 3081—1905.

E. B. HOLLAND, FT. MADISON,
VS.CHICAGO BURLINGTON & QUINCY
RAILWAY COMPANY.*Petition for right to condemn.*

Petition filed Nov. 3, 1905.

The railway company by its attorney, Geo. B. Stewart, made application to the Board for certificate giving it the right to condemn certain land belonging to E. B. Holland in the city of Ft. Madison. The Board fixed the date for hearing, but before the time fixed in the notice for the hearing Mr. Stewart wrote the Board stating that perhaps the Board misunderstood his application. The company did not want to condemn any land belonging to Mr. Holland but "the right of way or easment in the street upon which his property abuts." Thereupon the Commissioners wrote Mr. Stewart as follows:

"Replying to yours of November 4th I am directed by the Board to say that it have never been the custom or practice of the railway companies or the Railroad Commissioners, or property owners, to have a certificate issued by the Board of Railroad Commissioners permitting a railway track to be laid in the street, and unless you are able to show some recognized authority that that is the rule the Board might not to be inclined to go further in this proceeding.

As the Board understands it, where an incorporated city or town permits the construction of a railway upon and along its streets, the statute provided what damages, if any, the abutting property may be entitled to and the method of procedure therein."

Des Moines, Iowa, Dec. 1, 1905.

No. 3082—1905.

STEIL BROS. HAY CO., MALLARD,
VS.MINNEAPOLIS & ST. LOUIS AND CHI-
CAGO, ROCK ISLAND & PACIFIC
RAILWAY COMPANY.*Shortage of cars.*

Complaint filed Nov. 6, 1905.

This complaint resulted from the failure of the M. & St. L. Ry. Co., to obtain for the complainants Rock Island cars for shipments to Rock Island stations. The M. & St. L. Ry. Co. stated that they had been trying to get the cars, but owing to a general scarcity were unable to do so. At later time the matter was adjusted to the satisfaction of the complainants.

Des Moines, Iowa, Dec. 1, 1905.

No. 3083—1905.

J. H. OTTENS, BELLEVUE,
VS.CHICAGO, MILWAUKEE & ST. PAUL
RAILWAY COMPANY.*Killing of stock.*

Complaint filed Nov. 7, 1905.

This was a claim for a cow killed on right of way, and while the Board did not assume jurisdiction, yet the case was laid before the railway company, on November 10, Superintendent Stapleton declined to pay the claim giving the reasons therefor in detail. The complainant was advised of the answer of the company and the case closed.

Des Moines, Iowa, Dec. 1, 1905.

No. 3084—1900.

WILLIAM KOSTER, BREDÄ,

vs.

CHICAGO & NORTHWESTERN RAILWAY
COMPANY.

Fencing.

Complaint filed Nov. 13, 1905.

The complainant in this case wanted a hog tight fence around his field adjoining the right of way of the Chicago & Northwestern Ry. Co. The Board called the railway company's attention to this complaint and the same was adjusted satisfactorily to the complainant.

Des Moines, Iowa, Dec. 1, 1905.

No. 3085—1905.

GOTT ZURCHER, SUPERVISOR, FARMERSBURG,

vs.

CHICAGO, MILWAUKEE & ST. PAUL
RAILWAY COMPANY.

Approach to bridge on highway.

Complaint filed Nov. 14, 1905.

The complaint in this case was as follows:

"I have a complaint to make to you. The Clayton Co. Supervisors have put in a bridge in Farmersburg Township, Section 6. The road crossing, the Milwaukee track. The one abutment on the East side of the bridge is on the right of way of railroad company's land. The Supervisor told me to fill on the west side of the west abutment and put on bridge and notify the R. R. Co to fill on their right of way against East abutment, but they have failed to do so. I would like to know of you, who has to fill this gap, the County or the R. R. Co.? The rural mail hauler told me if I did not get it fixed he would report me to Postoffice Department."

The complaint was taken up with the railway company, and on Nov. 21, the Commissioners were advised that such filling as was necessary had been made.

Des Moines, Iowa, Dec. 1, 1905.

No. 3086—1905.

PAYNES CREAMERY, KINGSLEY.

vs.

CHICAGO & NORTHWESTERN RAILWAY
COMPANY.

Damage to butter in transit.


Complaint filed Nov. 18, 1905.

This was a claim for damages sustained to butter in transit, because it was shipped in same car with smoked meats. While the case was not properly one for any action by the Commission, yet as is usual in such cases, the complaint was sent to the railway company, and on Nov. 24 Mr. M. Hughitt, Freight Traffic Mgr., declined to pay the claim, stating that there was a large amount of butter in the same car belonging to other parties, who had made no complaints, and that the car was properly cleaned, iced, etc. The complainants were notified of the answer of Mr. Hughitt, and the case was closed.

Des Moines, Iowa, Dec. 1, 1905.

DIGEST OF DECISIONS OF SUPREME COURT.

REFERRING TO MATTERS AFFECTING RAILROADS.



DIGEST OF DECISIONS

OF SUPREME COURT REFERRING TO MATTERS AFFECTING RAILROADS.

CARRIERS—INTENDING PASSENGERS—APPROACHES TO STATION.

Plaintiff intended to take passage on a train which did not regularly stop at her station, but which the agent was to signal for her benefit. There were some side tracks leading to the depot, which the street did not cross, and which, at train time, were blocked with a freight train. The conductor of the freight train had no knowledge of plaintiff's desire to take the train until she reached the track, and the station agent was not shown to have known of plaintiff's intentions in time to have advised him thereof. No train scheduled to stop at the station was due, so that there was no reason for keeping the approach free. As the engine was detached, and time was pressing, so that the freight train could not be separated, plaintiff had to climb over it, and in so doing fell and was injured. *Held*, that there was no negligence on the part of the railroad. *Eakins v. Chicago, Rock Island & Pacific Railway Company*, 102 N. W. Rep., 104.

CARRIERS—ACTIONS—OWNERSHIP IN CONSIGNEE.

It is presumed that on the delivery of goods to a carrier the title thereto passes to the consignee, and such presumption is sufficient to sustain an action by the consignee as owner either in tort or for a breach of contract. *Bank of Irwin v. American Express Company*, 102 N. W. Rep., 107.

EMINENT DOMAIN—RAILROADS—RIGHT OF WAY—CONDEMNATION—APPEAL—MOOT QUESTIONS.

Where, after award in condemnation proceedings, the railroad company served notice of appeal, and had the same docketed as authorized by Code, section 2000, no appeal by the land-owner was necessary in order that he might procure larger damages than those awarded by the sheriff's jury, should he be found entitled thereto on the trial of the railroad company's appeal, as authorized by section 2011.

A railroad company having appealed from an award in condemnation proceedings, the land-owner also served notice of appeal, and caused a transcript to be filed with the district court clerk, but failed to pay the filing fee, whereupon the railroad caused the landowner's appeal to be docketed, paid the filing fee, and moved the district court to affirm the assessment of the sheriff's jury, as authorized by Code, section 3660, for the landowner's failure to prosecute his appeal. Such motion was overruled and the court ordered the landowner's appeal to be consolidated with that taken by the railroad company, when the later, without objection by the landowner, dismissed its appeal. *Held*, that the railroad company was not thereafter entitled to a review of the order refusing to dismiss the landowner's appeal. *McKinnon et ux. v. Cedar Rapids & Iowa City Railway & Light Company*, 102 N. W. Rep., 138.

MASTER—INJURY TO SERVANT—DEFECTIVE FOOT GUARD—NEGLIGENCE—CONTRIBUTORY NEGLIGENCE—JURY QUESTIONS—EVIDENCE—ADMISSIBILITY.

In an action against a railroad for injuries to a brakeman from alleged defective foot guards at a switch, evidence examined, and whether defendant was negligent *held* a question for the jury.

A railroad brakeman does not assume the risk of a defect in the blocking at a switch in the absence of knowledge of the defect.

Where the question is whether, in a particular emergency, an employe was negligent in the method pursued by him in rendering a service, the general custom or usage as to the method of rendering such service may be shown.

In an action against a railroad for injury to a brakeman, where it appeared that he was injured by stepping between the cars inside the rail while the engine was in motion to uncouple, evidence was admissible to show that when he entered the employ of the defendant he was directed to go with a train crew and see how they performed their work, and to govern himself accordingly, and that on such instruction trip the brakeman, under circumstances similar to those which confronted him at the time of his injury, did the same as he did on that occasion. *Pierson v. Chicago & North Western Railway Company*, 102 N. W. Rep., 149.

INJURY TO EMPLOYE—DEFECTIVE APPLIANCE—ASSUMPTION OF RISK—COMPLAINT AND PROMISE TO REPAIR—PROXIMATE CAUSE—CONTRIBUTORY NEGLIGENCE.

A section hand, who, long before the time when he lost his balance on a hand car from its lurching because of a wheel on one of the axles being loose, and the axle having to much play, knew of such condition of the car, and had not complained of it, will be *held* to have assumed the risk incident thereto.

A section hand's assumption of risk from defective brake shoes on a hand car is suspended immediately on the foreman's promising him, when he called his attention to their dangerous condition, that he would repair them; and the risk is then the master's as long as the servant may reasonably expect the promise to be performed. *Foster v. Chicago, Rock Island & Pacific Railway Company*, 102 N. W. Rep., 422.

RAILROADS—FAILURE TO FENCE—KILLING OF ANIMALS—EVIDENCE—SUFFICIENCY—COMPETENCY—WITNESSES—CREDIBILITY—INSTRUCTION.

In an action against a railroad for the death of a steer, caused by the railroad's failure to properly fence its track, as required by Code, section 2055, evidence *held* sufficient to sustain a verdict for plaintiff.

In an action against a railroad for the death of a steer, caused by defendant's failure to properly fence its track, as required by Code, section 2055, a charge that plaintiff could only recover upon proof of a want of repair known to defendant, or which had existed such a length of time that knowledge should be imputed, was sufficiently favorable to defendant.

In an action against a railroad for death of a steer by defendant's failure to fence its track as required by Code, Sec. 2055, that the track had been worked between the time of the accident and the time of the inspection thereof by plaintiff could be shown in connection with the question whether any of the evidences as to the position of the animal when struck had been obliterated, and if so, whether such obliteration was done purposely or not.

Such fact could also be considered in determining the credibility as witnesses of the men by whom the work was done, and who testified to the nonexistence of hoof prints upon the track. *Klay v. Chicago M. & St. P. Ry. Co.* 102 N. W. Rep., 526.

EMINENT DOMAIN—PROCEEDINGS—SHERIFF'S JURY—AWARD—APPEAL—NOTICE—SERVICE—
—PARTIES—JOINDER—DISMISSAL—TRANSCRIPT—FILING—TIME—DOCKET FEE
—PAYMENT—STATUTES—CONSTRUCTION—COURTS—DISCRETION
—DAMAGES—ELEMENTS—EVIDENCE—INSTRUCTIONS—
MISCONDUCT OF COUNSEL—PREJUDICE.

Where in condemnation proceedings, defendant railroad company served notice on the owner of the land sought to be taken and on his tenant, and the jury assessed damages which the owner had suffered at a specified sum, the award was not joint as to the owner and tenant, so as to require a joint appeal.

Where a landowner and his tenant were made parties to a proceeding to condemn land for a railroad right of way, and damages were awarded by the sheriff's jury to the owner only, neither the owner nor the tenant was bound to join the other in his appeal from the award, but both were entitled to prosecute independent appeals.

Where separate appeals from an award in condemnation proceedings were taken by the owner and his tenant, it was not error for the court to refuse to consolidate them on the railroad company's refusal to agree to the rendition of separate verdicts or findings in each case.

Code, Sec. 2009, provides for appeals from assessments by a sheriff's jury by service of a notice in writing on the adverse party and the sheriff. Section 3660 declares that in appeals from inferior tribunals the appellant shall cause the case to be docketed by noon of the second day of the term to which the appeal is returnable, and on his failure to do so the appellee may procure the case to be docketed and have the judgment affirmed, and that the provisions with reference to appeals from justices' courts are applicable "so far as they may be." Section 4559, relating to justices' appeals, provides that, if the appellant fails to pay the docket fee and have the case docketed by noon of the second day of the term at which it is triable, appellee may do so, and have the judgment affirmed or set for trial in its merits, etc. *Held*, that, as jurisdiction of an appeal from an award of a sheriff's jury in condemnation proceedings is conferred by service of notice on the adverse party and the sheriff, where the case properly got on the docket, after such service the appellee was not entitled to have the appeal dismissed, or judgment affirmed, for appellant's failure to file a transcript within the time specified or pay the docket fee.

In proceedings to condemn land for a railroad right of way, evidence as to what defendant railway company had paid to others per acre for rights of way over their land, not similarly situated, was inadmissible.

In proceedings to condemn land for railroad right of way plaintiff was not entitled to recover for inconveniences suffered by an overhead bridge over a highway, which interfered with his access to a town.

Error of the court in permitting evidence of damage including such injury was not cured by an instruction that plaintiff could not recover for any inconvenience which affected the public generally as well as the owner, and that being obliged to drive under an overhead crossing was such an inconvenience.

In condemnation proceedings an instruction that it was proper for the jury to consider every element of annoyance and disadvantage resulting from the construction of the railroad which would influence an intending purchaser in making an estimate of the market value of plaintiff's property was erroneous, as permitting the jury to award damages on mere caprice.

Where, in proceedings to condemn land, most, if not all, of the landowner's witnesses were farmers living near the land, and few, if any, of the railroad's witnesses

were of that occupation, an instruction authorizing the jury to consider whether witnesses who are engaged in farming in the neighborhood of the land in dispute have a better opportunity of estimating the injury to plaintiff's farm by the construction of the railroad than men engaged in other occupations was erroneous, as on the weight of the evidence. *Simons et al. v. Mason City Ft. D. R. Co.* 103 N. W. Rep. 129.

MASTER AND SERVANT—INJURY TO SERVANT—NEGLIGENCE—CONTRIBUTORY NEGLIGENCE—VICE PRINCIPAL—INSTRUCTIONS—EXCESSIVE DAMAGES.

A brakeman engaged with a train crew in switching to make up a train had the switching list from which he learned what cars were to be taken into the train and the position which each was to occupy. On the basis of the list the train was made up. It was his duty to uncouple the cars as required. The crew acted solely in responses to signals given by him. *Held* that, he was a vice principal rendering the railway company liable for injuries to members of the crew occasioned by his negligence.

In an action against a railway company for injuries to a brakeman while engaged in switching, evidence examined, and *held* to warrant a finding that the negligence of the employe in charge of the work was the proximate cause of the injury.

An instruction in a personal injury action that negligence means the failure to use "that degree of care which the law requires; that is, ordinary care or the doing of that which ordinary care * * * would dictate should not be done," is not open to the objection that matters of omission are excluded from consideration.

In a personal injury action the injury to plaintiff was in the loss of his left arm and in the pain and suffering incident thereto. At the time of the injury he was 27 years old. He had been a brakeman for about a year, earning from \$60 to \$75 a month, and prior to that time he had been a farm hand. *Held*, that a verdict for \$12,000 was excessive, and should be reduced to \$7,500.

[Ed. Note.—For cases in point see vol. 15, Cent. Dig. Damages, Sec. 383.]

Struble v. Burlington, C. R. & N. Ry. Co., 103 N. W. Rep., 142.

MASTER—INJURY TO SERVANT—LIABILITY—STATUTE—CONSTRUCTION—NEGLIGENCE—INSTRUCTION—CONTRIBUTORY NEGLIGENCE—QUESTION FOR JURY.

A car repairer, whose duty it was to repair cars while on the repair track in a railroad yard, and to follow cars, in making repairs, when moved to other points in the yard, was, while at work in the line of his duty, as matter of law, within the protection of Code, Sec. 2071, making the railroad liable for the neglect of its agent or any mismanagement of the engineers, when the injured person's employment is in any way connected with the use and operation of any railway on or about which he shall be employed.

In an action by a car repairer for injuries, the evidence showed that he was struck by the sudden starting of a railroad engine, without signal, which had been standing on a track which intervened between the place where he had gone for his tools and the repair track, where plaintiff usually worked. It also appeared that it was customary for plaintiff and other employes to pass in front of engines while standing on the tracks, and that the rules of the defendant required engineers to give signals before starting; and plaintiff testified that the engineer of the engine in question saw him go into the place of danger. *Held*, that a charge that if, after the engineer knew or had reason to believe that plaintiff was in the place of danger, he with such knowledge started the engine without signal or warning, then defendant would be guilty of negligence, was not erroneous.

In an action by a car repairer against his employer for injuries, evidence examined, and whether plaintiff was guilty of contributory negligence *held* a question for the jury. *Hughes v. Iowa Cent. Ry. Co.*, 103 N. W. Rep., 339.

CARRIERS—BAGGAGE—DELIVERY TO CARRIER—NEGLIGENCE.

Where a railroad provided a regular and safe place at its depot for receiving baggage, and there was a safe road leading thereto, delivery of baggage to the railroad in such sense as to make it responsible for injury thereto could not be accomplished by unloading the baggage from a dray, in the absence of the station officials, onto a wheeled truck close to the edge of the platform near the track.

[Ed. Note.—For cases in point, see vol. 9, Cent. Dig. Carriers, Secs. 102-106, 1502.]

A passenger's baggage was unloaded from a dray onto a wheeled truck standing on the edge of the platform near the track, where it was struck by a passing train. There was no evidence that the train was being run at an unlawful rate of speed, nor that the engineer had any reason to apprehend that the dray or truck would be standing on or so near the track as to invite a collision, and it was shown that, when the engine approached sufficiently close to the edge as to make discovery of the situation possible, it was too late to check the speed of the train and avoid the collision. *Held*, that there was no evidence of negligence in the running of the train. *Lennon v. Illinois Cent. R. Co.*, 103 N. W. Rep., 343.

RAILROADS—INJURY TO LIVE STOCK—QUESTIONS FOR JURY—EVIDENCE—ADMISSIBILITY—DOUBLE DAMAGES—STATUTES—CONSTRUCTION.

In action against a railroad for the killing of a horse, which went through a gate in defendant's right of way, evidence *held* to warrant submitting to the jury the question as to how the gate became open.

Whether the gate was sufficient in construction and fastening was also a question for the jury.

[Ed. Note.—For cases in point, see vol. 41, Cent. Dig. Railroads, Sec. 1635.]

Where it was shown that the gate, if opened by stock at all, was opened by the use of the upper board for rubbing purposes, so that the question of the space between the upper and the second board became material in determining whether the gate was improperly constructed, the admission of evidence that after the accident the defendant had fastened a wire between the two boards was reversible error.

Code Sec. 2055, provides for double damages against railroads for injury to stock caused by failure to fence the right of way. Section 2057 defines a legal right of way fence, and section 2058 provides a penalty for failure to fence as provided in the preceding section. Section 2055 was in force prior to the enactment of sections 2057, 2058 and the courts had held that it was a complete defense to an action under section 2055 to show that there was a reasonably sufficient fence and that insufficient fences were in fact no fences at all. *Held* that, as it must be presumed that the Legislature had in mind such rules, there was no conflict between the sections, but it was the legislative intent that a failure to fence as required by section 2057 should be treated as an entire failure to fence, and that the liability and penalty provided for in section 2055 should then follow. *Titus v. Chicago M. & St. P. Ry. Co.*, 103 N. W. Rep., 343.

MASTER AND SERVANT—INDEPENDENT CONTRACTOR—DAMAGES—INJURY TO LAND—REMOVAL OF SOIL—COMPUTATION—AMOUNT.

The test to be applied in determining whether an employe is or is not an independent contractor, for whose acts the employer is free from responsibility, is whether the employe represents his employer as to the result of the work only, in which case he is an independent contractor, or as to the means as well as the result, when he is merely an agent or servant.

[Ed. Note.—For cases in point, see vol. 34, Cent. Dig. Master and Servant, Secs. 1242, 1257, 1258.]

A contract with a railroad for the removal of earth from cuts required the contractor to furnish all work, tools, and equipment to do all the grading required for filling at a certain place under the direction and to the satisfaction of the chief engineer, who should also determine the width of the embankment to be constructed, and who was empowered to terminate the contract whenever he deemed it for the railroad's best interest. The railroad was to pay the contractor a certain price for each cubic yard of grading done, and the contractor obligated himself to take certain precautions, to pay damages to stock and other property occasioned by his negligence and to save the railroad harmless from liens. *Held*, that the contractor was not an independent contractor, but the servant of the railroad, for whose negligence in removing soil from private property the railroad was liable.

In an action against a railroad for damages caused by the removal of soil from plaintiff's land, where the land from which the soil was taken was rendered practically valueless, and the title remained in plaintiff, the measure of damages was the difference in the value of plaintiff's land before and after the injury, and not the mere value of the soil actually taken.

Where a railroad removed soil belonging to plaintiff from both sides of its right of way through a considerable portion of plaintiff's farm, plaintiff's damages, as determined by the diminution in value of his farm, should be estimated on the basis of his entire farm, considered as a unit, instead of merely on the basis of narrow strips of land along the track.

In an action against a railroad for the removal of soil from the sides of defendant's right of way, running through plaintiff's land, the evidence showed that the effect of the excavations made by defendant widening the cuts previously made, was to actually appropriate the soil from less than an acre of plaintiff's ground, leaving the sides nearly perpendicular. Further evidence as to the recession of the upper edge of the cut showed that the land which would be rendered unfit for farming purposes would not exceed two acres in all. One of defendant's witnesses testified, without any inquiry being made as to the grounds of his opinion, that the farm, as a whole (160 acres), was damaged in the sum of \$1 per acre. The land was worth not to exceed \$75 per acre. *Held*, that a verdict for \$450 would be cut down to \$300. *Parrott v. Chicago Great Western Ry. Co.*, 103 N. W. Rep., 352.

RAILROADS—INJURIES TO ANIMALS ON TRACK—NEGLIGENCE—CONTRIBUTORY NEGLIGENCE.

The failure of employees to give warning of the approach of the train to a point where men and teams are known to be at work about the track may be negligence as a matter of fact, though not negligence *per se* arising from a failure to give the signals prescribed by Code, Sec. 2072, on approaching highway crossings.

Proof that a train was operated at a speed prohibited by a municipal ordinance is evidence from which a jury may find that the excessive rate of speed was the proximate cause of an injury to a team employed in repairing the track and struck by the train. [Ed. Note.—For cases in point, see vol. 41, Cent. Dig. Railroads, Sec. 1485.]

The testimony of the engineer in charge of a train that he did all he could to stop the train before injury to a team was not conclusive on the jury where there was evidence that the train might have been stopped after the discovery of the peril and before the injury.

The employees in charge of the train on discovering that an unattended team employed in repairing the track is approaching the track must exercise ordinary care to stop the train and avoid injury.

(Ed. Note.—For cases in point, see vol. 41, Cent. Dig. Railroads, Secs. 1496, 1497.)

A person left his team unattended and untied near a railroad track. It was used to standing unattended and untied. It passed onto the track, and was injured by being struck by a train. *Held*, that he was not guilty of contributory negligence as a matter of law. *O'Leary v. Chicago, R. I. & P. Ry. Co.*, 103 N. W. Rep., 362.

RAILROADS—CONSTRUCTION ON STREET—ABUTTING PROPERTY—EXCAVATION OF STREET.

Under Code, Sec. 767, declaring that no railroad track can be laid on a street until the injury to property abutting on the street has been ascertained and compensated for, property abutting on streets which are excavated in order to form a crossing with a rail-

road passing diagonally through the intersection of the streets is abutting property, the excavation being part of the railroad track. *Middleton v. Mason City & Ft. D. R. Co.*, 103 N. W. Rep., 364.

NEGLIGENCE—DANGEROUS APPLIANCES—RAILROAD SNOW FENCES—INJURIES TO CHILD—
 PROXIMATE CAUSE—INTERVENING CAUSE—CONTRIBUTORY NEGLIGENCE—
 QUESTION FOR JURY—EVIDENCE—MANIFESTATION OF PAIN—IN-
 STRUCTION.

In an action for injuries to a child of six by the falling of a panel of a railroad snow fence, which defendant railroad company had been licensed by the child's father to erect on the father's property, evidence *held* to require submission of defendant's alleged negligence in constructing and fastening the fence to the jury.

In an action for injuries to a child of six evidence that after his injury he would cry out and weep as if in pain, and would otherwise give manifestations of physical suffering, and would complain from time to time that his broken limb hurt him, was admissible.

[Ed. Note.—For cases in point, see vol. 15, Cent. Dig. Damages, Sec. 485; vol. 20, Cent. Dig. Evidence, Sec. 381.]

In an action for injuries to a boy of six, evidence that his father was a day laborer in a planing mill, and that he earned about \$2 a day, was admissible, on the presumption that the child would follow his father's vocation.

Where, in an action for injuries to a boy six years old by the falling of a railroad snow fence erected on land belonging to the boy's father, there was evidence warranting a finding of negligence in the original construction of the fence, the mere fact that, earlier in the same day on which the accident occurred, plaintiff and his younger brother found the panel down, and lifted it back into its original position, did not constitute such an independent intervening cause, disconnected with the former act of defendant's negligence, as showed, as a matter of law, that the proximate cause of the injury was not the original negligence of defendant in erecting the fence.

In an action for injuries to a child of six by the falling of a panel of a railroad snow fence, plaintiff was only chargeable with such a degree of care as a child of his age would be reasonably expected to exercise under similar circumstances.

[Ed. Note.—For cases in point, see vol. 37, Cent. Dig. Negligence Sec. 123.]

Where there was evidence that plaintiff's nervous system was seriously injured, an instruction that if the jury found a permanent impairment and destruction of his nervous system and the functions thereof, etc., was not erroneous; the word "destruction" being used not in the sense of a total loss of nerve force, but as meaning an enfeeblement or impairment which would mark plaintiff's condition through life. *Fishburn v. Burlington N. W. Ry. Co.*, et al. 103 N. W. Rep., 481.

CARRIERS—INJURIES TO PASSENGERS—CONTRIBUTORY NEGLIGENCE—DISMOUNTING
 FROM MOVING TRAIN.

In an action against a railroad for injuries to a passenger claimed to have been caused by starting the train with a jerk after it had come to a stop near plaintiff's destination, evidence *held* to show that in fact plaintiff attempted to dismount from the train after it started again, and was consequently guilty of contributory negligence. *Newlin v. Iowa Cent. Ry. Co.*, 103 N. W. Rep., 999.

MASTER AND SERVANT—ASSUMPTION OF RISK—DEFECTIVE APPLIANCES—NOTICE
 OF DEFECT.

The existence of defects in certain places in a railroad track is not of itself sufficient to charge a brakeman with notice of a particular defect in another place in the track.

[Ed. Note.—For cases in point, see vol. 34, Cent. Dig. Master and Servant, Secs. 574-578, 706-709.]

A railroad brakeman assumes the ordinary hazards of his employment and risks incident to defects in the track of which he has or should have knowledge, but does not assume the risk of a defect of which he does not know and which he is not in position to discover.

[Ed. Note.—For cases in point, see vol. 34, Cent. Dig. Master and Servant, Secs. 574-578.]

In an action against a railroad for injuries to a brakeman, a charge on the issue of defendant's negligence was not erroneous because it failed, in stating the conditions of defendant's liability, to take into consideration the questions of assumption of risk, contributory negligence, and a violation of the railroad's rules.

In an action against a railroad for injuries to a brakeman, a charge that the jury should consider whether plaintiff, in the performance of his duty, should have been in the position which he was in when hurt, was in the absence of a request for a more specific instruction, sufficient on the effect of a rule of the railroad prohibiting the backing of trains over public crossings without a man on the leading car.

The doctrine of the assumption of risk is based on the servant's knowledge, actual or implied, of the defect which caused the injury, and consent or the equivalent thereof, and, in the absence of such knowledge on the part of the servant, there can be no assumption of risk.

[Ed. Note.—For cases in point, see vol. 34, Cent. Dig. Master and Servant, Secs. 574-578.]

A provision in a contract between a railroad and a brakeman that, in consideration of employment, the brakeman agrees to give the railroad notice of personal injuries sustained by him while in the railroad's service within 30 days after receiving such injuries and that his failure to give such notice in the manner and within the time specified shall be a bar to an action therefor, is in violation of Code, Sec. 2071, providing that railroads shall be liable for damages sustained by employees or others in consequence of the neglect of agents or other employees of the railroad, and that no contract which restricts such liability shall be legal or binding.

Code, Sec. 2071, providing that railroads shall be liable for damages sustained by employees or others in consequence of the neglect of agents or other employees of the railroad, and that no contract which restricts such liability shall be legal or binding, is within the legislative power to enact, and is not an unconstitutional interference with the liberty of contract. *Munford v. Chicago, Rock Island & Pacific Company*. 104 N. W. Rep., 1135.

SYLLABI OF DECISIONS OF INTERSTATE
COMMERCE COMMISSION.

SYLLABI OF DECISIONS.

EDWARD G. DAVIES

v.

THE PERE MARQUETTE RAILROAD COMPANY, and
THE MICHIGAN CENTRAL RAILROAD COMPANY.

Decided January 5, 1905.

Complainant alleged the collection by defendants of charges in excess of the tariff rate on certain shipments of fruit from Michigan points to Chicago, and an unlawful contract with a delivering agent in Chicago, and thereupon complainant insisted that defendants had been guilty of penal offenses under the law which should be reported for prosecution. The complainant disclaimed at the hearing any demand for damages or reparation. The facts show errors in charges arising from lack of knowledge by the agent at Chicago of the kind of package used, or the actual contents of the package shipped to complainant, the shipments having been unloaded by complainant, and also from a practice by the agent of the initial carrier at one point temporarily used as a receiving station for fruit, of making a charge in addition to the freight rate without the knowledge of the railroad company. The compensation paid to the delivering agent in Chicago for unloading and handling the freight in that city was apparently reasonable. *Held*, upon consideration of the evidence, that defendants were not guilty of any wilful or intentional violation of the law. 10 I. C. C. Rep.

WM. WREIGLEY, JR.,

v.

THE CLEVELAND, CINCINNATI, CHICAGO & ST. LOUIS RAILWAY COMPANY, et al., THE
COWART-LOFTON COMPANY, Intervenor.

Decided January 5, 1905.

Defendant's rule, providing that the minimum charge upon any single shipment of freight shall be for 100 pounds at the class or commodity rate applying upon the article, which is in force in the territory roughly described as south of the Ohio and Potomac and east of the Mississippi rivers, and also on traffic shipped to that territory from points in the Central West, *held*, upon the facts in this case, not to be unreasonable or unjustly discriminating in its application to complainant's traffic. No opinion expressed as to legality of the rule upon traffic generally. 10 I. C. C. Rep.

PAXTON TIE COMPANY,

v.

DETROIT SOUTHERN RAILROAD COMPANY.

Decided January 7, 1905.

Between December 16, 1902, and April 6, 1903, defendant unjustly discriminated against complainant in furnishing cars for the shipment of cross ties by refusing to provide any cars for such shipments by complainant, while it did furnish cars to other persons for the interstate shipment of lumber, stone and many other freight articles, and also supplied cars for the shipment of cross ties destined almost entirely for its own use. Reparation in the sum of \$630 awarded to complainant. 10 I. C. C. Rep.

CHICAGO LIVE STOCK EXCHANGE,

v.

CHICAGO GREAT WESTERN RAILWAY COMPANY et al.

Decided January 7, 1905.

The complainant, an incorporated association, is entitled under section 13 of the Act to regulate commerce to bring and maintain a proceeding of this character.

The defendant, the Atchison, Topeka & Santa Fe Railway Company, removed the discrimination complained of as to its lines, and it appeared that the defendant, the St. Louis & San Francisco Railroad Company, does not participate in the rates in question. Complaint as to those lines dismissed.

Defendants exact higher rates for transporting cattle and hogs than for transporting live-stock products to Chicago from points west, northwest and southwest thereof, including Missouri river points and South St. Paul, Minn. Upon all the facts and circumstances, *Held*,

1. That such discrimination is not justified by difference in cost of transportation or otherwise, and subjects the traffic in cattle and hogs at Chicago and other points, and those interested therein, to undue and unreasonable prejudice and disadvantage, and gives to the traffic in the products of hogs and cattle, and to shippers and localities interested in such traffic, undue and unreasonable preference and advantage, in violation of the Act to regulate commerce. *Chicago Board of Trade v. C. A. R. Co.*, (4 I. C. C. Rep. 158) reaffirmed and the principle therein announced extended to the transportation of cattle and their products.

2. That the desire of a carrier to secure additional business for its line of road does not justify a change in the relation of rates resulting in a higher rate upon cattle and hogs, the raw material, than upon livestock product, the manufactured article, where, as in this case, the articles are in sharp competition with each other in the markets of purchase and sale, where it appears that upon other lines and in other sections rates are generally no higher, and in many instances much lower, on the traffic prejudiced than on that favored by change, and where numerous and important industries, which have been built up and maintained under the former adjustment, and those interested in such industries, will be injuriously affected by the action taken. 10 I. C. C. Rep.

MERSHON, SCHUETTE, PARKER & COMPANY

v.

THE CENTRAL RAILROAD COMPANY OF NEW JERSEY and THE PENNSYLVANIA RAILROAD COMPANY.

Decided January 13, 1905.

Defendants' rates for transporting lumber in carloads to points on the New York & Long Branch Railroad are made by adding to the rate to New York, N. Y., an arbitrary charge of 5 cents per 100 pounds when the shipping point is Saginaw, Mich., but only 2 cents per 100 pounds when the shipping point is Buffalo, N. Y. Water competition between Buffalo and New York affects the rates to New York, but it justifies no wider difference in the rates from Saginaw and Buffalo to these interior destinations than exists in the rates from these shipping points to New York. *Held*. That the discrimination is undue and in violation of the Act to regulate commerce. 10 I. C. C. Rep.

THE LEHMAN-HIGGINSON GROCER COMPANY, et al.,

v.

THE ATCHISON, TOPEKA & SANTA FE RAILWAY COMPANY, et al., RILEY-WILSON GROCER COMPANY and NAVE-MCCORD MERCANTILE COMPANY, Intervenor.

Decided January 17, 1905.

Complainants alleged that defendants, having in effect on sugar in carloads from New Orleans, rates per hundred pounds which were 25 cents to Wichita and 20 cents to

Kansas City and other Missouri River points, increased those rates to 47 cents to Wichita and 32 cents to Missouri River points, thereby increasing the differential as between Wichita and Kansas City from 5 cents to 15 cents per hundred pounds, and that the new rates were, as against Wichita, unjust and unreasonable in themselves and relatively; and it was further alleged that new advanced rates from other points of origin imposed the same differential as between Wichita and Kansas City, and that, as against Wichita, those rates were also unlawful. Wichita and Kansas City compete for the sale of sugar in common competitive territory. The competitive conditions applying in the transportation of this traffic to Wichita and Kansas City are stated and found not to justify the 15-cent differential against Wichita, and the existing rates to Wichita are excessive. *Held:*

1. That the rate of 47 cents on sugar from New Orleans to Wichita is unreasonable.

2. That the present differential of 15 cents applied at Wichita above Kansas City on shipments of sugar from the Atlantic Seaboard and New Orleans subjects Wichita to undue discrimination; and such differential should not be more than 8 cents per 100 pounds.

3. That as to traffic passing through Wichita to Kansas City the rule laid down in *Johnston-Larimer D. Co. v. A., T. & S. F. Ry. Co.* 6 I. C. C. Rep. 586, forbidding any higher charge to Wichita than to Kansas City on shipments from Galveston, is, in the light of decisions of the United States Supreme Court, no longer applicable, and defendants operating lines through Wichita to Kansas City are not prohibited from charging a higher rate on sugar to Wichita than to Kansas City so long as the Wichita rate is reasonable. 10 I. C. C. Rep.

IN THE MATTER OF ALLEGED UNLAWFUL RATES AND PRACTICES IN THE TRANSPORTATION OF COAL AND MINE SUPPLIES BY THE ATCHISON, TOPEKA AND SANTA FE RAILWAY COMPANY.

Decided February 1, 1905.

The act to regulate commerce, which requires carriers to publish and adhere to their tariffs, has been grossly and continuously violated by the Atchison, Topeka and Santa Fe Railway Company during the last five years in the following respects: It published rates on interstate shipments of coal from mines in Colorado and New Mexico which, under the tariffs, applied only to the transportation thereof, but which for the Colorado Fuel and Iron company were made by the railway company to include the price of the coal, and such price was paid to the fuel and iron company by the railway company. While giving rebates to the fuel and iron company from such tariff rates, it charged the full tariff rates on interstate shipments of coal by other shippers in not only the general coal region involved, but in the same coal field. This practice of the railway company resulted in closing markets for coal to shippers competing with the Colorado Fuel and Iron Company.

The act of February 19, 1903 (the so-called Elkins law,) which prohibits carriers from transporting traffic until a tariff has been published, requires observance of the tariff, provides a penalty for each violation of not less than \$1,000 nor more than \$20,000, and applies both to the carrier and the party receiving the concession, has, respecting the transportation involved in this proceeding, been systematically and continuously violated by the Atchison, Topeka and Santa Fe Railway Company and the Colorado Fuel and Iron Company from the day of its passage down to November 27, 1904, when the tariffs upon which this coal moved were reduced in all cases \$1.15; and this notwithstanding the Atchison, Topeka and Santa Fe Railway company has, in suit begun in the United States circuit court at the instance and request of this Commission, been under injunction since March 25, 1902, to observe in all respects its published schedules of rates. 10 I. C. C. Rep.

DULUTH SHINGLE COMPANY,

v.

DULUTH SOUTH SHORE & ATLANTIC RAILWAY COMPANY, et al.

Decided February 2, 1905.

The defendants, by charging a higher rate on shingles than on lumber, in carloads, from Duluth, Minn., to Chicago, Ill., unjustly discriminate against shingles in favor of

lumber, subject Duluth and complainant and other shingle shippers from that point to undue prejudice and disadvantage, and afford undue preference and advantage to other places from which shingles are carried at rates as low as those applied on lumber therefrom. 10 I. C. C. Rep.

H. II. TIFT, W. S. WEST, J. LEE ENSIGN, J. S. BETTS & COMPANY, GARbutt LUMBER COMPANY, ALAPAH LUMBER COMPANY and SOUTHERN PINE COMPANY.

v.

SOUTHERN RAILWAY COMPANY, *et al.*

Decided February 7, 1905.

Defendants made effective on June 22, 1903, an advance of 2 cents per 100 pounds over rates previously in effect from Georgia points to Ohio River destinations on lumber in carloads, whether shipped locally to said Ohio River points or shipped beyond. The rates prior to that date were in effect from September 8, 1899, on which date an advance was made of 1 cent from Group 2 points on the Southern Railway, and 2 cents from most other grouped shipping points in Georgia, over rates in force May 17, 1894. From the various groups the present advanced rates to Cincinnati, Louisville and Evansville are, as to some, 4 cents higher than in 1892, and as to others, 3 cents higher than in 1891. The rates prior to the advance complained of were remunerative to the carriers.

Held:—

1. That complainants, constituting only a small portion of the membership of the Georgia Saw Mill Association, which is alleged by defendants to be an unlawful association, were entitled to bring and maintain this proceeding in their own behalf and in the interest of all shippers of the traffic involved and others constituting the public at large.

2. That the advance of rates complained of in this case was the result of concerted action by defendants and other carriers; and while the question whether such concert of action is in violation of the "Anti-Trust Act" is for determination only by the courts, it is the province and duty of this Commission, when the reasonableness of rates is in issue before it, to consider whether the advanced rates resulted from untrammelled competition, or were fixed by concert of action or combination of the carriers.

3. That where an advance is made in rates which have been long maintained, and the evidence shows that the traffic affected is large, important, and constantly increasing, the advance will be held unjust, unless it is satisfactorily explained.

4. That the test of the reasonableness of a rate is not the amount of profit in the business of the shipper or manufacturer, but whether the rate yields a reasonable compensation for the services performed. Carriers necessarily and justly participate in the prosperity of their patrons in the resultant enlargement of their own business, and no rule is more firmly grounded in reason, or more universally recognized by carriers, than that the greater the tonnage of the article transported, the lower should be the rate.

5. That if permanent improvements are not included in the operating expenses of defendants, and if only such expenditures for equipment as are properly chargeable to a single year are included, the percentage of operating expenses to gross earnings will be materially reduced.

6. That carriers have no right to advance a rate which is already reasonably high and which yields an adequate return for the services rendered, solely because additional revenue is needed. The mere fact of the need of additional revenue to meet increased expenses does not justify the advance in rates on these lumber shipments from Georgia to and beyond the Ohio River, which are, for the most part, of low grade and comparatively small value.

7. That the building of flat cars empty to the mills, or the practice of shippers to load cars below their capacity, are conditions which, to the extent they exist, are properly taken into account by carriers in fixing rates; and it must be assumed that they were considered by defendants in making and maintaining the rates so long in force prior to the advance herein charged.

8. That the rates on lumber, prior to the advance complained of, were reasonably high when compared with the rates on other commodities which are at all analogous to lumber in respect to value, volume, risk, cost of hauling, and other circumstances and conditions affecting the transportation of the traffic.

9. That lumber rates should be relatively low, in view of the limited life of the lumber business in Georgia, at the end of which large investments of manufacturers in plants, including buildings, machinery and tram roads, will become practically valueless, the increase in the net revenue of the roads caused by the lumber traffic, the fact that lumber is inexpensive freight and few other commodities furnish greater tonnage, the constancy of the traffic throughout the year, the fact that no special equipment is required for its movement, that it is loaded by shippers and unloaded by consignees, that when flat or open cars are furnished the shipper is at considerable expense to equip them so as to protect the lumber and the train, that it is not a perishable freight and does not require rapidity of movement, that there is small risk and in case of accident the damage is insignificant, and that lumber is an article of general utility.

10. That the advance of 2 cents per 100 pounds in defendants' rates on lumber from Georgia shipping points to Ohio River points, which was made effective June 22, 1903, was not warranted by the facts, circumstances and conditions disclosed in this case, and that the increased rates then put in force are unreasonable and unjust. 10 I. C. C. Rep.

THE CENTRAL YELLOW PINE ASSOCIATION

v.

THE ILLINOIS CENTRAL RAILROAD COMPANY, et al.

Decided February 7, 1905.

Complaint was made of an advance by defendants of 2 cents per 100 pounds on April 15, 1903 (except as to the L. & N. R. Co., as to which the advance became effective June 22, 1903), in the rates on lumber in carloads from points in lumber producing territories east of the Mississippi River in Louisiana, Missouri, and part of Alabama served by defendant roads, to Ohio River points, applying both on shipments locally to such Ohio River points and to shipments destined beyond. On September 9, 1899, the rate previously in effect from May 1, 1894, was advanced one cent, making a total advance of 3 cents since May 1, 1894. The rates prior to the advance on April 15, 1903, were remunerative to the defendant carriers. *Held:*

1. That when a railroad company advances at a rate which has been for some time in force, the fact of its continuance is in the nature of an admission against that company which tends to show the unreasonableness of the advance; and in this case the rates in effect for long periods prior to the advance are shown to have been profitable to the defendant carriers.

2. That the test of the reasonableness of a rate is not the amount of profit in the business of the shipper or manufacturer, but whether the rate yields a reasonable compensation for the services performed. Carriers necessarily and justly participate in the prosperity of their patrons in the resultant enlargement of their own business.

3. That the advance in rates by defendants was not justified by increased cost of operating the roads, for while the operating expenses have constantly increased, they have been enlarged by the inclusion therein of large expenditures for permanent improvements, and defendant's gross earnings have increased from year to year to such extent as to result in a constant increase of net earnings.

4. That the value of the entire property of a road employed for the public convenience can shed but little, if any, light upon the question whether the rate on one among thousands of articles of traffic yields its proper proportion of a fair return upon that value, and moreover, the voluminous and conflicting testimony in this case on that subject does not enable the Commission to determine the value of defendants' respective properties.

5. That the elements to be considered in determining the reasonableness of an entire system of rates are widely different from those involved in the question of the reasonableness of the rate upon a single commodity.

6. That the advance of rates complained of in this case was the result of concerted action by defendants and other carriers; and while the question whether such concert of action is in violation of the "Anti-Trust Act" is for determination only by the courts, it is the province and duty of this Commission when the reasonableness of rates is in issue before it, to consider whether the advanced rates resulted from untrammelled competition, or were fixed by concert of action or combination of carriers.

7. That the rates in effect prior to the advance were reasonably high when compared with the rates on other commodities which are at all analogous to lumber in respect to value, volume and the various conditions affecting the service of transportation.

8. That carriers have no right to advance a rate which is already reasonably high and which yields an adequate return for the services rendered solely because additional revenue is needed.

9. That logging roads or "tap lines," to which the defendant M. & O. R. Co. grants allowances from its published rates, are not common carriers, but such tap lines are the private properties of mill owners, and the allowances are therefore unlawful. *Cent. Yellow Pine Assn. v. V. S. & P. R. R. Co.* (10 I. C. C. Rep. 193), cited and applied.

10. That section 3, of the Act to regulate commerce, which prohibits undue preferences as between individuals or localities, is not violated by the failure or refusal of defendants to make "tap line" allowances to mill owners in their territory while such allowances are granted to mill owners by other carriers in the territory west of the Mississippi; but if the rate west of the Mississippi River, minus the allowance, is reasonable, it tends to support the proposition that a similar reduction east of the river would leave the rate reasonably high; and the M. & O. R. Co., by voluntarily making such allowances east of the river, practically concedes this proposition as to itself.

11. That no rule is more firmly grounded in reason or more universally recognized by carriers, than that the greater the tonnage of an article of traffic, the lower should be the rate, but defendants have made yellow pine lumber an exception to this rule.

12. That lumber rates should be relatively low, in view of the fact that lumber is inexpensive freight and few other commodities furnish to carriers so large a tonnage; that the lumber business is constant, yielding carriers revenue all the year; that no special equipment is constructed or furnished for its carriage; that it is loaded by shippers and unloaded by consignees, and where open cars are furnished the shipper it required, at considerable expense, to equip them so as to protect the lumber and the train; and that there is small risk and in case of accident the damage is insignificant.

13. That the advance on April 15, 1903, of 2 cents per 100 pounds in the rate from the shipping points in question to the Ohio River was not warranted, and that the resultant increased rates are unreasonable and unjust. 10 I. C. C. Rep.

CONSOLIDATED FORWARDING COMPANY, *et al.*

v.

SOUTHERN PACIFIC COMPANY, *et al.*

1., Defendant's minimum carload weight of 26,000 pounds for the carriage of citrus fruit in refrigerator or ventilator cars from Southern California points to eastern destination is not unreasonable with the 40-foot car in general use.

2. Whether or not the regulating statute applies to refrigeration charges in all cases, the defendants, by compelling shippers to pay icing charges on citrus fruits as established by the car lines or do without necessary refrigeration for the traffic have made these charges part of the cost of transportation and subject to regulation under the law.

3. The refrigeration charges applying on shipments of citrus fruits from Southern California points to eastern markets have been reduced during the pendency of this proceeding, and the present charges for refrigeration are not found, upon the record of this case, to be unreasonable.

4. Defendants are unlawfully engaged in pooling the traffic in citrus fruits originating in Southern California and carried by them and their connections to eastern markets. Further action upon that branch of this proceeding is reserved by the Commission, in view of the pendency in the United States Supreme Court of an appeal from a like decision of the Circuit Court for the Southern District of California, in a suit brought by this Commission to enforce its order herein prohibiting the defendants from continuing to apply and enforce a provision in their tariff reserving to themselves the routing of this traffic to eastern destinations, and depriving shippers of their right to determine which of various established routes shall be used for the transportation of their property.

5. Defendants' present rate of \$1 per 100 pounds on lemons in carloads from Southern California to points on and east of the Missouri River is apparently reasonable.

6. Defendants' rate of \$1.25 per 100 pounds on oranges in carloads carried from Southern California to points on and east of the Missouri River is unreasonable and unjust. 10 I. C. C. Rep.

RICHMOND ELEVATOR COMPANY

v.

PERE MARQUETTE RAILROAD COMPANY.

Decided February 18, 1905.

While the Act to regulate commerce contains no provision which expressly or by proper implication gives this Commission jurisdiction in cases merely showing delay or negligence in the receipt, forwarding or delivery of property offered for transportation, including the furnishing of cars the regulating statute does prohibit any unjust discrimination or wrongful prejudice in the provision of cars or other transportation facilities, as well as in the fixing and application of transportation charges.

Every shipper is legally entitled to fair opportunity and treatment in the use of these public utilities, and any discrimination which in substantial degree deprives shippers of such use must be considered unjust, unless forced by justifying conditions. In such a case the burden of proof is upon the complainant to the extent of showing discrimination, and then upon the carrier to show that the discrimination was justified.

Merely putting in evidence defendant's rule of car apportionment is insufficient to show discrimination against the complainant; the actual effect of the rule, during the time covered by the complaint is necessary to a determination of the question of unfairness in the distribution of cars.

It appears generally from the facts in this case that in furnishing cars defendant unjustly discriminated against the complainant, which desired to ship hay from various points in Michigan, but the proof fails to indicate with any degree of certainty the damage caused by the wrongful discrimination and the amount which the complainant is entitled to recover by way of reparation. Complainant granted leave to apply within a limited time for further hearing. 10 I. C. C. Rep.

CHARLES A. THOMPSON

v.

THE PENNSYLVANIA RAILROAD COMPANY.

Decided March 10, 1905.

The right of complainant to ship coal was not barred by the fact that he is a druggist by occupation, or that he loaded coal cars from wagons, for a large part of the commerce of the country is handled in that way; and when he tendered freight for transportation he was entitled to the same facilities furnished to other shippers under like conditions.

During the anthracite coal strike of 1902, which caused an extremely large demand for bituminous coal and great increase in the price of that coal, complainant arranged for the purchase and sale of the surplus product of certain bituminous mines, called surface or country mines, and for hauling the coal by wagon to stations or sidings and loading upon defendant's cars. Under normal conditions this could not be done at a profit. Complainant demanded and received several cars during the month of November, 1902. In that month defendant issued a rule limiting its coal cars to mines having track connection with its road, and this rule was kept in force during the strike period. The demand for coal throughout the strike resulted in the greatest tax upon the railroad equipment and in the congestion of lines, yards and terminals. The mines loading by tipples and by track connection received far less than their usual car supply. Under those and other attendant conditions, defendant's temporary rule, confining its comparatively few available cars to mines generally in operation, where quick loading could be accomplished, and declining to permit its sidings or switches to be further congested by loading coal from wagons, not only by

complainant, but many others temporarily engaged in the same pursuit, was calculated to hasten rather than retard the movement of coal for public use, and was not unreasonable or unjust.

No opinion is expressed upon the point whether a railroad may, under ordinary conditions, discriminate in furnishing cars as between the methods of loading by tipple and wagon, or whether without a rule it may, even in great emergency, discriminate between the two classes of shipments, and the decision is confined to the particular situation disclosed by the record in this proceeding. 10 I. C. C. Rep.

THE CANNON FALLS FARMERS' ELEVATOR COMPANY.

V.

THE CHICAGO GREAT WESTERN RAILWAY COMPANY AND THE CHICAGO, MILWAUKEE & ST. PAUL RAILWAY COMPANY.

Decided March 25, 1905.

A ruling that an antecedent haul to one locality and no previous transportation to a competing locality constitute justification for a lower charge from the former to a common market, would be in effect to approve the equalization of natural advantages and disadvantages as between localities, and such equalization is not sanctioned by the Act to regulate commerce.

With competition for the carriage of grain to and via Duluth and other northern lake ports, rates of 10 cents on wheat and $7\frac{1}{2}$ cents on other grain from Minneapolis to Chicago are as high as can be obtained by the all-rail lines between those points, and competition by lines other than the defendants from Minneapolis to East St. Louis has fixed the rate by all lines at 10 cents per 100 pounds, and this also controls the rate to Louisville. The rates from Cannon Falls, a point in Minnesota 48 miles from Minneapolis, to Chicago, East St. Louis and Louisville, are also competitive rates, and in its competition with Minneapolis Cannon Falls is entitled to as low rates to common points as the difference in conditions will permit. In view, however, of the desirability of keeping open the Minneapolis market to Cannon Falls grain, the short distance between those points, and the low rate from Minneapolis forced by competition, it is apparently not unjust that the grain rate from Cannon Falls should be as high as the local rate to Minneapolis plus a $7\frac{1}{2}$ cent rate therefrom to Chicago, provided the Cannon Falls dealer is not thereby subjected to disadvantage as compared with the Minneapolis grain dealer.

Under present rate conditions the Cannon Falls shipper is subjected to disadvantage as follows:

First: The combination of rates on rye and other coarse grain from Cannon Falls to Minneapolis and Minneapolis to Chicago is $\frac{1}{2}$ cent less than the straight rate from Cannon Falls to Chicago, and this is without justification.

Second: The favorable location of Cannon Falls with reference to Minneapolis and Duluth and the competitive advantage to which the Cannon Falls dealer is entitled by reason of the route via Duluth, are neutralized to an extent by manipulation of billing at Minneapolis whereby Cannon Falls grain sold in Minneapolis can be re-consigned to Duluth under a substituted billing and the balance of a through rate, resulting in a less total charge from Cannon Falls to Duluth than the charge on a through shipment from Cannon Falls to Duluth.

Third: The rate on rye, barley and other coarse grain from Cannon Falls to Louisville or East St. Louis is wrongfully higher than the rate on wheat between the same points. 10 I. C. C. Rep.

IN THE MATTER OF DIVISIONS OF JOINT RATES AND OTHER ALLOWANCES TO TERMINAL RAILROADS.

Decided March 25, 1905.

Carriers operating lines to points west of the Mississippi River make rates to such points which are the same from East St. Louis, Ill., as from St. Louis, Mo. A large portion of the less than carload traffic is hauled by team from East St.

Louis to the depots of the rail carriers in St. Louis, mostly by regularly organized transfer companies, but to some extent by teams owned by shippers. The rail carriers accept delivery at depots of the transfer companies in East St. Louis, pay the transfer companies 5 cents per 100 pounds for such transfer to St. Louis, and also pay a like sum to the Grant Chemical Company, a shipper, for a similar transfer of that company's shipments from East St. Louis, but refuse to make such payments to other shippers. The Eclipse Transfer Company was organized for the sole purpose of obtaining these payments; it uses teams owned by the Simmons Hardware Company, and uses the storehouse of the latter for a receiving depot. Under the circumstances, *Held*: That the payments to the Grant Chemical Company and the Eclipse Transfer Company are illegal. No opinion is expressed as to whether lines leading west from St. Louis may properly apply the St. Louis rate to the station of a *bona fide* transfer company in East St. Louis and absorb the cost of transfer to St. Louis; nor whether the rail carriers may, by proper schedules, allow all shippers from East St. Louis a fixed sum per 100 pounds for transporting their merchandise to the carriers' depots in St. Louis, those questions not being presented by the record in this proceeding.

The Granite City, Alton & Eastern Railroad Company was organized for the purpose of operating several thousand feet of railway used in the business of the St. Louis Slurp and Preserving Company and located on the latter's private grounds at Granite City, Ill. The Granite City Company has constructed a short track outside the limits of the grounds of the Preserving Company and uses, jointly with other parties, another track about 3,000 feet in length. By means of these tracks the Granite City Company connects with other railroad companies and is paid by the latter certain divisions of transportation charges on traffic shipped by the Preserving Company and hauled to such connections by the Granite City Company. Assuming that the Granite City Company and the Preserving Company are identical in ownership concerning which a definite finding is not made, *Held*: That the payments to the Granite City Company constitute rebates and are illegal.

The Illinois Terminal Railroad Company was organized in the interest of the Illinois Glass Company and uses tracks constructed by the latter on its private grounds at Alton, Ill., for the purpose of connecting its plant with different lines of railway. Facts relating to the construction and operation of the terminal road are stated. *Held*: That if the Glass Company owns and operates the Illinois Terminal Railroad, the case is in all respects identical with the facts developed at the Chicago hearing in this investigation (10 I. C. C. Rep. 385) and the conclusions there announced apply here; but if the holders of the capital stock of the Glass Company own the Railroad Company, a different question may be presented. 10 I. C. C. Rep.

W. J. KOCH AND H. W. KOCH

v.

THE PENNSYLVANIA RAILROAD COMPANY and THE PITTSBURG, CINCINNATI, CHICAGO & ST. LOUIS RAILWAY COMPANY.

Decided April 11, 1905.

Shippers are not entitled as a matter of right to mill grain in transit and forward the milled product under the through rate in force on the grain from the point of origin to the place of ultimate destination; but allowance of the privilege by a carrier to shippers in one section must be without wrongful prejudice to the rights of shippers in another section served by its line.

Considering the defendants as a single line, the granting of transit milling west of Pittsburg and denying it to millers at Harrisburg is not necessarily unlawful, because conditions on that line in Ohio and Indiana may be very different from conditions in eastern Pennsylvania, and it does not follow that the allowance of transit privileges in the former territory requires as a matter of law the like allowance in the latter territory; but such differences have not been shown, nor their bearing explained, by the testimony in this proceeding, and upon the meager and incomplete facts now appearing, the Commission is not warranted in making a decision which in principle, if complainants' contention is well founded, would involve a general extension of transit privileges into a large territory where heretofore such privileges have not been allowed. Case continued for further hearing. 10 I. C. C. Rep.

H. B. PITTS & SON

v.

ST. LOUIS & SAN FRANCISCO RAILROAD COMPANY and TEXAS & PACIFIC RAILWAY COMPANY.

Decided April 24, 1905.

Complainants shipped two carloads of snapped corn over the railways of the St. Louis & San Francisco and Texas & Pacific companies, from Grove, Indian Territory, to Marshall, Texas. On one carload defendants exacted a charge of 44½ cents per 100 pounds and on the other 31½ cents. The only published rates in effect and applicable to the shipments were, an interstate rate of the former company of 21 cents per 100 pounds plus 25 per cent for the transportation to Paris, Texas, and a proportional rate of the latter for transportation from Paris to Marshall of 14½ cents, except that the T. & P. Company's tariff provided that lower combinations by other roads would be protected. Upon one shipment the tariff purporting to name the rates was an association tariff consisting of 297 pages, filled with notes, exceptions and special references, indicated by a host of arbitrary signs, and was so involved that the freight officials of the carriers could not agree, and the Auditor of the Commission found it difficult to determine the rate which did apply. *Held:*

1. That the rate of the St. L. & S. F. Company for the transportation to Paris was excessive and unreasonable to the extent that it exceeded 21 cents per 100 pounds.

2. That the proportional rate of the T. & P. Company of 14½ cents per 100 pounds is assumed to be reasonable.

3. That complainants are entitled to reparation to the extent that the charges exacted from them exceeded 35½ cents per 100 pounds.

4. That it is the duty of railroad companies, under the Act to regulate commerce, to print, publish and file tariffs showing rates which are so simplified that persons of ordinary comprehension can understand them; and that a notation in the tariff of one carrier making reference to the tariff of some competing carrier does not meet the requirement of the law that the rate charged shall be published and filed. 10 I. C. C. Rep.

GALLOGLY & FIRESTONE

v.

CINCINNATI, HAMILTON & DAYTON RAILWAY COMPANY.

Decided April 24, 1905.

A case before the Commission involving violation of the Act to regulate commerce through alleged discrimination in furnishing cars, and for which reparation is demanded, is not barred under section 9 of the Act by the previous institution in a state court of a suit for damages between the same parties, based upon such discrimination.

Defendant's refusal to furnish to complainants cars for interstate shipments of corn from Lepsic, Ohio, while it contemporaneously furnished to complainants' competitors cars for like shipments, was unlawful discrimination.

Evidence relating to damages found unsatisfactory and inconclusive, and complainants allowed until May 20, 1905, to apply for further hearing in respect thereto. 10 I. C. C. Rep.

H. B. PITTS & SON

v.

ATCHISON, TOPEKA & SANTA FE RAILWAY COMPANY; TEXAS & PACIFIC RAILWAY COMPANY; INTERNATIONAL & GREAT NORTHERN RAILROAD COMPANY; AND MISSOURI, KANSAS & TEXAS RAILWAY COMPANY.

Decided April 24, 1905.

Facts stated concerning shipments of hay by complainant over the railways of the Atchison, Topeka & Santa Fe and Texas & Pacific Companies, from Robinson and La Junta, Colo., and Dodge City, Kans., to Marshall, Jefferson and Kildare, Tex. *Held:* That the proportional rates per 100 pounds charged by the former company

were excessive and unreasonable to the extent that they exceeded 21 cents for the transportation to Fort Worth, Tex., and those of the latter company excessive and unreasonable to the extent that they exceeded 15 cents for the transportation from Fort Worth to the destinations named, and that complainant is entitled to reparation from the A. T. & S. F. R. Co. in the sum of \$196.84, and from the T. & P. Co. in the sum of \$51.95, with interest from August 1, 1903.

Neither the International & Great Northern Railroad Company, nor the Missouri, Kansas & Texas Railway Company was a party to the transportation here in question, as to those carriers the complaint is dismissed. 10 I. C. C. Rep.

HOPE COTTON OIL COMPANY

v.

TEXAS & PACIFIC RAILWAY COMPANY.

Decided April 24, 1905.

Complainant desired to ship cotton seed in carloads from Louisiana stations on defendant's line to Hope Ark., at the sum of local rates based upon Texarkana, Ark., which sum was less than the published through charged, but defendant refused to apply its local rate to Texarkana, which was 12½ cents per 100 pounds, on such through shipments, and also refused to allow complainant to ship locally to Texarkana under the 12½ cent rate in force to that point. *Held*: That while defendant was entitled to insist upon the application of the through rate to the through shipment to Hope, it could not lawfully refuse to receive and carry complainant's freight to Texarkana under its local rate to that point, and that complainant is entitled to reparation for damages resulting from its inability to ship 640 tons of cotton seed to Hope which it had contracted for and desired to have transported over defendant's line. 10 I. C. C. Rep.

IN THE MATTER OF DIFFERENTIAL FREIGHT RATES TO AND FROM NORTH ATLANTIC PORTS.

Decided April 27, 1905.

Rates on freight articles from the West to Baltimore, Philadelphia and Boston are adjusted according to the following differentials below or above the rates to New York: Domestic traffic—3 cents less to Baltimore and 2 cents less to Philadelphia; 7 cents, first class, to 2 cents, sixth class, more to Boston. Export traffic—same as domestic traffic to Baltimore and Philadelphia, except on grain and iron and steel articles, which are 1½ cents less to Baltimore and 1 cent less to Philadelphia; same rates to Boston as to New York on this traffic. On ex-lake grain received at Buffalo, Fairport and Erie, there is, pending the disposition of this case, a differential in favor of Baltimore of 4-10 of a cent per bushel below the rate to New York, but no differential in favor of Philadelphia. It was contended by New York and Boston that the differentials favoring Baltimore and Philadelphia should be abolished. Upon voluntary submission of the controversy to the Commission by all parties, domestic traffic was excluded from consideration. For reasons stated no opinion is expressed concerning the relative rates on import traffic. With respect to export differentials,—

Held: 1. That the differential per 100 pounds on flour, all-rail and lake and rail, should be reduced to 2 cents at Baltimore and 1 cent at Philadelphia. 2. That the existing differential on ex-lake grain should be reduced to 3-10 of a cent per bushel and be allowed both to Baltimore and Philadelphia. 3. That otherwise the present export differentials should remain in force. 10 I. C. C. Rep.

ST. LOUIS HAY & GRAIN COMPANY

v.

CHICAGO, BURLINGTON & QUINCY RAILROAD COMPANY *et al.*

Decided May 15, 1905.

When a carload of hay destined to East St. Louis, Ill., is delivered by the carrier at a warehouse designated by the shipper or consignee prior to arrival in that

city, or to the proper switching road, or is placed upon the team track of the carrier, if no specific delivery is named, the car has been properly delivered and the carrier may insist that the consignee shall accept such delivery; and in case the consignee intercepts and sells the carload while upon a hold track, after arrival at East St. Louis but before such delivery, he thereby accepts delivery. If the consignee instead of removing the hay from the car so delivered, sells it to complainant, and a carrier, upon an order of the original consignee or of complainant, moves the car to complainant's storehouse in East St. Louis, that is a new and independent service on reconsignment performed entirely within the state of Illinois, of which this Commission has no jurisdiction; but it is considered that Congress might, directly or through the Commission, require that shippers shall be allowed a certain time after arrival in East St. Louis to designate the point of delivery for interstate shipments, and that such delivery be made accordingly. 11 I. C. C. Rep.

ST. LOUIS HAY & GRAIN COMPANY.

v.

MOBILE & OHIO RAILROAD COMPANY; ILLINOIS CENTRAL RAILROAD COMPANY; LOUISVILLE & NASHVILLE RAILROAD COMPANY; AND SOUTHERN RAILWAY COMPANY.

Decided May 15, 1905.

Stopping a commodity in transit for treatment or reconsignment is in the nature of a special privilege which the carrier may concede, but which the shipper, under the present state of the law, cannot demand as a matter of lawful right; but carriers may not unjustly discriminate between markets or individuals in the granting of such privileges.

In allowing the privilege of reconsigning hay at East St. Louis to southern destinations, defendants are entitled to charge for such privilege what it actually costs them; and the fair average cost to the carrier when complainant or others handle the hay through warehouses at East St. Louis, over and above the cost of handling in East St. Louis a through shipment via East St. Louis to southern destinations, is \$2 to \$2.50 per car, or approximately 1 cent per 100 lbs. Shipments routed through East St. Louis are carried from that point to southern destinations at a proportional rate, which is 2 cents per 100 lbs. above the rate from Ohio River crossings to the same destinations. Hay reconsigned to such points from warehouses in East St. Louis is charged 4 cents per 100 lbs. above the Ohio River rate. Hay appears to be reconsigned from warehouses at Ohio River points, and at Nashville and Memphis to southern points without additional charge.

Held, upon all the facts, that defendants' rates on reconsignments of hay from warehouses in East St. Louis to points south of the Ohio River, amounting to 2 cents more than their proportional rate from East St. Louis on through shipments, are unjust and unreasonable, and that complainant is entitled to reparation. 11 I. C. C. Rep.

THE CAPITAL CITY GAS COMPANY

v.

THE CENTRAL VERMONT RAILWAY COMPANY AND THE RUTLAND RAILROAD COMPANY.

Decided June 1, 1905.

The phrase "under substantially similar circumstances and conditions" in section 2 of the Act to regulate commerce refers to the matter of carriage, and it is not permissible under that section for two or more carriers to establish a joint through rate, less than the sum of their locals, which is applicable only to a particular shipper or class of shippers, while denying such lower rate to other shippers of like traffic between the same points of origin and destination. *Wight v. United States*, 167 U. S. 512, 42 L. ed. 258, 17 Sup. Ct. Rep. 822, as explained and confirmed in *Interstate Commerce Commission v. Alabama Midland R. Co.* 168 U. S. 144, 166, 42 L. ed. 414, 423, 18 Sup. Ct. Rep. 45, applied.

Defendants' joint rate of 90 cents per ton on bituminous coal from Norwood, N. Y., to Montpelier Vt., when intended for "railroad supply" and their combination Y., to Montpelier, Vt., when intended for "railroad supply" and their combination

rate of \$1.85 per ton, applied on such coal carried between the same points and used for manufacturing or any other industrial or domestic use, constitutes unlawful discrimination. 11 I. C. C. Rep.

IN THE MATTER OF CHARGES FOR THE TRANSPORTATION AND REFRIGERATION OF FRUIT
SHIPPED FROM POINTS ON THE PERE MARQUETTE AND
MICHIGAN CENTRAL RAILROADS

Decided June 22, 1905.

Railroad companies are required at common law to furnish suitable facilities for the conduct of the business in which they engage, and it follows that the respondent railroad companies, holding themselves out as carriers of perishable fruit, must provide the necessary refrigerator cars for the transportation of that traffic.

During performance of the transportation the car is to every practical intent the car of the railroad company using it, and its measure of responsibility as to the sufficiency of the car is the same, whether it obtains the car by purchase or lease.

Where a railroad company holds itself out as the carrier of a commodity which can only move under refrigeration, its duty extends ordinarily to furnishing that refrigeration, for the icing is not a mere incident to the transportation service, but is a part of the service itself, and properly speaking, it is not ice but refrigeration that the carrier furnishes to accompany the shipment during every movement of its journey. In the case under consideration, where the railroad company insists that the ice shall be supplied only by the party whom it appoints, and where it collects from the shipper and passes over to his party the compensation for that service, the railroad company must stand responsible for the refrigeration as for any other part of the transportation.

Refrigeration, being incumbent upon the carrier as part of the transportation, the charge for that service stands like any other charge for transportation. It is the duty of the carrier to publish, file with the Commission, and observe its refrigeration charges, and the Commission has the same jurisdiction to inquire into the justice and reasonableness of such charges as of any other charge for the transportation of passengers or property.

It is not within the province of the Commission to prescribe the method or kind of refrigeration charges which shall be adopted by the carrier.

A reasonable refrigeration charge on Michigan fruits shipped to interstate destinations from points in Michigan, when based upon the ice actually used, would be \$2.50 per ton, and reasonable and just charges, if made by the car, are also found and stated for the service from Michigan to the destinations involved. As a result of this investigation the Michigan Central R. Co. has established for the present season the reasonable charge of \$2.50 per ton for ice actually used, and that company is dismissed from the proceeding. The Pere Marquette R. Co., while under contract to continue its use of the Armour cars during the present season, has made reductions in its refrigeration charges amounting to from 15 to 30 per cent to apply during this season, and has filed a statement with the Commission that it intends for the season of 1906 to purchase or lease its own equipment and also furnish refrigeration at \$2.50 per ton for the ice used in transit. For reasons stated, including the consideration that the Commission is without authority to fix rates for the future, an order should not be issued at this time. 11 I. C. C. Rep.

W. SCHEIDEL & COMPANY.

v.

CHICAGO & NORTHWESTERN RAILWAY COMPANY and UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD COMPANY.

Submitted January 8, 1906. Decided January 18, 1906.

The "Scheidel outfit" is an electrical apparatus consisting of a so-called Ruhmkorff coil, an interrupter, a small rheostat and two switches, fitted to a strong table. The parts are detachable and are shipped in separate boxed packages, except the table, which is crated. This outfit, which transforms an electrical current of low voltage into

one of extremely high voltage, is used in medical and scientific work, including the use of the x-ray, and also in wireless telegraphy and in chemical works. The Western Classification places x-ray apparatus and scientific or medical instruments in double first class, and electrical apparatus u. o. s., in first class. Complainants contend that their outfit should be treated as an ordinary electrical appliance and carried at first class rates. *Held:*

That under conditions now governing the manufacture and use of complainant's outfit, such outfit is properly classified by the defendants with the x-ray and medical or scientific apparatus as double first class, and is not entitled to a first class rating with dynamos, transformers and other electrical machinery; but no opinion is expressed upon the justice of the first class rate for such machinery. 11 I. C. C. Rep.

CHARLOTTE SHIPPERS' ASSO.

v.

SOUTHERN R. Co. *et al.*

Decided June 22, 1905.

Freight rates to Charlotte, N. C., from New York and other northern and eastern points and from Louisville, Chicago, and other western points, are complained of as unjust, and those from the west as greater for the shorter distance to Charlotte than for longer distances through Charlotte to more distant localities. The freight rates to Charlotte are made by combining rates to Lynchburg and other Virginia cities with the local rates from those cities to Charlotte. Competition forces low rates to the Virginia cities, and also compels the low rates in force from the west to certain points east of Charlotte. If through rates to Charlotte lower than the combination of charges to and from the Virginia cities were established, the disadvantage now resulting to Charlotte dealers in their competition with dealers at Virginia cities would to that extent be removed, and the carriers are recommended to consider taking such action, but in the absence of a showing that the present through charges are unreasonable the Commission is without authority to require discontinuance of the charges now exacted. *Held:* After citing decisions of the courts, that the facts presented do not constitute a basis for an order of relief which could be enforced, and therefore the complaints must be dismissed. 11 I. C. C. Rep.

WILLIAM W. WYLIE

v.

NORTHERN PACIFIC RAILWAY COMPANY, YELLOWSTONE NATIONAL PARK TRANSPORTATION COMPANY AND YELLOWSTONE PARK ASSOCIATION.
SHAW & POWELL, Interveners.

Decided June 23, 1905.

The defendant railway company publishes rates entitling passengers to transportation over its line from St. Paul, Minn., and other points, including eastern cities, to Gardiner, Mont., and return, via Gardiner, through the Yellowstone Park, a Government reservation, by stages of the defendant transportation company and return, and also, if desired, to accommodations at hotels in the Park conducted by the defendant association. Complainant also operates a stage line through the Yellowstone Park and has established permanent camps where hotel accommodations are furnished. Licensed camping parties are conducted through the Park by the interveners. Tickets issued by the defendant railroad company providing both railroad and stage transportations, and those also including hotel accommodations, are coupon tickets, covering the service by rail, by stage, and at the hotels, and the rates charged therefor are divided between the railway company and the stage company, or between the railway company, the stage company and the hotel company, as the case may be, in such manner that the railway company receives for its rail service less than its established rate to Gardiner and return. The joint rate and ticketing arrangement is solely between the railway company and the other defendants. The railway company admittedly controls the policy and operations of the other defendants. Excellent facilities for touring the Park are furnished by complainant. *Held:*

1. That the defendant railway company, stage transportation company, and hotel association, are not competent in law to form through routes and establish joint rates as provided in section 6 of the Act to regulate commerce, and the circular or tariff under which the rates and tickets in question are provided cannot be regarded as a joint tariff established by connecting carriers under that Act.

2. That, upon the facts in the case, the charging of a given sum for rail transportation to Gardiner and return only, and the acceptance of a smaller sum for the rail transportation when the passenger goes by stage to points in or through Yellowstone Park, with or without hotel accommodations therein, the service performed by the railway company being the same in each case, constitutes unlawful discrimination.

3. That the arrangement between defendants under which the through tickets are issued and sold and the proceeds divided, and the joint operations of defendants as actually carried on, constitute and effect an undue and unreasonable preference and advantage to the transportation company and hotel association, and subject complainant and others in like situation, as well as passengers traveling to and from Yellowstone Park, to undue and unreasonable prejudice and disadvantage; and while it is not decided that a carrier subject to the Act may not in some cases provide for transportation beyond its own line by stage or other similar conveyance, the facts shown in this case establish a discrimination forbidden by law.

4. That it is the duty of the defendant railway company to so conduct and control its operations relating to the transportation of passengers to Yellowstone Park as to afford such passengers full and equal opportunity at the terminus of its line at Gardiner and elsewhere to select the stage line or other agency they may desire to use for touring of the Park, and the places and manner of entertainment therein. 11 I. C. C. Rep.

THE GLOBE-WERNICKE COMPANY

v.

BALTIMORE & OHIO SOUTHWESTERN RAILROAD COMPANY *et al.*

Decided August 10, 1905.

While there is much to support the view that sectional bookcases might properly be placed in the first class of the Official Classification, it does not appear upon the proofs presented that one-and-one-half times first class rates for all bookcases is an unlawful discrimination against the sectional variety, and the action of defendants in fixing the same classification and rates for sectional as for other bookcases does not exceed the limits of their discretion; nor is defendants' one-and-one-half times first class rate for the transportation of sectional and other bookcases shown by the record to be unreasonable. Complaint dismissed without prejudice to further investigation. 11 I. C. C. Rep.

IN THE MATTER OF FREIGHT RATES BETWEEN MEMPHIS AND POINTS IN ARKANSAS.

Decided August 15, 1905.

The Memphis Freight Bureau and the St. Louis Southwestern Railway Company submitted certain matters to the Commission for determination and such correction of rates involved as might be required under the Act to regulate commerce. Rulings of the Commission thereon are:

Defendant's rates on cotton from local points in Arkansas to Memphis are not required to be reduced, but it is directed that the relation of cotton rates from such points to Memphis and St. Louis be made more uniform from particular stations.

Defendant's rates from local points in Arkansas to Memphis on traffic other than cotton are not disturbed, but defendant is recommended to consider revision of its rates to Memphis on live stock and cotton seed.

Defendant's rates from Memphis to points in Arkansas, as compared with the Arkansas Commission mileage rates, including those applying from Little Rock and Pine Bluff, Ark., are held to constitute a discrimination for which it is doubtful whether defendant can be held responsible, and in that respect complainant's contention is not sustained; but it is found and decided that defendant's rates from Memphis to local points in Arkansas are in many instances unreasonably high and should be reduced.

Defendant required to submit within 30 days a revised schedule and put the same to force as provided by law. Case retained for such further order as may become necessary. 11 I. C. C. Rep.

T. M. KEHOE & Co.

v.

THE EVANSVILLE & TERRE HAUTE RAILROAD COMPANY; THE LOUISVILLE AND NASHVILLE RAILROAD COMPANY; THE NASHVILLE, CHATTANOOGA & ST. LOUIS RAILWAY COMPANY; THE GEORGIA RAILROAD; AND THE SOUTHERN RAILWAY COMPANY.

Decided August 15, 1905.

The Evansville & Terre Haute R. Co. does not make through rates with the other defendants from points in Indiana to destinations south of the Ohio River, but has established on hay a local rate of 8 cents per hundred pounds to Evansville and a proportional of $6\frac{1}{2}$ cents to Evansville when the shipment is billed through to a specified destination. The E. & T. R. refused to apply a proportional on shipments billed to Evansville in care of a southern line for points beyond, having discontinued a tariff providing therefor, which was effective from November 10, 1903, to July 19, 1904. The rate from Evansville by the other defendant lines is the same whether shipped locally from or through Evansville. *Held*, that it is unreasonable and unjust for the E. & T. R. to insist upon the billing of these shipments to a specified destination in order to secure application of the lower proportional rate, while it declines to assume responsibility for such billing and does not post in its stations the tariffs from which the shipper can himself ascertain the rate at which the shipment should be billed; and that while the proportional rate is kept in force it is reasonable and just that these shipments shall be billed to Evansville in care of the road leading southerly therefrom, as was actually done in 1903 and 1904. *Held*, further, that complainants are entitled to reparation on a shipment of one carload of hay carried from Johnstown, Ind., to Charleston, S. C., amounting to \$4.36 from the E. & T. R. and \$5.97 as admitted overcharge collected by the Georgia R. on the same shipment. 11 I. C. C. Rep.

THE CATTLE RAISERS ASS'N., OF TEXAS.

v.

MISSOURI, KANSAS & TEXAS RY. CO., *et al.*

Decided August 16, 1905.

The case relates to advances in rates on cattle from points north of the Texas quarantine line to northern ranges in Colorado, Western Nebraska, Wyoming, Montana, and North and South Dakota, and to advances in rates from points in Texas, Colorado, Wyoming, Nebraska, Kansas, Indian Territory and New Mexico to Chicago, St. Louis and Kansas City. An appendix to the decision shows the advances in detail. After considering cost to the carriers at originating and delivering points, cost and maintenance of equipment, expense of unloading and reloading in transit incident to feeding, watering and resting the stock, character of the movement, number of cars in trains, average loading, volume and desirability of the traffic, return of empty cars, liability to damage, cost of carriage, increased cost of producing live stock, decreased selling price, method of making the advanced rates, disappearance of competition, cost of railroad labor and supplies, improved methods of operation and increased general traffic, mileage revenue per ton per car and per train, and other pertinent circumstances and conditions, *Held*,

1. That defendants' advances in live stock rates during 1903, as shown in the appendix, were unjust and unreasonable, and that the present rates are unjust and unreasonable to the extent of such advances.

2. That the present terminal charge for delivery of live stock at the Union Stock Yards in Chicago, amounting to about \$2.0 per car, is unjust and unreasonable, and that a reasonable charge would be \$1.00 per car for such terminal services. 11 I. C. C. Rep.

IN THE MATTER OF CLASS AND COMMODITY RATES FROM ST. LOUIS TO TEXAS CO.-COM-

MON POINTS IN FORCE OVER THE LINES OF THE MISSOURI, KANSAS & TEXAS RAILWAY COMPANY; ST. LOUIS SOUTHWESTERN RAILWAY COMPANY; ST. LOUIS & SAN FRANCISCO RAILWAY COMPANY; MISSOURI PACIFIC RAILWAY COMPANY; TEXAS AND PACIFIC RAILWAY COMPANY; ST. LOUIS, IRON MOUNTAIN AND SOUTHERN RAILWAY COMPANY; INTERNATIONAL AND GREAT NORTHERN RAILWAY COMPANY; ATCHISON, TOPEKA AND SANTA FE RAILWAY COMPANY; GULF, COLORADO AND SANTA FE RAILWAY COMPANY; CHICAGO, ROCK ISLAND AND PACIFIC RAILWAY COMPANY; AND CHICAGO ROCK ISLAND AND TEXAS RAILWAY COMPANY.

Decided August 16, 1905.

Rates in force on freight articles from St. Louis, Mo., to Texas common points prior to March 15, 1903, afforded reasonable compensation to the carriers, and as they had been in effect for a long period, and the material advances of such rates on that date were made through concerted action of the carriers, justification for the advances should be clearly shown; but this is a general investigation, in which no complainant is demanding relief from some particular rate, and no particular rates have been investigated, and it does appear, moreover, that the financial condition of the respondents, especially those operating in Texas, is not favorable. While impressed with the belief that these advances in rates are improper, the Commission does not feel warranted in making an order condemning such advances in this proceeding. 11 I. C. C. Rep.

CATTLE RAISERS' ASSOCIATION OF TEXAS, COMPLAINANT, AND THE CHICAGO LIVE STOCK EXCHANGE, INTERVENER.

V.

CHICAGO, BURLINGTON & QUINCY RAILROAD COMPANY.

Decided August 16, 1905.

A railroad company may maintain its live stock depot at a particular point, although it neither builds nor repairs nor insures the stock pens into which the stock is unloaded, and does not hire or control the men who do the unloading; and whether the Union Stock Yards at Chicago have been. In railroad phraseology or in legal definition the depot of defendants is immaterial, for they were, and still are, in fact the point to which the stock is transported and unloaded under the shipping contract of defendants.

Excluding the territory covered by the reduction of 1896, which is described in the findings, live-stock rates to Chicago participated in by defendants were on May 31, 1894, reasonable compensation for the service performed, including delivery at the Union Stock Yards in Chicago. At all times since that date such rates have been, and now are, sufficiently high to include a delivery at the stock yards as such delivery was made prior to June 1, 1894. While since that time there have been advances and reductions from some points, they have been about equal, averaging probably less than one cent per 100 pounds and the great majority of the rates remain the same as they were on May 31, 1904. These scattered reductions, as well as the advances, applied variously, some on cattle, some on sheep and others on hogs. No change in the rate has been made to offset the addition of the terminal charge in Chicago of \$2.00 per car, or with any reference to such charge. The imposition of any such terminal charge, except in so far as the cost of delivery in Chicago has been increased by the trackage charge paid by defendant to the Stock Yards company since June 1, 1904, is unreasonable. Such increased cost of delivery, that is to say, such trackage charge, is fairly estimated for all the defendants at one dollar per car. Citing and applying *Interstate Commerce Commission v. Chicago, Burlington & Quincy R. Co.*, 186 U. S. 320, 46 L. ed., 1182, 22 Sup. Ct. Rep. 824, *Held*:

First: That delivery to the Union Stock Yards prior to June 1, 1894, was included in the rate and was in no sense a gratuity.

Second: That outside of the excluded territory, a terminal charge for delivery to the Union Stock Yards in Chicago of one dollar per car is reasonable, and defendants' terminal charge of two dollars per car, exacted since June 1, 1894, is unreasonable.

Third: That the case be retained for further proceedings in the matter of reparation. 11 I. C. C. Rep.

BUREAU OF FREIGHT AND TRANSPORTATION OF CHARLESTON, S. C.

v.
THE NORFOLK & WESTERN RAILWAY COMPANY, *et al.*

Decided August 16, 1905.

This case, involving the reasonableness and justice of freight rates from Chicago, St. Louis, Louisville, and other Ohio River and Mississippi River points, is similar to, and was held to await the final disposition of, the case of *Wilmington Tariff Asso. v. Cincinnati, P. & V. R. Co., et al.*, 9 I. C. C. Rep. 118, in which proceeding the Commission entered an order requiring correction of rates from the same points to Wilmington, N. C., but such order the United States Circuit Court declined to enforce. During the present year the rates from Chicago to Ohio River crossings have been materially reduced on shipments destined to southeastern points, resulting in reduction of through rates from Chicago to Charleston and Wilmington. *Held*, that in view of the decision of the Circuit Court in the Wilmington case, no enforceable order of relief can be predicated upon the facts shown in this case and the complaint must be dismissed. 11 I. C. C. Rep.

IN THE MATTER OF RATES ON CORN AND CORN PRODUCTS FROM MISSOURI RIVER POINTS
TO POINTS IN TEXAS.

Decided Aug. 16, 1905.

Up to February 19, 1905, the rate on corn meal was 3 cents per 100 lbs. higher than the rate on corn for shipments from Missouri River points to points in Texas. On that date, the differential against corn meal was advanced so that it varied from 7 to 9½ cents. On April 15, the differential was made 5 cents for all Texas destinations. The differential for hominy grits and bran remains at 3 cents. Upon consideration of the facts and circumstances, *Held*, That the differential on corn meal shipped from Missouri River points to Texas destinations should not be more than 3 cents above the rate on corn in force between the same points. 11 I. C. C. Rep.

IN THE MATTER OF RATES ON CORN AND CORN PRODUCTS FROM MISSOURI RIVER POINTS
TO POINTS IN LOUISIANA.

Decided Aug. 16, 1905.

Prior to July 1, 1905, rates per 100 pounds from Missouri River points to points in Louisiana were 5 cents higher on corn meal than on corn, but on that date the differential was removed by respondents and the rates on corn and corn meal made the same. Such action having obviated the complaints herein, no order is considered necessary. 11 I. C. C. Rep.

THE ROCK HILL BUGGY COMPANY

v.

THE SOUTHERN RAILWAY COMPANY and THE SEABOARD AIR LINE RAILWAY.

Decided August 16, 1905.

Defendants' rate of \$1.30 per 100 lbs. on buggies in carloads from Rock Hill, S. C., to Tallahassee, Fla., is not shown to be unreasonable, and under the construction placed upon section 4, or the long and short haul clause of the statute, by the United States Supreme Court, competition by the A. C. L. R. Co. justifies defendants' lower rate of \$1.10 for the longer distance to Quincy, Fla. 11 I. C. C. Rep.

IN THE MATTER OF RATES ON CORN AND CORN PRODUCTS FROM MISSOURI RIVER POINTS TO
POINTS IN WASHINGTON, OREGON AND CALIFORNIA.

Decided August 16, 1905.

The relation of rates on corn and corn products from Missouri River points to California terminals was for about one year after January 1, 1890, a differential of 9

cents against corn products. Then, for about one and a half years, it was 9 cents in favor of corn products. The rates were the same between July, 1892, and March, 1895, when a differential of 5 cents against corn products was established. In December, 1897, the differential was increased to 10 cents, and in July, 1902, it was made 20 cents. During March, 1904, the differential was fixed at 17½ cents, and in October of that year it was reduced to 10 cents, and has since remained at that figure. Changes in the relation of rates on corn and corn products from Missouri River points to North Pacific terminals were not greatly different from those mentioned, except that in December, 1897, the rates were made the same on corn and corn products and there is not now any difference unless the minimum carload for corn is the marked capacity of the car, in which case the rates show a differential of 10 cents against corn products. Upon consideration of all the facts and circumstances, *Held*, That the present charges on corn products and corn from Missouri River points to Pacific Coast terminals, in so far as the rate on corn products is more than 5 cents above the rate on corn constitute undue, unreasonable and unlawful discrimination against corn products and producers thereof at places on the Missouri River. 11 I. C. C. Rep.

GEORGE M. SPIEGLE & COMPANY

v.
THE CHESAPEAKE & OHIO RAILWAY COMPANY AND THE PENNSYLVANIA RAILROAD COMPANY.

Decided October 17, 1905.

1. On complaint that defendants' rates on oak lumber in carloads to Philadelphia are unlawfully higher from Afton, Va., and points east thereof, to and including Gordonsville, Va., than those for the longer distances over the same line from Staunton and Basic City, Va., it appeared that a rate of 14 cents per 100 pounds applied over the whole territory for a period of about nine years between November 1, 1892, and August 1, 1901. Effective competition was shown by defendants' witnesses at Basic City and Staunton, and complainants failed to offer any testimony. Upon the record as made in this case, *Held*: That the higher rate from the Afton-Gordonsville group does not constitute unlawful discrimination.

2. Complainants allowed reparation for conceded erroneous charges on two carloads of oak lumber. 11 I. C. C. Rep.

IN THE MATTER OF PROPOSED INCREASE IN THE MINIMUM PERCENTAGE OF CARS IN TRAINS
REQUIRED TO BE OPERATED WITH POWER OR TRAIN BRAKES.

Decided November 15, 1905.

The manifest purpose of the amended Safety Appliance Law is that all freight cars shall be equipped with air-brakes, and that all brakes shall be used and operated, and such condition is necessary to the safety of both railway employees and the traveling public, besides facilitating traffic movement and resulting in the handling of traffic with greater economy. Increasing the minimum percentage of air-braked cars in trains from 50 to 70 per cent would result in the earlier operation of trains fully equipped with air-brakes and accelerate the removal from service of old and comparatively unsafe cars now unequipped with that appliance; but under the present public demand the use of every available car is required to move the unusual volume of traffic now offered and ordering such increased percentage into immediate effect would hamper railway operations and impose several hardships upon shippers and the general public. After due notice and full hearing, *Held*: That the minimum percentage of air-braked freight cars in trains on railroads used in interstate commerce shall stand increased to 75 per cent on and after August 1, 1906. 11 I. C. C. Rep.

RED ROCK FUEL COMPANY

v.
BALTIMORE & OHIO RAILWAY COMPANY.

Decided November 25, 1905.

A State has jurisdiction to enact that carriers of coal therein shall provide track connections with all mines within its borders, but the power of the State in that respect

arises from its authority over State commerce and does not constitute any limitation upon the exclusive power of congress to regulate interstate commerce.

The enactment by congress in section three of the Act to regulate commerce that the carriers affected thereby shall not subject persons, localities or descriptions of traffic to undue prejudice or unreasonable disadvantage, or give any undue or unreasonable preferences or advantage to persons, localities or kinds of traffic in any respect whatsoever applies to discrimination in facilities or instrumentalities of shipment or carriage, and while the Commission has no authority to order a carrier to put in sidetrack connections, or to prescribe the terms of conditions relating to the construction of such connections, its jurisdiction does extend to any case of wrongful prejudice resulting from discrimination in the provision of such facilities or instrumentalities of shipment or carriage, including sidetrack connections.

Carriers must conduct and manage their business under the requirements and prohibitions of the regulating statute, and undue discriminations cannot be justified by the fact of long-standing agreements with favored mine operators and other shippers, nor is it a defense to the charge of discrimination that the facilities provided the favored persons may be withdrawn at the will of the one who grants them.

Defendant declines to permit a sidetrack connection between its line and a switch or sidetrack to complainant's coal mine in the Fairmont District of West Virginia for the purpose of receiving interstate shipments of coal from its mine, although it has provided and maintains sidetrack connections for other mines in that district from which large quantities of coal are shipped to interstate destinations. Defendant controls, through ownership of capital stock, large coal mining enterprises in the Fairmont District which, during the year 1904, shipped more than one half the tonnage from that district. Complainant has purchased the right of way for the sidetrack and the physical conditions pertaining to the proposed connection are at least as favorable as those pertaining to connections already made for other mines in that coal field. It is found generally that as between complainant's and the favored mines similarity of situation exists in essential respects. Under such discrimination complainant is unable to make interstate shipments of coal from its mine, and the defendant, by continuing its policy of denying these facilities to applying owners of coal lands, may practically control in its own interest all the undeveloped coal in this field, as well as derive greater profits from its large holdings in mines already developed in that section. *Held*: That the discrimination is not only wrongful as between complainant and other more favored shippers, but amounts to undue and unreasonable preference by defendant of itself, and that order forbidding further violation of section three of the statute should issue accordingly. 11 I. C. C. Rep.

A. L. ARTZ

v.

SEABOARD AIR LINE COMPANY.

Decided November 29, 1905.

Defendant's passenger fare from Fernandina, Fla., to Savannah, Ga., 124 miles is \$5.00, or about 4 cents per mile. A rate of 3 cents per mile is fixed by state authority for fares within the states of Florida and Georgia. Defendant's line between Savannah and Fernandina or Jacksonville is more expensive to maintain than other part of its system. The freight traffic is light and the local passenger traffic insignificant. A reduction of this interstate passenger fare would not contribute to development of the section or increase materially the passenger business of the line. Reducing the fare to three cents per mile would render the earnings of this part of the system less than the average upon the whole system and less than the average of other roads in that part of the country.

Held: 1. That ordinarily the through interstate passenger fare should not exceed the sum of local fares, but there is no specific requirement in the regulating statute to that effect, and the only question for determination is whether the fare complained of is unreasonable. 2. That upon all the circumstances of the case the fare in question can not be deemed excessive and the complaint must be dismissed. 11 I. C. C. Rep.

R. C. BRABHAM *et al.*

v.

THE ATLANTIC COAST LINE RAILROAD COMPANY and THE CHARLESTON & WESTERN CAROLINA RAILWAY COMPANY.

Decided December 7, 1905.

Defendants charge for passenger fare from Ellenton, S. C., to Augusta, Ga., 22 miles, is 80 cents, and from Jackson, S. C., to Augusta, 15 miles, is 60 cents, and these fares are alleged to be unreasonable. The local passenger fares in South Carolina and Georgia are controlled by a maximum of three cents per mile fixed by state authority. The distance covered between the points mentioned is via the C. & N. W. Ry., the other defendant, the A. C. L. R. Co. having certain trackage rights over part of the other line. All fares collected by the A. C. L. R. Co. for transportation between Ellenton or Jackson and Augusta are turned over to the C. & W. C. Ry. Co. The financial condition of the C. & W. C. Ry. Co. is poor. The country along the line if this road, though long settled, is sparsely inhabited and the traffic is light. *Held:*

1. That the rates fixed by the State Commissions of South Carolina and Georgia are presumptively reasonable, but such presumption is not conclusive and the railroad companies are entitled to show the contrary in a case involving the rates on interstate traffic.

2. That a railroad company is entitled to a fair return upon the value of that which it employs for the public convenience, and that in view of all the facts in this case, and previous decisions of the Commission cited, it is not apparent that the interstate passenger rates complained of are unreasonable. 11 I. C. C. Rep.

DEWEY BROTHERS COMPANY

v.

BALTIMORE & OHIO RAILROAD COMPANY; CINCINNATI, NEW ORLEANS & TEXAS PACIFIC RAILWAY COMPANY; SOUTHERN RAILWAY COMPANY; AND CAROLINA & NORTHWESTERN RAILWAY COMPANY.

Decided December 15, 1905.

Complainant shipped a carload of hay from Summit, O., to Lenoir, N. C. Complainant claims that shipping instructions were given to route the car via "Strasburg Junction and Southern Railway." The defendant initial carrier denies this and asserts that instructions were given to route via "Cincinnati and Southern Railway," the route actually used. The rate was less via Strasburg than via Cincinnati. The complainant was unable to prove definitely the giving of instructions to route via Strasburg, but complainant and defendant both agree that shipping instructions were given. *Held:*

1. That if the carrier had, contrary to positive instructions from the shipper, routed the car by an indirect and expensive line instead of the direct and cheaper route, or had without any instructions sent the car by the longer route, so as to burden the shipper with needless expense, such action would be *prima facie* unjust and unreasonable, and without justification would constitute fair basis for an order of reparation.

2. That the giving of instructions relieves the carrier from any obligation to forward by the cheaper route, and in this case, where the testimony does not indicate what instructions were actually given, an order in favor of the complaining shipper can not be issued. 11 I. C. C. Rep.

THE ST. LOUIS HAY & GRAIN COMPANY

v.

THE ILLINOIS CENTRAL RAILROAD COMPANY and THE MOBILE & OHIO RAILROAD COMPANY.

Decided December 20, 1905.

The service of defendants in handling reconsigned hay at and from East St. Louis is more expensive as a general rule, if not invariably, than the service performed in case of shipments through East St. Louis, while the privilege of recon-

signing hay from that point at a charge less than the established local rate is of substantial value to dealers in that city. *Held:*

1. The fact that through rates are less than the sum of in and out rates is not of itself a valid ground of objection, nor is it unlawful for defendants to maintain reconsignment rates which are higher in some cases than their proportions of through rates.

1. The fact that the reconsignment rate is sometimes the same as the proportion of the through rate does not warrant an inference of illegal conduct or support a charge of unjust discrimination. 11 I. C. C. Rep.

THE DEWEY BROTHERS COMPANY

v.

BALTIMORE & OHIO RAILROAD COMPANY; CHESAPEAKE & OHIO RAILWAY COMPANY; AND ATLANTIC COAST LINE RAILROAD COMPANY.

Decided December 15, 1905.

Upon two carloads of hay shipped about May 8, 1902, from Pataskala, O., one to Wilmington, N. C., and the other to Greenville, N. C., it appears that the Wilmington shipment was overcharged 1 cent per 100 pounds, and that on the Greenville shipment the rate under published tariffs should have been 30 cents per 100 pounds, instead of a higher rate claimed for the defense to have been 30½ cents and by the complainant to have been 36 cents, the proof not indicating definitely what was actually charged. *Held:* That the findings show a basis for adjustment and refund which should be made, and that the case be held open for further proof and order if that course should become necessary.

The circumstances and conditions governing hay traffic from Columbus and Pataskala, O., appear to have been substantially dissimilar at a time when a lower rate from Columbus than from Pataskala to Greenville and Wilmington was in force, and complainant's demand for reparation based upon the rate then in force from Columbus is not sustained. 11 I. C. C. Rep.

GEORGE J. KINDEL

v.

BOSTON & ALBANY RAILROAD COMPANY *et al.*

Decided December 28, 1905.

1. The fact that a carload rating has been established on cotton-piece goods from the east to Pacific coast points because of water competition, and the fact that duck and denims have been given carload rates to Salt Lake City and Denver to encourage manufacturing industries at those points, while elsewhere throughout the country the rate on cotton-piece goods is the same for any quantity, do not indicate that the action of defendants in denying a carload rating on tickings, drills and sheetings to Denver is unlawful.

2. Defendants' rates per hundred pounds on cotton-piece goods in less than carloads from New York Boston and other eastern points are \$2.24 to Denver and \$1.50 to San Francisco. The charge to Denver is a combination of rates from the point of shipment to the Mississippi River, Mississippi River to Missouri River, and Missouri River to Denver. From New York to Chicago, from Chicago to Denver, and from St. Louis to Denver for a long period of years cotton-piece goods have been given rates substantially below the rates on first class articles, and throughout the United States greater or less differentials on cotton-piece goods under first class have been maintained with one notable exception, namely, from Missouri River points to Denver. Transcontinental rates from eastern points to San Francisco are made in competition with water rates, and are in no sense a measure of the value of the service; but that situation does not justify the carriage of goods to San Francisco at a loss, thereby placing additional burdens on other traffic. The rate of \$1.50 on cotton-piece goods from the east to San Francisco, about 3400 miles, is assumed to cover the

actual cost of the service, and that rate for the 1400 to 1600 miles less distant to Denver, and saving the haul of that distance over mountain ranges where fuel and labor are counted more expensive, is found to be reasonable for the transportation from New York, Boston and other eastern points to Denver. Under the present combination rate to Denver no reduction from local charges is made on account of the through haul of 2000 miles. Such application of combined local charges to a long distance shipment places a wrongful burden upon the shipper. The exaction of first class rates on cotton-piece goods between Missouri River points and Denver in view of the long-prevailing differentials in other parts of the country and other existing conditions is unjust and unreasonable.

Held, That the result of the excessive rate on cotton-piece goods between the Missouri River and Denver and the application of full locals in making up the through combination rate from New York, Boston and other eastern points taking the same rates to Denver is to make the through rate excessive, and that such through rate to Denver to be reasonable should not exceed \$1.50 per hundred pounds. 11 I. C. C. Rep.

TOPICAL INDEX.

To all Volumes—1878 to 1905 inclusive.

	Year	Page
ABANDONMENT OF ROAD.		
Adams, Walter, Fairfield, v. C., B. & Q.....	1899	85
Clarinda, citizens of, v. C. & St. L., abandonment of C. & St. L. R'y ..	1899	1041
Elkader, citizens of, v. C. M. & St. P.....	1896	478
Fort Dodge, citizens of, v. C., R. I. & P. et al, Tara & Ft. Dodge.....	1899	992, 997
Supreme court decision in, referred to.....	1892	2
General subject discussed.....	1899	44
Northwood, citizens of, v. Central Iowa.....	1892	468
Northwood, citizens of, v. Iowa Central, petition for rehearing..	1893	59
Court proceedings in.....	1894, 45	XXXIX
Ottumwa & Kirksville, 33.3 mi., Clarinda, St. Louis, 11.5.....	1891	5
Rights of contracting parties protected by courts.....	1899	1043
Expenses incurred in constructing spur tracks, parties entitled to return of, on abandonment of track.....	1891	738
W. & W. R'y, Osage, for temporary removal.....	1896	196
ABANDONMENT OF STATION—see also Station.		
Avon, W. E. Patterson, Carlisle, v. C., B. & Q.....	1901	504
Bismarck, Niel & Campbell v. C. M. & St. P.....	1899	932
Calliope, Johnston Brothers, v. C. M. & St. P.....	1903	248
Dunham, citizens of, v. C., B. & Q.....	1903	305
Ewart, citizens of, v. Iowa Central.....	1903	302
Kingston, Decatur county, citizens of, v. D. M. & K. O., protest against removal.....	1890, 863,	1902 238
Leslie, citizens of, v. D. M. & K. O., protest against abandonment of station.....	1899	1027
Dissenting opinion by Commissioner Dey.....	1899	1030
Olivet, citizens of, v. C., R. I. & P.....	1904	254
Pekins, Spiers & Richardson v. B. & W. petition for reopening	1890	552
Rand, citizens of, v. C., M. & St. P.....	1903	308
River Junction, Henry Walker et al. v. C., R. I. & P.....	1904	259
Sand Prairie, citizens of, v. C., R. I. & P.....	1904	300
Veo, Jones J. M., v. B. & M., petition for reopening of station.....	1890	930
ABSORPTION OF BRIDGE TOLL—see Bridge Toll.		
ACCESS TO DEPOT—see Depot.		
ACCIDENTS TO PERSONS—		
Remarks of President Harrison.....	1892, 18,	1895 70
Comparative tables covering a period of years	1899, 13, 14;	1900 10
To employes from coupling cars, etc., comparative tables.....	1900	9
ACCIDENTS—INVESTIGATION OF—		
Law concerning reporting of, repealed.....	1896	6
Afton, on C., B. & Q.....	1897	149
Altoona.....	1878	45
Allerton, on C. R. I. & P.....	1904	329
Ames, on C. & N.-W.....	1902	306
Brush Siding, on C., B. & Q.....	1899	269
Coon Rapids, on C., M. & St. P.....	1891	843
Council Bluffs, on O & St. L.....	1901	537
Crescent, on C. & N.-W., near Council Bluffs ..	1893	271

ACCIDENTS INVESTIGATION OF— <i>Continued.</i>		Year	Page
Danger of boys playing around trains, etc., B., C. R. & N.....	1891	841	
Des Moines, collision between C., R. I. & P. fair ground train and C. & N.			
W freight train at, report of commissioners	1899	1114	
Dyersville, on C. G. & W.	1904	327	
Eagle Point, on C. M. & St. P.	1897	153	
Geneva, on Iowa Central R'y.....	1901	536	
Gifford on Iowa Central	1902	307	
Glendale, on C. M. & St. P., investigation of by commissioners.....	1899	1107	
Highland Center, on C., M. & St. P.	1892	873	
Hubbard, on C. & N.-W.	1900	13	
Inwood, on C., M. & St. P.	1897	163	
Iowa Falls, on the crossing of the Ill. Cent. and C. R. I. & P.	1905	270	
Logan on C. & N. W.	1896	340	
Maynard, on B., O. R. & N.	1891	839	
Nansen, seven miles southeast of Council Bluffs, on C. & St. L.	1890	961	
New Hampton, on C. G. W. R'y.....	1892	871	
Oelwein, on C. G. W.	1902	307	
Potter, on C., M. & St. P.	1901	539	
Rhodes, on C., M. & St. P.	1902	308	
Stratford, on C., & N.-W.	1893	270	
Stratford, on C., & N.-W.	1891	845	
Thayer, on C., B. & Q.	1901	535	
Tiffin, on C., R. I. & P.	1901	537	
Unionville, on C., R. I. & P.	1901	536	
Valley Junction, on C., R. I. & Pacific	1896	241	
Verdi, on C., R. I. & P.	1901	536	
Vincent, on C., R. I. & P.	1891	841	
Walnut, on C., R. I. & P.	1901	537	
Washington, on C., R. I. & P.	1891	841	
Wilke, on Ill. Central	1904	328	
Bureau of railway statistics and accounts, railway question	1893	402	
Law requiring uniformity in, recommended	1892	21	
Uniformity in	1878, 74; 1879, 118; 1892, 38; 1892	45	
ACTUAL CASH VALUE OF ROAD AND EQUIPMENT—see <i>Value</i> .			
ADAMS, CHARLES FRANCOIS, JR., quotation from	1878	59	
Discussion of commissioner system	1879	73	
Railroads, origin and problems	1881	81	
Remarks on watered stock	1896	55	
ADAMS, HENRY C., statistician interstate com. com., paper on accounts, etc	1893	403	
ADDITIONAL DEPOT GROUNDS,—see <i>Condemnation Proceedings</i> .			
ADEQUATE EQUIPMENT DEFINED—see <i>Equipment</i> .			
ADEQUATE CROSSING—see <i>Crossing, Adequate</i> .			
ADOPTION OF COMMISSIONERS' RATES—see <i>Rates</i> .			
ADVANCE CHARGES—			
Brenneck Bros., Eagle Grove, v. C. & N.-W., discrimination in applying	1892	842	
Monahan Bros., Charlotte, v. C. & N. W.	1896	535	
Wasson J. A., Roscoe, Kan., v. C., M. & St. P., duties of carriers in accept- ing guaranty for.	1893	656	
ADVANCE IN HAY RATES, PETITION OF D. M. & N. W.—see <i>Rates</i> .			
AGENTS, STATION, PETITION FOR—see <i>Station Service</i> .			
AGREEMENTS BETWEEN RAILWAYS, violation of	1896	57	
AGREEMENT OF RAILWAY COMPANIES TO ADOPT COMMISSIONERS' RATES —see <i>Rates, Freight</i> .			
AID TO RAILROADS—see <i>Taxes</i> .			
AINSWORTH, W. W., elected secretary	1897	3	
AMENDMENTS TO CLASSIFICATION—see <i>Classification</i> .			
APPORTIONMENT OF COST OF OVER AND UNDER RAILROAD CROSSING—see <i>Crossing, Railroad</i> .			
APPROPRIATION OF LAND, Harrington, F. E. Marathon, v. C., M. & St. P., for snow fence	1899	92	

	Year	Page
APPROPRIATION OF COAL—		
Aurelia, coal dealers of, v. Illinois Central Railroad, during scarcity of fuel.....	1898	677
Hogaboom, S. R., Creston, v. C. B. & Q.	1894	296
Knowles, J. Elliott, v. C. B. & Q.	1881	140
LeMars, Townsend, D. W., v. Illinois Central	1888	679
ARBITRATION—		
Ashburn, J. M., Lamoni, v. C. B. & Q., claim for care of stock.....	1889	989
Consolidation Coal Company v. Central Iowa.....	1878	20
Illinois Central et al v. Waverly Short Line et al., grade crossing.....	1896	576
Mason City & Fort Dodge R'y Co. v. Crooked Creek R'y Co., grade crossing.....	1887	732
Moore, Thos. and Bisbee, C. W. Turin, v. O., & N. W., overflow damages.....	1889	999
Webster City and Crooked Creek v. M. C. & Ft. D. R'y Co., grade crossing.....	1893	539, 631
ATTENDANTS with L. C. L. live stock shipments	1931	317
ATTORNEY GENERAL, REPORT OF—see <i>Litigation</i> .		
ATTORNEY GENERAL MULLAN, opinion of, with reference to right of railway company to receive and forward freight.....	1903	265
AUTHORITY OF COMMISSIONERS—see <i>Commissioners</i> .		
AUTOMATIC COUPLERS—see also <i>Couplers, Automatic</i> .		
Paper on, by Commissioner Coffin	1895	92
Brakes, Burlington test	1931, 40; 1896, 46, 47, 49	
Generally used	1898	4
Comparative table of	1905	9
BAD ORDER, RECEIPT OF GOODS IN—see <i>Damages</i>.		
BACK WATER CAUSED BY RAILROAD CONSTRUCTION, OVERFLOW—see <i>Drainage, Damage, Obstruction and Overflow</i>.		
BACK WATER IN RELATION TO RAILROAD CROSSINGS—see <i>Drainage</i>.		
BACK WATER, STOCK DROWNED BY—see <i>Damages</i>.		
BAGGAGE, facilities at Lanesville on C. M. & St. P.	1903	321
BAGGAGE, EXCESS AND STORAGE CHARGES, discussion of.....	1891	23
Anderson, T., Rockford, Ill., v. C. M. & St. P., sample trunks containing jewelry not baggage.....	1885	541
Ashall, F. C., Chicago, v. Central Iowa, excess charges	1882	433
Bullock, N. P., Leon, v. Union Depot, Council Bluffs, storage.....	1887	724
Carpenter, C. C., Gilman, v. Central Iowa and O. & N. W., refusal to receive tool chest as baggage	1886	528
Dusey, H., et al. Creston, v. C. B. & Q., refusal to carry mechanic's tool box as baggage	1885	514
Edmundson, Ed., Cedar Rapids, v. C. M. & St. P., excess baggage	1892	823
Glover, H. B., et al. Dubuque, v. various lines, excess baggage charges....	1883	630
Goodwin, Mrs. Patience, Traer, v. O., R. I. & P., delay and storage charges.....	1893	615
Hollenbeck, Mrs. E. A., Wesley, v. O. & N. W. R'y, excessive	1898	71
Karberg, H. St. Louis, v. O., B. & Q., overcharge	1901	502
Krause & Co., Davenport, v. various lines	1894	250
Rice, W. O. Omaha v. O. R. I. & P. Ry. Co., excess rates	1905	289
BAGGAGE, DELAY AND LOSS—		
Block M., Des Moines, v. C. & N. W., delay in forwarding sample case	1892	853
Coad, Jas., Everly, v. C. M. & St. P.	1901	496
Piekenbrock & Sons, Dubuque, v. O., M. & St. P., stolen from depot responsibility.....	1900	155
Taylor, R. B., West Side, v. O. & N. W., lost	1885	571
Woodward, W. H., Creston, v. D. M. & K. C., lost	1891	727
BAGGAGE, INSUFFICIENT FACILITIES FOR HANDLING AND TRANSFER—see also <i>Transfer Facilities</i>.		
Iowa Falls v. C. & N. W., regulations	1887	753
Reynard, J. S., Creston, v. C. B. & Q. and C. G. W., Alton Junction transfer	1893	137
Boysar, F., v. Cent. Iowa et al., insufficient facilities for handling baggage.....	1896	583
BANKRUPT ROADS—see <i>Receivers</i>.		
BIOLOGE, right of person to use railroad track for.....	1900	172

	Year	Page
BILLING IN TRANSIT, Bevis Bros., Hawkeye, v. C., M. & St. P. discrimination in	1896	78
BIRD, A. C., G. F. A., C., M. & St. P., LETTERS OF, on reduction of corn rates in Iowa	1886	621
BLACK, JEREMIAH, LETTERS OF, on railroad legislation, railways are highways, etc.	1881	77
BLOCKADING HIGHWAYS WITH TRAINS—see Obstruction.		
BLOCKADE, SNOW—see Snow Blockade.		
BRANCH LINES—		
Apportioning earnings of, method of	1889	1005
Expenses of operation should be partly borne by main line	1883	47
Profitable as feeders to main line	1889	1005
Train service, on restoration of, on Iowa branches, C., R. I. & P.	1889 10	1006
Management of stub train between Tara and Fort Dodge on C., R. I. & P.	1903	276
BRAKES, AUTOMATIC AND POWER—see also Automatic Couplers and Power Brakes.		
Brakes, automatic.....	1891, 41; 1885	94
Discussion of and law concerning	1890, 7; 1891, 18, 20; 1892	10, 46
Paper on, by Commissioner Coffin	1889	47
BREWER, JUDGE, Decision of, in relation to rates—see also Rates	1889, 31, 32, 34	
Temporary injunction by restraining promulgation of June, 1888, schedule.....	1888, 36; 1894	203
BRIDGE LINE, improper operation of, Mitchell, W. H., Sioux City, v. W. & S. F. R'y	1903	255
BRIDGES—		
Wooden, being replaced by iron and stone	1898	4
Laws relating to, discussed	1878	17
Safety of, railroad companies responsible for.....	1878 17, 18, 19	
Bridge toll, absorption of at Omaha	1888	670
UNSAFE CONDITIONS OF—see also Unsafe Condition of Road.		
Fay, P. H., Richland Center, Wis., v. C., M. & St. P., Coon Rapids	1894	176
Harrison, H., et al v. A. T. & S. F., neglect of bridgemen at Ft. Madison... ..	1895	199
Reno, B. F., Marengo, v. C., R. I. & P	1890	73
Waller, J. N., road supervisor, St. Charles, v. C., M. & St. P., unsafe condition of bridge and highway	1896	569
Watkins, W. W., Bellevue, v. C., M. & St. P., unsafe condition of bridge..	1899	1012
BUGGY CASE—		
Barber, Ed., Glidden, v. C. & N. W., overcharge in.....	1884	65-67
Commissioner Anderson's opinion on	1884	49
Commissioner Coffin's opinion on	1884	57
Commissioner Dey's opinion on	1884	63
Judge Baylies' opinion on.....	1885	57
BULLETINS, train, in passenger depots, posting of	1933	212
BURLINGTON, SHIPPERS OF, COMPLAINT ON RATES—see Rates.		
BURLINGTON, CEDAR RAPIDS & NORTHWESTERN RAILWAY COMPANY, application of for reduced rates on seed grain	1892	838
BURLINGTON TEST ON AUTOMATIC COUPLERS see <i>Automatic Couplers.</i>		
BUTTER AND EGG SHIPMENT, DISCRIMINATION IN INSPECTION OF—see Inspection.		
CABOOSE, STOPPAGE OF AT PLATFORMS—see Stopping Trains at Platforms.		
CABOOSE CARS, accommodation for passengers in, W. W. Weston v. C. & N. W.	1900	167
CAMERON, J. S., elected Secretary	1878	3
Resigned	1880	3
CAMP MEETING AND OTHER ASSEMBLY RATES—see Rates.		
CAPACITY OF ELEVATORS—see Elevators.		
CAPACITY OF FREIGHT CARS—see Cars.		
CAPITAL STOCK, WATERED—see Watered Stock.		
CAPITALIZATION, EXCESSIVE	1878	39
CARE OF STATION BUILDINGS—see Station Service.		

	Year	Page
CARS—		
DISCRIMINATION IN FURNISHING— see <i>Discrimination and Failure to Furnish Cars.</i>		
DISTRIBUTION OF— see <i>Distribution of Cars.</i>		
Must be distributed equally in time of scarcity.....	1886, 719; 1892	827
Not to be used for warehouse purposes	1890	996
WHEN USED FOR WAREHOUSE PURPOSES, CHARGES FOR— see <i>Demurrage.</i>		
LACK OF— see also <i>Failure to Furnish Cars.</i>		
Discussed by L. S. Coffin.....	1897	70
SENDING OFF OWN LINE— see <i>Refusal to Receive and Forward Freight.</i>		
WARMING OF— see <i>Warming Cars.</i>		
LIGHTING OF— see <i>Lighting Cars.</i>		
WHAT CONSTITUTES ADEQUATE SUPPLY OF— see <i>Equipment.</i>		
CAR LOAD RATES—		
Attempted abolition of.....	1885, 45, 47; 1886	31
Iowa State Jobbers and Manufacturers' Association, protest against abolition of.....	1885	47
Merrill & Keeney, Des Moines, v. C. & N. W., et al, on furniture.....	1883, 678; 1884	69
On mixed car load of linseed and carbon oils.....	1892	844
Proposition to abolish indefinitely postponed.....	1885	53
Recognition of by Colorado Law	1885	48
CARS OFF ITS OWN LINE— see <i>Refusal to Receive and Forward Freight</i> , also <i>Failure to Furnish Cars.</i>		
OWNED BY SHIPPER, unjust discrimination of, paper on, by Judge Schoonmaker, ex-interstate commerce commissioner.....	1891	30
PRIVATE DISCRIMINATION IN USE OF— see <i>Discrimination.</i>		
CARRIERS—		
Bound by quotation of rates by agents	1886	609
Their relation to each other discussed	1884	7
CARRIER'S RISK— see <i>Owner's Risk.</i>		
CARRIED PAST STATION—		
Boyle, I. W., Clarkville, v. C. G. W., carried past station.	1889, 961; 1892	852
Lightner, F. E., Carthage, Mo., v. C. G. W.,	1897	137
Windell, W. S. Castalia, v. O., M. & St. P., refusal to stop at Castalia.....	1892	862
CARRYING PASSENGERS ON FREIGHT TRAINS— see <i>Train Service.</i>		
CASH VALUE— see <i>Value, Present Cash.</i>		
CATTLE GUARDS— see also <i>Crossings, farm.</i>		
Alexander, T. K., Storm Lake, v. M. & St. L.	1901	490
Battern, Charles, Storm Lake v. M. & St. L. Ry., at farm crossing.....	1902	295
Bell, W. S., Des Moines, v. B., C. R. & N., refusal to construct.....	1889	1066
Campbell, F. R., et al, Bismark v. C. M. & St. P., danger to cattle from location of fence, petition for cattle guards.....	1892	856-857
Chapman, H. G., Sioux City, v. C., M. & St. P., petition for	1892	795
Frazier, George, Panora, v. D. M., N. & W., defective, stock killed.....	1897	110
Gardner, John M., Leon, v. D. M., O. S., cattle guards and fencing.	1884	549
Huber, M., Storm Lake, v. M. & St. L.	1901	491
Jamison, J. R., Oskaloosa, v. C., B. & Q., failure to put in	1882	556
Jarvis, M., Morning Sun, v. Iowa Central, petition for	1895	153
Long, Ira, Luther, v. St. L., D. M. & N., application for at farm crossing.	1888	737
McCall, W. R., Ogden, v. M. & St. L., petition for.	1885	556
Mohr, John, Ft. Dodge, v. M. C. & Ft. Dodge, application for.....	1887	720
Moone, N. B., Clarinda, v. C., B. & Q., cattle guards at crossing	1882	487
Moritz, George, Storm Lake, v., M. & St. St. L. Ry., at farm crossing.	1902	276
Nelson, Bertha, Keokuk, v. C., R. I. & P., at farm crossing.....	1902	247
Onerem, J. L., et al, Thor., v. C. & N. W., petition for cattle guards.....	1885	569
Petit, Chas., Storm Lake v. M. & St. L.	1901	491
Petition for rehearing	1886	571
Sargent, O. G., v. C., R. I. & P.	1901	527
Smith, M., Storm Lake, v. M. & St. L.	1901	492
Tibbets, D. D., et al, Ogden, v. C., Ft. M. & D. M., petition for	1892	827

	Year	Page
CHANGING NAME OF STATION—		
Adelphia, citizens of, v. Wabash, from Tamworth to	1901	510
Arlington, citizens of, petition for, C., M. & St. P., from Brush Creek to. .	1896	19
Enfield, Cooley, E. G., v. C., M. & St. P., Strawberry Point.....	1894	563
Luverne, citizens of, v. C. & N. W., application for.....	1897, 720; 1898	705
Moulton, Powers, H. P., v. Illinois Central, two stations by same name	1903	270
River Junction, Musser, J. D., et al, v. B., O. R. & N., petition for...1898, 749; 1893	1893	211
Sewal, Banta, Ira, v. C., M. & St. P.....	1895	145
Strawberry Point, citizens of, v. C., M. & St. P., petition for.....	1898	724
Ticonic, Grant township, citizens of, v. C. & D., petition for.	1898	725
Vincent, Anderson & Richards, v. C., R. I. & P	1892	833
CHARGES—		
Orary Bros., Boone, v. St. L., D. & N., prepayment of freight charges. . .	1896	604
Wasson, J. A. Roscoe, Kan., v. C., M. & St. P., duties of carriers	1893	656
CHARITY FREIGHT—		
Shaw H. B., Nora Springs, free carriage of.....	1895	166
CHARITABLE INSTITUTIONS, churches not such, as contemplated by law,		
with respect special rates (Commissioner Dey).....	1898	838
CHOICE OF MARKET, SHIPPERS AND ROUTES, RIGHT TO—see <i>Rights of Shippers</i>.		
CHURCHES may obtain special rates on building material.	1898	837
CLAIMS see also <i>Damages</i>.		
FOR DAMAGES RESULTING FROM OBSTRUCTIONS—see <i>Obstruction</i>.		
FOR DAMAGES RESULTING FROM FAILURE TO RECEIVE CARS—see <i>Failure to Furnish Cars</i>.		
FOR REFUND OF OVERCHARGE—see <i>Overcharge</i>.		
Private, commissioners no authority to collect.....	1899	1082
FOR GOODS LOST IN TRANSIT—see <i>Lost in Transit</i>		
FOR STOCK KILLED—see <i>Stock Killed</i>.		
CLASSIFICATION OF FREIGHT—		
Iowa Classification No. 12 and Schedule of Rates.....	1901	189
Classification No. 13, adoption of	1905	233
Amendments to 1900, 102, 101, 119; 1901, 189; 1902, 217; 1903, 219; 1904	1904	240
Basalt plaster, Basalt Plaster Co., Des Moines.....	1894	240
Boilers, second-hand, Gottstein, F. v. Inspection Bureau.....	1892	847
Bottles, empty, returned, T. W. Rogers for Iowa Bottlers' Association.....	1894	305
Capacity of cars, France, H. C., Rose Hill, v. C., R. I. & P	1897	185
Coal, Keefe Coal Co., Ft. Dodge, v. Illinois Central.....	1896	168
Corn planters, K. D	1890	957
Crackers, Shaver & Dows, Cedar Rapids, v. Joint Western Classification	1893	659
Crushed stone for road purposes.....	1894	359
Discrimination in, Westphal, Hinds & Co., Dubuque v. B., C. R. & N.....	1891	125
Discrimination in classification of flour, Crystal Mill and Grain Co., Council Bluffs, v. Iowa Pool Lines.....	1893	703
Dog Skins, Herman & Cowrie, Des Moines, petition for change in.....	1895	221
Drugs, Miller, W. G., Ottumwa, Iowa, classification maximum not minimum.....	1896	166
Farm wagons, Star Wagon Co., Cedar Rapids, v. Ry. Co's.....	1896	503
Glucose, James V. Mahon, Sioux City	1894	273
Gravel and sand.....	1894	359
Hancock, John T. & Son, Dubuque, v. B., C. R. & N. Ry., lack of uniformity.....	1899	23
Hardware, mixed, C. L., S. E. Rush, Colfax, v. C., R. I. & P.....	1901	507
Logs, rough, Des Moines Fence Co. and Des Moines Excelsior Works, et al.....	1891	782
Linseed and carbon oils in mixed car loads, application for car load rates	1892	844
Live stock, H. G. S. Odd, Westfield.....	1894	260
Merchandise, Hummer, George, Iowa City, v. B., C. R. N., discrimination.....	1890	153
Minimum weights, Ketchum & Johnson Co., Marshalltown, v. C. & N. W ..	1897	108
National uniform freight classification discussed.....	1897, 49; 1896	11
Of freights, commissioners'.....	1899	933
Roadmaking materials.....	1902	236
Railroad ties, J. C. Taylor, Perry	1894	240
Sand, Besley, L. C., Council Bluffs, v. C., B. & Q., petition for restoration of previous classification on	1898	247

	Year	Page
CLASSIFICATION OF FREIGHT—Continued.		
Sand and stone.....	1894, 359; 1902	236
Sawdust and fuel, Minesh, E. D. & Co., Eagle Grove	1897	154
Silicon wall plaster	1894	359
Syrup in pails, Mendel H., Neola, v. O., R. I. & P	1887	759
Syrup in pails, Tucker Bros., Brooks' v. O., B. & Q. R'd Co	1887	759
Wagons, democrat spring	1894	359
Western Wheel Scraper Co., Aurora, Ill., v. road making machines	1894	182
Worcester & Son, Des Moines, v. various lines, change of on goods in transit	1895	540
CLASSIFICATION OF RAILROAD—see also, Rates, Freight.		
A. B. and C	1878, 54, 67; 1881	85
Millner, T. H., Iowa Falls, v. B., C. R. & N., complaint of	1892	464
CLEANING GRAIN IN TRANSIT—see Milling in Transit.		
COAL, APPROPRIATION OF BY CARRIERS—see Appropriation of Coal.		
COAL HOUSE SITES—see Sites.		
COAL, MINIMUM WEIGHT OF IN CAR LOADS see Minimum weight.		
Stewart, James, et al., v. C. & N. W. scarcity of	1892	442
COAL RATES see Rates.		
PETITION FOR ADVANCE IN—see Rates.		
For distance less than five miles.....	1890	903, 922
COAL, WEIGHING OF, Nagle & Son, Red Oak, vs. O., B. & Q	1904	266
COFFIN, L. S., paper on automatic couplers and brakes	1897, 59; 1899	47
COMBINATION BETWEEN TRUNK LINES AND FEEDERS—see Pro-Rating.		
COMMERCE, INTERSTATE—see Interstate Commerce.		
Commerce, State and Interstate, what constitutes	1900, 7; 1903	363
COMMISSIONERS' AUTHORITY, POWERS AND DUTIES OF.		
To act, when in doubt, should not be exercised	1839	1092
Derived from statute, beyond its expressed provisions they can not act.....	1839	1092
Have no authority to require crossings where no highway has been established as required by law.....	1893	262
To stop the running of trains in case of unsafe condition of road	1892	766
No authority to interpret the law differently from its plain reading.....	1892	845
May order additional train service—see also Train Service.....	1891	906
To order freight transfer for L. O. L. shipments.....	1893	168
To order under crossings.....	1892	28
Duties and jurisdiction of.....	1878, 5, 17, 50, 53, 54; 1879	71
under the law of 1884	1884	42
increased powers of, discussion in relation to shippers to carriers.....	1884	81
law giving additional power to	1897	905
Duties of, enlarged by legislature	1892	24
Jurisdiction of	1891	28, 862
Old and new laws compared.....	1912	25
Duties and powers discussed	1892	21, 39
Duties and powers as defined by the United States supreme court	1894	199
Laws of 1888, granting additional power and making elective	1898	31
Decisions of, enforced in courts	1892	21, 39
In different states.....	1878	52
Expenses of board of railroad commissioners, by states	1897	242, 243
In Great Britain.....	1878	57
Original organization of	1878	3
COMMISSIONERS—for Secretaries see Secretary of Board.		
Anderson, A. R.,		
appointed	1891	3
term expired	1904	3
Brown, Ed. C.,		
elected	1902	8
Campbell, Frank T.,		
appointed.....	1898	3
elected.....	1899	3
drew three years term by lot	1909	4
term expired.....	1892	3

COMMISSIONERS—*Continued.*

	Year	Page
Carpenter, C. C.,		
appointed	1878	3
resigned	1878	3
Coffin, L. S.,		
appointed	1882	3
reappointed	1885	3
term expired	1888	3
Davidson, Chas. L.,		
elected	1895	iv
deceased—memorial statement....	1897	1
Dawson, E. A.,		
appointed to fill vacancy	1896	12
elected	1897, 12; 1900	10
Dey, Peter A.,		
appointed	1878	3
reappointed	1886	3
elected	1889	3
drew two years term by lot....	1889	4
term expired	1891	3
re-elected	1892	3
term expired	1895	iv
Luke, John W.,		
elected	1891	3
re-elected	1894	3
deceased—memorial statement....	1895, 1; 1896	12
McDill, J. W.,		
appointed	1878	3
resigned	1881	3
reappointed	1884	3
term expired	1887	3
Mowry, W.,		
elected	1899	15
term expired	1900	3
Palmer, D. J.....	1899, 15; 1901, 9; 1904	11
Perkins, George W.,		
elected	1893	3
re-elected	1896	2
Smith, Spencer,		
appointed	1887	3
elected	1899	3
drew one year term by lot....	1899	4
re-elected	1899	3
term expired	1893	3
Wilson, James,		
appointed	1882	3
resigned	1883	3
Woodruff, M. C.,		
appointed	1878	3
term expired	1882	3
COMMON CARRIERS must not discriminate in favor of themselves as private merchants....	1889	1046
COMMUTATION TICKETS—see <i>Passenger Fare.</i>		
COMPARATIVE TONNAGE, in carloads and less than carloads.....	1883	32
COMPETITION, its effects on rates.....	1886	34
CONCEALMENT IN RAILROAD AFFAIRS—see <i>Publicity.</i>		
CONCESSIONS TO LARGE SHIPPERS—see <i>Carload Rates.</i>		
CONDEMNATION PROCEEDINGS—		
Can not be maintained where company has no road in operation	1893	136
Commissioners no authority to compel the railroad companies to exercise.....	1893	191
Duty of carrier to exercise in certain cases.....	1891	823

CONDEMNATION PROCEEDINGS—Continued.

	Year	Page
Law granting for depot purposes	1884	86
Right to exercise not included in right of eminent domain	1887	679
Right of way, additional	1900	4
Applications for—		
Algona, by Iowa Central	1899	44
Allison, by C. G. W.	1902	300
Appanoose county, by Iowa and St. Louis	1902	210
Bayfield, by B. O. R. & N.	1900	84
Benton county, by C. M. & St. P. Ry. Co.	1905	216
Black Hawk county, by C. G. W.	1900, 110; 1901	177
Black Hawk county, by C. G. W. Ry. Co.	1905	215
Boone county, by C. & N. W.	1900, 87, 89; 1903	195
Boone county, by N. & N. W. Ry.	1904	221
Butler county, by Illinois Central	1900	83
Calhoun county, by M. C. & Ft. D.	1902	206
Calhoun county, by N. & N. W. R'y.	1901	228
Carroll, by M. C. & Ft. D.	1902	209
Carroll county, by C. & N. W.	1900	163
Charles City, Cedar Falls and Minnesota R'd Co. v. landowners	1896	15
Cedar Rapids, C. & N. W. and B. C. R. & N. Ry's v. landowners	1896	24
Cedar Rapids, by Dubuque & Sioux City	1901	179
Chickasaw county, by C. G. W.	1901	178
Clarion, by M. C. & Ft. D.	1902	273
Davenport, by C. R. I. & P.	1898, 77; 1901	175
Des Moines, C. B. & Q. R'd Co. v. Thomas Caughan, Sr. et al.	1935	549
Des Moines, Des Moines & Kansas City R'd Co. v. certain landowners	1931	767
Des Moines, by Des Moines Union R'y Co.	1900, 84; 1901	175
Dixon, R., B., C. R. & N. R'y Co. v. G. W. King, et al.	1884	600
Dubuque, Union Depot Company of	1896	480
Ehler, Dubuque & Sioux City R'd Co. v. certain landowners	1893, 146; 221	
Eldridge Junction, by C. M. & St. P.	1895	60
Esterville, by B. C. R. & N.	1899	37
Esterville, by M. & St. L. R'y	1900	205
Evanston, Mason City & Ft. Dodge v. certain landowners	1911	733
Fayette county, by C. G. W.	1902, 207; 1903, 190, 200	
Ft. Dodge, by M. & St. L.	1902	209
Garner, Finch & Hayward of, inquiries concerning	1934	518
Goose Lake, C. & N. W. R'y Co. v. certain property owners	1839	947
Green county, by C. & N. W. R'y	1900, 85, 86, 87, 88, 89, 90, 163	
Harrison county, by C. & N. W.	1901	522
Hardin county, by D. & S. C. R'd	1903	298
Hogle et al., by D. & S. C.	1903	257
Iowa City, by C., R. I. & P. R'y Co.	1893	52
Jefferson county, by C., Ft. M. & D. M. R'y	1900	190
Jefferson county, by C., R. I. & P.	1901	176
Kossuth county, by C. & N. W.	1902	201
Lawrence, by Gowrie & N. W.	1900	168
Lee county, by C. B. & Q.	1905	313
Lee county, by A. T. & S. Fe. Ry. Co.	1905	217
Linn county, by C. M. & St. P. Ry. Co.	1907	228
Linn county, by C. M. & St. P. Ry. Co.	1905	219
Linn county, by C. M. & St. P. Ry. Co.	1905	225
Louisa and Washington counties, by C., R. I. & P.	1903	240
Macuta, Chicago, Santa Fe & Cal. R'y Co. v. John Yager et al.	1887	755
Manson, by C., R. I. & P.	1899	45
Marion county, by Keokuk & Des Moines Ry. Co.	1905	219
Marsh, by Iowa Central	1900	82
Marshalltown, by C. G. W.	1902	208
Mills county, by C., B. & Q.	1903	196
Montgomery county, by C., B. & Q.	1903	197
Oelwein, for tracks to shops of C. G. W. R'y Co.	1896, 126; 1899, 36; 1903	307

CONDEMNATION PROCEEDINGS—Continued.

	Year	Page
Oelwein, by C. G. W.	1902	307
Onawa, Cherokee & Dakota R'd Co. v. certain landowners ..	1888	709
Osceola, Des Moines & Kansas City R'd Co. v. landowners ..	1896	26
Osceola, C., B. & Q. R'd Co. v. landowners ..	1896	27
Oskaloosa, by B. & W.	1902	292
Oskaloosa, Cent. Iowa R'y v. Baldwin, Mary, et al ..	1896	572
Oskaloosa, on Iowa Central ..	1887	45
Oto, Cherokee & Dakota Ry Co. v. Landowners ..	1898	708
Applications for—Continued.		
Ottumwa, C. M. & St. P. R'y Co. v. Daniel & Bridget Sughrua ..	1884	592
Ottumwa for union depot at ..	1887	699
Pottawattamie county, by C. G. W.	1903	195
Poweshiek county, by Iowa Central ..	1902	205
Shelby county, by C. M. & St. P. Ry. Co.	1903	296
Sheldon, Cherokees & Dakota v. certain landowners ..	1898	696
Sioux City, by C. St. P., M. & O.	1902	202
Sioux City, Sioux City, Chicago & Baltimore R'y Co. v. certain land- owners ..	1893	135
Sioux City, by C. St. P. M. & O. Ry Co., petition for right ..	1905	302
Storm Lake, by M. & St. L.	1900	144
Story county, by C. & N. W.	1901	521
Story county, by N. & N. W.	1903	200
Vinton, by B., C. R. & N.	1893	38
Wapello county, by C., M. & St. P.	1901	227
Washington county, by C., R. I. & P.	1901	177
Washington county, by C. R. I. & P. Ry Co.	1905	218
Waterloo, Dub. & S. C. R'd Co. v. Waterloo Water Co. et al., petition in ..	1890	993
Waterloo, Dub. & S. C. R'd Co. v. certain landowners ..	1897	933
Waverly, by Waverly Short Line ..	1898	31
Webster county, by M. & St. L.	1902	209
Webster county, by M. C. & Ft. D.	1901	178
West Liberty, by C., R. I. & P.	1899	43
West Liberty, by B., C. R. & N.	1900	83
West Liberty, by C., R. I. & P.	1900	186
Woodbury county, by C., St. P., M. & O.	1902	202
Woodbury county, by Iowa & Great Northern Ry Co.	1905	215
Woodbury county, by Wilmar & Sioux Falls Ry. Co.	1905	227
Wright county, by M. C. & Ft. D.	1902, 201, 201,	208
CONDITION OF ROADS, improved physical ..	1891	25
CONDITION OF ROADBED & TRACK of O. & St. L.	1932	227
CONDITION OF WESTERN RAILROADS—see <i>Railroad Situation, etc</i>		
Amount of business offered railroads ..	1891	4
Conduct of train men, Towasend, D. W., Cherokee v. Ill. Central ..	1904	317
On Waterloo and Cedar Falls Rapid Transit Co.	1901	292
CONFISCATION OF COAL BY RAILROAD COMPANY—		
Barfoot, G., Ayrshire, v. C., R. I. & P.	1903	277
CONFLICTING AND DISPUTED PROVISIONS OF THE LAW—see <i>Law</i>		
CONNECTION, CROSSING, discussion of ..	1881	79
CONSIDERATION TAKEN VOTED FOR RAILROADS, A PART OF—see <i>Stations</i>		
CONSIDERATION OF IOWA RAILROADS, discussed ..	1879	60
CONTRACTS—		
Road work by ..	1891	26
Quotation of rates by agents and acceptance thereof constitutes ..	1898	603
For exemption of liability for fires set by engines ..	1895	xxxv
Concerning contract of release from liability by fire caused by railway Co.	1897	185
CONTRACTS, VIOLATION OF—		
Berry, W. T., Marshalltown, v. W., I. & N., in providing crossing ..	1896	557
Davison, M., Plano, v. Wabash, in building side track, etc.	1882	549
Day, F. A., Castana, v. Maple River R'y Co., in location of railroad ..	1891	585

CONTRACT, VIOLATION OF—Continued.

	Year	Page
Dorcas, John, Shiloh, v. O. & N. W. R'y, failure to construct side track	1886	573
Elliott, W. P., Morning Sun, v. C., B. & Q., failure to fence	1883	729
Fausser, W. D., Wirt, v. H. & S., violation of light of way contract	1897	682
Fort Dodge, city of, v. C., R. I. & P. et al., failure to operate road	1889	982, 987
Harshbarger, W. A., Oakland Mills, v. St. L., K. & N. W., failure to provide crossings and fence in consideration of right of way	1892	841
Hunter, A., Wyman, v. B. & N. W., failure to build cattle guards, fences, etc.	1893	701
Kenyon, F. L., et al.; Iowa City, v. B., C. R. & N., failure to run chair cars	1893	675
Macrae, D., mayor of Council Bluffs, v. C., R. I. & P., and C. & N. W., in abandoning Council Bluffs as Western terminus	1872	845
Orilla, citizens of, v. C. G. W., in maintaining station	1894	238
Phelan, James, Maitland, Dak., v. C. M. & St. P. R'y Co., failure to furnish shipping facilities	1886	512
Seever, W. A., et al., Oskaloosa, v. Central Iowa, station accommodations	1936	180
Springer, A., Prairie City, v. C. M. & St. P., refusal to redeem commutation tickets	1885	580
Torkelson, Nels, et al., Austin, v. C. M. & St. P., failure to put in station	1892	863
Yaggy, E. H., Audubon, v. B. C. R. & N., on rates on emigrant movables	1935	538

CONVENTION OF STATE RAILROAD COMMISSIONERS—

With interstate commerce commission	1889, 19; 1891, 20-29; 1892, 44; 1893, 1894, 351; 1895, xxxviii; 1896, 10; 1897, 11; 1899, 14; 1900	40, 6
With commissioners of surrounding states	1881	164

CONSTRUCTION ACCOUNTS, open discussed 1878 38-39

CONTROL OF RAILROADS BY GOVERNMENT—see *Government Control*.

CONTROL BY STATE—see *State Control*.

CONTROL OF STATION GROUNDS—see *Omnibus Privileges*.

CONVENTION RATES—see *Rates*.

COOLEY, JUDGE, T. M., on "Railroad Commissioners"	1933	45
Address on "The Railroad Problem"	1891	29
Articles on "Popular and Legal Views of Traffic Pooling"	1887	84

CORN RATES, request of commissioners for temporary reduction of 1896 619

COST—

Average of roads in different states	1880	175
Difficulty in obtaining information on	1834	17
Discussion on	1837	51
Earnings of, in excess of 6 per cent, on \$30,000 per mile	1891	36-37
Of construction per mile	1878	35
Of equipment per mile	1878	36
To move freight, per ton per mile, discussed	1891, 29; 1893	761-783

OF OVER AND UNDER RAILROAD CROSSINGS, APPORTIONMENT OF—see

Crossing.

COUPLERS—AUTOMATIC—AND BRAKERS—see also, *Automatic Couplers*.

Automatic, paper on, By Commissioner Coffin	1835, 92; 1897, 59; 1899	47
Comparative table	1899, 13; 1900	9
Different types of couplers considered	1891	20-25
Discussion of Iowa law requiring their use	1890, 7; 1900	5
Generally used	1898	4
Law concerning	1891	18
Recommendations of commissioners' convention for law concerning	1892	45
Test of, in Massachusetts, reported	1881	40

COUPLING CARS—

Loss of life and personal injury discussed	1934	39
Comparative table of	1900	9

COURTS, CASES PENDING IN—REPORT OF ATTORNEY-GENERAL—see *Litigation*.

State courts to take cognizance of order of commissioners	1891	862
---	------	-----

CROSSING, FARM—

At grade, and over or undergrade discussed	1933, 18, 25; 1900	3
Decision of supreme court regarding	1892	25, 28
Matters of public right, decision by supreme court	1892	28
Overhead farm crossing, decision of supreme court on, discussed	1892	28, 29

CROSSING, FARM—Continued.		Year	Page
Legislation recommended	1899, 48, 1891, 43; 1899, 7; 1901	1899	2
Undergrade or overhead	1900	1900	4
COMPLAINTS CONCERNING—PETITION FOR—			
Alexander, T. K., Storm Lake, v. M. & St. L., cattle guard	1901	1901	490
Ammundson, L. O., Radcliffe, v. C. & N. W., open	1903	1903	263
Amundson, Helga, Ellsworth, v. C. & N. W., obstructed by snow	1888	1888	733
Anderson, W. M., St. Charles, v. D. M. & K. C., at grade	1897	1897	23
Arnold, G. P., Garden Grove, v. C. B. & Q., undergrade	1903	1903	310
Arthur, C. H., Oakland Mills, v. St. L., K. & N. W.	1900	1900	122
Barnes, R. H. & J. H., Olivet, v. C., R. I. & P., under open	1893	1893	261
Barnett, E. D., Linden, v. C., M. & St. P., undergrade	1903	1903	260
Bartholow, J. M., Rembrandt, v. M. & St. L.	1903	1903	31
Battern, Charles, Storm Lake, v. M. & St. L., cattle guards at	1902	1902	286
Beck, Joseph, Marcus, v. D. & S. O. (Ill. Cent.), under	1891	1891	825
Beech, A. A., Collins, v. C. M. & St. P., cattle guards	1900	1900	214
Bendicksen, G., Lake Mills, v. C. & N. W., ordinary	1901	1901	557
Berry, W. T., Marshalltown, v. W. I. & N., contract for, violated...	1896	1896	510
Berry, W. T., Marshalltown, v. C. G. W., under crossing	1896	1896	164
Buerer, G., Ackley, v. Illinois Central, at grade	1904	1904	318
Byvan, Mrs. I. S., Redding, v. C. B. & Q., undergrade	1900	1900	202
Blackman, G. M., Newton, v. C. R. I. & P., at grade farm	1890	1890	909
Blakely, A. J., Grinnell, v. Iowa Central, undergrade	1903	1903	240
Blosser, Sam, Patterson, v. C. R. I. & P., open	1902	1902	279
Brand, Karl, New Hampton, v. C. G. W., repair of crossing	1902	1902	291
Brockman, William, Baxter, v. C. St. P. & K. C., open farm	1890	1890	912
Brownell, H. S., Spirit Lake, v. C. M. & St. P., open—cattle guard...	1892	1892	845
Brubaker, B. F., Orilla, v. C. G. W., undergrade	1900	1900	184
Buckley, W. B., New Hampton, v. C. G. W., undergrade	1901	1901	281
Buffham, Joe, Lohrville, v. C. G. W., undergrade	1902	1902	298
Bunker, M., Traer, v. C. & N. W., condition of cattle pass	1901	1901	489
Burkhalter, A. C., Rockwell City, v. C. M. & St. Paul, cattle guards	1900	1900	155
Burns, Thomas, Breda, v. C. & N. W., at grade	1893	1893	630
Burns, W. W., Cromwell, v. C. B. & Q., undergrade	1901	1901	505
Buttles, C., Corwith, v. Iowa Central, undergrade	1900	1900	198
Cain, Dennis, Arthur, v. C. & N. W., unsuitable	1888	1888	720
Calderwood, H. Traer, v. B., C. R. & N., undergrade	1901	1901	462
Chapman, H. G., Sioux City, v. C., M. & St. P., location of	1890	1890	846
Cole, Lyman, v. B., C. R. & N., under, petition for	1896	1896	157
Cole, J. F. G., Berlin, v. C. G. W., condition of	1903	1903	274
Cooter, David, Flugstad, v. Crooked Creek, ordinary	1901	1901	519
Critchfield, J. W., Lucas, v. C., B. & Q., ordinary	1895	1895	186
Cruze, J. B., Vincennes, v. C., R. I. & P., renewal of bridge	1899	1899	62
Cunningham & Jones, Marshalltown, v. C., M. & St. P., petition for	1892	1892	839
Outler, G. L., Clarion, v. M. C. & Ft. D., open and cattle guards ..	1897	1897	716
Cutler, G. L., Clarion, v. M. C. & Ft. D., under farm	1899	1899	1089
Cutler, F. L., Clarion, v. M. C. & Ft. D., petition for under	1890	1890	860
Cutler, G. L.	1893	1893	23
Dalliner, Simon, Mt. Pleasant, v. C., B. & Q., undergrade	1900	1900	213
Davis, J. K., Bagley, v. C., M. & St. P., open	1896	1896	76
Davis, B. F., Compotine, v. C., M. & St. P., undergrade	1902	1902	267
Davitt, James, Cummings, v. C. G. W., under	1895	1895	125
Deadrick, John, Cedar Falls, v. C. & N. W., undergrade	1900	1900	206
Deitken, C., Council Bluffs, v. M. C. & Ft. D., undergrade	1902	1902	293
Denison, T. W., Clarion, v. M. C. & Ft. D., replacing and repairing farm crossing	1896	1896	52
Dennis, I. V., Coralville, v. C., R. I. & P., under, failure to maintain ...	1889	1889	979
Deluhery, T., Summer, v. M. & N. W., farm and cattle guards ..	1886	1886	596
Dudgeon, Lafayette, Hedrick, v. C., M. & St. P., undergrade	1902	1902	282
Duncan, C. H., Columbus Junction, v. C., R. I. & P., condition of undergrade ...	1908	1908	237
Eckstein, H., et al., Cresco, v. C., M. & St. P., open	1895	1895	202

CROSSINGS, FARM—Continued.

Complaints Concerning—Petitions for—Continued.

	Year	Page
Edge, H. M., Tipton, v. B., C. R. & N., undergrade	1900	125
Elder, R. A., Albia, v. C., B. & Q., open	1904	256
Ennessy, P., New Hampton, v. C., St. P. & K. C., petition for under	1889	1073
Ennessy, P., New Hampton, v. C. G. W., defective under bridge	1893	167
Erickson, A. M., Marathon, v. C., M. & St. P., undergrade	1900	137
Evans, Mrs. J. W., Williamsburg, v. C., M. & St. P., undergrade	1901	468
Evans, —, Elma, v. C. G. W., undergrade	1902	249
Fanser, W. D., Wirt, v. H. & S., contract providing, ignored	1887	682
Fisher, R. B., Knoxville, v. C., R. I. & P., overhead	1892	420
Fordyce, Harry, Libertyville, v. C., R. I. & P., petition for farm	1886	609
Fox, John, Dallas Center, v. C., R. I. & P., undergrade	1901	551
Frederickson, J. P., Ruthven, v. C., M. & St. P., undergrade	1903	316
Fuller, H. E., Kalo, v. M. & St. L., petition for farm	1935	563
Gaston, H. F., Traer, v. C. & N. W., cattle pass, condition.	1901	514
Gaston, H. F., Traer, v. I. M. & N. W., undergrade	1899	116
Geomatz, John, Auburn, v. Ill. Cent., undergrade	1900	149
George, W. C., Collins, v. C., M. & St. P. undergrade cattle pass	1899	88
Gerst, Hugo, Oakville, v. Iowa Cent. Ry., bad condition of	1905	309
Glays, J., Libertyville, v. C., Ft. M. & D. M., petition as per contract ..	1893	237
Gonsetto, L. H., East Peru, v. C. G. W., establishment of	1903	327
Gravatt, O., Traer, v. C. & N. W. Ry., undergrade	1899	113
Graves, R. T., Mason City, v. M. C. & Ft. D., under	1885	36
Gray, W. A., Albia, v. Iowa Central Ry., at grade	1909	69
Griffin, John, Hedrick, v. C., M. & St. P., petition for	1903	189
Hamilton, William R., Washington, v. C., R. I. & P., under bridge	1933	145
Hanke, August, Wall Lake, v. C. & N. W., petition for open.	1936	499 552
Hansen, N. M., Albert City, v. C., M. & St. P., open	1931	507
Harris, J. S., New Market, v. K. & W., cattle guard	1901	523
Harris, J. S., New Market, v. K. & W. undergrade	1903	320
Haynes, S., Cummings, v. C. G. W. undergrade	1900	174
Haynes, S., Cummings, v. C. G. W., condition of undergrade.	1903	295
Heavilin, S. R., Gladbrook, v. C. G. W., replacing	1897	122
Helmer, M. F., Mechanicsville, v. C. & N. W., repairs on	1894	274
Helmer & Gortner, Mechanicsville, v. C. & N. W., blocking of.	1903	317
Helphrey, L. S., Metz, v. C. R. I. & P., undergrade	1932	237
Helphrey, L. S., Newton, v. N. & N. W., undergrade	1903	314
Hennings, H. J. Cedar Falls v. Ill. Cen. Ry Co petition for	1905	263
Hickman, C. J., Metz, v. C., R. I. & P. petition to restore plank in ...	1931	760
Hickman, C. J., Metz, v. C., R. I. & P., defective farm.	1932	852
Hickman, M. C., Metz v. C. R. I. & P. Ry Co. obstructed	1905	270
Holland, George, Kelley v. N. & N. W. Ry Co. undergrade	1905	291
Holmes, W., et al., C. & N. W., cattle guards	1930	115
Holschlag, Henry, New Hampton, v. C. G. W., cattle pass	1902	293
Hoover, O. E., Delphos, v. C., B. & Q. under and cattle guard	1899	1004
Hopkins, David, Panora, v. D. M. & N. W., petition for under	1893	2 5
Hough, A. T., Newton, v. N. & N. W., at grade	1904	292
Howard, John S., Sac City, v. C., M. & St. P., additional	1900	142
Huber, M., Storm Lake, v. M. & St. L., cattle guard	1901	491
Humke, Henry, Ackley v. Ill. Cent. Rd. Co., application for	1905	258
Humphrey, Sanford, Jefferson county, v. C., R. I. & P., protest against filling crossing under bridge	1883	207
Irwin, Mrs. J. S. Tama, v. C. & N. W.	1900	209
Ives, Julius, Dickens, v. C., M. & St. P., petition for open	1889	1063
Jacobson, K. J. Pickering, v. Iowa Central, overhead	1903	321
Jamison A. F., Lohrville, v. M. C. & Ft. D., undergrade ..	1903	269
Jarvis Myers, Morning Sun, v. Iowa Central, cattle guards and fencing ..	1895	153
Johnson, William, Ames, v. C. & N. W., undergrade	1903	245
Keller, B. F. Knowlton, v. C. G. W., condition of	1903	295
Kendall, J. F., Fredericksburg, v. C. G. W., undergrade	1893	89

CROSSINGS FARM—Continued.

Complaints Concerning—Petition for—Continued

Year. Page.

Kiel, DeKalb, v. H. & S., petition for farm	1892	831
Korns, Jacob, Hartwick, v. C. & N.-W., under, failure to repair	1891	780
Lamb, L., What Oheer, v. C. & N.-W., undergrade	1900	192
Lang, D. R. Levey, v. C., R. I. & P., at grade	1903	302
Lawson, W., Fairfield, v. C., R. I. & P., ordinary	1901	514
Lee, C. G., Ames, v. C. & N.-W., overhead	1900	157
Lenan, J. H., Colfax, v. C., R. I. & P., ordinary, at grade	1895	188
Lewis, J. F., New Hampton, v. C. G. W., petition for open farm	1893	203
Lister, William, Newton, v. C., R. I. & P., petition for open	1899	1032
Lockwood, L. N., Coldwater, v. I., M. & N. W., undergrade	1899	104
Long, F. H., Manning, v. M. C. & Ft. D	1903	214
Longman, A., Logan, v. Ft. D. & O., undergrade	1900	217
Loomis N. D. Hedrick v. I. C. Ry. Co. undergrade	1935	213
Loop, H. D., Traer, v. C. & N.-W., open	1903	231
Lynch P., New Hampton, v. C., St. P. & K. C., undergrade, 1893, 701; 1899, 978; 1899	1899	89
Lyons, P. Navan, v. C. M. & St. P., undergrade	1899	64
Madison, C., et al., Cresco, v. C. M. & St. P., open	1895	202
Martin, J. H. Tripoli, v. C., St. P. & K. C., protest against removing	1890	872
Martin J., Bagley, v. C. M. & St. P., with cattle guards	1898	50
Martin, Josiah, Bagley, v. C. M. & St. P., at grade	1901	293
Martins Wm Churchville v. C. G. W. undergrade	1905	291
May, James, Russell, v. C. B. & Q., to highway	1900	119
Maxwell, Isaac, Indianola, v. C. B. & Q., undergrade	1903	299
Melssner, John, Reinbeck, v. C. G. W., replacing of	1904	310
Mercer, F. M., Vctor, C., R. I. & P., condition of undergrade	1903	297
Meyer, Arend, Holland, v. B., C. R. & N., undergrade	1897	70
Miller, James T., Bristow, v. Dub. & Dak., impassable condition of	1896	501
Miller, J. H., Foster, v. C. M. & St. P., to highway	1900	184
Mills, O., Lewis, v. O., R. I. & P., undergrade	1901	502
Moritz, George, Storm Lake, v. M. & St. L., cattle guards at	1902	278
Morrison, D., Arthur, v. C. & N.-W., petition to repair under	1891	791
McClelland, C., Des Moines, v. Inter-Urban Railway Co.	1901	268
McDonald, Thomas, Bayard, v. C., M. & St. P., overhead farm.	1893, 597; 1899	937
McDonald, Thomas, Bayard, v. C. M. & St. P., boards decision discussed	1892	23
Supreme Court's opinion in	1892	893
McGonegle, James, Delmar, v. C., M. & St. P., relocation	1900	191
Nargang S. A., New Hampton v. C. G. W. Ry. Co. petition for	1905	262
Neff, J. W., Hedrick v. C., M. & St. P. overhead	1901	219
Nelson, Bertha, Keokuk, v. C., R. I. & P., cattle guards at	1902	247
Nelson A., Cambridge v. D. M. I. F. & N. Ry. Co. undergrade	1905	262
Nichols, John, East Peru, v. C. G. W., undergrade	1902	271
Nicola, W. E., Rievrside v. C. R. I. & P. Ry. Co. petition for	1905	255
Nolte, C., Sumner, v. C. G. W., undergrade cattle pass	1901	507
Norton, B. P., Cresco, C., M. & St. P., boards decision discussed	1890	916
O'Brien, P. M., Letts, v. C., M. & St. P., undergrade	1903	236
Obye, L., Lohrville, v. C. & N.-W., undergrade	1900	105
Overholt, E. S., Wyoming, v. C. & N.-W., petition for under	1893	213
Owens John. Clarkson v. C. B. & Q. Ry. Co. petition for	1905	268
Palmer, John, Knowlton. C. G. W., improper drainage	1903	325
Pease, John Farragut, v. C., B. & Q., petition for reopening farm	1893	222
Peek, J. G., Truro, v. D. M. & K. C., open	1895, 193; 1900	112
Petit, Charles, Storm Lake, v. M. & St. L., cattle guard	1901	491
Phipps, A. S., Farragut, v. C., B. & Q., open	1898	15
Pine, N. A., Algona, v. C., M. & St. P., undergrade	1898	48
Poorbaugh, H., Colfax, v. C., R. I. & P., at grade	1896	117
Plato, O., for A. Bartlett, Correctionville, v. Ill. Cent., open crossing	1896	139
Pratt, F. B., Auburn, v. Ill. Cent., undergrade	1901	465
Provan, Elizabeth, Traer, v. C., R. I. & P., overhead	1904	284
Pryor, A. M., Leon, v. D. M. & K. C., petition for farm	1891	812
Reigard, Chas. I., Spirit Lake v. C. h. I. & P. Ry. undergrade	1905	290

CROSSINGS, FARM—Continued.

Complaints, Concerning—Petitions—Continued.

	Year.	Page.
Rice, S., Shell Rock v. C. G. W. Ry. petition for.....	1905	310
Rimathe, Jasper, Slater, v. C. & N.-W., undergrade	1902	243
Roadman, L. E. Dairyville, v. C. & N.-W. undergrade.	1901	503
Root, Charles E., B., C. R. & N., cattle guard	1903	211
Ryan, R. B. J., Leighton, v. C., R. I. & P., over	1894	240
Sackrider, D., Maquoketa, v. C., M. & St. P., undergrade ...	1900	135
Sampson, L. E. Altoona, v. C., R. I. & P., open crossing.	1901	381
Sargent, O. G. Sr., Vincennes, v. C. R. I. & P., with cattle guards ...	1901	527
Scanlon, Thomas, Grafton, v. C., M. & St. P., petition for farm	1892	845
Schachel, Wm Burlington, v. C., R. I. & P.	1902	302
Schade, Conrad, Glenwood, v. C., B. & Q., petition to leave, underbridge	1902	856
Schrimer, Mrs. F. Linn Junction, v. C. M. & St. P., undergrade	1897	129
Schwab, L., Morning Sun, v. Iowa Cent., undergrade	1900	195
Sconce W. F., Delphos, v. C., B. & Q., undergrade	1900	207
Scott, M. V. Mechanicsville, v. C. & N.-W.	1903	292
Schrimer, R. D., Robins, v. C., M. & St. P., repairs of	1902	295
Shiffer, C. E. Nodaway v. C. B. Q. Ry. petition for undergrade.....	1905	249
Shirk, B. E., Marshalltown, v. Iowa Central et. al., overhead	1904	295
Smith, J. H. Ira, v. C. G. W., petition to open farm crossing as per contract	1893	231
Smith, E. C. Crown, v. C., B. & Q., petition for under farm.....	1892	823
Smith, Mrs. M., Storm Lake, v. M. & St. L., cattle guard	1901	492
Stanton, Franklin, Shenandoah, O. & St. L., dangerous	1898	75
Stephens, A. C. Glidden, v. C. & N.-W., petition for open farm.....	1898	728
Stevens, Albert, Acme, v. C. G. W., location of	1902	293
Stouffer, G. H., Marshalltown, v. C. G. W.	1900	162
Stoner, M. D., Iowa City, v. C., R. I., C. and S., undergrade.	1903	313
Stubbs, Hedrick, v. B. & W., condition of	1900	206
Sullivan, Neil Afton v. C. G. W. Ry. Co. petition for undergrade.....	1905	250
Swain, J. W., Guthrie county v. C., M. & St. P., petition for under.....	1893	241
Swisher, J. D. W. Sigourney, v. C., R. I. & P., over.....	1895, 115; 1897	17
Taylor, W. L., Sibley, v. B., C. R. & N., undergrade	1900	193
Tice, F. L. J. H., Monroe, v. C., R. I. & P., with cattle guards	1902	275
Trout, B. B., Reasoner, v. C., R. I. & P., undergrade	1903	228
Tunncliffe, G. and C., Bingham, v. O. & St. L., petition for open	1893	214
VanDomselaar, M., Pella, v. C., R. I. & P., undergrade.	1903	222
VanZante, Tunis, Otley, v. C., R. I. & P., with cattle guard.....	1902	275
Walker, H., Logan, v. Ft. D. & O., location	1900	147
Wakeman, M. A., Otho, v. M. & St. L., taking up plank	1896	109
Walleck, S. M., Shambaugh, v. C., B. & Q., petition for open	1892	768
Walsh, J. H., Dickens, v. C., M. & St. P., bridge.....	1900	160
Walters, H. F., Rockwell City, v. Ill. Cent., undergrade	1899	91
Walterschied, A., Cedar Rapids, v. C. M. & St. P., at grade ..	1901	166
Warfel, B. F., Washington, v. C., R. I. & P., under, for stock	1895	237
Warnock, Alexander, Sigourney, v. B., C. R. & N., petition for under.....	1892	870
Warnock, Alexander, case, petition for under.....	1893	18
Warnock, Alexander, Sigourney, v. B., C. R. & N. (test case) in court.....	1893	171
Warnock, Alexander, Sigourney, attorney-general's report concerning.	1895xxxviii	
Weir, John Sheldon, v. C. St. P. M. & O. Ry. Co., petition for.....	1905	267
Welday, James, Fairchild, v. C., Ft. M. & D. M., open	1895	141
Wessels, John A., Ackles, v. Ill. Cent. Rd. Co. petition for	1905	259
Westhook, D. D., Letts, v. M. N. & S., undergrade	1900	57
decree of district court in	1900	66
White, Dr. S. S., Creston, v. C., B. & Q., protest against filling under br'ge..	1893	218
Wiley, J., Storm Lake, v. M. & St. L., cattle guard.....	1901	490
Wilson, Isaac, Henderson, v. C., B. & Q., open	1898	63
Wilson, I., Henderson, v. C., B. & Q., cattle guard.....	1901	472
Wilson, W. P., Elberon, v. C. & N.-W., condition of undergrade.....	1901	506
Wright, Geo. C., Kniffin, v. Ill. Cent., undergrade.....	1901	467
Zurcher, Farmersburg, v. C., M. & St. P., petition for under.....	1891	770

CROSSINGS, HIGHWAY—

	Year	Page
At grade, their construction over station grounds discussed	1893	16-156
Expense of relocation must be borne by the railroad company, opinion by attorney-general.....	1899	1065
Discussion of	1896, 10; 1898, 7; 1899	7
Legislation recommended.....	1885, 60; 1899, 39; 1891	44
Jurisdiction of commissioner in ordering	1894, 172; 1898	7
Should railway companies grade full width on right of way?	1895	195
Undergrade and overhead discussed	1900	4
Number in Iowa.....	1893, 1; 1900	290
Recommendations concerning	1901	3
Number of.....	1901	82
Petitions for, Complaints Concerning —		
Ackley, City of, v. Ill. Cent. Rd. Co., Reducing grades at street crossings	1905	256
Adam, W. C., Elma, v. C. G. W., condition of undergrade	1903	294
Adams, R. M., road supervisor, Clarke county, v. C., B. & Q	1891	729
Anderson, William M., St. Charles, v. D. M. & K. C., alley	1897	23
Anderson, Frank, Dawson, v. C., M. & St. P., concerning rights of county	1898	64
Arcada, town of, v. C. & N.-W., opening street.....	1897	38
Bargfriede, William, et al., Arcadia, v. C. & N.-W., street crossing	1892	847
Barnum, township trustees, v. Ill. Cent., inadequate overhead.....	1901	479
Batavia, town of, v. C., B. & Q. R'y, unfit condition of undergrade.....	1901	506
Baxter, township trustees of, v. C. G. W., dangerous	1900	131
Belmond, M. S., Paige of, v. M. C. & Ft. D., flagman for street crossing	1900	154
Binford, J. D., Allen's Grove, v. C., M. & St. P., unsafe condition of	1896	555
Boatwright, G. J., Hastie, v. Wabash, petition for reopening of	1892	837
Buckley, D., New Hampton, v. C. G. W., unfit condition of	1899	77
Brooks, M., et al., v. C. & N.-W., dangerous	1894	314
Bryan, J. C., Bouton, v. C., M. & St. P., petition for	1890	960
Buck, R. A., State Center, v. C. & N.-W., dangerous street crossing	1903	251
Buena Vista county v. C., & N.-W., opening crossing	1899	61
Burch, A. M., road supervisor, Dixon, v. B. C. R. & N., defective	1899	1631
Calhoun county, supervisors of, v. Gowrie & N. W., improper constr'n	1900	152-176
Carroll, city of, v. C., & N.-W., et al, flagman,.....	1904	229
Carpenter, for board of supervisors, Iowa Falls, v. Ill. Cent., petition for	1896	68
Cedar county, supervisors of, v. B., C. R. & N., dangerous	1899	52
Cedar Rapids, township trustee of, v. C., M. & St. P., damages	1899	93
Center township, Mills county, v. C., B. & Q., dangerous condition of	1885	578
Centerville, B. F. Kendig of, v. Iowa Cent., condition of	1900	134
Chariton, G. J. Gittinger of, v. C., B. & Q., establishment of	1900	210
Clark, J. S., Davis City, v. C., B. & Q., opening streets across right of way	1892	832
Coffin, L. S., v. Ill. Cent., and M. & St. L., dangerous at Ft. Dodge.	1893	713
Comstock, J. L., et al., Hardy, v. B., C. R. & N., petition for st. crossing	1896	132
Cordell, J. L., road supervisor, Boone, v. D. M., N. & W., petition for.....	1893	227
Corsant, Benjamin, et al., Bagley, v. C., M. & St. P., petition for.....	1893	208
Corwith, citizens of, v. M. & St. L., petition for	1896	592
Crawford, M. A., Giard, v. C., M. & St. P., failure to plank	1897	148
Creighton, M. C., Madrid, v. C. M. & St. P., overhead street	1901	491
Davenport, township trustees of, v. C., R. I. & P., dangerous	1900	118
Davis, Geo. W., mayor of Hastings, v. C., B. & Q., for flagman at	1892	831
Davis, L. B., Bridgewater, v. C., B. & Q., petition for	1894	311
Dennis, J. B., Traer, v. B., C. R. & N., dangerous.....	1903	225
Dicker, F. E., Correctionville, v. C., & N.-W., relocation of highway	1891	799
Dodd, Andrew, Traer, v. B., C. R. & N	1892	528
Dundee, Arthur Lee of, v. C. G. W., establishment of.....	1900	208
Durant, town of, v. C., R. I. & P., warning bell at	1902	250
Eiler, Daniel, mayor of Ackley, v. Ill. Cent., condition of.....	1904	267
Elkport, town of, v. C., M. & St. P., failure to plank.....	1897	143
Erickson, Erick, Plokering, v. Iowa Central, cattle guards.....	1903	238
Fayette, Joe Fockler of, v. C., M. & St. P., dangerous.....	1900	126
Felton, J. B., supervisor, New Virginia, v. D. M. & K. C., defective	1892	799

CROSSINGS, HIGHWAY—Continued.

Year Page

Petitions for, Complaints Concerning—Continued.

Ferguson, W. P., county attorney, Page county, Shenandoah, for board of supervisors, v. C., B. & Q., petition for highway crossing	1893. 262;	1891	172
Ft. Dodge, city of, v. C., R. I. & P. and M. & St. L., overhead street		1892	802
Ft. Dodge, H. M. Griffin et al., v. M. C. & Ft. D., viaduct		1901	222
Francis, P. H., Cedar Rapids, inquiry as to duty of railway company as to grade		1895	195
Frantz, S. G., Blairstown, v. O. & N.-W., petition for		1894	315
French, D. A., road supervisor, Rowan, v. B., C. R. & N., petition for		1892	851
Funk, J. H., Iowa Falls, v. Ill. Cent., dangerous and dark street crossing		1896	59
Gafford, Joseph, Burlington, v. Citizens of Murray, dangerous obstruction		1887	687
Gambell, W. C., Sigourney, v. C., R. I. & P., condition of overhead		1903	319
Graff, N. H., v. C., & N.-W., dangerous street crossing		1896	128
Gilbert, Town of v. C. & N. W. Ry Co petition to open street		1905	297
Griffin, H. M., et al., Ft. Dodge v. M. C. & Ft. D.		1904	222
Harlan, O. F., Richland, v. C., M. & St. P., condition of undergrade		1903	293
Haviland, D. A., Ft. Dodge, v. M. & St. L. and Ill. Cent., dangerous		1886	509
Hersom, H., Diagonal, v. H. & S., Highway		1894	251
Hill & Hall, Wesley, v. C. R., G. & N. W., street crossings in Titonka		1899	110
Hillyard, P., New London, v. C., B. & Q., overhead		1903	241
Huber, S. C., v. C. & N.-W., relocation of		1904	315
Hudnutt, E. E., et al., Carnforth, v. C. & N.-W.		1903	278
Hunt, C. H., Shell Rock, v. B., C. R. & N., out of repair		1901	462
Hutchison, attorney for Sioux county sup'rs, v. C. & N.-W., undergrade		1896	71
Hutchinson, attorney for Sioux county, near Maurice		1897	59
Iowa Falls, City of v. Ill. Cent. flagman at crossings		1905	292
Jasper County, Citizens of v. N. & N. W. Ry dangerous street		1905	213
Independence township, Jasper county, trustees of, v. W. I. & N., unsafe		1885	513
Johnson, Hiram, Casey, v. C., R. I. & P., overhead		1903	241
Johnson, H., Casey, v. C., R. I. & P., petition for		1895	165
Jost, F. J., mayor of Remson, v. Ill. Cent., defective street		1892	839
Keep, Fred A., Rock Rapids, v. C., M. & St. P., dangerous highway		1884	506
Kendig, B. F., road supervisor, Centerville, v. Iowa Cent. Ry, undergrade		1898	78
Kincaid, A. W., Mt. Pleasant, v. St. L., K. & N. W., overhead, defective		1899	1083
Kossuth county, R. A. Lyons of, v. C. & N.-W.		1900	178
Kossuth county, supervisors of, v. C. & N. W., location of		1901	485
Kubish, M. A., county supervisor, Ft. Madison, v. C., M. & St. P., establishment of		1902	273
Lamoille, trustees of, v. C., & N.-W., unfit conditions of		1896	170
Latham, Fred, Ft Dodge, v. Ill. Cent. et al, obstructing view of		1890	847
Laubach, H., Goldfield, v. C. & N. W., highway		1894	542
Lestina, road supervisors, Froelich, v. C. M. & St. P., overflow of		1897	119
Lewis, S. E., Earlham, v. C., R. I. & P., relocation of		1904	322
Louisa county, supervisors, v. Iowa Central et al., undergrade		1896	34
Long Creek township, Van Wert, v. H. & S., overhead, too low		1897	124
Luther, C. D., road supervisor, Marcus, v. Ill. Cent., grading road crossing		1893	245
Manchester, city of, v. Ill. Cent., dangerous		1902	251
Marshall county, supervisors of v. C. N. & W., petition for new highway crossing on relocation of highway		1899	1064
Marshall county, D. W. Hayne of v. C. G. W. repair of		1900	205
McCarn, C. A., Princeton, v. D., R. I. & N. W., dangerous		1901	385
McGregor, city of, v. C., M. & St. P., over-crossing on highway		1899	1009
McKimpson, L., Thayer, v. C., B. & Q., petition for		1897	112
Merritt, George H., Glidden, v. C. & N. W., refusal to put in		1895	569
Meyers, N. W., Lamoille, v. C. & N. W., condition of approaches		1896	169
Manbeck, O. T., Berwick, v. D. M., I. F. & N., overhead		1903	247
Miles, J. B., et al. New Boston, v. A. T. & St. F., undergrade		1903	302
Mystic, Town of v. C. M. & St. P. Ry dangerous		1905	280
Mitchellville, v. C., R. I. & P., street across station grounds		1894	358
Molsberry, M. M., Clarksville, v. B., C. R., & N., highway crossing		1896	129

CROSSINGS, HIGHWAY—Continued

Petitions for, Complaints Concerning—Continued

Year Page

Moon, C. H., Ackworth, v. C., B. & Q., dangerous approach to	1889	1047
Moralee, C., Allison, v. C., St. P. & K. C., petition for two highways	1891	817
Mouw, B., et al., Sioux Center, v. S. C. & N., dangerous	1896	110
Muddy, H. C. Wetter of, v. C. & N. W., improper construction	1900	176
Murray, citizens of, v. C., B. & Q., obstruction of view at highway	1896	565
Nagle, Conrad, supervisors, Odebolt, v. C. & N. W., defective highway	1892	827
Neola, v. C., R. I. & P. and C. M. & St. P., overhead street crossings	1892	905
Newmarket, City Council of v. C. B. & Q. Ry. Co. Street Crossing	1905	254
New Sharon, town of, v. Iowa Central, improper condition of	1903	258
Nicholas, James, road supervisor, Hamilton township, Iowa county. v. C., M. & St. P., dangerous crossing near highway, Williamsburg	1891	795
Page county, supervisors of, v. C., B. & Q., jurisdiction of commissions in establishing highway crossing	1894	172
Pape, Thos. M. Hillsboro v. C. B. & Q. Ry. petition for street	1905	297
Parsons, James, Galt, v. C. R. I. & P. Ry. dangerous street	1905	28
Paul, T. H., Wyoming, v. C., M. & St. P., condition of	1903	296
Poffinberger, A., Marshall county. v. C. G. W. R'y, highway	1893	202
Polk county, citizens of v. C., St. P. & K. C., protest against, dangerous	1887	718
Portland township, Algona, v. C. & N. W., petition for	1894	26
Pottawattamie county citizens of, v. C. M. & St. P., better approaches to	1892	786
Pottawattamie, county, v. O. & St. L., petition to repair	1893	205
Pundt, F., Iowa county. v. C., M. & St. P., petition for	1893, 260; 1894	314
Raymond, J. C., Algona, v. B., C. R. & N. opening of	1897	51
Raymond J. C., Algona, v. C. & N. W., failure to open	1898	71, 76
Raymond & Raymond, Algona, v. B., C. R. & N., at grade	1898	64
Redmond, J. M., Cedar Rapids, v. C. & N. W., electric signal for	1893	137-138
Reed, C. A., Menlo, inquiry concerning approaches to highway crossing	1901	509
Richards, W. R., Owens of, v. Ill. Cent. excavation	1900	205
Rockwell City, incorporated town of, v. D. M. N. & W., street crossing	1898	47
Rogers, F. B. et al., Britt, v. C., M. & St. P., petition for	1896	529
Rogers, H. A., Boone, v. C. & N. W., petition for	1887	777
Rolfes, Herman, Neola, v. Ft. D. & O. dangerous	1903	301
Ruehle Charles, Ruthven, v. C., R. I. & P., repairs on, drainage, etc	1894	302
Russell, John W., et al., Kilduff, v. Central Iowa, petition for	1894	527
Russell, town of, v. C., B. & Q., establishment of street	1900	204
sidewalk	1900	157
Ruthven, citizens of, v. C., M. & St. P., over station grounds	1886	561
Scheib, W. T., Baldwin, v. C. & N. W. undergrade street	1901	505
Schooler, Peter, Summerset, v. C., R. I. & P., Petition for highway crossing	1896	590
Shannon, E. S., Jamaica, v. C., M. & St. P., opening of street	1902	270
Sherrick, James M., et al., Cronton, v. C., R. I. & P.	1903	270
Simpson, J. H., Rock Valley, v. C. M. & St. P., petition for	1896	225
Sprague, J. F., Russell, v. C., B. & Q., complaint should show what street	1898	42
Steamboat Rock, citizens of, v. Iowa Central, street crossing at grade	1896	32
Storm Lake, city of, v. Minn. & St. Louis, opening of street	1902	230
Storm Lake, city of, v. M. & St. L., opening of	1904	245
Supervisors of Story county v. D. M., I. F. & N., proper construction of	1902	272
Thomas, A. D., Fredericksburg, v. C. G. W., across station grounds	1893	156
Thrap, F. J., Coin, v. O. & St. L., improper conditions of	1902	242
Titonka, on C. R., G. & N. W., opening street in	1899	110
Tomlinson, I. H., Albia, v. Iowa Central et al., condition of	1903	299
Turner, John, supervisor, Lenox, v. C., B. & Q., petition for	1892	825
Tyler, Samuel, Des Moines, v. C., R. I. & P.	1903	257
Union township, Boone county, trustees of, v. M. & St. L., defective	1896	584
Vincent, Leon, et al., Ft. Dodge, v. D. & S. O. (Ill. Cent.), overhead	1893	148
Walnut township, trustees of, v. C., R. I. & P., overhead	1899	54
Wall Lake township trustees v. C. & N. W., bridge over stream	1900	141
Wall Lake township trustees v. Ill. Cent., overhead	1900	214

CROSSINGS. HIGHWAYS—Continued.**Petitions for, Complaints Concerning—Continued.**

	Year	Page
Waverly, near, on O. G. W., out of repair	1901	526
Wells, L., township clerk, Mallard, v. O., R. I. & P., petition for	1893	801
White, E. C. Harlan v. O. G. W. Ry. dangerous	1905	303
Wickersham, F. G., Capron, v. O. G. W., dangerous	1903	292
Wilson, N. B., Linn Junction, v. O., M. & St. P., dangerous	1903	229
Woodford, S. O., road supervisors Clay, v. Iowa Cent., petition for	1896	148
Wulff, H. J., county supervisor, Davenport, v. O. R. I. & P., relocation of	1902	246
Yates, William, Glidden, v. O. & N. W., petition for and cattle guard	1894	563
Zenor, W. H., road supervisor, Ontario, v. O. & N. W., petition for	1894	330
Zurcher, Supervisor, Farmersburg v. C. M. & St. P. approach to bridge	1905	314

CROSSING AT STATION GROUNDS—See Obstruction; also; Crossings, Highway.**CROSSINGS BLOCKADE BY TRAINS—See Obstruction Streets.****CROSSING, STREET—BLOCKADE WITH TRAINS—See Obstruction.****CROSSING—STREET—see Crossings, Highways.****CROSSING STOPS—**

Adel, citizens of, v. D. M. & Ft. D., failure to let passengers off	1892	558
Altoona, citizens of, v. C. R. I. & P., failure to stop at intersection	1894	181
Bennett, L. D., Mason City, v. B. O. R. & N., failure to stop	1892	855
Boyd, J. I., Herndon, v. O., M. & St. P., failure to stop	1894	595
Carr, O. W., et al, Dow City, v. O. & N. W., failure to stop at Arion	1894	330
Doughty, B. F., and O. W. Carr, Dow City, v. O. N. & W., failure to stop	1894	330
Dunsmore, N., Rockwell, v. Central Iowa, failure to stop	1892	520
Foster, W. J., Clarksville, v. B. C. R. & N. R'y, failure to stop	1894	546
Requirement, concerning stopping of trains at	1894	85

CROSSING-RAILROAD—

Adequate, should be defined by legislative enactment	1892	29
At grade, dangerous	1892	28
At grade, discussed	1893, 23; 1899	11
At grade, laws of various states concerning	1897	711
Connection at grade	1894	79
Crossing over railroad, what constitutes "an adequate crossing"	1893	23
Intersecting	1894	78
Requirements concerning stopping of trains at	1894	85

Complaints Concerning—

O, F. M. & D. M. R. R. Co. v. O., B. & Q. and St. L. K. & N. W. petition for grade	1890	826
O. & N. W. R'y Co. v. S. O. & N., protest against grade at Maurice	1899	1014
O., R. I. & P. R'y Co. v. O., St. P. & K. O. at grade	1897	735
O., R. I. & P. R'y Co. v. F. M. & D. M., at grade at Libertyville	1892	743
O., R. I. & P. v. D. I. D. R. R. Co. in Muscatine county	1898	829
Humeston & Shen, R. R. Co. v. O. St. P. & K. O., at grade	1897	709
Ill. Cent. and Cedar Falls & Minn. v. Waverly Short Line, et al., at grade	1896	576
Ill. Cent. R'd Co. v. O., M. & St. P., at Storm Lake	1899	101
Ill. Cent. R'd Co. v. O. M. & St. P., at Arion	1899	102
Lake Manawa R'y Co. v. O., R. I. & P., et al., at grade in city	1897	739
Law requiring discussed	1894	86
Mason City & Ft. Dodge R. R. Co. v. Crooked Creek R'y Co., at grade	1897	732
Sutherland and Paullina, citizens of, v. O. & N. W., et al., at grade	1897	754
Webster City & O. C. R. R. Co. v. M. O. & Ft. D., arbitration	1896	598, 601
CULLOM INVESTIGATION COMMITTEE from United States Senate	1895	23

DAMAGES TO PROPERTY when shipped at owner's risk, company not liable when less rate is given in consideration of such release 1890 878
—see also *Owner Risk*.

DAMAGES RESULTING FROM FAILURE TO RECEIVE CARS FOR SHIPMENTS —
—see *Failure to furnish cars*.

DAMAGES FROM FIRE SET BY ENGINE—see also *Fires Set by Engine*.

DAMAGES—

	Year	Page
Allee, S. R., Lynville, v. Iowa Cent., delaying in handling poultry.....	1891	769
Ashburn, J. M., Lamoni, v. C. B. & Q., delay in furnishing car for stock..	1890	969
Axman, John, Dedham v. C., M. & St. P., neglect of stock in transit	1899	1049
Ayers & Co., Des Moines, v. C., R. I. & P., goods broken interstate	1892	415
Babcock, G. E., Ft. Dodge, v. D. M. & Ft. D., for right of way.	1895, 543; 1896	523
Bangs, A. A., Dows, v. O., St. P., M. & O. and C. & N. W., delay in transit	1891	775
Bazeley, B., Paulina, v. C. & N. W., claims for additional right of way ..	1897	702
Beach, A. B., Pattersonville, v. C., M., & St. P., delay of agricultural im- ments.....	1864	508
Beck, M., Marcus, v. Ill. Cent., butter, lack of refrigerator car	1893	202
Beeson, R. B. Pattersonville, v. B. C. R. & N. et al., delay of live stock	1893	726
Benedict, George, Maxwell, v. C., M. & St. P., to goods in transit	1892	553
Block, M. Des Moines, v. C. & N. W., delay in forwarding sample trunk..	1892	863
Bond, N. J., Council Bluffs, v. Wabash, failure to furnish cars	1892	451
Bousquet, H. F. Pella, v. C., R. I. & P., to goods in transit	1892	535
Brodsky, L., Plover, v. O. R. I. & P., to hay in transit on account of delay..	1899	1080
Brown, G. H., Pilot Mound, v. M. & St. L. failure to fence.....	1894	499
Brown, H. C., Dumont, v. C. W. G. live stock killed in transit, claims for	1893	207
Brown, W. R., Wallingford, v. C., M. & St. P. R'y, delay of live stock	1893	697
Bulla & Hammer, Macedonia, v. C., M. & St. P., delay in delivery	1893	620
Butz Bros. & Co., Des Moines, v. C., B. & Q., delay of fruit in transit	1896	491
Campbell, Daniel, Blencoe, v. S. C. & P. and C. & N. W., owner's risk.....	1892	465
Cassady & Whitney, Whiting, v. C. & N. W., death of hogs in transit.....	1897	765
Childs, H. A., Lenox, v. C., B. & Q., to goods in transit.....	1897	763
Chapin, W. E., Des Moines, v. C., R. I. & P., carried past station	1897	759
Chantland, Thomas, Badger, v. O., R. I. & P., delay of live stock	1895	531
Clark, Rev. S. F., Nassau, v. B., C. R. & N., ejectment from train.....	1897	696
Currier, J. P., Melrose, v. C., B. & Q., delay of grain in transit.	1893	587
Outler & Lindon, Rockford, v. B., C. R. & N., delay in transit.....	1893	665
Doyle, Charles T., Panora, v. C. & N. W., delay of household goods	1893	638
Duffus & Currough, Malcom, v. C., R. I. & P., goods broken in transit..	1891	739
Earle, W. C., Waukon, v. C., M. & St. P., delay in handling live stock	1893	719
Eaton, M. W., Waukon, v. C. M. & St. P., delay of live stock in transit ..	1896	555
Eaton, M. W., v. C., M. & St. P., to live stock in transit	1897	741
Flanders, M. D., Hamilton, v. C., B. & Q., breakage of machinery in transit	1899	1044
Glover, H. B. & Co., Dubuque, v. B. C. R. & N., delay in shipping goods	1893	692
Goodwin, Mrs. P., Traer, v. C., R. I. & P., delay and detention of baggage	1893	645
Hall James, Milo, v. C., B. & Q., burning of hedge by section men	1893	653
Hanger, J., et al., Sac City, v. C. & N. W., changing grade of side track ..	1890	923
Hanna, J. Q., Goldfield, v. C. & N. W., violation of right of way contract ..	1892	422
Harris, H. W., Perry, v. D. M. & Ft. D., stock killed on highway crossing...	1893	694
Haskins, A. N., Estherville, v. B. C. R. & N., fire from locomotive.....	1893	541
Heizer, M. & Son, Waukon, v. C., M. & St. P., damage to goods in transit	1892	932
Hexter, David, Waukon, v. C., M. & St. P., perishable freight	1892	857
Hoeck, O. H., Marshalltown, v. Wabash R'y Co., private claim	1899	1062
Hoefel, L., Kearney, Neb., v. C., R. I. & P. and U. P.	1893	731
Hoffman, M. P., Redding, v. C. B. & Q., live stock died in transit	1894	504
Hornaday, C. A., Unionville, v. C., R. I. & P., failure to deliver freight....	1892	431
Hughey & Son, Wirt, v. H. & S. R. Co., lack of refrigerator car	1894	567
Hull, J. B., Ft. Dodge, v. C. & N. W., negligence and damage	1891	137
Humphrey, J. M., Lovilla, v. W., St. L. & P., to live stock at highway.	1897	697
Hutton & Durette, Randolph, v. C., B. & Q., to stock in transit	1892	431
Jesmer & Day, Clark, v. C., M. & St. P., delay in forwarding merchandise	1894	564
Johnson, S. E., Richland, v. Central Iowa and O., R. I. & P., to eggs.....	1894	543
Johnson, W. F., Toledo, v. B., C. R. & N., delay and decline in price.....	1895	554
Kline, Bennett, Manson, v. Ill. Cent., to coal thrown from car	1896	144
Lucey, Leo J., Breda v. Ill. Cent. Ry to overcoat.....	1905	304
Leech, J. F., Mt. Pleasant, concerning contract of release from liability from damage by fire	1897	155
Major, C. V., with Omaha Rubber Co., v. C., B. & Q., delay of baggage..	1891	787

DAMAGE—Continued.

	Year	Page
Maple, E B , Clarinda, v. C , B. & Q. , from fire by lightning.....	1886	560
Marshall & Son, Chariton, v. C. , B. & Q. , to butter, delay in transit	1882	497
Matthews, William R, Sully, v Central Iowa, right of way damage.....	1887	763
McCosky, J K , Onawa, v. S C & P, to stove pipe from water.....	1884	599
McCracken, C S Rock Valley, v. Adams Ex Co , to books in transit	1892	863
McNaughton, M. N , Villisca, v C , B & Q. stock drowned by back water	1887	766
Meade, S K , Rockwell City, v. C. & N. W , erroneous delivery of goods	1892	863
Melrose, N M , Goldfield, v. C & N. W , failure to deliver at station.	1884	560
Miley, Eli, Benton, v C G W , injury to stock in transit	1893	233
Molling, Frank, Milwaukee, v B. , C. R & N , to goods in transit	1896	94
Nickson, John, Wacousta, v C & N W , to stock in transit	1883	574
Ottens, J H. , Bellevue v C M & St P, claim for killing cow.....	1905	319
Paynes Creamery, Kingsley v C & N-W. to butter in transit.....	1906	214
Peterson, Thos. J , Titonka v C R I. & P to hay in transit.....	1905	294
Raff, Peter, Maxwell, v. C. M & St. P., to goods in transit	1883	580
Reid, Ohas Wessington, Dak. v B. , C R. & N , for personal injury	1883	579
Riche, W S Muscatine., v B. , C R. & N , delay of melons in transit....	1882	418
Riche, W S , Muscatine, v B. , C R & N , erroneous delivery of oats	1884	507
Robinson, William, Ft. Dodge, v. Ill. Cent , damage to potatoes in transit	1889	1069
Royce, L. Malcom, v O. , R. I & P. damage to machinery in transit ..	1888	835
Runyon, A G , Webster City, v B C. R. & N , expiration of excursion ticket	1886	565
Shanks & Coats, West Mitchell, v C B & Q. et al , to perishable goods	1883	550
Shanks & Coats, West Mitchell, v Central Iowa to goods in transit, refusal of carriers to comply with board's decision	1884	539
Shepard Bros., Fruitland, v C. , R I & P, delay in forwarding melons ..	1889	1031
Sibley & Co , State Center, v C. & N W , to eggs frozen in transit ..	1899	1030
Smith, O W , Belmond, v M C. & Ft D., snow drifting into car of oats	1888	672
Snow, E Grinnell, v C. , R. I. & P to goods in transit	1884	511
Stevens, Charles, Donnelson, v. C. R. I & P. and O. , B & Q., potatoes frozen	1892	851
Sylvester & Topliff, Davis City, v C , B & Q., goods lost and broken.....	1892	831
Tucker & Simms, Bussey, v. C , B & Q., to goods in transit	1896	136
Vorse, Frank W , Des Moines, v. Wabash, St L & P, goods lost	1888	692
Walton, Charles, Paton, v. C , R. I & P , to merchandises in transit	1888	716
Walton, Charles, Paton, v C , R. I. & P., for injury to goods in transit ..	1890	874
Wasson, J A., Roscoe Kan. v. C. , M. & St. P , on account of delay in transit	1883	656
Way & Pickard Cedar Falls, v. B , C R & N , from failure to furnish cars	1888	559
Wilbur & Cook, Mt Ayr, v H. & S., erroneous billing, shipment misent	1899	1037
Williams, V J & Co , Dubuque, v C. & N W , to goods in transit	1883	628
Wilson, J. F. Jolly v. D. M. , N. & W., fire set by section men	1897	150
Wilson, Geo S , Berlin v C G. W. to goods in transit	1905	292
Windell, W. S., Castalia, v. C. , M. & St. P., carried past station	1892	862
Wishard, W. H., Wellman, v. B. , C. R. & N., to goods in transit	1898	57
Withington, H. H , Toledo, v. B. C. R. & N., and C. & N. W., to live stock..	1888	541
Woodman, A. J., Russell, v. C , B. & Q., to sheet iron by water	1884	568
Woren, H. A., Belknap, v. C. , R. I. & P., in handling butter	1884	596
DANGEROUS CROSSING, ELECTRIC SIGNALS AT—see Electric Signals.		
DANGEROUS LOCATION OF DEPOT see Obstruction to View of Highway Crossing.		
DAVENPORT, SHIPPERS OF, COMPLAINT OF ON RATES—see Rates.		
DECREASE OF RATES—see Rates		
DEFINITION OF SWITCH—see Switch.		
DELAY IN TRANSIT—see Damage.		
Ames, M. J., Marcus, v. Illinois Central, in handling live stock	1904	268
Anderson, Ohas. E , Cylinder v C M. & St. P , household goods	1905	312
Barfoot, G., Ayrshire, v. C. , R. I. & P	1903	277
Blanch, John S., Nugent, v. Ill. Cent., in delivering goods.....	1896	522
Brodsky, L., Plover, v. C. , R. I. & P., damage, to hay on account of delay	1889	1090

DELAY—(see also *Damages*)—Continued.

	Year	Page
Butz Bros. & Co., Des Moines, v. C. B. & Q., delay in fruit shipments.....	1895	491
Cameron, W. W., Mason City, v. Central Iowa, et al., damages to live stock	1898	724
Campbell & Mehlman, Massena, v. C. B. & Q., in handling loaded cars..	1899	1016
Campbell, F. M., Randolph, v. C. B. & Q.	1900	163
Casey & Dolan, Griswold, v. C. B. & Q., in shipment of live stock.....	1897	782
Coad, N. G. O., Hull, v. C. M. & St. P., in oil shipments	1896	114
Ellis, A. E., Decatur City, v. C. B. & Q., in stock shipments	1904	251
Evans, A. & Co., Onawa, v. K. O., St. J. & O. B., household goods ..	1896	421
Ft. Dodge Stoneware Co., Ft. Dodge, v. C. M. & St. P.	1901	278
Germar, Otto, Volga City v. C. M. & St. P. of lime.....	1905	309
Gillette, A. H., Ft. Madison, v. C. & N.-W. et al., of household goods	1899	1043
Great Western Fuel Co., Gilmore, v. C. & N.-W., on shipment of coal ..	1897	661
Hancock, John T. & Sons, Dubuque, v. B., O. R. & N., of Merchandise in transit	1890	100
Hancock, John T., Dubuque, v. B., O. R. & N., delay in transit....	1894	521
Hobart, C. A., Defiance, v. C. M. & St. P., coal	1900	175
Judge J. T. Carroll, v. C. M. & St. P., of tent in transit	1896	150
Kelley, J. W. & Son, Osceola, v. C. B. & Q., in handling coal	1895	513
Kiron & McKay, Waucoma, v. C. St. P. & K. O. and C. M. & St. P., flour	1892	855
Light, H. O. & Co., Brooklyn, v. C. R. I. & P.	1903	278
Lucey, John J., Jr., Breda, v. C. & N.-W., damage on account of	1902	243
McAuley Lumber Co., Osceola, v. C. B. & Q., in coal shipment	1903	277
McConnell, S. R. & I. C., Burlington, v. C. R. I. & P., delay transporting and delivering goods	1892	851
McDonald, T. B., Lovilla, v. Wabash Western, in delivery of goods	1898	716
Moody, H. H., Greeley, v. C. M. & St. P., on account of strike ...	1898	707
O'Neal, Felix & Co., Aurelia, v. Ill. Cent., in transit ..	1894	578
Oelwein, Delay in stock shipments at on C. G. W.....	1905	289
Pattee, Charles, Pocahontas, v. C. R. I. & P., in coal shipment	1903	289
Pierce & Glass, Winfield, v. B. & N.-W., in shipment of tile ..	1899	90
Reynoldson, Joseph, Primghar, v. Ill. Cent	1904	289
Roberts, Virgil, Knoxville, v. C. B. & Q., nursery stock	1900	191
Rhodes, A., Rhodes, v. C. M. & St. P., in receiving butter	1896	74
Sanford, W. H., Amber, v. C. M. & St. P. in handling merchandise	1892	798
Schnepf, M., East Elkport, v. C. M. & St. P., in handling freight ..	1899	73
Shepherd Bros., Fruitland, v. C. R. I. & P., in transporting melons ..	1899	1031
Souers & Langdon, Grundy Center, v. C. R. I. & P. in shipment of coal	1905	282
Spies and Son, Graettinger, v. B. C. R. & N., declining stock market....	1892	865
Southall, Wm., & Sons, Pierson, v. C. N. W.	1903	277
Toft, K., Estherville, v. C. & N. W., damage to live stock on account of ..	1898	750
Tube Rose Creamery, of Corning, v. C. B. & Q., of butter in transit ..	1899	736
Whitmer, F. R. & Sons, Mingo, v. C. St. P. & K. O., in handling goods ..	1892	849
DELIVERY, WHAT CONSTITUTES	1885	555
DEMURRAGE—		
Bebington, George, Council Bluffs, v. ———, time allowed for loading	1892	843
Brown, W. S. Manson, v. Ill. Cent., charges	1899	120
Butler, William, Clarinda, v. Wabash, loading cars from wagons ..	1893	711
Campbell & Mehimam, Massena, v. C. B. & Q., delay in forwarding freight	1899	1017
Cars loaded from wagons, same rates as when loaded from elevators	1894	526
Cedar Rapids Supply Co. v. C. B. & Q.....	1903	296
Discussion of	1889	676
General complaints of, in Iowa	1904	255
Hoffman, A. G., Plato, v. B., C. R. & N., reciprocity in demurrage charges	1893	223
Home Lumber Co., South English, v. C. M. & St. P., et al., wrongful ..	1904	276
Kline, Bennett, Manson, v. Ill. Cent., time for unloading cars	1896	144
Lefferts, C. S., Council Bluffs, inquiry concerning	1895	234
ontzheimer, O. H., Primghar, v. Ill. Cent., inquiries concerning ...	1893	224
Pickering, J. C., Cedar Rapids, inquiry concerning... ..	1899	114
Red Oak, trustees of, v. C. B. & Q., time for loading and unloading cars ..	1892	554
Rothchild, O. & Co., Davenport, O. & N.-W., on cars detained ..	1897	783
Slagle, F. M. & Co., Alton, v. Ill. Cent., car of coal	1906	266
Southside Ice Company, Centerville, time allowed for unloading cars.....	1896	51
Tasker, K. S., Onslow, v. C. N. W., on erroneous weight	1895	182

	Year	Page
DEPOT GROUNDS—ADDITIONAL LANDS FOR—Power of company to condemn	1894	86
DEPOT GROUNDS—CONDEMNING LANDS FOR—see <i>Condemnation Proceedings</i> .		
DEPOTS, ETC., DANGEROUS LOCATION OF—see <i>Stations</i> ; also <i>Obstruction to View of Highway Crossing</i> .		
DEPOTS, UNION—see <i>Union Depots</i> .		
Re-location of—see <i>Station</i> .		
DETENTION—see <i>Damages</i> .		
DEY, PETER A., commissioner, paper on reasonable rates	1893	40a
Dissenting opinion of, in Iowa rate case	1894	229
Paper on pooling	1893	363
DIRECT ROUTE, most, shipper entitled to rates based on	1892	841
DISCRIMINATION—		
In rates—see <i>Rates</i> .		
In furnishing cars—see <i>Refusal to Furnish Cars</i> .		
In granting sites—see <i>Sites</i> .		
In granting monopoly of shipping facilities against public policy—see <i>Monopoly</i> .		
In inspection of butter and egg shipments	1893	294
Against towns in rates	1885	44
Laws against, obeyed in letter, violated in spirit	1878	16
In use of private cars	1891	30
Just and unjust—see also <i>Just Discrimination</i>	1890, 179; 1894	75
Law prohibiting	1898	31
In passenger rates	1891	759
Discussion of, by L. S. Coffin	1893	86
Complaints Concerning—		
Adsit, Silas, Oolfax, v. O., R. I. & P., in refusing to stamp excursion tickets	1885	571
Ames, M. Y., Marcus, v. Ill. Cent., in size of cars furnished	1896	516
Anderson, T. Rockford, Ill., v. O., M. & St. P., jewelry sample trunks	1895	541
Arnold, J. M., Davis City, v. C., B. & Q., in rates on posts	1898	731
Atkins & Sons, Osceola, v. C., B. & Q., in furnishing cars	1891	127
Baker Bros., Ottumwa, v. C., R. I. & P., special rates on butter and eggs	1890	74
Baker Wire Co., Des Moines, various railways, in carload rates	1897	714
Ball & Minert, Bristow, v. Dubuque and Dakota, in live stock rates	1895	511
Barrett, J. E. & Son, Mt. Vernon, v. C. & N.-W., in rates on flour	1896	432
Beeman, O. D., Waukon, v. C. M. & St. P., interstate in rates	1897	96
Bellamy & Sons, Knoxville, v. various railway lines, in rates	1892	493
Bingham, Alliance, v. Wabash, against shippers who load from wagons	1894	525
Binkley, H. E., Cherokee, v. Ill. Cent., potato rates	1903	258
Blow, M., Estherville, v. B., O. R. & N., refusal to lease site for coal shed	1896	497
Board of Trade and Henry Coker, Council Bluffs, v. C., R. I. & P., coal	1893	834
Bogart, George, Shenandoah, v. O., R. I. & P., in honoring round trip tickets	1890	939
Brechtbill & Byers, Berlin, v. O., St. P. & K. O., cars for certain markets	1890	932
Burlington, shippers of, v. B. & O. R. & N., in local Iowa rates	1890	869
Buseard, J., & Co., Imogene, v. W., St. L. & P., in coal rates	1894	497
Butler, William Clarinda, v. Wabash, on grain when loaded from wagons	1893	711
Byram, M. W., Fremont, v. Iowa Central, in sale of round trip tickets	1891	727
Campbell, F. R., Bismarck, v. C., M. & St. P., in sale of excursion tickets	1892	849
Clarke & Shute, Geneva, v. Iowa Central, in coal rates, schedule fixed	1890	28
Coal Exchange, Boone, v. O. & N.-W., in coal rates	1894	559
Coal Exchange, Boone, v. C. & N.-W., in rates on coal and overcharge	1894	559
Conway, John, Sibley, v. C., R. I. & P. et al, in furnishing cars	1904	319
Corey Coal Co., Lehigh, v. Crooked Creek R'd, in furnishing cars	1898	16
Council Bluffs Board of Trade v. K. O., J. St. & O. B. and Pullman Palace Car Co., in sleeping car accommodations	1899	702
Council Bluffs, Citizens of, v. Union Pacific, against Council Bluffs	1936	530
Council Bluffs Board of Trade, v. various lines, in inspection service	1839	977
Crystal Mill Co., Council Bluffs, v. pool lines, in classifying flour	1893	703
Crystal Mill Co., Council Bluffs, in flour rates	1893	715

DISCRIMINATION *Continued.*Complaints Concerning—*Continued.*

	Year	Page
Crystal Mill Co., Council Bluffs, v. O., R. I. & P. et al., in coal rates	1896	165
Darland, H. C., Marble Rock, v. O., R. I. & P., in passenger service	1904	278
Davenport, shippers of, v. B., C. R. & N., and C., R. I. & P., against local and in favor of interstate shipments, in withdrawing and refusing joint tariffs on local business while permitting same on interstate business; in delaying local shipments by compelling rebilling at West Liberty, and forwarding interstate shipments in special car attached to passenger train	1889	1023, 1087
Diamond Joe line of steamers, v. O., B. & Q., in prepayment of charges	1888	1074, 1077
Donahue, Robert, Burlington, v. Cent. Iowa, prepayment of freight	1884	550
Dudley, W., Churdan, v. D. M., N. & W., in freight rates	1899	83
Dunwoodie, Joe, Cylinder, v. C., M. & St. P., in refusing to stop trains	1891	739
Dusey, H., et al., Oreston v. C., B. & Q. R. R. Co., refusing to carry mechanic's tool box as baggage	1885	513
Earle, W. C., Waukon, v. C., M. & St. P., and B., C. R. & N., stock rates	1896	508
Farley, J. M., Whitmore, v. O., M. & St. P., in furnishing cars	1898	44
Feiner Fish Co., Clinton, v. express companies, in regulations	1901	515
Fonda Implement Co., v. D. M., N. & W., in short haul rates	1896	137
Fowler Co., The, Waterloo, v. Ill. Cent., in rates on apples	1886	484
Frazier & Ballou, Primghar, v. D. & S. G. (Ill. Cent.) in furnishing cars	1890	940
Fritz & Douglas, Waverly, v. Ill. Cent. et al., in not applying commissioners schedules of rates	1889	1062
Gally, W. H., Zearing, v. Cent. Iowa, cars loaded from wagons	1885	573
Glucose Sugar Refining Co., Chicago v. Railway Companies in grain rates against Davenport	1905	285
Gray, M. J., Ledyard, v. O. & N. W., in shipment of hay	1895	189
Green, J. A., et al., Stone City, v. O., M. & St. P., in requiring shippers to protect cars for stone shipment at private expense	1892	890
Gronwoldt, Wm., Nashville, v. O. & N. W., in passenger fare	1901	511
Hackmen of Sioux City v. all railroads, in omnibus privileges	1890	861
Hale, Geo. H., Washington, v. O., R. I. & P., in grain rates	1887	726
Hallack, W. B., Winthrop, v. Ill. Cent., in rates on live stock	1899	1060
Hanner, J. R. A., Delmar, v. C., M. & St. P., in passenger fares	1896	112
Harmon, A. B., Havelock, v. O. & N. W., in rates	1888	746
Harrison, O. Emery, Davenport, v. C., R. I. & P. et al., in through interstate rates	1902	294
Hayes, Michael, Washington, v. O., R. I. & P., in distribution of cars	1882	459
Hill John N., Fort Dodge, v. M. & St. L., in freight rates	1882	557
Hise Bros, Washington, v. C., R. I. & P., refrigerator cars	1896	568
Hodges, N. W., Storm Lake, v. Ill. Cent., in sidetrack privileges and site	1890	937
Hummer Co., George, Iowa City, v. B., C. R. & N., in classification	1890	153
Huntington, William, Calmus, v. O. & N. W., in coal rates	1888	695
Independence Mill Co., v. Ill. Cent. and C., M. & St. P., on wheat and flour	1882	413, 444
Iowa Flour and Oil Co., Des Moines, v. O., R. I. & P., in flour rates	1898	694
Iowa State Jobbers and Mfrs Assn., v. Iowa trunk lines, in rates	1895	535
Irwin, Phillips & Co., Keokuk, v. D. M. & Ft. D., in merchandise rates	1890	71
Jameson, G. O., Clarion, v. M. C. & Ft. D., in rates on grain, coal, etc.	1898	713
Jansen, Anton, Haverhill, v. C., M. & St. P., in furnishing shipping facilities	1898	898
Jerome, F. H., Villisca, v. C. B. & Q., in corn rates	1892	445
Johnson, J., Huxley, v. O., M. & St. P., in corn rates	1897	681
Kanau, Sprengle, Imogene, v. Wabash, in corn rates	1893	704
Keeler, A., Imogene, v. O., B. & St. L., in rates on posts	1886	572
Keokuk & D. M. R'y Co. v. D. M. & Ft. D. R. R. Co., in forwarding cars	1878	5
Knowles, W. F., James, v. C. St. P., M. & O., in sale of tickets	1898	138
Larrabee, Gov. William, v. C. B. & Q., in coal rates	1887	624, 670
Leese & Long, Crawfordville, v. B. & N. W., rates on lumber	1891	126
Lighthall, B., Alden, v. Ill. Cent., in rates	1892	564
Long, G. I., Manson, v. C., R. I. & P. in grain rates to Chicago	1889	1098

DISCRIMINATION—Continued.

Year Page

Complaints Concerning—

Malden, T E , Manson, v Ill Cent R R Co., in lumber rates	1883	611
McCallsburg, citizens of, v Cent Iowa, in elevator privileges	1885	574
McNutt, H S , Algona, v C. M. & St. Paul, in furnishing cars	1891	826
Merrill & Co , Ottumwa, v Cent Iowa, in rates	1881	184
Merrill, J. H. & Co , Ottumwa, v K. & W. , in freight charges ..	1888	739
Merrill & Keeney, Des Moines, v C. & N -W , in car load rates	1883	678
Dissenting opinion by Commissioner Anderson.....	1883	683
Molyneux A. R., Cherokee v Ill Cent. Ry Co , in min. wts	1905	269
Mooney & Jones, St Anthony, v Cent. Iowa, in coal rates ...	1884	510
Nelson Bros , S aledale, v C G. W. , in coal rates	1904	290
Nye, J. P., Essex, v C. B. & Q. R. R. Co., in corn rates	1883	724
Nonpareil Printing Co., v Various Lines, in newspaper privileges	1892	357
Parker, S F , Eastville. v C. & N -W , in rates—minimum charge ...	1882	457
Pioneer Implement Co., Council Bluffs, v. C., R I & P, in freight rates	1903	327
Pritchard & Skewis, Inwood, v C. M. & St. P., in lumber rates	1893	704
Rand Lumber Co , Burlington, v C., B. & Q., in rates.	1882	550
Red Oak, township trustees of, et al, v C. B. & Q., in rates and rebates	1882	551
Red Oak, township trustees of, et al, v C. B. & Q., against small ship-pers	1882	554
Riley, W. H. Crawfordville, v B. & N.-W., in rates on lumber	1880	147
Roberts, C. L., Grinnell, v C., R I & P., in sidetrack facilities	1889	1027
Rosa, Albert, Waukon, v C. M. & St. P., in corn rates to Chicago	1882	484
Saucer, W. H., Ackley, v Ill. Central, in rates on marble ...	1883	689
Schell, F J , Breda, v C. & N -W. in furnishing cars	1891	828
Shaver & Dows, Cedar Rapids, v. Joint Western Classification, crackers	1883	651
Shepherd & Carpenter, Iowa City, v C. R I & P. and B, C R & N., in rates to non-competing points	1883	687
Shinnon, Thos , Bolf, v C., R I. & P ' in stock rates to Chicago	1896	79
Shorthill, A. E Co., Marshalltown, v. Eastern R'y. Lines, in shipment of coke	1903	379
Smith & Boynton, Spencer, v C., M. & St. P. , in coal rates ...	1885	524
Smith Bros., Waterloo, v B. C R & N., in hard coal rates	1893	676
Smith, J. N., Fairfield, v C. B. & Q., in size of cars, etc ...	1893	647
Spencer Bros , Randolph, v C. B. & Q., in rates and overcharge ...	1882	540
State of Iowa, by Gov. Larrabee, in coal rates	1887	624-670
Steer, William M , West Branch, v B. C R. & N , on coal and mileage ticket	1884	557
Stevenson, C. E., Rockwell City, v D. M., N. & W. , in passenger fares ..	1895	183
Sullivan, J W , et al., Algona, v C. & N -W , in sale of excursion tickets	1892	847
Taylor, J. C , Des Moines, v C., B. & Q., in freight rates	1882	531
Toledo, Peoria & Western R'y , v B., C. R. & N. , in bridge privileges	1878	28
Tomlinson, R. B. & Co , Cedar Rapids, v. Ill. Cent , 1,000 mile tickets .	1882	436
Townsend & Merri'l Co , Cedar Falls, v C. & N W , in coal rates	1902	269
Vincent, J F , Union Stock Yards, Des Moines, v C., R I. & P., in switchi g charges, etc	1886, 105; 1901	388
Voss & Son, Belle Plaine, C. & N -W., in interstate rates ...	1901	496
Way & Packard, Cedar Falls, v B., C. R. & N., in allowing damages.....	1893	559
Wells, W W , Webster City, v W. C. & S. W. , in coal rates and site	1899	1045
Westphall Hinds & Co , Dubuque, v B., C R. & N. , in classification	1881	125
White & Kline, Meriden, v Ill. Cent , in furnishing cars	1896	143
Williams, E D , Given, v Cent. Iowa, in distribution of cars	1881	126
Williams, George T., Ida Grove, v C. & N -W , in rates	1884	584
Wilson, John L., West Liberty, v C., K. I. & P., rebates	1885	507
Winkler, J. W., Woodward, v C., M. & St. P., grain and live stock.....	1883	705
York, Joseph, Zenorsville, v. C. & N.-W., in coal rates	1893	669
DISTRIBUTION OF CARS—		
Equitable rule for in time of scarcity	1886, 719; 1890, 996; 1892	827
Consolidation Coal Co., v. Ce t. Iowa.....	1878	23
Howard & Son, Oskaloosa, v Cent. Iowa, discrimination in	1881	130
Johnson, T. N., Reasoner, v C., R. I. & P., discrimination in	1881	131

	Year	Page
DITCH—		
Gillis, J. R., Mt. Pleasant, v. St. L., R. & N. W., improper location of	1901	471
DIVERSION OF BUSINESS—see also Freight.		
Clow, W. D., Traer, v. B., C. R. & N., of freight	1885	551
Melott, E. H., Ogden, v. C. & N. W., discrimination in	1891	141
Norman, citizens of, v. M. & St. L., building sidetrack	1887	695
Read, W. H., Vinton, v. B., C. R. & N., of grain	1886	491
Stocker, J. W., Logan, v. C. & N. W., discrimination in	1881	127
DIVISION HEADQUARTERS—		
Carson, R. B., et al, Moulton, v. C., B. & Q., removal of	1903	274
DIVISION OF RATES TO SHORT LINE—see Percentages and Short Lines.		
DIVISION AND PERCENTAGES IN POOLS—see Pooling.		
DODGE, GEN. G. M., "Railroad situation in the West.....	1887	30
DRAINAGE—see also Obstruction and Overflow.		
Duty of railway company in relation thereto	1884	86
In relation to railroad crossings	1887	735
Complaints concerning.		
Amundson, H., Ellsworth, v. C. & N. W., water course and highway	1898	738
Anderson, A. A., et al., Okoboji, v. C. M. & St. P., navigation	1892	741
Antrim, Wm., Randolph, v. C. B. & R., insufficient drainage	1900	187
Armstrong, J. R., road supervisor, Marion, v. C., M. & St. P., water course	1894	246
Arthurs, C. H., Oakland Mills, v. St. L., K. & N. W., improper ditch	1900	123
Avery S., Council Bluffs, v. C., R. I. & P., improper drainage	1901	500
Barnholdt, Clause, Wiota, v. C., R. I. & P., lack of culverts	1890, 951; 1894	328
Beck, W. J. R., Ft. Madison, v. St. L., K. & N. W.	1903	298
Beebe, J. W., Talmage, v. C. G. W., waste water from tank	1892, 987; 1893	210
Bendickson, Gilbert, Lake Mills v. C & N W Ry Co private across right of way	1905	303
Boal, John, et al., Mitchellville, v. C., R. I. & P., insufficient drainage ..	1897	144
Board of trustees, Buckingham twp., Tama county, v. C. & N. W., on high. way	1903	306
Bolding, D. D., Coppock, v. Iowa Central, improper drainage	1901	463
Butt, James, Knowlton, v. C. G. W., changing water course	1902	220
Campbell, J. S. K., Morning Sun, v. Iowa Central, drainage	1896	172
Churchill, S. T., Arthur, v. C. & N. W., on highway	1903	306
Claer, Tom, Ayrshire v. C. R. I. & P. overflow of land	1905	246
Craig, W. F., et al., Davis City, v. C., B. & Q., of water courses	1890	879
Crozier, C. E., Oskaloosa, v. B. & W. and C. & N. W., of water course	1890	860
C., R. I. & P. Ry. Co. v. C., St. P. & K. C., at grade railroad crossing	1887	735
Dale, A. S., Scarville, v. C. & N. W.	1903	322
Dammeier, Henry, Newton, v. C. & N. W.	1903	324
Deemer, John, Iowa Falls v. C. R. I. & P. Ry. Co. through right of way...	1905	301
Donner, J. D., et al., Marshalltown, v. W., I. & N., by defective construc- tion	1887	713
Eldon drainage case	1895	125
Ewer Bros., Bagley, v. C. M. & St. P.	1903	304
Fortney, David, Otho, v. M. & St. L., refusal to lower culvert	1895	551
Fremont county v. C. B. & Q. Ry. Co. location of ditch	1905	271
Gardner, C. E., Leon v. C. B. & Q. Ry. Co. obstruction to natural drain- age	1905	248
Gibson, S. W. Monmouth, v. C. & N. W.	1903	230
Giles, Charles, Talmage, v., C. B. & Q. of waterway	1890	845
Glenwood township, trustees of, Bancroft, v. C. & N. W., of water course	1894	343
Guthrie county, citizens of, v. C., M. & St. P., near Bagley	1893	142
Harding, N. G., Des Moines, v. D. M. N. & W. et al., drainage	1899	87
Hendrie, J. S. et al., Pacific Junction, v. C., B. & Q., of creek	1884, 569, 574	
Henning, Fred, Newton v. N. & N. W. Ry. Co. insufficient ditch	1905	292
Hough, A. T. Newton v. N. & N. W. Ry. Co. improper	1905	287
Huxley, citizens of, by O. L. Hatteberg, v. C., M. & St. P., of water course.	1893	290
Huyser, A., et al., Pella, v. Wabash, obstruction to drainage	1902	290

DRAINAGE—Continued.

	Year	Page
Innis, W. H., et al., Emmetsburg, v. B. O. R. & N., navigation	1886	479
Jenks, A. N., Sheldahl, v. O. & N.-W., by surface water	1886	574
Jones, John, Elkport v. O. M. & St. P. Ry. Co., obstruction to stream	1905	375
Keller, B. F. Knowlton, v. O. G. W., caused by dam in right of way	1902	270
Kelley, W. F., Decatur county, v. D. M. & K. O., damages on account of	1890	885
Kendall, W. T., et al., Spirit Lake, v. O. M. & St. P., navigation	1885	491
Kinney, A., Herndon, v. O. M. & St. P.	1902	299
Kline, George, Glidden, v. O. & N.-W., insufficient waterway	1891	771
Koons, J. H., Des Moines, v. O. G. W., drainage	1896	153
Lange, A., Froelich, v. O. M. & St. P., insufficient drainage	1892	833
Leehey, John, et al., Fairbank, v. O. G. W.	1903	325
Lestina, F., Froelich, v. O. M. & St. P., highway crossing	1897	119
Lewis, J. F., New Hampton, v. O. G. W., damage on account of	1893	203
McConnell, T. D. Botna, v. O. & N.-W., from embankment	1896	139
McCormack, H. T., Knoxville, v. O. R. I. & P., at highway crossing	1904	253
McKee, J. R., East Peru, v. O. G. W., overflow from water tank	1904	290
McKee, J. R., East Peru v. O. G. W. overflow from water tank	1905	289
McNaughton, M. N., Villisca, v. O. B. & Q., stock drowned	1887	766
Mitchell, L., Blairsburg, v. Ill. Cent., drainage	1895, 197; 1896	57
Moore, Thomas, et al., Turin, v. C. & N.-W., arbitration	1899 999, 1002	
Page county v. C. B. & Q. Ry. ditch through right of way	1905	308
Pocahontas county v. O. R. I. & P. Ry. Co. drainage ditch crossing right of way	1905	257
Powell, Charles, et al., Bagley, v. C. M. & St. P., damage by reason of	1893	143
Rick, James G., Granger, v. D. M. N. & W., defective drainage	1897	113
Rogers, G. W., Fort Dodge, M. & St. L., petition to drain excavation	1886	524
Shellhamer, C., Froelich, v. O. M. & St. P.	1902	294
Shellrock Twp. Trustees of v. O. G. W. Ry. proper not provided	1905	310
Shopell, Henry, Mt. Union, v. B. & N. W., damage caused by	1893	564
Simmons, O. H., Mapleton, v. C. M. & St. P., drainage	1899	83
Smith, E. J., North English, v. O. M. & St. P., improper drainage	1892	833
Terry, J. L., Martelle, v. O. M. & St. P., of watercourse	1892	740
Tibbets, D., Miles, et al., v. O. R. I. & P., drainage near Eldon	1895	125
Truro, Town of v. C. B. & Q. Ry. Co. of street crossing	1905	304
Turner, James R., Wiota, v. C. R. I. & P., insufficient drain pipe	1891	790
Urfer, Edwin, Montrose v. C. B. & Q. Ry. Co. loss by highwater	1905	300
Vernocom, L. E. C., Valeria v. Colfax Northern allowing ditch to fill up	1905	299
Wall Lake, township trustees of, v. O. & N.-W., diversion of natural stream	1900	141
Waterman, D. C., township clerk v. Iowa Central	1902	297
Waterman, Dwight O., township clerk, v. Iowa Central, at overhead crossing	1904	323
Waverly station grounds on O. G. W.	1903	233
Wertz, O. H., Lena, v. C. R. I. & P.	1903	249
Wheatland, citizens of, v. O. & N.-W. Ry. Co.	1903	244
Wilcox, J. A., Sanborn, v. O. M. & St. P. of water course	1893	230
Wray, A. M., et al., Kalona, v. B. C. R. & N.	1903	223
Wright, W. H. H., Dunlap, v. O. & N.-W., insufficient drainage	1890	39
Yungclas, J. H., Duncombe, v. Ill. Cent., of highway	1885	545
Yungclas, J. H., Webster City, v. Ill. ent., of defective culvert	1882	567
DUBUQUE & DAKOTA RAILROAD, history of	1879	108
DUBUQUE, SHIPPERS OF, COMPLAINTS ON RATES—see <i>Rates</i> .		
DYNAMITE, EXPLOSION OF, AT COUNCIL BLUFFS—see <i>Explosion</i> .		

EARNINGS.

Average per mile under Granger tariff	1878	62
Of branch lines	1889	1005
Of fictitious capital and miles of road built therefrom, table of	1891	36, 37
Discussed in letter of W. G. Purdy, vice-president O. R. I. & P. Ry. Co.	1889	8
In excess of 6 per cent on \$30,000 per mile, 1874 to 1883	1891	36, 37
Increase over previous year	1890	160
Increase under commissioners' rate—see <i>Rates</i> .		

EARNINGS—Continued.

	Year	Page
In Iowa, difficulty of obtaining satisfactory information concerning..	1889	7
In Iowa, 1887-1891, compared.....	1891	16
Compared.....	1900, 8; 1901	7
per mile greater than in Nebraska ..	1894	189
Comparative table in Iowa, 1887 to 1894, inclusive.....	1894	214
Comparative table, 1878-1903, inclusive ..	1905	8
decrease of state and interstate in 1891, compared with 1893.....	1894	215
decrease not chargeable to effect of Iowa schedule ..	1894	216
decrease of on account of crop failure and business depression ..	1895	iv
Losses in from low rates at competitive points must be made up at non- competing stations.....	1892	
Under commissioners' rates ..	1891	

EFFECT OF COMMISSIONERS' RATES ON IOWA ROADS—see Rates, Freight.**EJECTION FROM TRAIN—**

Clark, Rev. S. F., Nassau, v. B. O. R. & N., wrongful ..	1887	676
Marron, J. P., Jackson Jct., v. C. M. & St. P., wrongful.....	1899	57
Ovren, Olot, Sioux Rapids, v. C. & N. W., failure to purchase ticket ..	1891	780
Potter, John, Lynnville, v. O. R. I. & P., wrongful ..	1890	398

ELECTRICITY—

Electric railways ..	1901	6
Possibility of electricity superseding steam as motive power..	1891	25
Signal, electric, dangerous crossing ..	1892, 806; 1893	137

ELEVATION OF RAILROADS—see Topographical Discussion.**ELEVATOR, removal of—**

Gault Bros., Cromwell, v. C. B. & Q., damages ..	1904	258
--	------	-----

ELEVATOR—

Right of company to order removal of when obstructing view of crossing ..	1886	566
Capacity of inquiry concerning ..	1899	87

ELEVATOR SITES—see Sites.**ELEVATOR, FORCED REMOVAL OF—see Site****EMINENT DOMAIN, POWER OF, IN CONDEMNING DEPOT GROUNDS—see Con-
demnation Proceedings.****EMPLOYES—**

Comparative table for years 1878-1903 ..	1905	8
Conduct of on Waterloo, C. F. & R. Transit Company.....	1904	292
Decrease in number of, account of crop failure ..	1895	iv
Hours of service of, should be limited by statute ..	1891	18
Insufficient number of, on train ..	1903	269
Number of ..	1879 50, 181	
Number and compensation of, decrease in 1891 compared with 1893.	1894 215, 216	
not chargeable to effect of Iowa schedule.	1894	216
Reduction in number in, discussed ..	1891	17
Train, conduct of on O. G. W.	1903	233
Wright, Irvin F., Corning, v. C. B. & Q., insufficient number of on trains ..	1903	269

EMPLOYES AND SALARIES IN IOWA, comparative table of,

	1905	9
In Iowa ..	1892	12, 14

ENGINE, condition of, postal clerks v. Iowa Cent., improper condition of

engine ..	1903	313
-----------	------	-----

ENGINES, INCREASED CAPACITY OF .. 1898 4. 5**ENGINEERS—**

Creston, citizens of, v. C. B. & Q., employed on account of strike.....	1887	788
---	------	-----

ENGINEERS' STRIKE—see Strikes.

ENGLISH commissioner system ..	1878	57
--------------------------------	------	----

EQUALIZED RATES—see Rates.

Year Page

EQUIPMENT—

Carriers must anticipate and provide sufficient for ordinary business, but not for unexpected or extraordinary demands	1892	761
What constitutes adequate	1891	833

COST OF—see Cost.**REFUSAL OF COMPANY TO SEND OFF ITS OWN LINE—see Refusal to Receive and Forward Freight, also Failure to Furnish Cars.****ERRORS BY AGENTS IN QUOTING RATES; LIABILITY OF CARRIERS FOR—see Liability.****ESTABLISHMENT OF STATIONS—see Stations.****EXCESS BAGGAGE CHARGES—see Baggage Charges.****EXCESSIVE CAPITALIZATION—see Capitalization and Watered Stock.****EXCESSIVE EXPRESS CHARGES—see Express Charges.****EXCESSIVE RATES—see Rates.****EXCESSIVE HOURS FOR TRAIN MEN—**

Ogilvie, C. G.; Muscatine, v. C., R. I. & P.....	1897	79
--	------	----

EXCLUSIVE USE OF STATION GROUNDS, must not be granted to one person. 1890 893-895**EXCURSION RATES—see Rates.****EXCURSION TICKETS—**

Adsit, Silas, Colfax, v. C., R. I. & P., refusal to stamp excursion tickets..	1895	571
Canfield, Lee, Benson, v. Ill. Cent., failure to sell as advertised	1900	165
Von Rolf, Th., West Union, v. B. & O. R. & N., failure to honor.....	1895	200
Rights of holders of, after limitation has expired	1895	565

EXPENSES—

Reduction of, recommended	1899	10
Compared	1905	8
Decrease in 1894 compared with 1893.....	1894	215
not chargeable to effect of Iowa Schedule.....	1894	216

EXPENSES OF COMMISSION—

Special fund should be set apart for this purpose.	1879	6
---	------	---

EXPLOSION—of dynamite in Council Bluffs 1881 69**EXPLOSIVES, DANGEROUS—**

Bosquet, H. F., Pella, v. C., R. I. & P., refusal of company to carry.....	1894	264
--	------	-----

EXPRESS COMPANIES—

Law concerning express rates.....	1896, 5; 1897, 5; 1898,	8
Live stock contracts of	1897	5

Complaints Concerning—

Bernbrock, H. O., Waterloo, v. U. S. Express Co., rates	1904	257
Feiner Fish Co., Clinton, v. Adams Express Co., discriminations in regulations.....	1901	515
Feiner Fish Co., Clinton, v. American Express Co., rates.	1904	254
Freeman, F. M., Davis City, v. Express Co., overcharge, dogs	1892	854
Furry, A. R., Alden, v. Adams Express Co., rates based on shortest route	1892	841
Hopkinton, abandonment of, by U. S. Express Co., complaint of C. E. Merriam	1901	499
Joseph, J. M., Creston, v. American Express Co., excessive on pig	1890	981
Joseph, J. M., Creston, v. American Express Co., request for reopening case	1891	802
Knowles, W. F., James, v. American Express Co., excessive	1891	771
Lambing, W. W., West Liberty, v. U. S. Express Co., regulations concerning shipment of live hogs....	1898	95
McCracken, Rev. O. S., Rock Valley, v. Adams Express Co., damage to books.....	1892	853
McKone, M., et al., Brooklyn, v. U. S. Express Co., petition for free delivery.....	1901	384

EXPRESS STATION—

Hutchins, E. R., of Okoboji, v. U. S. Express Co.....	1904	210
---	------	-----

EXTRA BAGGAGE CHARGE—see *Baggage*.

Extra charge for special train to carry freight	1887	783
---	------	-----

EXTRA FARE FOR FAILURE TO PURCHASE TICKET—see *Passenger Fare*.**FACILITIES FOR DOING BUSINESS AT STATIONS—see also *Stations*.** 1895 **xxix**

FACILITIES INCREASED—on railroads for handling business.....	1891	25
--	------	----

FAILURE TO FURNISH CARS—

Achorn, O. E., Sutherland, v. O. & N. W., for certain market	1892	866
Achorn, O. E., Sutherland, v. O. & N. W., failure to forward foreign cars	1896	73
Advance Alliance No. 415, Kirkman, v. O. & N. W., for shipment of coal...	1890	943
Akron Milling Co., v. O. M., & St. P., for grain	1899	122
Allen, O. S. Laurens v. M. & St. L. Ry. Co. failure to furnish for hay	1905	272
Ashburn, J. M., Lamoni, v. O., B., & Q., damage for delay in furnishing	1899	969
Ayres, James E., Sioux City, v. O. & N. W., for grain	1902	260
Bacon, W., Greenfield, v. C., B. & Q., for hay shipments	1887	807
Baker, J. C., Emmetsburg, v. O., M. & St. P., railway, for coal	1881	142
Band, N. J., Council Bluffs, v. Wabash, for corn	1882	451
Bennett, J. E. O., Britt, v. M. & St. L., for general shipments	1887	806
Benson, George, et al., Muscatine, v. O., R. I. & P., for vegetables	1887	758
Bergman, H., McGregor, v. O., M. & St. P., for shipments of ice	1892	833
Bertels, Ben, Algona, v. Iowa Cent., for produce	1902	259
Blake & Collman, Eldon, v. O., R. I. & P., for lumber and wood	1901	527
Breezeale, J. A., Centerville, v. Wabash, for shipments of coal	1893	508
Brewer, E. C., Stanhope, v. O., R. I. & P., grain	1901	488
Brotsky, Plover, v. O., R. I. & P., for hay shipments	1897	803
Brown, W. S., Manson, v. Ill. Cent.	1903	253
Brown, G. H. & Co., Armstrong, v. B., O. R. & N., for hay	1895	179
Brown, M. & Son, Whittemore, v. O., M. & St. P., for shipment of hay	1887	791
Brown & Son, West Bend v. O. R. I. & P. for shipment of live stock	1905	232
Brown, W. S., Manson, v. Ill. Cent., for coal	1900	148
Browning et al, New Market v. O. B. & Q. Ry for coal	1905	296
Bruning & Son, Breda, v. O. & N. W., for shipment of potatoes	1892	848
Bruning Bros., Breda, v. O. & N. W., for grain shipments 1892, 840; 1898, 58, 92;	1899	66
Butler, Geo. I. & Co., Knoxville, v. C., B. & Q., for coal	1890	141
Butler, W. E., et al., Galva, v. O. & N. W., for shipments of grain	1887	798
Butts, F. M., Wesley, v. B., O. R. & N., for coal	1887	806
Canavan, John, Paton, v. C., R. I. & P., for shipment of corn	1889	996
Carpenter, D. J., Beloit, v. O., M. & St. P., for grain shipments	1891, 812; 1892	866
	1893, 251; 1895	212
Oathcart Bros., et al., Kingsley, v. O. & N. W., for grain shipment	1897	795
Oathcart Bros., Kingsley, v. O. & N. W., at non-competitive points	1898	720
Chapman, H. G., Sioux City, v. C., M. & St. P., for baled hay	1892	759
Clinton Grain Co., Crystal Lake v. O. R. I. & P. on account of storms	1905	253
Club, William What Cheer, v. B., O. R. & N., for coal	1890	126
For general discussion of—see also <i>Coal Problem</i>	1890	571
Conway, John, Sibley, v. C., R. I. & P., et al., discrimination	1904	319
Corcoran, P., Postville, v. O., M. & St. P., damages on account of	1896	737
Corey, George W., et al., v. Crooked Creek Railroad Co., for coal	1891	823
Corey Coal Co., Lehigh, v. M. C. & Ft. D., for shipment of Coal	1892	839
Corey Coal Co., Lehigh, v. M. C. & Ft. D. and Ill. Cent., for coal shipments	1893	262
Corey Coal Co., Lehigh v. Ill. Cent., for coal	1896, 74; 1900	174
Corey Coal Co., Lehigh, v. Crooked Creek	1901	498
Corkhill, T. E., Jr., Minburn, v. C., R. I. & P., damages for delay	1898	721
Cyrille, Robert, Glidden, v. O. & N. W., for loading stock	1898	698
Darby Block Coal Co., Darbyville, v. O., M. & St. P., for coal	1898	92
Davenport Syrup Refining Co., Adair, v. C., R. I. & P., for grain	1895	219
Davis Bros., Keota v. C. R. I. & P. Ry. Co. for coal shipments	1905	278
Des Moines Linseed Oil Works, v. B. C., R. & N., for flax seed	1894	267

FAILURE TO FURNISH CARS—Continued.

	Year	Page
Dickey, W. H., Centerville, v. O., R. I. & P., for coal	1890	5
Donahoe, E. A., Havelock, v. O. & N. W., for hay shipments	1897	798
Dorr Cattle Co., Des Moines, v. D. M., N. & W., for slop feed.	1898	84
Dreyer, H., Aplington, v. Ill. Cent. grain	1901	497
Edmonds, E. J., Marcus, v. Ill. Cent., for grain shipments	1896, 141; 1897	145
Elgin Canning Co., Elgin, v. B., O. R. & N. et al., for shipments to St. Paul	1892	729
Ellsworth, O. W., Keokuk, v. St. L., K. & N. W., for ice	1901	502
Farmers' Alliance, Coon Rapids, v. O., M. & St. P., for shipments of coal	1892	845
Fields & Slaughter Co., Akron, v. O., M. & St. P., for grain	1902	254
Finlayson, C. G., Armstrong v. O. R. I. & P. for live stock	1905	235
Fockler, P. H., Independence, v. Ill. Cent., for hay shipments	1897	805
Frazer & Ballou, Primghar, v. D. & S. C. (Ill. Cent.), for shipments of grain	1890	940
Feenstra, T., Otley, v. O., R. I. & P., for coal	1901	499
Galbraith, Edw., Webb, v. C., M. & St. P., for hay	1900	219
Garlock, W. E., et al., Gilmore, v. C., R. I. & P., for hay shipments	1897	804
General complaint concerning	1899	791, 810
Globe Coal Co., Des Moines, v. Iowa Cent., for coal shipments	1896	154
Gray, M. J., Ledyard, v. C. & N. W., hay	1895	108
Graybill, D. R., Massena, v. C., B. & Q., for track loading	1900	160
Green, J. A., et al., Stone City, v. O., M. & St. P., for stone shipments unless protected by shipper at private expenses	1892	960
Green, J. J. S., Aurelia, v. Ill. Cent., for grain shipments	1890	951
Haddock, Wm. J., Iowa City, v. B., O. R. & N., for coal	1893	581
Hambleton Milling Co., Keokuk, v. C., R. I. & P., for grain	1895	234
Hanna, H. W., Audubon, v. C., R. I. & P., for coal shipments	1887	802
Harrison, Geo., Emmetsburg, v. O., M. & St. P., for coal	1881	143
Hastings, Mayor and council of, v. C., B. & Q.	1890	39
Hayes, Michael, Washington, v. C., R. I. & P.	1892	489
Hayton & Pearson, Pierson, v. O. & N. W., grain	1898	92
Hershey Lumber Co., et al., Muscatine, v. O., R. I. & P.	1892	762
Hickey Bros., Aspinwall, v. O., M. & St. P., for grain shipments	1892	850
Holcomb, T. B., et al., Muscatine, v. B., O. R. & N. et al., for melons	1892	761
Hughes & Son, Wirt, v. H. & S. R. R., refrigerator cars	1884	554
Iowa Fuel Co., Des Moines, v. St. P. & K. O., for coal shipments	1888	718
Johnson Bros., Rippey, v. O., R. I. & P., for corn	1899	118
Johnson, Geo. B., Buffalo Center v. O. R. I. & P. R. Co. failure to get for stock shipments	1905	276
Jordon, W. E., Bancroft, v. C. & N. W., for grain shipments	1897	800
Keystone Coal Co., Rippey, v. D. M. & Ft. D., for coal	1881	135
Klemme, H. J. and J., Alexander, v. Iowa Cent., for coal	1896	90
Kuester, Henry, Melvin v. O. R. I. & P.	1905	312
Lackey, N., Haverhill, v. O., M. & St. P., for corn	1901	501
Larson & Eggum, Thompson, v. B., O. R. & N., for grain	1901	478
Lau & Sons, Klemme v. C. B. I. & P. Ry. Co. for grain	1905	278, 311
Ledyard, citizens of, v. C. & N. W., grain	1902	251
Leverton, J. W., Abbott, v. Iowa Cent., grain	1900	161
Lewis, Wm., Macedonia, v. C., B. & Q., for damages	1895	524
Manville & Ward, Ocheyedan, v. O. R. I. & P. for live stock	1905	284
Marion Coal Co., v. O. R. I. & P., for shipment of coal	1892	867
Marshall & Son, Chariton, v. C., B. & Q., failure to run refrigerator car ..	1884	597
Mason, David, Harlan, v. C., M. & St. P., for shipment of hay	1892	518
Medbury & Darnell, Hornick, v. O., M. & St. P., grain	1902	263
Meyers, S. O., Kingsley, v. C. & N. W.	1903	279
Miller, H. E., Stanwood, v. C., M. & St. P., for stone	1902	249
McNutt, H. S., Algona, v. C., M. & St. P., discrimination in	1891	826
Moberly, I. N., Humphrey, Mo., v. Ill. Cent., for cattle	1896	67
Morris, J. B., Hornick, v. J., M. & St. P., for hay	1900	213
Moyers, J. A., Luthé, v. C., M. & St. P., for grain	1900	187
Muscatine Melon Shippers, v. C., R. I. & P., for melons	1895	212
Nicholas, James, Williamsburg, v. B., O. R. & N. et al.	1903	295
Olson, B. & Co., Swea City, v. B., C. R. & N., grain	1898	82

FAILURE TO FURNISH CARS—Continued.

	Year	Page
Olson Bros. Swea City v. O. R. I. & P. Ry. Co., for live stock	1905	276
O'Neal, Felix, et al., Aurelia, v. Ill. Cent., for grain, etc	1887	806
Patterson, A. L. Owego, v. O. M. & St. P., for wheat	1899	114
Pearson & Hayton, Anthon, v. Ill. Cent., grain	1901	494
Petersmyer Bros., Odebolt, v. C. & N.-W., refusal to furnish for oats to Kansas City	1901	519
Pierson, shippers of, v. C. & N.-W., for grain shipments	1887	795
Prairie City Produce Co., v. C., R. I. & P., for potatoes	1901	488
Price, W. M., Ellsworth, v. C. & N.-W	1902	270
Priest & Stocker, Carroll, v. C. & N.-W., for shipment of live stock	1886, 499, 526-527	
Ranks, O. D., Irvington, v. C. & N.-W., for hay	1900	141
Ranks & Sterzback, Redmon, v. B. C. R. & N., for hay shipments	1893	224
Ranks & Sterzback, Redmond, v. C. & N.-W., cars off its own line	1892	848
Reams, Warren, Defiance, v. C., M. & St. P., for grain	1898	91
Reiley, Thomas, Blencoe, v. S. C. & P., for hay to Sioux City	1895	228
Reinhart, C. B., Farnhamville, v. C. & N.-W. for track loading	1900	179
Riley, J. W., Defiance, v. C. M. & St. P., for potatoes	1899	124
Riley, J. W., Defiance, v. C. M. & St. P., potatoes	1902	303
Risley, A. et al., Rockwell City, v. D. M. & N. W., for hay shipments ..	1887	804
Robertson, W. J., Farmington v. C. B. & Q. Ry. Co. of certain length for hay	1905	279
Rohk, H., Rock Rapids, v. B. C. R. & N., for barley	1901	525
Rothschild & Co., Davenport, v. C. & N.-W., for patrons	1887	788
Rothschild, D., Muscatine, v. Ill. Cent., for shipments of barley	1891	126
Rumohe, Fred, Inwood, v. O. M. & St. P., for barley	1903	334
Rusher, B. F., Linnburg, v. C. & N.-W., for sand	1903	307
Russell & Co., Silver City, v. Wabash, for shipment of corn	1893	583
Sand Mound Melon Co., Fruitland, v. C. R. I. & P., for melons	1890	897
Schafnit, G. F., Moscow, v. C., R. I. & P., for ear corn	1899	77
Schell, F. J., Breda, v. C. & N.-W., discrimination in distribution of ..	1891	828
Seibold, G. W., Danbury, v. C. & N.-W., for grain	1902	260
Seuffert, L., Burlington, v. C. St. P. & K. O., failure and delay in	1891	768
Seymour, T. S., Milford, v. C. M. & St. P., for hay shipments	1897	793
Shaffer, A. A., Rhodes, v. C. M. & St. P.	1903	285
Sherrick, C. W. & Co., Farmington, v. C., B. & Q., for coal	1904	256
Slagle, F. M. & Co., Alton, v. C. M. & St. P.	1903	294
Slifer, M., Dedham, v. O. M. & St. P.	1902	263
Sloan Elevator Co. Sloan v. C. & N. W. Ry. Co., for shipments to Council Bluffs	1905	280
Stever, W. C., Walnut, v. O. R. I. & P., refusal to furnish for grain	1901	525
Smith Brothers Coal Co., Exline, v. C., B. & K. O.	1903	282
Smith, E. F., Wellman, v. C. R. I. & P.	1903	586
Smith, E. J., & Sons, North English, v. C., M. & St. P., for drain tile ..	1903	253
Steil Bros. Hay Co., Mallard v. M. & St. L. Ry. unable to get foreign cars ..	1905	313
Stevenson, W. H., Hamburg, v. K. O., St. J. & C. B., corn	1902	218
Snyder, M., Cleghorn, v. Ill. Cent., grain	1901	495
Southall, Wm. & Sons, Pierson, v. C. & N.-W., grain	1898, 92; 1899, 63; 1902	267
Spencer, city and citizens of, v. C. M. & St. P., appeal for coal cars	1881	139
Spurgeon, J. B., Adel, v. C. M. & St. P., for corn	1901	535
Steckel, W. J., Bloomfield, v. C. R. I. & P., at Paris Station	1903	322
Stephen, E. H. & Co., Ledyard, v. C. & N.-W., for grain	1901	528
Steinhoff, H. C., Hornick, v. C. M. & St. P., for cattle	1904	280
Stoughton, T. S. Pierson, v. C. & N.-W., must be distributed equitably ..	1893	836
Swea City, citizens of, v. C. R. I. & P.	1903	284
Teed, C. E., Monona, v. C. M. & St. P., for household goods	1901	519
Thompson & Knutson, Wadena, v. C. M. & St. P., for wood	1902	268
Thompson, W. A., Wadena, v. C. R. I. & P.: for wood	1904	257
Thompson, Citizens of v. C. R. I. & P. for live stock	1905	283
Townsend, LeMars, v. Ill. Cent., for lumber	1893	615
Townsend, D. W., Cherokee, v. Ill. Cent.	1902	297
Tuttle Bros., Wittemore, v. C., M. & St. P., for shipment of hay	1887	791

FAILURE TO FURNISH CARS—Continued.	Year	Page
Tyler, John P. & Co., Ladora v. O., R. I. & P., for coal.....	1904	272
Way & Packard, Cedar Falls, v. B., C. R. & N., damage by reason of.....	1888	589
Wayland-Wright Grain Co., Kansas City, v. ., & N.-W., for grain.....	1902	252
Wayne, E. M., Woolstock, v. C., & N.-W., for grain.....	1896	230
Wedgewood & Co., Storm Lake, v. Ill. Cent., grain for Minneapolis.....	1898	85
Wells, A. A., Emmetsburg, v. C. M. & St. P., coal.....	1881	144
Wesley Elevator, Radcliffe v. C. M. & St. P. Ry. on account of shortage..	1905	286
Weston, Wm., Anita, v. C., R. I. & P., loading cars from wagon	1899	118
White, Slayton, Beloit, Kan., v. C., R. I. & P. et al., for stock, ...	1887	703
White, Geo. O., Nevada, v. O. & N.-W., for grain	1899	121
Whittaker, Robert, Dallas Center, v. Wabash et al.	1903	290
Williams, Richard, Ida Grove, v. C. & N.-W., for live stock.....	1898	693
Wright, M. M., Shurdan, v. C., M. & St. P., for corn.....	1902	261
Young, O. W., Glidden, v. C. & N.-W., for live stock.	1898	691
Zuck, D. B. Dallas Center v. M. & St. L. Ry. Co., for stock	1905	280
Resolution of Twenty-second General Assembly, directing action for relief	1887	798
FAILURE TO FURNISH CARS FOR CERTAIN MARKETS—see <i>Rights of Shippers</i> .		
FAILURE TO STOP AT RAILROAD CROSSINGS—see <i>Crossing Stops</i> .		
FAILURE TO PURCHASE TICKET—EXTRA FARE MAY BE COLLECTED—see <i>Passenger Fare</i> .		
FAILURE TO STOP PASSENGER TRAINS—see <i>Passenger Trains</i> .		
FAILURE OF COMPANIES TO REPORT—see <i>Report</i> .		
FAILURE TO OPERATE ROADS—see <i>Abandonment of Road</i> .		
FAILURE TO STOP AT CROSSING—see <i>Crossing Stop</i> .		
FARM ROSSING—see <i>Crossings, Farm</i> .		
FAST TRAINS IN CITIES—see <i>Speed of Trains</i> .		
FAST TRAINS, PETITION TO STOP AT SMALL STATIONS—see <i>Train Service</i> .		
"FEEDERS" see <i>Local Lines</i> .		
FEEDING AND WATERING STOCK IN TRANSIT:		
Patton, U. L., Manning v. C. G. W. charge for discriminating...	1905	296
FENCING—		
Law suggested	1896	18
Liability of carriers in case of failure to fence	1894	499
Provisions of law in relation to	1898	19
Railroads' need of fencing	1893	91
Recommendations concerning	1884	27
Should right of way fences be hog tight?	1898	78
Complaints Concerning		
Adams, J. Q., Spencer, v. C., M. & St. P., failure to fence	1885	561
Annan, G. H., Yorktown, v. C., B. & Q., right of way	1904	289
Buckley, Phillip, Pattersonville, v. C., M. & St. P., failure to fence	1896	569
Burns, Thomas, Breda, v. C. & N.-W., failure to fence	1895	526
Buson, R. B., Pattersonville, v. C., M. & St. P., failure to fence ..	1895	567
Campbell, Robert, Martensberg, v. Iowa Cent. right of way.....	1905	291
Carpenter, O. W., Dedham, v. C. M. & St. P., failure to fence....	1891	745
Clever, M. G. and Irwin, J. M., v. C. B. & Q. failure to fence	1884	561
Curtis, T. J., Fonda, v. Ill. Cent. fencing track	1884	531
Damewood, E. C., Coin, v. O. & St. L., condition of right of way.....	1902	245
Galentine, D. M., Van Cleve, v. Iowa Cent., right of way	1902	272
Gallentine, D. M., Van Cleve, v. Iowa Central	1903	254
Gardner, John M., Leon, v. D. M. O. & S., fencing and cattle guards ..	1884	549
Gatton, Russell, Moscow, v. C., R. I. & P., bad condition of	1894	324
Gibson, G. H., Thayer v. C. B. & Q. Ry. Co., in bad repair	1905	302
Gray, James, Ira, v. C. G. W., stock yards	1898	82
Harshbarger, W. A., Oakland Mills, v. S. L. K. & N. W., failure to build ..	1892	841
Haugh, A. B., Newton, v. N. & N. W., failure to fence	1903	329
Helmer, M. F., Lisbon v. O. & N. W. Ry. Co., condition of	1905	253
Helphrey, L. S., Newton, v. N. & N. W., right of way.....	1904	307
Henderson, C. H., Coin, v. Wabash, right of way	1902	301
Howe, E. A., Bridgewater, v. C., B. & Q., relocation of fence.....	1892	824

FENCING—Continued.

Year Page

Complaints Concerning

Hughes, R. M. and Hunn, H., Boone, v. M. & St. L., failure to build.....	1884	557
Hurto, J. N., Laurel, v. Iowa Cent. Ry. bad condition of	1905	511
Ingersoll, M. J., Edgewood, v. O. M. & St. P., failure to fence	1884	548
Lange, Adams, Froelich, v. O. M. & St. P., hog-tight fence.....	1896	128
Kenefick, James, Belmont, v. O. G. W., right of way.....	1904	294
Kerr, Geo. W., Albia v. Wabash Ry. bad condition of.....	1905	305
Koster Wm., Breda v. O. & N. W. Ry., hog tight fence.....	1905	314
Lewis, M. M., Coin, v. O. & St. L., condition of	1900	203
Lockwood, J. O., Greene, v. B. C. R. & N., failure	1897	107
Love, Mrs. B., Shenandoah, v. O. & St. L., condition of right of way.....	1902	246
Maguns, O. S., Ridgeway, v. O. M. & St. P., condition of.....	1904	308
McDowell, Palmer, et al., Angus, v. M. & St. L. Ry Co., failure to build	1895	554
McGee, H., Martinsburg, v. B. & W., failure	1897	123
Mehs, George, Comanche, et al., v. B. C. R. & N., petition for.....	1893	223
Meyer, A. F., Boyer, v. Ill. Cent., failure to fence	1900	187
Miller, H. V., Pattersonville, v. C. M. & St. P., failure to fence	1895	560
Moser, A. L., et al., Laurel, v. Iowa Central, right of way.....	1904	301
Nason, W. B., Melbourne, v. Iowa Central, condition of right of way fence.....	1904	265
Nicol, Bert, David, v. W. & W., fencing station grounds.....	1895	208
Olson, John R., Thor, v. O. & N.-W., failure to fence.....	1894	516
Ourheim, J. L., Thor, v. O. & N.-W., failure to fence.....	1894	546
Parsons Bros., Luray v. O. G. W., condition of	1900	212
Porter, W. S., Orient, v. O. B. & Q., right of way	1898	60
Price, E. T., Winfield, v. B. & W., failure to fence	1895	530
Rehder, Max H., Gladbrook, v. W., I. & N., failure to fence	1896	591
Reifschneider, Peter, Laurel v. Iowa Cent. Ry. bad condition of	1905	305
Ringland, G. S., Ft. Dodge v. Crooked Creek, failure to fence.....	1895	160
Schnoor et al., Laurel v. Iowa Cent. Ry. bad condition of	1905	512
Shellhammer, C., Beulah, v. O. M. & St. P., failure to repair	1891	791
Simmons, A. R., East Peru, inquiry concerning hog-tight fence.....	1898	78
Smull, John, Wick, v. D. M., O. & S., failure to fence.....	1895	556
Stouffer, G. H., State Center, v. Iowa Central, condition of right of way fence	1903	294
Svendson, W. E., Mason City v. Iowa Cent. Ry. et al out of repair.	1905	299
Tabor, Edwin S., Newton, v. N. & N. W., failure to fence	1903	329
Tibbetts, D. D. et al., Ogden, v. O., Ft. M. & D. M., petition for.....	1892	827
Tuttle, L. M., Van Olev, v. Iowa Central	1903	252
Wakeman, M. A., Otho, v. M. & St. L., to connect with cattle guards	1897	716
Waldorf, C., Hudson, S. D., v. C. M. & St. P., failure to fence	1892	633
White, S. J., Plymouth, v. O. M. & St. P., maintenance of	1894	580
Wickersham, T. G., Melbourne, v. O. G. W., condition of	1904	311
Williksen, O. W., Thor, v. O. & N.-W., failure to build....	1894	514

FIRE SET BY ENGINES—see also, *Damages*.

Liability of carriers, exemption by contract, supreme court's opinion.....1895 XXXV

Complaints Concerning—

Barslow, P. M., Burt, v. O. & N.-W., fire from engine.....	1895	519
Bradley, C. J., Gowrie, v. O. R. I. & P., property destroyed by fire	1899	1052
Bridge, A. M., Goldfield, v. B. O. R. & N., to hay	1897	652
Brunskill, D., Hawarden, v. C. M. & St. P., damage to crops.....	1899	1068
Butts, L. A., Russell, v. C. B. & Q., fire set out by engine	1895	597
Deming, C., Brush Creek, v. O. M. & St. P., fire from engine	1895	611
Enfield, James, Herndon, v. C. M. & St. P., to house from fire by engine	1894	584
Erickson, E. P., Huxley, v. C. M. & St. P., fire set by engine.....	1897	730
Guthrie, I. N., Newton, v. O. R. I. & P., fire set by engine.	1899	1006
Hasking, A. N., Elsterville, v. B. O. R. & N., fire from locomotive.....	1893	541
Leigh, A. O., Latimer, v. O. G. W., meadow burned.....	1903	265

	Year	Page
FIRE SET BY ENGINES—Continued.		
Complaints Concerning—Continued.		
McNamara, Martin, Grafton, v. O., M. & St. P., fire from locomotive	1884	522
Nevin, O. H., Parkersburg, v. I. O. R'd Co., to meadow	1898	79
Prindle, T. W. Steamboat Rock v. Iowa Cent. damage by	1905	289
Riechers, J. C., Point Lookout, Utah, v. O. & N.-W., damage	1899	73
Ring, S. E., Mapleton, T. C. & N.-W., meadow and fence destroyed	1900	190
Roy, Peter, Tara, v. D. M. & Ft. D. R'd Co., fire set by engine	1885	503
Scaris, D. D., Sloan, v. C. & P., fire set by engine	1887	725
Stevens, C. B., Donnelson, v. C., B. & K. O., fence destroyed	1888	687
Tartsch, William, Paton, v. C., R. I. & P., damage to hay	1890	935
Travis, S. N., Peru, v. C., S. P. & K. O., damage resulting from	1892	799
Wertz, J. J., Russell, v. C., B. & Q., fire on meadow set by engine	1886	607
FLAGMEN AT STREET CROSSING—see Crossing, Street.		
FLOODS, losses in Iowa	1891	17
FORWARDING CARS—see Refusal to Receive and Forward Freight.		
FREE TRANSPORTATION—see Transportation, also Rates		
FREIGHT CARS—see Cars.		
FREIGHT CHARGES, per ton per mile	1880	172
FREIGHT CHARGES GUARANTEED—see Charges Prepaid or Guaranteed.		
FREIGHT CHARGES, prepayment demanded, insolvency of connecting line	1878	15
—see, also, <i>Charges</i>		
FREIGHT, CLASSIFICATION OF—see Classification	1885	54
FREIGHT, DIVERSION OF—see Diversion of Freight.		
FREIGHT, PREPAYMENT—see, also Charges		
Donahue, Robert, Burlington, v. Cent. Iowa, prepayment of	1884	550
FREIGHT—REFUSAL TO DELIVER—		
Dow & Mercer, McVeigh, v. Ft. Mad. & N. W., wrongful withholding	1884	500
Melrose, N. M., Goldfield, v. C. & N.-W., failure to deliver at station	1884	560
FREIGHT TRAINS, STOPPING OF AT PLATFORMS—see Stopping Trains at Platform		
FREIGHT TRAINS CARRYING PASSENGERS—see Train Service.		
FREIGHT—WRONGFUL ROUTING OF:		
Fairfield Lumber Co., Marion v. C. M. & St. P. over two lines	1905	297
FUEL, APPROPRIATION OF BY CARRIERS—see Appropriation of Coal.		
GONG, electric, for crossings—see Electric Signals	1893	137
GOVERNMENT CONTROL or ownership of railroads		
GOVERNOR'S QUESTIONS FOR REPORTS—see Reports.	1891	28
GRADES, REDUCTIONS OF		
GRADE CROSSING, FARM—see Crossings, Farm.	1898	4
GRADE CROSSINGS, HIGHWAYS OR STREETS—see Crossings, Highway.		
GRADE CROSSING, RAILROAD—see Crossings, Railroad.		
GRAIN FOR SEED, reduced rates for	1892	838
GRAIN HOUSE, SITES FOR—see Sites.		
GRANGER LAW, and tariff, workings of discussed	1878, Appendix cxi;	51
GRANGER LAW, discussion of	1885	34
GRANGER MOVEMENT IN THE WEST, history of, by Spencer Smith	1889	21
GRANGER TARIFF, EARNINGS UNDER—see Earnings.		
GREAT BRITAIN, RAILROAD COMMISSIONERS OF—see Commissioners.		
HACKS AND OMNIBUSES, PRIVILEGES OF AT STATION—see Omnibus Privileges and Discrimination.		
HAY RATES, petition of D. M., N., & W., for advance in	1893	166
HEATING OF CARS—see Warming Cars.		
HEIGHT of platforms at stations	1889	1071
HEPBURN committee report	1880	174, 181
HIGHWAY, The, and the railway	1881	79
HIGHWAYS, establishment of, commissioners no authority over	1892	826
HIGHWAY, relocation, expense of crossing must be borne by railroad company	1889	1095
Arcadia, town of v. O. & N. W. Ry. Co repair of	1905	272
Keep, F. A., Beloit, v. C., M., & St. P., by relocation of track	1885	561

	Year	Page
HISTORY of Iowa Iowa railroads	1879, 83; 1890, 239; 1896	173
HISTORY of THE RAILROAD QUESTION IN IOWA—see <i>Railroad Question</i> .		
HOTEL PATRONAGE, SOLICITATION OF—see <i>Omnibus Privileges</i> .		
IMPROVEMENTS of roads and rolling stock	1891, 4; 1899	9
INCOMPETENT ENGINEERS—see <i>Engineers</i> .		
INCREASE OF EARNINGS UNDER COMMISSIONER'S RATES—see <i>Rates</i> .		
INJUNCTION, B., C. R. & N., IN JOINT RATES—see <i>Joint Rates</i> .		
INJUNCTION—see <i>Rates and Joint Rates</i>		
INJUNCTION, by Judges Brewer and Fairall, v. Schedule of 1898	1898	3
INJURIES TO PERSONS—see <i>Personal Injuries and Accidents</i> .		
INSOLVENCY OF CONNECTING LINES, prepayment demanded	1878	15
INSPECTION OF RAILROADS IN IOWA	1898	7
INSPECTION SERVICE -		
Baker Bros. and Samuel Lilburn Co., Ottumwa, butter and egg ship- ments	1893	234
Council Bluffs Board of Trade v. O., R. I. & P., and C. & N.-W.	1899	977
INSUFFICIENT PASSENGER TRAIN SERVICE—see <i>Train Service</i> .		
INTERLOCKING SWITCHES—advisability of their adoption discussed.....	1899	18
Adoption of in Iowa	1897	6
Discussion of.	1898	5
List of in Iowa	1899, 10; 1900, 5; 1901	5
Ackley, crossing of Ill. Cent. and Iowa Cent	1900	94
Albia, crossing of Wabash and O., B. & Q	1900	97
Ames, O. & N.-W. and Ames & Coll	1901	183
Arion, crossing of C., M. & St. P., Ill. Cent. and O. & N.-W	1900	10
Arion, crossing of C., M. & St. P., O. & N.-W. and Ill. Cent	1903	202
Belknap, C., R. I. & P. and Wabash	1897	35
Carbon, M. C. & Ft. D. and Ill. Cent	1901	18
Cambridge, crossing of C., M. & St. P., D. M., I. F. & N., and Newton & N. W.	1903 204, 208	
Capron, crossing of C., M. & St. P. and Iowa Cent	1903	205
Carnforth, crossing of C. & N.-W. and O., R. I. & P	1896	43
Cedar Falls, crossing of Ill. Cent. and B., O. R. & N	1909	92
Cedar Falls, crossing of O., R. I. & P. and Ill. Cent. R. R	1904	233
Centerville, crossing of K. & W. and C., R. I. & P	1898	30
Colfax, crossing C., R. I. & P. and Colfax Northern	1904	234
Cone, crossing C., R. I. & P. and C., M. & St. P	1902	216
Corwith, M. & St. L. and Iowa Cent	1901	180
Davenport, C., R. I. & P. B., O. R. & N	1897, 46; 1898, 5; 1901	31
Denison, C. & N.-W. and Ill. Cent	1901	186
De Witt, C. & N.-W. and C., M. & St. P	1901	190
Dumont, crossing of C. & N.-W. and O. G. W.	1900	100
Elberon, crossing of C. & N.-W. and C., M. & St. P	1900	96
Estherville, crossing of M. & St. L. and B., C. R. & N	1900 98, 99	
Fairfield, C., R. I. & P. and O., B. & Q	1897	47
Fonda, crossing C., M. & St. P. and Ill. Cent ...	1900	99
Ft. Madison, drawbridge of A. T. & S. Fe R'y	1899	37
Gladbrook, crossing of O. & N.-W. and O. G. W	1902	211
Gowrie, C. & N.-W., O., R. I. & P. and N. & N. W	1904	232
Grand Junction, C. & N.-W. and C., R. I. & P	1901	187
Green Island, crossing of C., M. & St. P. and C., M. & St. P	1902	211
Greenville, M. & St. L. and G. & N.-W	1901, 282; 1902	215
Hampton, crossing of C. G. W. and Iowa Central	1902	215
Hartley, C., M. & St. P. and G. & N.-W	1901	182
Hayfield or Hawley, M. & St. L. and B., O. R. & N	1901	180
Hicks, crossing of C. & N.-W. and O. G. W	1903	203
Hinton, crossing of D. & S. C. and S. O. & N	1900	90
Herndon, crossing of O., M. & St. P. with branch lines of same company	1900	98
Independence, crossing of Ill. Cent. and B., C. R. & N	1900	91
Iowa Falls, crossing of Ill. Cent. and B., O. R. & N	1900	92
Iowa Falls, crossing of C., R. I. & P. and Ill. Cent	1903	203

INTERLOCKING SWITCHES—Continued.

	Year	Page
Iowa Falls, I. C. Ry. Co. and C. R. I. & P. Ry. Co	1905	239
Jefferson, C. & N.-W. and C., M. & St. P.	1901	186
Keithsburg, drawbridge, Iowa Central ..	1903	209
Kelley, crossing of N. & N. W. and C. & N.-W.	1904	233
Lake Mills, crossing of C. & N.-W. and M. & St. L.	1900	96
Laurens, crossing of C. & N.-W. and Gow. & N. W.	1900	5
Laurens, crossing of C. & N.-W. and C. & N.-W.	1902	210
Libertyville, C., R. I. & P. and C. Ft. M. & D. M.	1897	35
Linby, crossing of C., M. & St. P. and C., B. & Q.	1903	208
Lohrville, crossing of M. C. & Ft. D., C. & N.-W. and C., M. & St. P.	1903	207
Malvern, crossing of C., B. & Q. and C. & St. L.	1898	32
Manly, crossing of C. G. W. and C., R. I. & P.	1903	205
Manson, Ill. Cent. and Gow. & N. W.	1901	184
Marathon, crossing of C. & N.-W. and C., M. & St. P.	1900	93
Marshalltown, crossing of C. G. W. and C. & N.-W.	1902	213, 214
Mason City, crossing of C. & N.-W. and Iowa Cent.	1900	95
Mason City, crossing of C. & N.-W. and M. C. & C. L.	1900	95
Mason City, crossing of C. & N.-W. and M. C. & Ft. D.	1902	212
McIntire, crossing of C. G. W. and Winona & Western.	1900	97
Mingo, crossing C. G. W. and N. & N. W.	1904	235
Melbourne, crossing of C. G. W. and Iowa Cent.	1898	32
Moorland, crossing of M. C. & Ft. D. and C., R. I. & P.	1903	206
Moravia, crossing of Wabash and C., M. & St. P.	1902	213
Moulton, Wabash and C., B. & K. C.	1901	184
Neola, C., R. I. & P. and C. M. & St. P.	1897	47
New Hampton, C., M. & St. P. and U. G. W.	1901	181
Ogden, C. & N.-W. and M. & St. L.	1901	183
Ottumwa, C., R. I. & P. and C., B. & Q.	1897, 45; 1899	33
Paralta, C., M. & St. P. and C., M. & St. P.	1901	185
Reinbeck, crossing of C. & N.-W. and C. G. W.	1900	99
Rinard, crossing of C. G. W. and N. & N. W.	1904	234
Rockwell City, crossing of Ill. Cent. and C., M. & St. P.	1900	98
Rockwell City, crossing of I. C. Ry. Co., N. & N. W. Ry. Co. and C. M. & St. P. Ry. Co.	1905	239
Rowan, crossing of C. G. W. and the B., C. R. & N. Ry. Co.	1903	202
Sabula, drawbridge on C., M. & St. P. over Mississippi river.	1900	91
Sabula, drawbridge on C., M. & St. P.	1903	201
Sargent's Bluffs, crossing of C. & N.-W. and C., M. & St. P.	1902	212
Seymour, crossing of C., M. & St. P. and C., R. I. & P.	1896	47
Sibley, C., St. P., M. & O. and B., C. R. & N.	1901	185
Somers, crossing C., R. I. & P. and M. C. & Ft. D.	1903	206
Spencer, C., M. & St. P. and M. & St. L.	1901	181
Spencer, C. M. & St. P. Ry. Co. and the M. & St. L. Ry. Co.	1905	239
Tama, crossing of C., M. & St. P. and C. & N. W.	1900, 93; 1901	187
Ute, crossing of C. & N.-W. and C., M. & St. P.	1900	94
Washington, crossing of C., M. & St. P. and C., R. I. & P.	1903	204
Webster City, C. & N.-W. and Ill. Cent.	1901	188
Webb, crossing of C., M. & St. P. and C. & N.-W.	1902	214
Wheatland, crossing of C. & N.-W. and C., M. & St. P.	1900	101

INTERSECTIONS, FAILURE TO STOP AT—see Crossing Stops.**INTERSTATE COMMERCE LAW, VIOLATION OF—see Rates.****INTERSTATE COMMERCE—see also State Commerce.**

Application for suspension of long and short haul clause	1897	714
Committee on, from United States senate	1895	32
Desirability of establishment of interstate commerce commission	1892	49
Discussion of	1892	42
Discussion of, Interstate Commerce Railway association	1890	24
Interstate commerce law, violation of	1898	698
Interstate and state commerce, discussion of	1896	36
Interstate commerce law anticipated	1892	35
Its nature discussed in supreme court decision	1892	38, 36

INTERSTATE COMMERCE—Continued.		Year	Page
Law of 1873	1878	55	
Law regulating, discussed by the board	1887	31	
Power of the state in reference to	1887, 50; 1894	16	
Reagan bill	1882	42	
Rates on, necessity for elasticity in	1882	45	
Resolution of Twentieth General Assembly, asking congressional action. 1884	1884	96	
What constitutes: Diamond Jo line case	1889, 1074, 1077		
D. J. Carpenter case	1890	849	
H. R. Heath & Son's case	1891	164	
IOWA CLASSIFICATION No 13—adoption of	1905	240	
IOWA COAL RATE, PETITION FOR ADVANCE IN—see <i>Rates</i>			
IOWA COMMISSION LAW DISCUSSED—see <i>Commissioners</i>			
IOWA FALLS & SIOUX CITY RAILROAD, history of	1879	109	
IOWA MIDLAND RAILWAY, history of	1879	110	
IOWA RAILROADS, HISTORY OF—see <i>History of Iowa Railroads.</i>			
Iowa rates, application of; Mason City Brick Tile Co. v. C. & N.-W.	1903	323	
J OBGING—Number of persons employed in 1884	1884	76	
JOBGING AND MANUFACTURING—			
Amount of sales in 1884	1884	76	
Products in 1884	1884	77	
Net increase of business of, 1883	1884	76	
Materials in 1884	1884	77	
Jobbing in Iowa, number of people interested in	1885	49	
JOBBERS RATES DISCUSSED—see <i>Carload Rates and Rates</i>	1884	73	
Tons of freight moved in 1884	1884	76	
Petition for restoration of jobber's and manufacturers' rates	1885	535	
Wages paid by, in 1884	1884	77	
Capital employed by, in Iowa	1884	76	
Jobbers in Iowa should be placed on equality with those of outside points	1885	52	
JOINT RATES—see also <i>Rates, Joint.</i>			
Carpenter, E. E., case discussed	1899		
Commissioners enjoined from establishing by B., C. R. & N.	1890		
Commissioners' joint rate discussed	1891	14	
Deemer, J., decision concerning	1895	xxiii	
Desirability of between all Iowa lines	1887	717	
Joint rates, status of discussed	1896	5	
Dissenting opinion of Justices Rothrock and Robinson concerning	1891	49	
Eighty per cent schedule higher than rates voluntarily charged by carriers or those now in effect on interstate traffic	1891	15	
Failure to apply on flax seed shipments	1891	761	
Feiner Fish Co., Clinton. v. Express Companies, pro-rating shipments	1906	261	
In force in other states, but refused in Iowa	1894	197	
Inquiry by Tabor & Northern with reference to cancellation of	1904	301	
Injunction proceedings against commission	1891	45	
Law and discussion in reference to joint rates	1890	4	
Laws relating to	1895	xvii	
Legislation recommended	1891, 50; 1895	xxix	
List of roads refusing to accept commissioners' joint rates	1891	14	
List of roads accepting same	1891	15	
Litigation on	1890	6	
Must be applied for and refused to shippers before petitioning board	1895	xxviii	
Opinion of Iowa supreme court concerning	1891, 45-50; 1895	xxv	
Opinion of supreme court in reference to B. C. R. & N. injunction case	1893	27	
Opinion of supreme court in reference to Blair v. S. O. & N.	1897	295	
Overcharges on account of roads failing to apply, ordered refunded.	1891	763	
Power of states to fix through rates over two or more lines	1891	47	
Petition by carrier to extend time of trial of, account of apparent increase of earnings under	1891	15	

JOINT RATES -Continued.

	Year	Page
Reasons for motion to dissolve injunction and reasons for injunction.....	1891	46
Rules for fixing rates for continuous haul (80 per cent schedule).....	1890	6
Schedule and order concerning	1895	xxii
Status and discussion of	1895	xvii
Violation of joint rate law by one carrier, no excuse for another	1891	763
Willingness of Ill. Cent. to apply in Iowa	1891	763
Complaints Concerning—		
Baker, W., manager Columbia Coal Co., Diamond, v. Iowa Central.....	1891	387
Campbell, F. M. & Co., Randolph, v. C., B. & Q., failure to apply.....	1895	194
Carpenter, E. E., v. C., M. & St. P. et al	1900	5, 127
Cedar Falls Paper Mfg. Co. v. C. R. I. & P. and B., C. R. & N., petition for	1899	1085
Cedar Falls Paper Mfg. Co. v. Iowa Cent. and O. G. W., refusal to apply....	1893	250
Columbia Coal Co., Diamond, v. Iowa Cent. refusal to apply	1891	337
Coon Valley Coal Co., Des Moines, v. C., St. P. & K. C., discrimination in ..	1892	767
Corey Coal Co., Lehigh, v. M. C. & Ft. D., petition for.....	1892	839
Darby, J. H., Belmont, v. M. C. & Ft. D., refusal to apply, overcharge.....	1895	208
Davenport, shippers of, v. B., C. R. & N., et al, petition for	1899 1022,	1087
Flint, F. O., Manchester, v. C., M. & St. P., refusal of through billing.....	1896	81
Ft. Madison Chair Co., v. C., B. & Q. and B., C. R. & N., refusal to make ..	1899	1055
Holman, C. J. & Bro., Sargent's Bluffs, v. C. & N. W. et al., petition for ..	1899	1018
McGilora, L. E., Larchwood, v. B., C. R. & N. et al., refusal to apply.....	1892	862
McCarthy, M. H., Dubuque, letter of, concerning	1896	81
Steeves, J. C., Page Center, v. H. & S. and C., B. & Q., refusal to apply....	1892	862
Smith, H. D., Monticello, v. Ill. Cent., refusal to apply.....	1891	762
Tims, J. B., Tama, v. C. & N. W., refusal to grant.....	1890	834
JOINT STATIONS—see also "Y".		
And Y connections, legislation recommended.....	1899	40
At railroad crossings or intersections	1894	78
Law of Missouri and Wisconsin concerning, at crossings.....	1898	710
Complaints Concerning and Petitions for—		
Allen Grove township, Scott county, citizens of, v. C. M. & St. P. and B., C. R. & N	1895	499
Brown, J. G., Marshalltown, v. Cent. Iowa and Wis., Iowa & Neb	1894	562
Carnforth, citizens of v. C., R. I. & P., freight facilities at....	1899	987
Orandall, J. B., Sterling, Ill., v. C. & N. W., and Wabash at Lohrville ..	1894	578
Crooked Creek R'd Co., union depot at Webster City	1893	212
Davenport, Moline & Rock Island, petition for Wheatland	1897	756
Dean, George W., et al., Conway, v. H. & S., and C., B. & Q. R'd Co ..	1894	533
Denison, citizens of, v. C. & N. W. et al., petition of	1897	727
Diagonal, citizens of, v. C., St. P. & K. C. and H. & S., petition for	1899	1063
Donnon, Fayette county, citizens of, v. C., M. & St. P. and B., C. R. & N.	1890	859
Fayette county, citizens of, v. C., M. & St. P. et al., at Donnan Junction ..	1897	705
Goldfield, citizens of, v. C. & N. W. and B., C. R. & N.....	1894	536
Harrison township, Mahaska county, citizens of, v. C. & N. W. et al 1895, 607; 1897, ..	1895, 607; 1897,	764
Harveyville, citizens of, v. C., R. I. & P. and Wabash, St. L. & P.....	1895	500
Hawarden, citizens of, v. C. & N. W. and C., M. & St. P	1894	537
Herndon, citizens of, v. C., M. & St. P. and Wabash, St. L. & P	1894	538
Iowa county, citizens of, v. C., R. I. & P. and C., M. & St. P	1894	542
Jenks, A. M., Sheldahl, v. C. & N. W. et al., platform crossing, etc.....	1897	699
Jesup, Elias, et al., New Providence, v. Iowa Cent. and Toledo & N. W.		
R'y	1895	545
Krysher, Levi, Avon, v. C., B. & Q. and C., R. I. & P., petition for.....	1896	503
Louden Machinery Company, Fairfield, v. C., B. & Q. and O. G. W., ..		
petition for freight station at Afton Junction	1894	716
Melburne, J. H., Bagley, et al. of, v. C. G. W., joint station at crossing ..	1896	16
Malvern, citizens of, v. C., B. & Q. and Wabash, St. L. & P	1894	523
Mason, E. H., Carnforth, v. C. & N. W. and C., R. I. & P., petition for	1895	535
New Boston, citizens of, v. A., T. & S. Fe et al	1897	41
Noel, J. T., Noel, v. C., M. & St. P. and B., C. R. & N., petition for	1895	557
Oneida, citizens of, v. C., M. & St. P. and C., St. P. & K. C., petition for.	1899	1072

JOINT STATIONS—Continued.

	Year	Page
Palestine, trustees of, v. C. M. & St. P. and C. & N. W.	1884	512, 513
Reynard, J. S., Creston, v. C. B. & Q. and C. G. W., at Alton Junction ..	1883	136
Robison, C. E., et al., Hawarden, v. C. M. & St. P.	1887	704
Rockwell City, citizens of, et al., v. C. & N. W. et al., at Lohrville	1891	758
Romans, J. B., et al., Denison v. C. M. & St. P. and C. & N. W., at Arion ..	1891	742
Row, Samuel, et al., Oskaloosa, v. C. & N. W. and Burl. & Western	1885	502
St. Charles, citizens of, et al., v. C. M. & St. P. et al., near Bevington. .	1892	755
Sheldahl, citizens of, v. C. M. & St. P. and C. & N. W., petition for	1886	570
Sheldon, citizens of, v. C. M. & St. P. et al., inadequate accommoda- tions at.....	1887	697
ShIPLEY, H. F., Herndon, v. C. M. & St. P. et al.	1883	708
Smith, A., et al., Conway, v. C. B. & Q. and H. & S., petition for	1890	964
Stile, T. R., et al., St. Charles, v. C. R. I. & P. and D. M. O. & S.	1877	679
Truro, citizens of, et al., v. D. M. & K. C. et al., near Bevington ..	1892	755
Walnut and Vernon townships, Palo Alto county, citizens of, v. B., C. R. & N. and C. M. & St. P.	1886, 479; 1887	633
Warren township, citizens of, Poweshiek county, v. C. & N. W. and C. R. I. & P.	1884	596
Warren township, Keokuk county, trustees of, v. C. & N. W. and C., R. I. & P.	1885	576
Winterset, St. Charles and Truro, citizens of, v. C., R. I. & P. and D. M. & K. C., petition for, near Bevington.....	1892	755
JURISDICTION OF RAILROAD COMMISSIONERS—see also <i>Commissioners</i> .		
Of commissioners.....	1878, 5; 1891	28, 862
Of commissioners in matters of private right.....	1892, 26-28, 38-39	
JUST DISCRIMINATION discussed	1884	73
KEOKUK & DES MOINES RAILWAY, history of	1879	110

LACK OF CARS—see *Failure to Furnish Cars*; also, *Cars Lack of*.

LACK OF DEPOT FACILITIES—see *Station*.

LAKES, INLAND, crossed by railroads without authority ... 1885 59

LAND GRANTS, first and final report of.... 1878, 46; 1893 12

LARGE AND SMALL SHIPPERS - see *Carload Rules*.

LARRABEE, WILLIAM, governor, questions for commissioners' report 1893 42

LAW GRANTING POWER TO COMMISSIONERS—see *Commissioners*.

LAWS AND LEGISLATION relating to railways..... 1890 Appendix, 1899 Appendix,

1900 Appendix

Affecting railroads discussed—see *Railroad Question*.

Amendments suggested 1878 | 32 |

Chronological arrangement of 1878 | 31 |

Conflicting and disputed provisions of..... 1889, 37; 1891 42

Decisions of court construing 1895 | x |

In reference to automatic couplers and brakes 1887 | 59 |

In Iowa, affecting railroads..... 1890 Appendix, 1900, Appendix

Provisions of in surrounding states..... 1895 vii

Recommendation of commissioners concerning legislation 1887, 57-59, 75; 1931 42

Recommended by commissioners 1889, 37-45; 1891 | 42 |

Revisions and present status of 1895 | xi |

Suggestions concerning amendments to law requiring reports of carriers 1879 4

Uniformity in..... 1892 46

LEASES, TERMINATION OF FOR WAREHOUSES, TERMS OF, ETC.—see *Sites*.

LEASED ROADS, discussion of advisability of consolidating..... 1879 65

LEVEES, their maintenance by railroad companies 1894 85

LEWIS, DWIGHT N., elected secretary..... 1889, 15; 1900, 10; 1901 9

	Year	Page
LIABILITY OF CARRIER—		
BEYOND ITS OWN LINES—see <i>Damages</i>		
CONCERNING LIVE STOCK—see also <i>Live Stock</i> .		
For care of in transit	1889	1060
For killing	1893	249
For shrinkage of or decline of market during delay in transportation.	1892	865
Smith, J. N., Fairfield, v. C., B. & Q., shipments of without attendant ...	1933	647
FOR FAILURE TO SOUND WHISTLE AT CROSSING—see <i>Stock Killed</i>; also <i>Crossing Highway</i>.		
For failures to stop at platforms	1891	777
FOR GOODS SHIPPED "OWNERS' RISK"—see <i>Owner's Risk</i> .		
FOR RATES QUOTED BY AGENT ..	1889	1060
Hamilton, J. M., Welda, Kan., v. C., B. & Q.	1985	558
FROM FIRE, SUPREME COURT'S OPINION	1995	xxxv
see also <i>Fire set by Engine</i> ; also <i>Damages</i> .		
NOT EXEMPT FROM, BY CONTRACT—see <i>Owner's Risk</i> .		
Of initial carrier	1985	556
LIGHTING CARS—		
Discussion of.....	1890	5
Discussion of dry carburetor system	1892	43-44
LIMITED TICKETS, WHAT CONSTITUTES NOTICE OF LIMITATION, ETC—see <i>Tickets</i>.		
LITERATURE objectionable sold on trains.....	1983	98
LITIGATION—see also <i>Railroad Question</i>.		
Cases pending in state courts to enforce commissioners' orders.....	1891	38
Decisions of supreme court discussed	1892	21, 39
In reference to commissioners' rates	1889	30
Report of attorney-general concerning	1892, 39, 43; 1893, 39; 1890, 10; 1896, 37; 1896	175
LIVE STOCK—see also <i>Liability of Carriers</i>.		
Brown, W. R., Wallingford, v. C., M. & St. P., delay in transit.....	1893	687
Care of when shipped	1887	741
Engle, E., Onawa, v. C., & N.-W. R'y, cruelty to stock in transit.....	1834	541
IN PALACE CARS - METHODS OF REGULATING CHARGES FOR—see <i>Palace Stock Cars</i>.		
Live stock rates in Iowa, Iowa packers satisfied with	1904	297
Receipt of at Union Stock Yards for fourteen weeks	1904	237
Passenger fare for attendant, with L. O. L. shipments, not authorized by commissioner's schedule	1897	276, 347
Confinement in transit, not exceeding twenty-eight hours	1878	56
Return passes for shippers of.....	1904	236
SUBSTITUTION OF, IN TRANSIT—see <i>Stealing Live Stock in Transit</i>.		
LIVE STOCK RATES—see <i>Rates, Freight</i>.		
LOADING CARS FROM WAGONS—see also <i>Demurrage</i>.		
Galley, W. H., Zearing, v. Central Iowa, refusal to furnish cars for.....	1835	573
Strong & Co., Luton, v. C., M. & St. P., for track shipments.....	1896	156
Time allowed for.....	1884, 525; 1889	996
Hoebel, P., Blairstown, v. all lines, regulations concerning.....	1892	858
LOCAL FREIGHT, percentage of	1833, 20; 1935, 21; 1933, 20; 1937	20
LOCAL FREIGHT, TONNAGE IN IOWA, PERCENTAGE OF—see <i>Tonnage</i>.		
LOCAL RATES—see <i>Rates</i>.		
LOCAL TRAIN SERVICE—see <i>Train Service</i>.		
LOCAL LINES, percentage of charges received on through shipments.....	1893	33
LOCATION OF RAILWAY—		
Board of Control v. M. C. & F. D., near school for deaf.....	1902	265
LOCATION OF CRIBS—see <i>Nuisance</i>.		
Randolph, H. F., Mayor, et al., Correctionville, v. Illinois Central R'y....	1902	265

	Year	Page
LOCOMOTIVES, net weight of	1879	54
Tone, W. M., Boone v. N. & N. W. Ry. Co., unsafe condition of.....	1905	278
LOGS, CLASSIFICATION OF—see <i>Classification</i> .		
LOG RATES COMPARED—see <i>Rates</i>		
LONG AND SHORT HAUL, RATES FOR AND VIOLATION OF—see also <i>Rates</i> .		
Farnam & Kilbourne, Akron, v. O., M. & St. P., violation of	1886	695
Fowler Company, The Waterloo, v. Ill. Cent.....	1886	485
Hogaboom, S. R., Creston, v. C., B. & Q., on hard coal.....	1895	226
Joyce, D., Carroll, v. C. M. & St. P., and C. and N.-W., on lumber	1894	279
Lane Implement Co., Red Oak, v. C. B. & Q., on car of implements	1895	175
Low rates for long haul, importance to the state.....	1890	181
New York and other laws concerning	1885	55
Merrill, J. H. & Co., Ottumwa, v. K. & W., violation of.....	1888	739
Principle of referred to	1886	52
LOSSES BY FLOODS—see <i>Floods</i> .		
LOSSES ON THROUGH BUSINESS MADE UP BY HIGH LOAJAL RATES—see <i>Rates</i> .		
LUMBER RATES see <i>Rates</i> .		
LOST IN TRANSIT—see also <i>Damages</i> .		
Barber Med. Co., Davis City, v. O., B. & Q., carboy of vitrol.....	1892	730
Barnicle, John, Dana, v. C., R. I. & P., shortage of oats shipped to Peoria	1891	774
Batten, Daniel, Lucas, v. C., B. & Q., household goods.....	1903	284
Beck, Joseph, Marcus, v. Ill. Cent., of coal.....	1898	94
Beck, Jos., Marcus, v. Ill. Cent., coal.....	1904	274
Brewer, G. W. & Co., Hamilton, v. O., B. & Q., groceries.....	1903	289
Brower, D. M., Adel, v. C., R. I. & P., hogs.....	1891	834
Brown, J. V. H., Flagler, v. C. B. & Q., et al., mirror broken.....	1899	95
Bruce, J. J., Rolfe, v. C. & N.-W., failure to deliver goods as marked	1893	651
Calkins & Homan Bros., Corning, v. O., B. & Q., stove.....	1896	611
Campbell, F. M., Randolph, v. C. B. & Q., grain.....	1900	200
Cary, George T., Grant, v. O., B. & Q., goods	1891	813
Caster & Briggs, Barnes City, v. B., O. R. & N., window broken	1898	89
Churchill, V. R., Royal, v. Ill. Cent., milk cans from depot platform.....	1903	316
Clark, A., Canton, v. C. & N.-W., loss of veal	1893	236
Condon, W. A., Ellsworth, v. O. G. W.	1903	295
Conrad, Ed. A., Forest City, v. M. & St. L. and C. & N.-W., househ'd gds.	1894	459
Coad, Jas., Everly, v. C., M. & St. P., of baggage	1901	496
Creswell, M., Bonaparte, v. C., R. I. & P., hogs	1893	629
Cummings, J. M., Spencer, v. C. R. I. & P., household goods.	1890	111
Essick, M. L., Indianola, v. C. St. P. & K. O., potatoes	1890	946
Fowler, C. W., Rowan, v. B., O. R. & N., veal damaged in transit	1895	106
Fremont Butter and Egg Co., v. C., B. & Q., car of eggs missent	1890	844
Fuller, H. E., Otho, v. Illinois Central, box of goods	1898	741
Germer, Otto, Imogene, v. C., M. & St. P.	1897	145
Georger, H., Grant, v. B. & O., household goods damaged ...	1894	292
Gross, F. P., Sibley, v. B., O. R. & N., goods	1892	858
Guthrie Center, M. O. Skulte of v. O. R. I. & P. car of salt	1905	281
Holloway, H. G., Patton, v. C., R. I. & P., steel.....	1892	524
Hartman, G. A., Creston, v. C., B. & Q., shortage of coal.....	1899	997
Healey, H. M. & Son, Grundy Center, v. B., O. R. & N., damage to flour	1894	258
Hebron, S., Strawberry Point, v. C., M. & St. P., damage to stock	1894	341
Heaton, H. J., Anderson, v. C., B. & Q., goods stolen	1892	459
Henderson Bros., Traer, v. B., O. R. & N., apples in transit	1901, 516; 1902	256
Holcomb, H. I., Mason City, v. O., B. & Q., of calf at transfer	1901	497
Hurley, A. S., Cherokee, v. B. & M., of tools	1897	148
Judge, J. T., Carroll, v. O., & N. W., tent outfit	1895	210
Kendig, A. J., Winterset, v. O., B. & Q., medicine ...	1902	297
Kendall, E. S., Kellerton, v. C., & N. W., household goods.	1893	537
Knight, F. W., Milford, v. C., M. & St. P.....	1902	298

LOSS IN TRANSIT—Continued.

	Year	Page
Krag, Otto C., Alexander, v. Iowa Central, buffet	1903	253
Krause, Robert Co., Davenport, v. O., R. I. & P	1902	266
Lingham, M., Van Cleve, v. Iowa Central, loss of oil on platform ..	1895	225
Luther, M. H., Swede Point, v. Illinois Central and O., B. & Q., household goods	1882	527
Malia, T. E., Ayrshire, v. O., R. I. & P	1903	289
Martindale, J. M., Prairie City, v. O., B. & K. C., box of books	1896	77
Marquardt, O. G., of the Iowa Phonographic Co., v. Illinois Central, goods ..	1892	866
Mitchell Implement Co., Ft. Dodge, v. O., R. I. & P	1903	301
McFarlane, James, Blenco, v. S. C. & P., boxes of tobacco	1885	552
McNall, A. H., Maxwell, v. O., M. & St. P. merchandise	1884	501
McNall, A. H., Maxwell, v. O., M. & St. P., and W., I. & N., merchandise ..	1885	525
McNall, A. H., Maxwell, v. O., M. & St. P., barrel of sugar	1885	567
Norton, Mrs. L. M., Oxford, C., R. I. & P., household goods	1904	300
Orrick Bros. Dubuque, v. U S Express Co of strawberries	1905	298
Osborn, P. B., Ellsworth, v. C., M. & St. P., et al, car of wheat	1903	252
Pepper, D. H., Dows v. O. R. I. & P shrinkage on cattle	1905	281
Phelps, P. E. Rockford, v. B. O. R. & N., flax seed	1895	240
Pickenbrock, E. B. & Sons, Dubuque, O., M. & St. P. baggage	1900	155
Raber, John, Newburg, v. C. & N. W., household goods	1882	458
Read, T. C., Corning, v. O., B. Q., breakage	1902	291
Riley, M., Bancroft, v. C. & N. W., car of hay	1904	307
Ryan, J. A., Laurens, v. O. & N. W., loss of hogs	1890	940
Skinner, J. P., Grinnell, v. O., R. I. & P., sample case	1902	269
Skulte, M. O., Guthrie Center v. O. R. I. & P. of car of salt	1905	281
Smith, James A., Westgate v. O. G. W., coal in transit	1902	253
Sokol & Kegley, Monmouth, O. & N. W., damage to sorghum.	1884	319
Southall, Williams & Sons, Pierson, v. O. & N. W., of grain	1898	70
Spencer Bros., Randolph, v. O., B. & Q., car of coal	1892	449
Stafford, M. W., Grundy Center, v. B., O. R. & N., shortage in car of oats ..	1891	829
Stebbins, W. F., Decorah, Illinois, v. O. G. W., merchandise	1894	237
Stebbins, W. E., Des Moines, v. O., R. I. & P., household goods.	1896	127
Terry, F. M., Little Sioux, v. O. & N. W., on wheat	1901	318
Thurman, George, Anita, v. O., R. I. & P.; windmill vane	1900	150
Thurman, George E., Anita, v. C., R. I. & P., axle broken in transit	1902	228
Timmons, Mrs. L., Wichita, Kan., v. C., B. & Q., of couch	1903	316
Van Norman, J., Merville, v. O., R. I. & P., et al, merchandise	1895	161
Vetter, D., Grant, C., B. & Q., oilcloth	1891	731
Voogd, Dick Appleton, v. Illinois Central, to livestock, etc.	1904	257
Wadhams & Ladd, Tabor, v. O., B. & Q., appropriations by railway company of sand during flood	1904	293
Wahl, O. A., Churdan, v. O., M. & St. P., cotton	1900	181
Warnstaff, N., Grand River, v. B. & M., et al, merchandise.	1903	679
Whittier, L., Whiting, v. S. C. & P., and O. & N. W., merchandise.	1903	594
Wiswell, E. R., Mason, v. Illinois Central, oats	1904	271
Witmer, F. R., & Son, Mingo, v. C., St. P. & K. C., goods stolen	1900	876
Wood, Alfred, Traer, v. O., M. & St. P. and B., O. R. & N.	1892	532

MAIL FACILITIES AND MAIL SERVICE—see Station Service, also Train Service.

MARSH, W. C., Aurelia, v. Ill. Cent. Rd. Co., improper handling of	1904	251
MAPLE RIVER RAILROAD, history of	1879	111

MARKED CAPACITY OF CARS—

France, H. C., Rose Hill, v. O., R. I. & P., rule governing	1897	135
---	------	-----

MARKETS, CHOICE OF, SHIPPERS ENTITLED TO see Rights of Shippers.

MARKS, M. L., Testimony of, in Davenport rate cases	1888	761
---	------	-----

MASSACHUSETTS, attitude of, concerning grade crossings	1893	16
--	------	----

MAXIMUM AND MINIMUM RATES, discussion of	1885	53
--	------	----

MAXIMUM RATES, opinion of supreme court concerning, discussed	1897	9
---	------	---

MAXIMUM RATES, in Davenport, Dubuque and Burlington rate cases	1883, 752, 773, 797	
---	---------------------	--

MCCOLAIN, EMLIN, "Statutes of Iowa relating to railways"	1890	3
--	------	---

MILEAGE—

	Year	Page
Comparative table of	1899, 6;	1900 8
Increase in Iowa discussed	1891, 5;	1898 6
Iowa, fifth of the states in.....	1891	5
In Iowa, table of.....	1879	128
Of road built from earnings in excess of 6 per cent on \$30,000 per mile .	1891	37
Proportion of earnings credited to branch lines	1889	1006

MILEAGE BOOK—

Hultz, Webb, Chariton, v. Iowa Central, claim for rebate on.....	1890	851
Dissenting opinion by Commissioner Dey	1890	851
Nash, C. J., Ottumwa, v. Wabash, C., R. I. & P. et al, refusal to honor	1896	575
Pollans, F. E., Waterloo, v. Illinois Central, use of by other than purchase.....	1897	134

MILLING IN TRANSIT—

Application of principal to barbed wire.....	1887	715
Edmonds, E. J., Marcus, v. Illinois Central, cleaning grain in transit	1893	252
Tiede, A. C., & Co., Elkport, v. C. M. & St. P., petition for	1892	850
Barnard Bros., Ackley, v. Central Iowa, 25-cent charge	1890	19
Bernbrock, H. O., Waterloo, v. United States Ex. Co., on laundry baskets.....	1904	257
Iowa Jobbers v. all railroads, attempted advance, 25c to 50c	1894	335
Parker, S. F., Earlville, v. C. & N. W.....	1882	457

MINIMUM WEIGHT—

Brown, W. S., Manson, v. Illinois Central	1896	61
Jackson Grain Co. Cedar Rapids, v. Ill. Cent. Ry. Co., misunderstanding as to	1905	299

MINIMUM WEIGHT AND MARKED CAPACITY OF CARS—

American Linseed Co., Des Moines, v. Illinois Central, error in applying.....	1904	321
Brown, J. G., Marshalltown v. C. M. & St. P., charges for excess	1887	718
Des Moines Linseed Oil Works, v. Illinois Central, on flax seed	1895	218
Dunlap, J. H., Clarinda v. C. B. & Q., on wagons, parts of	1897	750
DuBois, W. S., Rockwell City, v. C. M. & St. P., marked capacity of car.....	1899	124
France, H. C., Rose Hill, v. C. B. & Q., rule governing marked capacity of cars	1897	135
Hollingsworth, A. E., Dunreath, v. Illinois Central, coal	1899	51
Ketchum & Johnson Co., Marshalltown, v. C. & N. W., agricultural implements	1897	108
Leonard, Daniel, Corning, v. all railroads, on car of sheep.....	1894	159
Matthews, J. J., Cherokee, v. Illinois Central, on corn	1901	517
McGrath, J., Tyrone, v. C. B. & Q., on coal	1892	865
Morris, Daniel, Lawn Hill, v. C. & N. W., of carloads	1882	459
Mt. Pleasant Milling Co., v. C. B. & Q., on flour	1899	98
Pearson & Hayton, Pierson, v. C. & N. W., grain	1899	95
Sheldon, H. C., Tingley, v. C. B. & Q., on sheep in carloads	1895	201

Slife, M. Dedham, v. C. M. & St. P., marked capacity of cars	1899	124
Townsend, D. W., Cherokee, v. Ill. Cent., estimating weight of brick	1899	84
Welch, E. S., Shenandoah, v. C. B. & Q., on shingle shavings.....	1905	293

MINK, OLIVER W., comptroller, letter of, in Council Bluffs complaint	1896	545
--	------	-----

MISCELLANEOUS—

Adams, E. E. Britt, v. C. I. & D. R. R. Co., compensation for printing.....	1886	515
Astor, citizens of, v. C. M. & St. P., location of new town near Astor	1896	589
Brown, A. F., Newton, v. Central Iowa, failure to run Odd Fellows' train	1898	730
Carlson, S. G., Stratford, v. C. & N. W., rental for site for scales	1893	21
Casselman, J. H., Ames, v. C. St. P., M. & O., claim for lost mileage book.....	1892	842
Davids et al., Sanborn, v. C. M. & St. P., unsanitary caboose	1896	92
Dow & Mercer, McVeigh, v. Ft. M. & N. W., withholding of freight.....	1894	500
Expenses in constructing spur track, parties entitled to return of, on abandonment of track	1891	738

MISCELLANEOUS—Continued.

	Year	Page
Gillespie, Mrs. R. Chadron, v. C., B. & Q., refund for ticket stolen	1889	1026
Indebtedness of railway companies, law concerning	1884	70
Jones, F. C., Herndon, v. D. M. & N. W., et al., storing cars on Y track.	1892	857
Paralta, Linn county, citizens of, v. C., M. & St. P., highway to depot.	1892	814
Randall, L. D. & Co., Dubuque, v. Ill. Cent., division of territory between railroads	1881	132
Slosson, J. M., Northwood, v., B., C. R. & N., feeding snow bound pas- sengers	1893	674
Spire, William J., Barens City, v. O., R. I. & P., misconduct of trainmen	1892	866
Valentine, H. K., Casey, v. United States Express Co., claims for perish- able goods shipped with charges guaranteed	1892	847
MISQUOTED RATES BY AGENTS, LIABILITIES OF CARRIERS FOR—see Liability.		
MIXED CARLOADS—see Carloads.		
MIXED TRAIN SERVICE—see Train Service.		
MONOPOLY of shipping facilities on station grounds against public policy ..		
	1890, 893-895; 1891, 736, 814; 1892	781
MORGAN, E. G., appointed secretary of the board	1890	3
Resigned	1887	3

NATIONAL CONVENTION of railroad commissioners ..	1881, 164; 1900, 5; 1901	5
see also <i>Convention of Railroad Commissioners.</i>		

NAVIGATION, OBSTRUCTION OF—see Obstruction and Overflow.

NEGLIGENCE IN CARE OF STATION—see Station.

NEW LINES, construction of	1898	6
---	------	---

NIGHT STATION SERVICE—see Station.

NORTHWOOD CASE see also Abandonment of Road.

Certify to attorney-general	1884	45
-----------------------------------	------	----

NUISANCE—

Excavation of pit by railway company causing water to collect near high- way	1900	205
Rockwell City, Board of Health, v. Ill. Cent., stagnant pond	1904	302
Woman's Village Imp. Co., Coon Rapids, v. C. M. & St. P., unsightly piles of dirt	1897	195
LOCATION OF CRIBS—Randolph v. Illinois Central	1902	265

OBSTRUCTING STREETS AND HIGHWAY CROSSINGS—see also, Crossing Highway.

Avery, Samuel, Council Bluffs, v. O., R. I. & P., culvert worn out	1904	315
Ballou, E. Rowen, v. C., R. I. & P., replacing of culvert	1904	259
Barney, B., mayor of Meservey, v. M. C. & Ft. D., street with cars	1896	153
Benn, H. W. and W. J., Ainsworth, v. O., M. & St. P.	1904	252
Buena Vista county v. Ill. Cent., drainage ditch across right of way	1901	320
Carr, George, Carville, v. Ill. Central for highway	1904	306
Dammeier, H. & O., Newton, v. N. & N.-W. ditch	1904	303
Dodd, F. B. Waucoma, v. C., M. & St. P., with standing trains	1896	111
Dodge, N. P. & Co., Council Bluffs, v. Wabash, obstruction to natural channel	1894	23
Gafford, Joseph, Burlington, v. citizens of Murray, by elevator	1887	687
Gardner, C. E., Leon, v. C. B. & Q., obstruction by railroad grade.	1904	269
Hornaday, J. N. Unionville, v. O., R. I. & P.	1903	330
Hough, A. T., Newton, v. N. & N.-W., extension of ditch	1904	292
Johnson & Ericson, Pickering, v. C. M. & St. P., by fence	1893	209
Knight, F. W., Milford, v. C. M. & St. P.	1905	238
Lee, L. W., Wick, v. C. G. W., by standing train	1898	80
LeMars, citizens of, v. Ill. Cent.	1890	861, 869
Murchison, A. S. Williamsburg, v. O., M. & St. P., by trains	1896	517
Murray, citizens of, v. C. B. & Q., at highway crossing	1896	565
Murray, citizens of, v. C. B. & Q., protest of Joseph Gafford.	1887	687
Ochiltree, T. J., Morning Sun, v. B., O. R. & N., blockading streets	1894	531

OBSTRUCTING STREETS AND HIGHWAY CROSSINGS—Continued.		Year	Page
Payne, I. D., Linden, v. C. M. & St. P., by train	1901	498	
Roley, T. T., Keswick, v. B. C. R. & N., by standing train	1896	126	
Rudolph, A. K. North McGregor, v. C. M. & St. P., by trains	1900	166	
Russell, J. M., Storm Lake, v. Illinois Cent., by elevator	1893	154	
Thomas, A. D., Fredericksburg v. O. G. W., streets across station grounds	1893	156	
Turner et al., Colfax, v. O. R. I. & P., by train	1893	210	
Walker, George D., Teeds Grove, v., C. M. & St. P., by trains	1900	177	
Waters, James, Des Moines, v., C. & N. W., with cars	1892	832	
Wickersham, T. G., Capron, v. C. G. W.	1903	330	
Wind, Carl, Herndon, v. O. M. & St. P., by train	1900	201	
Wyatt, E. R., et al., Lamaille, v. O. & N.-W., with train	1896	554	
Zeidler, J. C., Mallard, v. O. R. I. & P., by building and platform	1893	155	
OBSTRUCTION AND OVERFLOW—			
OFFICERS SALARIES	1838	656	
OMNIBUS PRIVILEGES—			
Anderson, P. G. & Son, Mason City, v. C. M. & St. P., discrimination in	1895	222	
Beach, W. H., Goldfield, v. R'y Co's refusal to allow him	1898	87	
Close, George V., Iowa Falls, v. B., C. R. & N., et al., discrimination in	1892	763	
Hackmen of Sioux City v. R'y Companies, discrimination in	1890	981	
Smith et al., Manilla, v. C. M. & St. P., hotel runners at stations	1888	697	
Stalker, J. W., Iowa Falls, v. Illinois Central, discrimination	1897	120	
Thomassen, John G., Pella, v. C. R. I. & P., discrimination in.	1890	903	
Todd, J. B., Manilla, v. C. M. & St. P., privileges of hotel runners at stations ..	1894	277	
Van Houser, F. and C., Cedar Falls, v. Ill. Cent., discrimination in	1881	135	
OPERATING EXPENSES—			
Must be met by earnings	1890	179	
Percentage of different classes of	1890	162	
OPERATORS, TELEGRAPH, PETITION FOR—see <i>Station</i> .			
ORDERS OF COMMISSIONERS, their nature should be specific, instead of advisory. opinion by supreme court ..			
	1892 21,	39	
OVER-CAPITALIZATION—see <i>Watered Stock</i> .			
OVERCHARGE ON SAND—see <i>Rates</i> .			
OVERCHARGE IN PASSENGER FARES—see <i>Passenger Fares</i> .			
OVERCHARGE OF FREIGHT—			
Allen, D. P., Carroll, v. C. M. & St. P., on car of junk	1899	1055	
Amos, T. B., Essex, v. C. B. & Q., on calves	1897	752	
Archie, W. F., Spencer, v. C. M. & St. P., refund ordered	1881	127	
Arnold, J. M., Davis City, v. C. B., & Q., on posts	1898	731	
Aye, Rolf, Grundy Center, v. B., C. R. & N., on timothy seed	1895	224	
Baird & Baird, Marne, v. C. R. I. & P.	1901	33	
Banks, J. A., Cooper, v. D. M., N. & W., on thresher engine for repairs ..	1892	834	
Barber, Ed, Glidden, v. C. & N.-W., in rate on buggy	1894 49,	69	
Barr, S. P., Eagle Grove, v. M. C. Ft. D., on empty baskets.	1899	694	
Barrett & Son, Mount Vernon, v. C. & N.-W. on corn	1897	689	
Bathe, G. R. Tuskeego, v. C. B. & Q., on car of hogs	1899	1054	
Baxter, J. W., Lewis, v. C. R. I. & P., on live stock	1898	833	
Beach, C. L., Stratton, Neb., v. M. & St. L., error in quoting rates	1896	612	
Beade, J. C., Imogene, v. Iowa Cent., on household goods	1901	513	
Bellevue Pump Co., Bellevue, v. C. M. & St. P., interstate	1901	469	
Blanchard, D. A., Adel, v. C. & N.-W., on wagons	1897	690	
Blanchard, D. A., Adel, v. D. M., N. & W., on buggy, interstate	1896	93	
Borum, S. J., Des Moines, v. C. B. & Q., and D. M., N. & W., on billiard tables	1896	122	
Bowers, Charles, Quincy, Ill., v. O. R. I. & P., on ticket	1892	843	
Bunker & Hazzard, Newell, v. Ill. Cent. et al., on agricultural feed steamer ..	1891	770	
Bussard, J. & Co., Imogene, v. Wabash, refund of	1894	497	
Breezley, W. H., Kelly, v. C. & N.-W., et al., refusal of joint rates	1892	846	

ONERCHARGE OF FREIGHT—Continued.

	Year	Page
Brent, L. H., Weston, v. C. & N.-W., erroneous classification	1882	531
Brockway, E. F., Ainsworth, v. C., R. I. & P., on live stock, etc	1888	682
Brown, C. M., Anamosa, v. B., C. R. & N. and O., M. & St. P., on heifer ..	1883	533
Brown, W. S., Manson, v. Ill. Cent., marked capacity of car	1896	61
Brown, W. S., Manson, v. Ill. Cent., on stone	1900	186
Brown, W. S., Manson, v. Ill. Cent. Ry. Co., on coal	1905	296
Brown, J. G., Marshalltown v. C., M. & St. P., on account of minimum weight	1887	718
Brown, L. A., of Jeffries & Brown, Davis City, v. C., B. & Q., on apples ..	1891	772
Brown, W. S., Manson, v. Ill. Cent., on account of minimum weight	1902	253
Canfield, Charles, Estherville, v. C., M. & St. P., on household goods ..	1883	667
Carpenter, D. J., Beloit, v. C., M. & St. P., on account of applying interstate rates to state commerce	1890	849
Carpenter & Genung, Independence, v. C., B. & Q., on horse-power	1889	859
Carroll, E. L., Adel, v. D. M., N. & W., on wheat	1881	133
Carroll, T. R., Plainfield, v. Ill. Cent., on coal	1898	93
Chambers, S. E., Corwith, v. C., R. I. & P., on wagon	1885	499
Clarke, O. J., Sibley, v. C., St. P., M. & O., on merchandise	1886	715
Clift, N. R., Zearing v. Iowa Central Ry., on wire fencing	1903	281
Cline & Bennett, Meriden, v. Ill. Cent., incorrect weight on lumber	1883	626
Cline, J., Reasoner, v. C., R. I. & P., on live stock	1888	730
Cochran, Robert, Audubon, v. B., C. R. & N., on bull	1884	540
Codd, H. G. S., Westfield, v. C. & N.-W., on live stock	1894	260
Cole, J. L. & Son, Greene, v. B., C. R. & N., on wall paper	1884	524
Conroy, P. T., Walnut, v. C., R. I. & P., on stock cattle	1899	97
Cooper, A. A., Dubuque, v. C., M. & St. P., on wagons	1881	138
Cooper, A. G., Ottosen, v. B., C. R. & N., on stock	1900	183
Cooper, A. M., Tracy, v. C., B. & Q., on car of corn	1896	76
Corwin, J. H., Dedham, v. B., C. R. & N., et al., on household goods ..	1889	1048
Cox, J. R., Dexter, v. C. & N.-W. and O., R. I. & P., on threshing outfit ..	1892	845
Crandall & Eyer, Webster City, v. Ill. Cent., on spring wagons	1881	132
Cresswell, John, Bonaparte, v. C., Ft. M. & D. M., on stock cattle, interstate ..	1899	125
Critchfield, J. W., Lucas, v. C., B. & Q., on portable house	1900	207
Crosby, C. F., South English, v. C., R. I. & P., passenger fare	1879	25
Cunningham & Gray, Blencoe, v. C. & N.-W., et al., on fence posts ..	1889	1061
Dammoner, Wm., Newton, v. C., R. I. & P., refund of overcharge	1896	149
Darby, J. H., Belmond, v. C., M. & St. P., failure to apply joint rates on hogs	1895	203
Davis, A. J., Sewal, v. B., C. R. & N., on corn	1902	292
Davis, J. E., Coin, v. C., M. & St. P., on household goods	1895	163
Deets & Happer, Mediapolis, v. C., R. I. & P., on apples	1904	323
Denton, Robert, Iowa City, v. C., R. I. & P., on bull	1884	547
Des Moines Linseed Oil Works, v. Ill. Cent., charges, on marked capacity of car	1895	
Des Moines Tent & Awning Co., v. Adams Express Co.	1904	310
Dickey, William G., Maxwell, v. C., St. P. & K. C. et al., on coal	1887	779
Downing, W. E., Selma, v. C. B. & K. P. et al., on lumber	1888	738
Dunlap, J. H., Clarinda, v. C., B. & Q., on wagon	1887	750
Dunlap, W. W., Alliance, Neb., v. C. M. & St. P., refund of bridge toll ..	1894	310
Earle, W. O., Waukon, v. C. M. & St. P., stock in Ames palace car.	1888	834
Economy Furnace Co., Cedar Rapids, v. Tabor & Northern	1904	286
Eckroot, John, Beacon, v. C., R. I. & P. interstate	1900	133
Ellis, G. M., Emmetsburg, v. C., M. & St. P., on sulky	1889	998
Ellyson, Zed, West Liberty, v. B. O. R. & N., on horse	1886	511
Fairchild, E., Chester, v. C. M. & St. P., on horse, interstate	1899	106
Ferguson, T. W., Dallas Center, v. C. R. I. & P., on roll of harness leather ..	1888	690
Foster, T. M., North English, v. B. C. R. & N., drugs	1899	68
Fowler, L. M., Watkins, v. C. & N. W., on light top buggy	1888	677
Freeman, F. M., Davis City, v. American Express Co., on two dogs	1892	854
Frizzell, Thomas, Malcom, v. C., R. I. & P., on smokestack	1892	853
Fuller, J. W., Kellerton, v. C., B. & Q., on hardware	1887	51

OVERCHARGE OF FREIGHT—Continued.

	Year	Page
Garver, W. M., Tingley, v. C. R. I. & P., on household goods	1901	511
Garvin, William, Marcus, v. Ill. Cent., on horse-power	1890	846
Garvin, William, Marcus, v. Ill. Cent., on corn	1884	228
Garwood, J. H., Madrid, v. C. & N. W.	1904	254
Giant Coal Co., Des Moines, v. C. & N. W., on coal	1885	504
Gibbs, E. H., Oskaloosa, v. C., B. & Q. and B. & Western, on merchandise	1885	519
Gilbert, H. A., Estherville, v. B., C. R. & N., on car of coal	1899	1060
Gillespie, J. T., Nevada, v. C. & N. W., overweight on empty barrels	1899	996
Globe Coal Co., Des Moines, v. Ill. Cent., on coal	1901	487
Golden, C. M., Kellogg, v. C., R. I. & P., shipment of seeds	1883	732
Gooch, N. L., Ayon, v. C. & N. W., immigrant movables	1898	51
Goodsell, L. Fergus Falls, Minn., v. B., C. R. & N., in passenger fare	1883	617
Gossmann, and Regan, Elkader, v. C. M. & St. P., interstate	1902	279
Graham, M. J., Odell, v. C. B. & Q., overweight	1901	493
Griffin, E. A., Tekamah, Neb., v. C. M. & St. P., on household goods	1891	772
Griffin, Patrick, Grand River, v. H. & S., on car of corn	1898	680
Groneweg & Schoentgen, Council Bluffs, v. K. C., St. J. & C. B. 1882. 533;	1893	604
Guthrie, S. B., Webster City, on oil tank wagon	1898	745
Haddock, Wm. J., Iowa City, v. C., M. & St. P., on shipment of books	1904	270
Hale, W. C., Wellman, v. C., R. I. & P., on car of oats	1887	761
Hall, C. E., Glenwood, Neb., v. C., B. & Q., on bull.	1884	544
Hadley, A. J., Deltay, Col., v. C., R. I. & P. and D. & R. G. on house- hold goods	1897	81
Hall, Truman, Bedford, v. C., M. & St. P. and C., B. & Q. on buggy	1896	65
Hamilton, J. M., Welda, Kan., v. C., B. & Q., on bull	1895	559
Haney, S. J., Ohequest, v. C., R. I. & P	1903	297
Hannum Brothers & Arthaud, Wapello, v. C., R. I. & P	1903	294
Hershey Lumber Co., Muscatine, v. B., C. & N. on lumber, change of ... rates without notice	1883	565
Hersey, L. G., Earlville v. Ill. Cent. on five cars of corn	1887	713
Hill, F. W., Maxwell, v. C., M. & St. P., on flour from Waterloo to Melbourne	1898	681
Himes, M. L., Elkader, v. C., M. & St. P., mistake as to proper rates to apply	1905	304
Hoag, J. M., Maquoketa, v. C., M. & St. P., on car of ponies for state fair	1899	1063
Hoffer, J. S., Des Moines, v. C., B. & Q. et al., on household goods	1902	286
Holmes, Jos., Marshalltown, v. C. & N. W., on car of apples	1891	757
Hollister Lumber Co., Manchester, v. Ill. Cent., on coal	1900	173
Hoopes, T. J., Eugene, v. C., B. & Q., on household goods and lumber	1882	467
Hornaday, C. A., Udell, v. C., M. & St. P., on hay press interstate	1898	88
Howe, J. P., Fenton, v. C. & N. W., stone, etc	1900	221
Hoyt, M. A. & Bros., Carroll, v. C., & N. W	1879	28
Hugnes, J. C., Norwalk, v. D. M. & K. C., on logs	1897	88
Hunna, A., Abingdon v. C. R. I. & P., household goods interstate	1892	721
Hupp, John, et al., v. C., R. I. & P., on live stock	1898	73
Hulburt, L. E. et al., Ft Dodge, v. M. & St. L., on coal	1881	141
Hurst & Company, Maquoketa, v. C., R. I. & P., on account of long- est routing	1906	293
Hutchcroft & Co., Kossuth, v. B., C. R. & N., on slack coal	1889	1008
Igo, Lewis, Palmyra, v. C. & N. W., on corn shipments	1887	691
Jackson, A., Tama City, v. U. P., on mixed car of live stock	1883	564
Japp, Gust., Rosedale, v. C., R. I. & P. and C. & N. W., on horse-power	1899	1041
Jennings, F., Rose Hill, v. C. R. I. & P. et al., live stock	1898	43
Jergens, Fred, Everly, v. C., M. & St. P., on household goods	1896	98
Jervis, John, Cleveland, v. C., B. & Q., portable home	1900	208
Jickling, Willing, Ira, v. C. G. W., on buggy	1894	239
Johnson, J. F., Paton, v. C., R. I. & P. on car of horses	1882	834
Johnson, J., Red Oak, v. Central Iowa, on live stock	1884, 519; 1885	497
Johnson, A., Tingley, v. H. & S. et al., on coal	1898	678
Jones, T. B. Beaconsfield, v. C., B. & Q. two minimums	1899	111
Karberg, H., St Louis, v. C., B. & Q., on acct. excess baggage rate	1901	502

OVERCHARGE OF FREIGHT—Continued.

	Year	Page
Keefe, James, Ft Dodge, v. M. & St. L., on coal	1899	109 4
Keefe, James, Ft Dodge, v. M. & St. L., on coal	1899	991
Kelley, J. W., Osceola, v. O. B. & Q., on lime	1880	17
Knowles, C. B., Glenwood, v. O. B. & Q., on cow	1896	53
Knowles, W. F., Sioux City, v. Ill. Cent., on light, bulky package	1882	450
Knowles, W. H., James, v. American Express Co., on small package	1891	771
Knowles, W. F., James v. U. S. Express	1903	292
Knowlton Mfg Co., Rockford, Ill., v. M. & St. P., on implements	1893	547
Kreutzer, & Wassen, Marshalltown, v. O. & N. W., on lumber	1891	133
Kreuger, O. F., Des Moines, v. O. & N. W., on household goods	1894	252
La Grange, J. H., Storm Lake, v. Ill. Cent., on junk to Chicago	1897	63
Lane Implement Co., Red Oak, v. O. B. & Q., on short haul	1895	175
Leech, James, Derby, v. O. B. & Q., on bobsleds	1887	696
Leeper, J. E. & Co. Pleasanton, v. O. B. & Q. et al petition for refund ..	1899	1013
Leigh, A. C. Eagleville, Mo., v. O. B. & Q.	1903	325
Leimkuehler, F., Moscow, v. O. R. I. & P., on binding twine	1897	117
Looker, J. K. M., Bonaparte, v. O. R. I. & P., emigrant movables	1891	825
Lummis, W. D. F., Perry, v. O. & N. W., on iron safe	1885	534
Marshall, Ed., Casey, v. O. R. I. & P. on household goods	1894	293
Marston, E. H., Fairbury, Neb., v. O. R. I. & P. R'y Co., household goods ..	1904	303
Martin, E. H., Telephone Co., Webster City, v. U. S. Ex. Co., et al	1903	317
Matthews E., Randalia, v. B. O. R. & N., mixed car of live stock	1893	224
McDanel & Co. D. H. Iowa City, v. B. O. R. & N., on dead hogs	1896	485
Matzinger, G., Slater, v. O. & N. W., shipment of bull	1903	257
McDonald, G. W., Dysart, v. B. O. R. & N., on merchandise	1884	519
McGilora, L. E. Larchwood, v. B. O. R. & N., traffic from point to point in Iowa through passing outside the state in transit, is state com- merce	1892	862
McKay, F. D., Adel, v. D. M., N. & W., on coal	1897, 115; 1900	200
McKinley, Geo. F., St Ansgar, v. B. O. B. & N., on household goods	1895	204
Meade, S. K., Rockwell City, v. D. M., N. & W., on butter and eggs	1888	691
Meade, S. K., Rockwell City, v. D. M., N. & W., on salt and other merch- andise	1889, 999, 1002	202
Menning & Slater, Des, Moines, v. O. R. I. & P. et al, on pickles	1900	202
Miller, George, Clutier, v. O. & N. W. on store fixtures	1901	514
Miller, H. C., Cloydon, v. H. & S. on car of corn	1888	706
Miller, John, Waukon, v. C. M. & St. P., on broom corn	1892	830
Moore & Gill, Kansas City v. K. O., St. J. & O. B. on car of merchandise ..	1893	676
Moore, N., Van Meter, v. O. R. I. & P., on wheat to Chicago	1897	58
Moorman, Mark, Newton, v. O. R. I. & P., household goods	1903	296
Moran, & McManus, Adair, v. O. R. I. & P., on merchandise	1895	550
Morris, Daniel, Lawa Hill, v. O. & N. W., minimum carload	1882	459
Murray, Geo. P., Wiota, v. J. M. & St. P., on emigrant movables	1891	773
Nichols, Pliny, West Liberty, v. C. R. I. & P., on stock for fairs. 1881, 141; ..	1884	567
Nicholson, H., Maple River Junction, v. J. & N. W., on emigrant movables ..	1888	710
Norton, C. W., Durant, v. C. R. I. & P. and O. M. & St. P., on live stock ..	1884	520
Nye, J. P., Essex, v. O. B. & Q., on corn	1883	724
Nye & Bourne, Grundy Center, v. B. O. R. & N., excessive rates	1883	733
Ormsby, A. L. Emmetsburg, v. B. O. R. & N., on carriage, interstate	1896	99
Owens, A., Carlisle, v. O. B. & Q., excessive freight charges	1894	243
Packard, S. B., Marshalltown, v. Iowa Central, on shipment of cattle	1903	225
Pearson & Hayton, Pierson, v. O. & N. W., grain	1899	95
Persons, B. D., Blencoe, v. C. & N. W. et al., on car of posts	1893	1053
Plummer, J. W. & Son, New Hartford, v. Ill. Cent., apples, interstate	1899	109
Powers, T. B., Scranton, v. C. & N. W., live stock and agricultural imple- ments	1896	41
Preston, F. B., Adel, v. D. M., N. & W., on empty egg cases	1901	769
Rabb, J., Mt. Ayr, v. O. B. & Q., on coal	1904	809
Radwich, S., Davis City, v. O. B. & Q., passenger fare	1888	750
Reasoner, Mrs. H. M., v. O. R. I. & P., on live stock	1889	1063
Redhead, George S., Des Moines, v. D. M. & K. C., on young bulls	1896	96

OVERCHARGE OF FREIGHT—Continued.

	Year	Page
Reinberger & Schroeder, Des Moines, v. C. & N.-W., on furniture, K.D.	1896	514
Reuken & Tammen, Parkersburg, v. Ill. Cent., on brick in car loads....	1893	691
Riburn, Thomas, New Albin, v. C., M. & St. P., and B., C. R. & N. on bull	1894	532
Risley, T. E. D., Shenandoah, v. C., B. & Q., on horses, lack of joint rates	1895	159
Ritchie, W. S. & Son, Muscatine, v. B., C. R. & N. et al, on car of oats....	1896	593
Rippey Coal Co., Rippey, v. C., M. & St. P., on coal	1899	1026
Roberts, F., Ocon Rapids, v. C., B. & Q., on account of overweight	1899	83
Roberts, J. & Co., Columbus, Neb., v. C., B. & Q., on shelled corn	1894	547
Rogers, Warren, Marathon, v. C. & N.-W., on emigrant movables	1896	722
Rosegrant, S. O., Galva, v. C. & N.-W., on oil meal	1895	511
Rosenberg, Isaac, Traer, v. B., C. R. & N., on merchandise.....	1894	503
Sanders, R. W., Collins v. C. G. W. Ry. Co. emigrant movables	1905	269
Saucer, W. H., Ackley, v. Ill. Cent., on marble	1893	689
Schnoor, Mrs. O., v. U. S. Express Co., on box of merchandise	1902	257
Scott, O. B., Peterson, v. U. S. Ex. Co., organ	1898	42
Shenk, Walter, Burlington v. C., M. & St. P., on passenger ticket	1895	525
Shearer, F. W., Ida Grove, v. C. & N.-W., on telephone poles	1894	587
Sheldon, H. C., Tingley, v. C., B. & Q., minimum weight on sheep.	1895	201
Shultz, H. E., Dows, v. B., C. R. & N., on show case	1894	532
Smith, E. H., Dubuque, v. Ill. Cent. et al., on goods for state fair	1894	540
Smith, E. R., et al., Fairfield, v. O., R. I. & P., on live stock	1896	73
Smith, H. C., New Hartford, v. Ill. Cent., on household goods	1894	505
Smith, H. D., Monticello, v. C., M. & St. P., on feed ..	1892	563
Smith, H. D., Monticello, v. Ill. Cent. et al., joint rates refused ...	1891	762
Smith, S., et al., New Market, v. St. L., K. & N. W., on household goods	1896	608
Smith & Shaul, Quimby, v. K. C., S. J. & C. B., on car apples ..	1890	869
Stacy, A. L., Stacyville, v. Ill. Cent, interstate	1901	528
Starlin, John, Missouri Valley, v. Wabash, on stone	1894	498
Steer, William M., West Branch, v. B., C. R. & N. Ry. Co., on coal.	1894	541
Steeves, J. C., Page Center, v. H. & S. and J., B. & Q., joint rates re- fused.....	1892	852
Stevens, J. T., Bentonsport, v. C., R. I. & P.	1903	315
Stow, A. E., Castana, v. C. & N.-W., on old wagon	1900	163
Tasker, Thomas G., Ohslow, v. C. & N.-W., on corn	1897	728
Tasker, K. S., Onslow, v. C., M. & St. P., on corn to Chicago	1896	131
Taylor, H. L., East Peru, v. C., B. & Q., et al, on emigrant movables....	1893	211, 223
Teal, C., Ochevedan, v. B., C. R. & N., on general merchandise....	1895	549
Tennessee Cedar & Timber Co., Wanamaker, v. C., B. & Q., et al., on ac- count of stakes on cars.....	1898	58
Thomas, A. E., Des Moines, v. C., B. & Q., on cow	1897	781
Thompson, George P., Manchester, v. C. G. W., on emigrant movables..	1904	314
Turner, M. B. Oskaloosa v. Ry. Cos. claim for rebate.....	1905	276
Tuttle, L., Lawrence, Kan., v. M. & St. L., on hay	1896	498
Vorse, F. W., Des Moines, v. M. & St. L., on agricultural implements ..	1894	545
Voss, Fred, Logan, v. C. & N.-W., on emigrant movables ..	1899	1059
Wagner, Phil, Des Moines, v. C. & N.-W., on emigrant movables	1891	750
Watson, Mrs., Sioux City, v. K. C., St. J. & O. B. et al., on household goods.....	1893	669
Watson, J. A., Hull, v. C., M. & St. P., on emigrant movables, interstate	1896	162
Webb, G. B., Rhodes, v. Adams Express Co.....	1900	161
Webb, Henry, Kew, v. C., B. & Q., exorbitant rates.....	1893	626
Weir, H. C., Mt. Pleasant, v. C., B. & Q., on live stock by weight.....	1899	1049
Weir, R. H., Winthrop, Dak., v. B., C. R. & N., on household goods	1896	563
Wells, Geo., Germania, v. B., C. R. & N., grain to Chicago	1896	55
Weston, F. E., Carroll, v. C. & N.-W., on hay....	1898	50
Wheelock, G. H., Germania, v. C., M. & St. P., on stock cattle	1901	511
Williams, Imp. Co., Dubuque, v. B., C. R. & N., goods not shipped O. R..	1894	523
Williams, R. R., Keb., v. C., B. & Q., on house.....	1897	96
Wilson, J. C., Harper, v. C., R. I. & P., on carriage.....	1892	844

	Year	Page
OVERCHARGE OF FREIGHT—Continued.		
Wilson, E. L., Truro, v. C. G. W., on buggy	1896	117
Wilson, Andy, Mountain Grove, Mo v C. R. I & P. Ry. Co. Interstate.	1906	260
Wiss Bros., Alta, v. Ill. Cent., on live stock prior to acceptance of commissioners' rates and pending injunction proceedings.....	1894	282
Wiswell, E. R., Rolfe, v. C. & N.-W., on hay.....	1898	713
Witham, J. W., Des Moines, v. D. M. & K. C., in passenger fares	1892	849
Wright, W. T., Oskaloosa, v. C. & N.-W., et al, on passenger ticket	1887	732
Yaggy, E. H., Audubon, v. B. C. R. & N., on emigrant movables.....	1886	593
Yocum, A., et al., Van Cleve, v. C., St. P. & K. C., tickets to state fair ..	1889	1061
Young, Charles, Taintor, v. Iowa Cent., on emigrant movables	1891	756
Younger, J. W., Winterset, v. C. & N.-W., car of wheat.....	1902	269
Zangs, H. A., Ottumwa, v. C., B. & K. C., on whiskey.....	1884	598
Zearing, N. R. Clift of v. Iowa Central on wire fencing	1905	281
OVERCHARGE. refunded in case of violation of long and short haul clause...	1894	279
OVER CROSSINGS—see Crossing.		
OVERFLOW—see Drainage, Damages, Obstruction.		
OWNERSHIP AND CONTROL OF RAILROADS BY GOVERNMENT—see Government.		
OWNERS' RISK—		
Contract exempting common carrier from liability not authorized by law	1882	415
Laws concerning	1884, 528; 1892	831
Complaints Concerning—		
Campbell, Daniel, Blencoe, v. S. C. & P., and C. and N.-W., damages ...	1882	465
Crawford, W. H., Hampton, v. Iowa Cent., on bulk flaxseed	1891	138
Erickson, C. A., Meservy, v. M. C. & Ft. D., released shipments	1892	831
Shanks & Coats, West Mitchell, v. C., B. & Q., et al	1888	553
Walton, Charles, Paton, v. C., R. I. & P., damages for breakage.....	1890	875
Williams Imp. Co. Dubuque, v. B. C. R. & N., carriers liability unaffected	1884	528
Williams, W. L., Corning, v. C., B. & Q., in shipment of dairy products.	1886	510
PALACE STOCK CARS—		
Fields & Bro., W. M., Cedar Falls, v. all lines, extra charge for.....	1892	839
PASSENGERS CARRIED ON FREIGHT TRAINS—see Train Service.		
PASSENGER FARES—see, also, Overcharge.		
Discussed at national convention of commissioners.....	1891	30, 35
In New York	1878	53
On C. R. I & P Ry., reduction in	1903	282
Reduction discussed	1887, 47; 1889	42
Ten cents extra on failure to purchase tickets—see also, Ejection from Train.....	1891	786
With reference to improved condition of roads.....	1891	25
Complaints Concerning—		
Armour, Volney, Livermore, v. M. & St. L., extra fare paid on train....	1892	835
Baker, T. M., Cumming, v. C. G. W., excessive passenger fare	1894	235
Bradford, W. E., Britt, v. C., M. & St. P., excessive passenger rates....	1883	712
Button, H. E., Alden, v. C. & N.-W., excess	1904	302
Crosby, O. F., South English, v. C., R. I. & P., excessive	1879	27
Curl, C. F., Bloomfield, v. C., B. & Q., advertised excursion rates refused	1883	537
Cade, R. C., Carroll, v. C. & N.-W., on railway ticket	1897	69
Dammeir, William, Newton, v. C., R. I. & P., not part of freight charges	1896	149
Danner, W. W., Des Moines, for Holiness Association, camp meeting rates	1894, 325; 1895	193
Day, Homer, Blythedale, Mo., v. C., B. & Q., fare paid on train.....	1892	832

PASSENGER FARES—Continued.	Year	Page
Complaints Concerning—Continued.		
Dundon, John, Whiting, v. C. & N.-W., overcharge in	1902	248
Frazier, J. S., Nevada, v. C. & N.-W., overcharge on account of longest route	1897	121
Grand jury, Boone county, v. C. & N.-W., illegal and excessive.....	1902	300
Gronewoldt, Wm., Nashville, v. C. & N.-W. discrimination in.....	1901	511
Harris, A., Avoca, v. C. & N.-W., overcharge in.....	1891	127
Haun, J. C., Grand Junction, v. C. & N.-W., excessive.....	1904	285
Herring, I., Des Moines, v. C., St. P. & K. O.....	1892	850
Howard, E. A., Fairfield, v. C., St. P., M. & O., fare paid on train.....	1892	861
Iowa Leather & Saddlery Co., Des Moines, v. O. & St. L., inquiry.....	1897	110
Ives, C. J. in re B. C. R. & N., reduced rates to Y. M. C. A. secretaries	1892	854
Kirby, Thomas, Emmetsburg, v. C., R. I. & P., et al., transfer charge at Goldfield	1904	305
Leeds Improvement Co., v. Ill. Cent., five cent fare to Leeds.....	1899	1096
Linderman, M., Epworth, v. Ill. Cent., car companies be compelled to issue commutation ticket.....	1897	80
McEvoy, E. P., Osgood, v. C., R. I. & P., failure to observe contract.....	1901	482
Madison, E. P., Ottumwa, v. C., B. & Q., stop-over checks.....	1890	76
Parr, F. J., Ft. Dodge, v. B., C. R. & N., overcharge	1900	130
Poorman, D. A., Des Moines, v. C., B. & Q., extra fare paid on train	1891	835
Springer, A., Prairie City, v. C., R. I. & P., refusal to redeem tickets.....	1886	580
Stevenson, E. C., Rockwell City, v. D. M. N. & W., discrimination.....	1895	183
Stewart, A. D., Yale, v. C., M. & St. P., petition for refund of excess.....	1893	229
Tracy, L. D., Des Moines, letter concerning excess paid on train.....	1879	83
Weston, A. A., Victor, v. C., R. I. & P., overcharge.....	1898	66
White, D. F., Grinnell, v. C., R. I. & P., 10 cents extra paid on train.....	1895	231
Witham, J. W., Spirit Lake, v. B., C. R. & N., excessive.....	1896	573
PASSES to shippers of live stock.....	1883, 649; 1904	236
Weitzell, S. E., Gowrie, v. C., R. & P., right of shippers to	1900	180
PATRONAGE FOR HOTEL, SOLICITATION OF—see Omnibus Privileges.		
PAVING, carriers must pave between and one foot outside of rail in streets	1884	77
PENALTIES, for failure to apply commissioner's rates, agreement concerning	1899	35
PERCENTAGE OF IOWA TONNAGE—see Tonnage.		
PERCENTAGE to short lines.....	1878, 15; 1879, 65-69; 1893, 737; 1893	33
PERISHABLE PROPERTY, DELAY IN HANDLING—see Damages.		
PERKINS, C. E., president C., B. & Q., letter of, on long and short haul.....	1896	487
Letter of, adopting commissioners' rates.....	1899	29
PERSONAL INJURY—		
Frazier, James, Paullina, v. C. & N. W., thrown out of buggy.....	1894	521
Hill, Enoch, Mitchellville, v. C., R. I. & P., mail pouch thrown from train	1896	120
Martin, F. A., Washington, v. C., R. I. & P.....	1882	531
Reid, Chas. Wessington, v. B., C. R. & N., damages on account of	1893	579
Stephen, C. W., Woodward, v. C., M. & St. P., in caboose in collision.....	1898	715
Stringham, Alonzo, Kellerton, v. C., B. & Q., damages for.....	1895	232
PHYSICAL CONDITION OF ROADS, IMPROVED	1898	4
PLATFORMS, height of, at stations	1899	1071
PLATFORMS AT RAILROAD CROSSINGS—see Joint Stations.		
POLITICAL situation in Iowa discussed	1898	44, 45
POOLS AND POOLING—		
Discussion of	1878, 48; 1899, 69; 1892, 48; 1893, 42, 56; 1894	361
Discussion of, in national convention of commissioners.....	1894	361
Effect of, on trade and commerce.....	1896	56
Failure of, as a method of controlling rate.....	1896	57, 58
Forbidden by law.....	1893	648
Legality of.....	1897	89

	Year	Page
POOLS AND POOLING—Continued.		
Paper on, by Peter A. Dey.....	1894	363
Pooling contract, sanctions for.....	1887	42
"Popular and Legal Views Concerning" Judge Cooley.....	1896	34
Potter, T. J., letter on.....	1893	647
POSTING OF TARIFFS—see Tariffs.		
POULTRY, LIVE, PROVISIONS FOR SHIPMENTS OF—		
Gentner, W. H., Farmington, v. O. B. & K. C.....	1896	49
POWER OF COMMISSIONERS—see Authority; also Commissioners.		
PREPAID CHARGES—see Charges, Rates, Prepayment; also Freight Charges.		
PREPAYMENT OF CHARGES.		
Carpenter, C. O., v. B. O. R. & N., on melons.....	1901	516
Conesville, Carpenter, C. O., of, v. B. C. R. & N., guarantee of charges on perishable freight.....	1896	40
Doyle, Chas. T., Panora, v. C. & N. W. on household goods.....	1893	638
Prepayment, no discrimination allowable. (Diamond Jo case).....	1899	1074
PRESENT CASH VALUE—see Value.		
PRIVATE CLAIMS—see, also, Damage.		
Commissioners no authority to collect.....	1899	1062
PRIVATE SIDE TRACKS—see Side Tracks.		
PROCEDURE before railroad commissioners.....	1892	21-39
PROPORTION OF EARNINGS TO SHORT LINES—see Percentage to Short Lines.		
PRO-RATING discussed in <i>re</i> Merrill v. K. & W.....	1898	739
In Iowa, discussed.....	1894	6
For "feeding" lines.....	1879	65
PROSPERITY of roads.....	1891	4
PROTECTION FROM CROSSING—see Crossing.		
PUBLIC WAREHOUSE—see Warehouses Public.		
PUBLICITY of railroad matters desirable.....	1878	71
PURDY, W. G., vice president O. R. I. & P., earnings discussed by.....	1899	8
Letter of, on cash value of railroads.....	1896	42, 43

Q UOTATIONS OF RATES BY AGENTS BINDS CARRIERS—see Rates.

R AILROAD QUESTION—

Address by Thomas M. Cooley.....	1891	39
Anderson, Commissioner, paper on, by.....	1893	52
Discussion of.....	1894	4-39
In its relation to accounts and statistics of companies.....	1893	400
Origin and problems of, Adams.....	1891	81
Smith, Spencer, Commissioner, on.....	1899	21
RAILROAD SITUATION IN THE WEST. Paper by Gen. G. M. Dodge.....	1896	39

RAILROADS—

Abandonment of road and taking up track—see <i>Abandonment of Road.</i>		
Are public highway.....	1891, 77; 1896	54
Classification of "A", "B", "C",.....	1891	85
Crossing railroad, failure to stop at—see <i>Crossing Stop.</i>		
Elevation of—see <i>Topographical Discussion.</i>		
History of—see, also <i>History</i>	1890	239
In hands of receivers—see <i>Receivers.</i>		
In the West, situation of by General Dodge.....	1896	39
Laws—see <i>Laws Relating to Railways.</i>		
Legislation concerning—see <i>Legislation.</i>		
Ownership or control by government—see <i>Government.</i>		
Present cash value of, letters concerning, etc.....	1897, 131.147; 1898	661
Progress of railroad building discussed.....	1891	77
Stockholders and public equally interested in prosperity of.....	1896	54

	Year	Page
RAILROADS—Continued		
Their origin and problems, Adams	1881	81
Their relation to the territory they were built to serve	1886	50-53
When in private business can not discriminate in favor of themselves	1889	1046
RAILROAD COMMISSIONERS -		
Additional powers of, discussed—see, also, <i>Commissioners, authority of</i>	1885	56
Authority of, discussion concerning law granting additional power to	1884	42, 81
Conference of—see, <i>Commissioners</i> ,		
Convention of	1881	164
Discussion of, by Judge Dooley	1883	45
List of Iowa commissioners—see <i>Commissioners</i> ,		
Law discussed	1885	36
Made elective	1889	26
National convention of, at Columbus—see, also, <i>Commissioners</i>	1878	73, 74
Report to the Collum committee on interstate commerce	1885	33
RATES, FREIGHT—for passenger rates, see <i>Passenger Fares</i>.		
Adoption of commissioners' rates by railroads, February, 1889	1889	29, 30
Adoption of Iowa Classification No. 13	1905	240
Agreement of companies to adopt commissioners' schedule	1889	35
Application by Iowa trunk lines for advance in	1894	184
Application of Iowa rates to shipments beginning and ending in Iowa through passing outside in transit, denied	1903	323, 363
Based solely on comparison with rates in other states	1894	193
As between through lines and local lines, percentages	1893	33
Authority of commissioners to make under law of 1878	1889	25
Carload rates on mixed cars of linseed and carbon oils	1892	844
Carriers no ground for complaint at reduction of by public authority to the average it voluntarily accepts. <i>Int. Com. Com'n</i>	1891	10
Carriers using class A rates on interstate business cannot plead for class B locally, when such rates discriminate against Iowa. B., O. R. & N.	1890	871
Cerro Gordo and Ida counties, citizens of, petition of, to reduce	1895	220
Change in, by amendment to classification No. 12	1902	217
Coal, ice, etc., for less than five miles	1890, 903, 922; 1891	729
Coal, petition for advance in for 200 miles and upwards	1892	791
Coal, protest of operators against advance in	1894	191
Coal, rates from Des Moines mines to M. & St. L. towns in northern Iowa	1903	290
Coal rates, investigation of, ordered by Twenty-seventh General Assembly	1899	9
Coal, schedule in 1890	1890	28, 37
Coal, schedule suggested by Governor Larrabee in Glenwood case	1887	633
Commissioners', apply on shipments from point to point in Iowa, through passing outside in transit	1892	38, 39
Commissioners', criticised by W. G. Purdy, vice president C., R. I. & P.	1888	41, 42
Commissioners', effect on purely local roads	1891	13, 14
Commissioners', effect on Iowa business	1891, 9, 16; 1894	216
Commissioners', intended to afford relief to business interests of state and give reasonable compensation for service	1888	35
Commissioners', original schedule, higher than voluntary by carriers.	1888	33
Commissioners', promulgated under law of 1888	1888, 35; 1889	933
Commissioners', schedule and classification No. 12	1901	189
Commissioners', proven satisfactory	1892	25
Commissioners', reasonable maximum a check on rate wars	1891	4
Commissioners', schedule, applies to shipments beginning and ending in Iowa, though passing outside in transit	1895	216
Commissioners', schedules, effect of as applied to C., R. I. & P., local traffic	1888	764
Commissioners', schedule, in Dubuque shippers' case	1888	798
Commissioners', schedule, effect of as applied to C., B. & Q. traffic in Iowa	1888	816, 817
Commissioners' what was taken into consideration in fixing	1888	35
Commissions paid for business, evidence of, too high. <i>Int. Com. Com'n</i>	1891	10

RATES, FREIGHT— <i>Continued.</i>	Year	Page
Commodity, to manufacturers in Iowa Impracticable to grant.....	1894	199
Comparison the proper method of judging a tariff.....	1888, 764, 784, 815; 1894	191
Comparison of, in Wisconsin, Minnesota, Missouri, Illinois, Indiana, Ohio and Michigan, with Iowa distance tariff....	1888, 757, 785, 801; 1894	193
Comparison of, between Chicago and Iowa points, and Davenport, Du- buque and Burlington and Iowa points for same distances .	1888, 762, 790, 805, 812	
Comparative table of, for distances five miles to 430 miles.....	1879	10, 12
Competition in, or equality of ..	1886	33
Complaints of, usually from non-competitive points	1882	50
Conspiracy concerning, charge of, not sustained	1888	766
Corn, from point to point in Iowa unreasonable.....	1886	54
Corn, emergency rates on, from South Dakota to Iowa points	1904	299
Crosby, G. H., freight auditor C., R. I. & P., concerning effect of..	1898	45
Cutting, effect of, on revenue—see, also, <i>Rate Wars</i>	1891	4
Cut rates or rebates, burden of borne by public instead of carriers	1891	10
Davenport shippers' complaint.....	1888	767
Decrease of	1889	173
Differential ..	1882	32
Disastrous effect of lack of uniformity in	1882	48
Discussed in "Railroad Question"—see <i>Railroad Question</i> , Discussed	1879, 63, 65, 70; 1881	6
Discussion of, by President Perkins of C., B. & Q.....	1884	10
Discussed at national convention of commissioners	1891	30, 35
Discrimination between stations and shippers	1883	61
Discrimination in, against localities	1885	44
Discrimination in, just and unjust ..	1880	179
Discrimination in, evil effects of	1883	55
Discrimination in, to Iowa jobbing centers.....	1891	53
Distances for over 400 miles.....	1889	1103
Earned, distribution of among expenses	1885	54
Earnings in Iowa under commissioners' ..	1891	13
Effects of adoption of class A on roads entitled to 80 per cent higher	1891	14
Elevators favored in	1883	62
Express, discussed	1897	5
Farm products discussed.....	1884	30
Fixed by board, contested in the courts, discussion of ..	1886	35
Flexibility of, desirable.....	1886	59
Fluctuation of.....	1885	43
General discussion of in reference to wholesalers and retailers	1894	71
Gradual reduction of, comparative tables of	1881	7, 16-51
Grain and other products in Iowa, rates on discussed	1894	7-13
High	1883	92
How may just rates be determined? etc	1893	401, 404
Increase of.....	1878	59
In Iowa on corn, oats and stock, compared by years	1884	31
Iowa, railroads receive full amount of allowed by schedule	1894	196
Iowa rates, supreme court's opinion on, in Barris case, discussed	1897	9
outside the state in transit, opinion of United States Supreme Court in Arkansas case.....	1903	363
Illinois rates fixed by commissioners not actually charged	1894	195
Illinois, rates based on mileage percentage of through rates or arbitraries	1894	196
Interstate on corn, voluntary reduction by Iowa lines	1884	12
Interstate, regulation of by state authority not authorized.....	1882	28
Interstate, discrimination against Iowa points ..	1886	790
Iowa, railroads receive full amount of allowed by schedule	1894	196
Jobbers and retailers equal	1884	69
Jobbers, withdrawn by railroad companies ...	1884	70
Joint—see <i>Joint Rates</i> .		
Largest immediate returns not necessarily most profitable	1883	41
Law granting power to fix, 24, literal copy of Illinois law	1886, 31; 1894	204

	Year	Page
RATES, FREIGHT—Continued.		
Law cannot be construed away by commissioners.....	1894	190
Laws of surrounding states, provisions of regarding.....	1895	vii
Legislation recommended.....	1899	42
Litigation concerning.....	1899	30
Live stock in palace cars—see <i>Palace Stock Cars</i> .		
Live stock in less than car load, requirement of company for attendants, etc.....	1894	347
Live stock rates in Iowa, Iowa packing houses satisfied with.....	1904	287
Local, conference between commissioners and railroad officials.....	1879	9
Logs in Indiana and Illinois compared with log rates in Iowa.....	1891	786
Logs should be the same as elm wood, opinion by President C. J. Ives.....	1891	786
Logs compared with rates on manufactured lumber.....	1891	786
Losses by competitive made up at non-competitive.....	1892	48
Lower, than published tariff, accepted by carrier, conclusive evidence that published rates are unreasonably high— <i>Int. Com. Com'n</i>	1891	10
Low rate in dull seasons, no criterion for establishment of new schedule.....	1899	1065
Manufacturers in Iowa should have low on raw material.....	1892	35, 45
Maximum in Iowa higher than in Illinois.....	1892	28
Maximum and minimum, discussion of.....	1895	53
May legitimately be reduced to average of what is received for railroad service, including that done free or at reduced rates. <i>Int. Com. Com'n</i>	1891	11
Mileage on cars furnished by shippers, acts as rebate (<i>Schoonmaker</i>).	1891	30
Misquoted by agents—see <i>Liability</i> .		
Nebraska, Justice Brewer's opinion of rates in.....	1894	198
Nebraska, not correct basis of comparison with Iowa.....	1894	198
On branch lines, not determined by cost of service thereon.....	1893	45
Overcharge accruing during injunction against commissioners' rates.....	1894	282
Per cent of increase of in tariff of May 10, 1888, over Illinois rate.....	1898	752-753
Percentage of Iowa local to through on C., B. & Q.....	1898	817
Percentage of through rates effective in Illinois, but not in Iowa.....	1896	vii
Percentage of, allowed to short lines on through business.....	1879	65
Per ton per mile compared, 1888 to 1891, for 300 miles.....	1891	8
Per ton per mile, from Chicago to Iowa points compared with Iowa rates.....	1899	1090
Per ton per mile.....	1894	29
Petition of Cerro Gordo and Ida counties for reduction in.....	1895	x, 220
Posting of—see <i>Tariffs</i> .		
Population and earnings per mile in various states.....	1894	198
Power of legislature to delegate power to fix discussed.....	1899	35-36
Procedure followed.....	1892	25
Producer forgotten in fixing.....	1892	49-50
Proportion of through should not be higher than local.....	1894	515
Pro-rating per mile as a rate-making basis.....	1879	68
Pro-rate percentage.....	1894	196
Pro-rate percentage not permitted west of Mississippi River..1894, 197, 198;	1895	vii
Publicity of, tends to prevent abuses.....	1892	34-51
Publicity of, good results from.....	1894	4
Reasonable, discussion of.....	1896	29
Reasonableness and stability of, the first requirement.....	1892	49
Reasonable, discussed, paper by Commissioner Fleming, Kentucky.....	1894	218
Reasonable, discussed by Judge Brewer.....	1894	218
Reasonable, decision of Minnesota supreme court affecting.....	1897	302
Reasonableness of, experiment the only test— <i>Judge Brewer's Decision</i>	1899	32-34
Reasonable, defined by interstate commerce commission.....	1891, 10;	1894 218
Reasonable, defined by Judge Brewer.....	1894	218, 219
Reasonable, defined by Iowa commissioners.....	1894	221
Reasonable, value of railroad property an element in determining.....	1894	221, 227
Reasonable, discussed.....	1892	45
Reasonable, report of committee on, at national convention of.....	1893	40a
Reduced on several carloads.....	1890	182
Reduction in, by railway companies.....	1890	24
Reduction of, on grain.....	1894	9-12

	Year	Page
RATES, FREIGHT—Continued.		
Reduction, 80 per cent in local, by Illinois railroad commission.....	1882	26
Reduction of, not always followed by reduction of gross or net revenue— <i>Judge Brewer's Decision</i>	1889	34
Relation of, to business depression and market price of article hauled....	1884	6-8
Request by commission for temporary reduction of corn rates in Iowa on account of partial failure of corn crop	1886	619
Retail and wholesale—see <i>Carload Rates</i>		
Review of rate hearing in 1894.....	1895	v
Revision of, Iowa schedules, petition for	1904	264
Revised on request of railroads for 300 miles.....	1888	35
Rippey, E. P., testimony of, concerning effect on C., B. & Q. revenue	1888	817
Sand case, <i>Barris v. C., B. & Q.</i> , maximum rates.....	1897	9
Schedule of, adopted in Davenport, Dubuque and Burlington rate cases 1893, 752, 773, 797		
Schedule of, proposed by Commissioner Dey in 1894 case.....	1894	232
Schedule of—see <i>Sch dule of Rates</i> .		
Should share prosperity and adversity of locality.....	1878	49
Should sympathize with circumstances and conditions of the people.....	1886	54
Should they be "what traffic will bear?".....	1888	92
Special to favored shippers	1885	42
Stability of desirable	1885	43
Statute concerning can not be construed away by commission	1894	199
Success of commissioners' rates due in part to absence of rate wars	1891	11
Switching in Des Moines street railway case—see also <i>Switching</i>	1892	790
Tariff not always evidence of actual rates charged— <i>Int. Com. Com'n</i>	1891	10
Tariff of 1879 a result of conference	1879	9-14
Temporary injunction by Judge Brewer	1888	86
Territorial, assignment in.....	1882	51
Their alleged effect requiring reduction in number of employees	1891	17
Through, too low; local, too high	1878	49
Through and local compared	1881	10
Through rates as related to local	1882	27
Uniformity in, desired	1878	49
United States and Austria Hungary compared	1881	10
Via shortest route, shipper entitled to	1891	773
Voluntarily charged by carriers fix the maximum that can be claimed from the public <i>Int. Com. Com'n</i>	1891	10
When lowered, can be raised with difficulty	1886	54
When quoted by agents, carriers are bound by	1886	609
Which induce largest volume of business at reasonable return for ser- vices rendered, most profitable to carriers and the public	1893	41
Wholesale and retail	1880	1821
Wholesale and retail features of transportation problem.....	1884	7
Wholesale and retail justified	1878	2
With reference to improved condition of roads, discussed	1891	2
RATES, FREIGHT—		
Complaints Concerning—		
Armstrong, D., & Co., Farley, v. R'y Co., discrimination in	1879	15
Baker Wire Co., Des Moines, v. Various R'ys, petition for equalization of	1887	714
Barber, Ed., Glidden, v. C. & N. W., excessive, on buggy	1884	49-69
Barclay & Son, West Liberty, v. C., R. I. & P.....	1901	513
Barrett, J. E. & Son, Mt. Vernon, v. . & N. W., discrimination in, on flour.....	1886	482
Beeman, C. D., Waukon, v. C., M. & St. P., excess on hard coal, inter- state	1899	109
Besley, L. C., Council Bluffs, v. C., B. & Q., for restoration of sand rates.	1893	246
Best, W. J., Villisca, v. C., B. & Q., excess interstate.....	1899	72
Boles, Horace, governor, v. B., C. R. & N., petition for reduced on round trip shipments of stock cattle	1893	246
Brazil Coal Co., Chicago, v. C., M. & St. P. on coal, refusal to apply Iowa rates as proportion of through rate.....	1905	185

RATES, FREIGHT—Continued.

Complaints Concerning—Continued.

	Year	Page
Buchanan, W. et al., Kansas City, v. C., R. I. & P., on lumber	1901	486
Buffington, B. F. & Co., Red Oak, v. C., B. & Q., excessive on wheat	1879	30
Burlington, shippers of, v. C., B. & Q. et al., extortionate of May 10, 1888.	1888	797
Butler, Wm., Clarinda, v. Wabash, against grain loaded from wagons ...	1883	711
Cade, H., Lenox, attendant with live stock shipments, L. C. L.	1894	347
Carpenter, E. E. v. C., M. & St. P. et al., joint	1900	5, 127
Cerro Gordo and Ida counties, petition of citizens of, for lower freight rates	1895	x, 220
Chamberlain & Co., Winthrop, v. Ill. Cent., on flour	1887	681
Chase & Co., Red Oak, v. C. B. & Q., excessive on wheat ..	1879	31
C., St. P. & K. C., ruling on reduced rates for seed grain	1892	838
Coal Exchange, Boone, v. C. & N. W., discrimination on coal	1884	559
Coal rates in Iowa, investigation of....	1899	19
Coker, Henry, and board of trade, Council Bluffs, v. C., R. I. & P., extortionate on coal, and discrimination	1888	834
Cole, J. S. & Son, Greene, v. B., O. R. & N., proportion of through rates should not be higher than local	1884	524
Conway, J. A., Chariton, v. C., B. & Q., on excess of ten head of live stock	1896	102
Council Bluffs Board of Trade, v. C. & N. W., O. & St. L. K. C., St. J. & C. B., unreasonable and discriminative, petition for adoption of commissionaires' schedule	1899	1061
Crystal Mill Co., Council Bluffs, v. K. C., St. J. & C. B., on flour	1893	715
Culver, A. H., Pisgah, v. C. & N. W., misquotation of	1904	274
Daugherty, J. F., Sup'r., Keokuk, v. St. L., K. & N. W., excessive on coal	1895	217
Davenport shippers of, v. Various Railways, extortionate of May 10, 1888	1888	753
Davenport shippers, petition of for revision of, on B., C. R. & N., on basis of interstate rates	1899	1084, 1087
Davenport, shippers of, v. B., O. R. & N. et al., petition for establishment of class A rates	1899	1022, 1087
Dennis, J. B., Traer, v. B., C. R. & N., excessive on merchandise ..	1884	515
D. M., N. & W., on hay, petition of, for an advance in	1893	186
Dickey, Wm. G., Maxwell, v. C., M. & St. P. et al., on coal	1887	779
Dickey, Wm., Maxwell, v. C., M. & St. P., unreasonable on coal	1888	675
Donahue, Robert, Burlington, v. railway companies, on iron	1894	327
Dolittle, M. B., Cresco, v. C., M. & St. P., discrimination on hay	1888	711
Doran, A. A., Neola, v. C., R. I. & P., excessive on hogs to Omaha	1888	670
Downs, J. C., Albia, v. C., B. & Q., discrimination in lumber rates	1879	34
Dubuque, citizens of, v. C., M. & St. P., extortionate	1881	144
Dubuque, shippers of, v. C., M. & St. P. et al., extortionate of May, 1888	1888	773
Ellyson, Zed, West Liberty, v. B., O. R. & N., on horse	1886	511
Express companies, law concerning rates	1896	4
Farmer's Elevator Co., et al, v. Ry Co's., interstate on grain	1905	274
Fassett & Hanson, Stanton, v. C., B. & Q., on cattle	1879	35
Feiner Fish Co., Clinton, v. American Exp Co., special fish and oyster	1905	305
Fleming, W. & J., McGregor, v. C., M. & St. P., excessive on lumber ..	1890	7
Fleming Bros., Armstrong, v. C., M. & St. P., proportional rates on grain	1904	289
Foster, Suel, Muscatine, v. B., C. R. & N., on small and large shipments, long and short hauls	1885	557
Fowler company, Waterloo, v. Ill. Cent., discrimination in, on apples ..	1886	484
Gasper Bros., Kingsley, v. C. & N. W., extortionate local in Iowa.	1888	693
Glover & Co., H. B., Dubuque, v. Western Pass'r Assn., excessive baggage	1905	245
Groneweg & Schoentgen, Council Bluffs, v. K. C., St. J. & C. B., excessive	1882	533
Groneweg & Schoentgen, Council Bluffs, v. K. C., St. J. & C. B., unreasonable ..	1883	604
Harris, H. P., Des Moines, v. C., R. I. & P., excessive on wood	1884	506
Hay rates, Rodgers et al, Britt, petitioner for reduction	1896	27
Haver, & Co., Tingley, v. C., B. & Q., exorbitant on corn to Chicago	1888	741

RATES, FREIGHT—Continued.

Complaints Concerning—Continued.

	Year	Page
Heaton Fuel Co., Council Bluffs, v. C. R. I. & P., excessive on coal	1888	703
Hemmingway, M., Hampton, attendant with L. C. L., live stock	1894	347
Hershey Lumber Co., Muscatine, v. B. C. R. & N., change without notice	1888	565
Hewitt, S. M., Hamburg, v. K. C. St. J. & C. B. et al., excessive rates	1882	538
Higley, E. B. Co., Mason City, v. Willmar & S. F., improper application of class "C" rates	1902	303
Hill, John N., Ft. Dodge, v. M. & St. L., discrimination in	1882	557
Hogaboom, S. R., Creston, v. C. B. & Q., higher for short than long hauls	1895	226
Humeston & Shenandoah R'd Co., application of "A" rates to	1899	103
Hummer, Geo., Mercantile Co., Iowa City, v. B. C. R. & N., excessive interstate	1899	67
Huntington, W. A., Calmus, v. C. & N. W., extortionate on coal	1888	696
Huse, S. E., Coon Rapids, v. C. M. & St. P., excessive, short coal haul	1887	722
Dissenting opinion by Commissioner Day	1887	723
Iowa Central, application for increase on live stock	1894	347
Iowa State Jobbers and Manufacturers' Association v. Iowa trunk lines, petition for restoration of former	1885	535
Iowa State Jobbers and Manufacturers' Association v. Iowa trunk lines, petition for reduction in Iowa rates to correspond with reduction in interstate rates	1894	288
Iowa trunk lines, petition of, for advance in Iowa rates	1894	184
Ives, C. D., general freight agent B. C. R. & N., as special committee Western Freight Association, application for withdrawal of stock and cattle rates	1891	728
Jameson, Leon, Adel, inquiry of, concerning right of large and small shippers to	1888	837
Judd, A. T., et al., v. various lines excessive, on breeding animals	1884	498
Kanau, Sprengle, Imogene, v. Wabash, on corn to Chicago	1883	704
Keefe, James, Ft. Dodge, v. M. & St. L., unreasonable on coal	1889	1094
Kennedy, G. W., Thornton, v. C. G. W., on milk	1904	277
King, H. G., Mt. Union, v. C. B. & Q., on grain, interstate	1903	328
Kingsley, citizens of, v. C. & N. W., excessive	1888	696
Lane Implement Co., Red Oak, v. C. B. & Q., higher charge for short than for long distances	1895	175
Larrabee, Governor, William, v. C. B. & Q., discrimination in, on coal	1887	624, 670
Lehigh, citizens of, v. Crooked Creek Railway Company, unreasonable	1878	28
Lighthall, B., Alden, v. Ill. Central, discrimination in	1882	561
Manatrey, J. P., Fairfield, v. C. B. & Q., on live stock, L. C. L., passenger fare for attendant accompanying not authorized	1894	276
Mason City & Ft. Dodge Railroad Company, application for increase in	1890	906
McClintock, William, West Union, v. B. C. R. & N., excessive	1879	17
Merrill, J. H., & Co., Ottumwa, v. K. & W., discrimination in	1888	739
Merrill & Keeney, Des Moines, v. C. & N. W. et al., on furniture C. L.	1883	678
Merrill & Keeney case, to jobbers and retailers	1884	69
Miller et al., Mt. Pisgah, v. C. & N. W., excessive	1900	164
Miller & Sons, Clermont, v. C. G. W. Ky. et al., joint on brick	1905	306
Minneapolis Lumber Co., Belmond, v. M. C. & Ft. D., coal	1892	848
Mitchell, C. W., Dubuque, v. all lines, implements returned for repairs	1892	833
Muffy, H. W., Osage, v. Ill. Cent., discrimination in, on wheat	1879	16
Nye & Bourne, Grundy Center, v. B. C. R. & N. et al., on merchandise	1883	733
Oskaloosa Water Co., Oskaloosa, v. Cent. Iowa, excessive, switching	1886	590
Ottumwa Iron Works v. C. R. I. & P., application for special	1882	482
Palm, W. J., Grundy Center, v. B. C. R. & N., interstate on coal	1895	231
Percival Co., C. L., Des Moines, v. M. & St. L. Ry. Co., misapplication of	1905	272
Petty, J., Perry, v. M. & St. L. Ry., on ice	1905	271
Pierce, J. K., Lockridge, v. C. B. & Q., excessive on coal	1887	695
Raney Bros. Fairfield, v. Railway Companies, on paving brick	1897	111
Rand Lumber Co., Burlington, v. C. B. & Q., on lumber	1882	550
Red Oak township, trustees of et al., v. C. B. & Q., rates, rebates, etc.	1882	554
Reeves, Henry, Decorah, v. C. M. & St. P., excessive on hogs, interstate	1899	106

RATES, FREIGHT—Continued.

Year Page

Complaints Concerning—Continued.

Ritchie, W S, Muscatine, v. C, M & St P, excessive on potatoes....	1880	123
Risk, C. C., Fairfield, v. C, B & Q, on corn.....	1887	686
Robinson, C. W., Dubuque, v. B, C. R & N, discrimination excessive	1882	500
Rodefer, J. W., Council Bluffs, v. C & N W, transfer charges	1879	38
Sac City Milling Co., Sac City, v. C & N. W., excessive rate interstate	1905	288
Saucer, W. H., Ackley, v. Ill. Cent., on Marble	1883	68
Shaver & Dows, Cedar Rapids, v. joint western classification, crackers	1883	656
Shepherd & Carpenter, Iowa City, v. C, R. I. & P., et al., non-com-		
petitive.....	1883	637
Smith, H. D., Monticello, v. C, M. & St P. excessive.....	1882	563
Smith Bros, Waterloo, v. B, C R. & N., on hard coal	1883	676
Smythe, Robert, v. C & N W., protest against increase in	1879	8
Spencer Bros, Randolph, v. C, B & Q, excessive.....	1882	540
Squires & Son et al., Milo, v. C, B & Q, excessive	1886	511, 512
Dissenting opinion by Commissioner Coffin	1886	512
State of Iowa, by Governor Larrabee, v. C, B & Q., discrimination in,		
on coal.....	1887	624, 670
Stewart, John J., Council Bluffs, v. C & N. W. et al, on live stock.	1879	26
Stocker et al, v. railway companies, discrimination in, on various com-]		
modities	1879	7
Stott & Houston, Extra, v. C, R. I. & P, discrimination in, on stove ...	1879	20
Summers, William & Sous, Ft. Atkinson, v. C, M. & St. P., special.....	1894	180
Sweet, A. L., Chicago, v. C & N. W., through rates on coal.....	1895	178
Taylor, J. C., Des Moines, v. C, B & Q, discrimination in	1882	531
Townsend, J. W., Kahoka, Mo., v. C, R. I. & P., excessive on oats.....	1886	574
Van Valkenberg, A. B., Ames, excessive.....	1879	21
Waller Brother's, Charles City, v. wrong application on live		
stock	1905	295
Webb, Henry, Kew, v. C, B & Q exorbitant	1883	626
Whitebreast Fuel Co. et al. application of, for advance in coal rates ...	1892	791
White, W Q, Ellston, v. C, B. & Q, application of, "A" rates to H. &		
S. Railway.....	1899	103
Whiting, T. H., Clermont, v. B, C. R & N., excessive on tile.....	1887	753
Whiting, C. T., Keosauqua, v. C, R. I. & P, on grain to Chicago.....	1904	293
Wood, W. A., Harvester Co, St. Paul, commissioners' rates apply on		
shipments beginning and ending in Iowa, though passing outside] in		
transit	1895	216
York, J., Zenorsville, v. C. & N. W, on coal	1888	836

RATE WARS—see also Rates.

Discussion of, by Charles Francis Adams	1883	39
Discussion in relation to earnings	1891	16
In 1881	1882	32

REASONABLE RATES FOR SWITCHING CARS—see Switching.**REASONABLE RATES—see Rates.****REBATES.**

Allowed to Omaha and refused to Council Bluffs	1886	537
Carriers should not discriminate in between shippers.....	1883	708
General practice of carriers to grant.....	1888	765
Merrill & Keeney, Des Moines, v. C. & N.-W. et al, on furniture.....	1883	678
Should they be allowed?	1885	38-42
Tasker, A. C. and T. G., Onslow, v. C. & N.-W., on hay.....	1896	694
Winkler, J. W., Woodward, v. C., M. & St. P., on grain and live stock.....	1883	705

RE-BILLING—see Through Billing.

RECEIVERS. Roads in hands of	1890, 8; 1891	17
------------------------------------	---------------	----

RECEIVING AND FORWARDING CARS—see Refusal to Receive and Forward Cars.

Redemption of ticket, Lape, Frank, v. C. R. I. & P	1904	331
--	------	-----

	Year	Page
"RECIPROCITY."		
Hoffman, A. G., Plato, v. B., C. R. & N., in demurrage charges.....	1893	233
REDUCED PASSENGER FARE—see Passenger Fare.		
REDUCED RATES ON CORN, CATTLE, CARLOADS OF COMMODITIES, ETC—see Rates.		
ON ROUND SHIPMENTS OF STOCK CATTLE—see Rates.		
REFRIGERATOR CARS.		
Fowler, C. W., Rowan, v. B., C. R. & N., failure to use, veal damaged.....	1896	166
Hise Bros., Washington, v. C., R. I. & P., for dairy products	1896	568
Hughey & Son, Wirt, v. H. & S., refusal to furnish	1894	564
Marshall & Son, Chariton, v. C. B. & Q., failure to furnish	1894	597
Shaver Cheese Co., Cedar Rapids, v. B., C. R. & N., rights of shippers.....	1894	305
REFUND FOR TICKET.		
Lape, Frank, Brighton, v. C., R. I. & P.	1904	321
REFUNDING OF OVERCHARGE—see Overcharge.		
Shank & Coats, West Mitchell, v. C., B. & Q.	1893	550
Sweezy & Borman, Newell, v. Illinois Central.....	1893	539
REFUSAL TO CARRY PASSENGERS ON FREIGHT TRAINS—see Train Service.		
REFUSAL TO FURNISH REFRIGERATOR CARS—see Refrigerator Cars also Failure to Furnish Cars		
REFUSAL TO FURNISH CARS—see Failure to Furnish Cars.		
REFUSAL TO SWITCH—see Switching		
REFUSAL OF USE OF PRIVATE SWITCH FOR LOADING CARS—see Side Track.		
REFUSAL TO ALLOW LOADING OF CARS FROM WAGONS—see Rights of Shippers		
REFUSAL TO RECEIVE AND FORWARD FREIGHT AND CARS		
Opinion of Attorney General Mullan with reference to duty of Ry. Cos....	1878	16
Beed, William G., Hampton, v. Ill. Cent. to send cars off its own line.....	1898	265
Bernard Bros., Malcom, v. C., R. I. & P.	1895	671
Berry, A. A., Seed Co., v. C. & N.-W.	1893	189
Boyd, H. E., Malcom, v. C., R. I. & P., failure to forward coal	1898	263
Brownell, H., Keokuk, v. C., R. I. & P., refusal to send cars off its own line.....	1900	91
Carleton, E. O., Grand Junction, v. C., R. I. & P., hog shipment	1892	181
Converse, S. A., Cresco, v. C., M. & St. P., to haul Burton stock cars.....	1898	846
Crawford, R. W., Fort Dodge, v. D. M. & Ft. D., to carry alcohol.....	1894	702
Dodge, Daniel, What Cheer, v. C., R. I. & P., coal in carloads	1887	580
Dorr Cattle Co., Des Moines, v. D. M., N. & W., refusal to provide cars for slop feed	1898	729
Great Western Fuel Co., Gilmore v. C. & N.-W.	1885	84
Greene, J. A., et al., Stone City, v. C. M. & St. P., refusal to forward cars of stone unless shippers pay the expense of staking and planking the end	1892	570
Hambleton Milling Co., Keokuk, v. C., R. I. & P., grain shipments... ..	1895	870
Hammond, H. E., Carroll, v. C., R. I. & P., for points on other lines.....	1888	234
Hastings, mayor and council of, v. C. B. & Q., coal shipments.....	1880	674
Hoag, J. M., Maquoketa, v. C. M. & St. P., ponies for State fair.....	1889	39
Iowa Fuel Co., Des Moines, v. C. St. P. & K. C., coal shipments.....	1888	1063
Iowa Central Railway, v. C., R. I. & P., flour	1895	718
Irwin, Phillips & Co., Keokuk, v. C., R. I. & P., refusal to make joint rates	1887	187
Jennings Bros., Malcom, v. C., R. I. & P.	1895	717
Jerome, F. H., v. C., B. & Q.	1882	189
Keokuk & D. M. Ry. Co. v. D. M. & Ft. D. Ry. Co.....	1878	445
Leverton, John, Abbott, v. Iowa Central Ry., refusal to allow cars to leave line	1898	5
Lilburn, Samuel & Co., Ottumwa, v. C., R. I. & P.	1890	86
McCaul-Webster Grain Co. et al., Minneapolis, v. Ill. Cent., Rd. Co., car of grain destined east.....	1896	77
McCaul-Webster Grain Co., et al., Minneapolis, v. C. M. & St. P. et al., refusal to transfer at Council Bluffs	1901	23
	529, 531	

	Year	Page
REFUSAL TO RECEIVE AND FORWARD FREIGHT AND CARS - Continued.		
McCaul-Webster Grain Co. v. C & N - W, refusal to transfer, etc	1901	520
Mitchell, M W, Warren, v B & S W	1890	119
Muscatine melon shippers v C., R. I & P, melons	1895	213
Norris & Co., Prairie City, v C., R I & P, to send cars off its own lines ..	1896	143
Perry, N H., LeMars, v D. M & Ft. Dodge	1891	143
Phillips Fuel Co., Ottumwa, v C., B & Q et al	1892	836
Ranks & Sterzbach, Rodman, v B, C. R & N. et al	1892	849
Richards & Black, Wapella, v B, C R & N	1891	134
Risk, Alex, Winthrop, v Illinois Central	1890	115
Smith, E F., Wellman, v B, C. R & N	1891, 819; 1899	112
Spencer, R H., Algona, v C., R I & P	1903	256
Standard Lumber Co., Dubuque, v B & M	1894	596
Townsend, LeMars, v Illinois Central	1893	615
VanHouten, E. Pella, v C., R. I & P	1891	128
Vincent, J F., Union stock yards, Des Moines, v. C, R I & P, refusal to deliver cars	1896, 105; 1901	388
Ward, C H. Co., Des Moines, v C, R I & P, to handle Wabash cars	1894	554
Whitlock & Fields, Ladora, v C, R. I & P, refusal to allow cars to go off its lines	1896	82
When destined to certain markets	1890	992
Willard, Son & Co., Marshalltown, v C G W, to forward hides	1896	44
Wisconsin, Iowa & Nebraska v Iowa Northern to switch	1896	559
Yakash, M F., VanHorn, v C, & St. P, plaster in sacks	1902	301
REGULATIONS OF CARRIERS, methods proposed	1896	34
REGULATIONS OF RAILROADS TO SURROUNDING TERRITORY ..	1896	50, 53
RELEASED SHIPMENTS, rights of shippers in—see <i>Owners Risk</i>		
RELOCATION OF HIGHWAYS, expenses of crossing borne by railway company	1899	1065
RELOCATION OF RAILWAY LINE, Golden Star Creamery et al., Arcadia, v C & N - W	1903	266
Pleasant plain, citizens of, v. C., R I & P.	1903	267
REMOVAL OF STATION OR FREIGHT HOUSE—see <i>Station</i>.		
REMOVAL OF ELEVATOR COAL SHEDS, ETC.—see <i>Site</i>		
RENTAL FOR PALACE STOCK CARS—see <i>Palace Stock Cars</i>		
REPORT OF HEPBURN COMMITTEE—see <i>Hepburn Committee</i>.		
REPORT OF CARRIERS—		
Difficulty of obtaining information from	1896, 2, 33; 1896, iv; 1897	4
Governor's questions or railway companies discussed	1899	42
Incomplete and inaccurate .. 1878, 34; 1879, 40, 42; 1890, 156; 1890, 9; 1892, 21; 1893, 35; 1894, 223, 227,		230
On Iowa business discussed	1891, 3; 1899	4
Of carriers commissioners, difficulty in obtaining	1893	3
Suggestions in reference to amendment of law concerning	1879	4
RESPONSIBILITY OF CARRIERS—see <i>Liability</i>; also <i>Damages</i>.		
RETURNS OF RAILWAY COMPANIES—see <i>Reports of Carriers</i>.		
RIGHTS of builders of side track to connect with main line	1899	961
RIGHTS of carriers to control station ground, subject to rights of the public.	1891	736
RIGHTS of Companies to retain its cars on its own line—see <i>Refusal to Receive and Forward Freight; Failure to Furnish Cars</i>		
RIGHTS of the public at crossings blocked by trains	1 86	554
RIGHTS of the public contributin in aid of a railroad protected by the courts when road is in hands of a receiver	1899	1043
RIGHTS OF SHIPPERS—		
Chapin, Merritt & Co., Des Moines, v. C., M. St. P., to select routes	1894	519
Clow, W. D., Traer, B., C. R. & N., to select route	1895	581
Dalhoff and Co., Burlington, v. C., B. & Q. and Wabash, to select route ..	1892	561
Downey, D. B., Allison, v. C. G. W., right to choice of market	1899	123
Davis, C. A., Adel, v. C., M. & St. P., shipper's choice	1904	276
Entitled to same rates as shippers form elevators when cars are loaded from wagons in same time	1894	526

RIGHTS OF SHIPPERS—Continued.

	Year	Page
Hambleton Milling Co., Keokuk, v. C., R. I. & P., choice of market	1896	231
Haver & Co. et al., Tinkley, v. K. & W., routing of live stock	1902	289
Jerome, F. H., v. C., B. & Q., to choose market	1882	445
Jewell, H. E., Coon Rapids, v. U. S. Ex. Co., of hog	1901	523
Leverton, John, Abbott, v. Iowa Cent., loading car from wagon	1899	92
McColl Bros., Woodward v. C. M. & St. P. choice of market	1905	311
Muscatine melon shippers, v. C., R. I. & P., choice of market	1896	213
Nye & Bourne, Grundy Center, v. B., C. R. & N., to select routes	1893	733
Smith, J. M., v. C., B. & Q., pooling—large and small cars—pass to live stock shipper	1893	648
Stacy & Co., Osage, v. Ill. Cent., right to load from wagons	1899	121
Watson, E. B., Newton, v. Am. Ex. Co., live stock	1901	503
Weston, William, Anita, v. C., R. I. & P., right to load from wagons	1899	118
White, Geo. C., Nevada, v. O. & N.-W., right to load from wagons	1899	121
To select route for shipments	1896	491
To rates by shortest route	1897, 751; 1891	773
To choice of market	1890, 932; 1892	759, 868
Townsend, Le Mars, v. Ill. Cent. to select market and routes	1893	615
RIGHT OF WAY DAMAGES—see Damages.		
RIPLEY, E. P., G. F. A., C., B. & Q., letter of, on reduction of corn rates in Ia.	1896	619
ROAD, ABANDONMENT—see Abandonment of Road.		
ROADBED AND TRACK, condition of, on Omaha, St. Louis R'y	1902	227
ROAD BUILT FROM EARNINGS IN EXCESS OF 6 PER CENT ON \$30,000 PER MILE—see Mileage.		
ROAD WORK BY CONTRACT—see Contract.		
ROUND TRIP RATES—see Rates.		
ROUND TRIP SHIPMENTS OF STOCK CATTLE—see Rates.		
ROUND TRIP TICKETS—see Excursion Tickets; also Tickets		
ROUTES, RIGHTS OF SHIPPERS TO SELECT—see Rights of Shippers.		
RULE FOR EQUITABLE DISTRIBUTION OF CARS—see Distribution of Cars		
RUNNING RAILROAD CROSSINGS—see Crossing Stops.		

S SAFETY APPLIANCES—see Couplers and Brakes, Automatic

SAGE, W. M., G. F. A., C., R. I. & P., letter of, on reduction of corn rates	1896	621
St. L., K. C. & N. W., information concerning ownership of	1892	824
SALARIES OF OFFICERS	1898	645-650
SAMPLE CASES—see Baggage.		
SAND RATES—see Rates.		
SANITARY AND UNSANITARY CONDITIONS—		
Colfax, citizens of, v. C., R. I. & P., improved in outbuildings	1899	1067
Everett, H., Council Bluffs, v. C., R. I. & P., of outbuildings	1891	135
Marengo, citizens of, v. C., R. I. & P.	1894	274
Use of water for passenger coaches, sleeping cars on Illinois Central	1904	287
SCALES, TRACK—see Track Scales.		
SCARCITY OF CARS—see Failure to Furnish Cars.		
SCARCITY OF COAL	1890	571
SCHEDULE OF RATES—see, also Rates.		
Adopted in Davenport, Dubuque and Burlington cases	1898	752 773-797
Commissioners' was in force pending injunction suits	1899	1062
Distance above 400 miles	1899	1103
Must be posted for public inspection	1899	1101
Reasonable maximum rates, adoption of, by C. & N.-W.	1899	1051
SECRECY in railroad matters to be avoided	1878	71
SECRETARY OF BOARD—		
Cameron, J. S.	1878	3
Morgan, E. J.	1890	3
Aimsworth, W. W.	1897	8
Lewis, Dwight N.	1899	15

	Year	Page
SENATE COMMITTEE, United States, investigation of, on interstate commerce	1885	32
SENDING CARS OFF THEIR OWN LINE--see <i>Refusal to Receive and Forward Freight</i> .		
SHIPMENTS FROM POINT TO POINT IN IOWA--		
Though passing outside in transit state commerce	1892	38, 39
SHIPPERS AND CARRIERS--		
Shippers at stations must be afforded like facilities for doing business	1888	829
Their relation to each other discussed	1884	7
SHIPPERS ENTITLED TO RATES VIA SHORTEST ROUTES--see <i>Rights of Shippers</i> .		
SHIPPING FACILITIES, MONOPOLY OF AGAINST PUBLIC POLICY--see <i>Monopoly</i> .		
SHIPPING FACILITIES--see <i>Station Facilities, Failure to Furnish Cars, Refrigerator Cars</i> .		
SHIPPING REGULATIONS--		
Budd, J. L., Ames, v. C. & N.-W.	1892	494
Carpenter, C. O., Chicago, v. B., C. R. & N., prepayment charges on melons	1901	516
Crawford, W. H., Hampton, v. Cent. Iowa, release on flax seed	1881	138
Cressler, C. W., & Co., Scranton, v. C. & N.-W., in shipment of butter	1890	877
Loading and unloading L. C. L. shipments	1892	898
Marshall Oil Co., Marshalltown, v. C. & N.-W. et al., oil	1904	275
Shippers required to protect cars for stone shipments at private expense	1892	860
Stocker, J. W., et al., Logan, v. C. & N.-W., in live stock	1890	68
SHORTAGE IN TRANSIT--see <i>Lost in Transit</i> .		
SHORTAGE OF CARS--see <i>Failure to Furnish Cars</i> .		
SHORTEST ROUTE, SHIPPERS ENTITLED TO RATES BASED ON--see <i>Rights of Shippers</i> .		
SHORT LINES--		
Percentage of through rates allowed to	1893	33
SHORT LINE RATES--see <i>Percentage to Short Lines</i> .		
"SHRINKER"--used on scales to defraud sellers of produce	1899	2
SIDE TRACKS--see, also, <i>Spur track and Switch</i> .		
Authority of commissioners to order construction of, questioned	1890	857
Changing grade of, damages for	1890	923
Should private builders be allowed to connect with railroads	1895	xxxv
Complaints Concerning--		
Alta, citizens of, v. Ill. Cent. insufficient to mill	1885	577
Barbour & Younkin, New Sharon, v. Iowa Central, to elevator	1904	249
Biggins, J. P., Zwingle, v. C., M. & St. P., at Sylva	1901	480
Biggins, J. P., Zwingle, v. C., M. & St. P.; at Sylva	1903	273
California Grain & Lumber Co., California Junction, v. C. & N.-W.	1903	247
Davidson, M., Plano, v. Wabash, violation of contract in matter of building	1882	549
Davis, J. E., Coin v. C. B. & Q. use of for loading stock	1905	283
Dawson, S. R., Percy, v. W., St. L. & P., to coal and wood house	1887	684
Dennis, citizens of, v. A. & C., restoration of	1895	120
Dorcas, John, Shiloh, v. C. & N.-W., failure to furnish as per contract	1886	573
Emerson, O. B., et al., C., B. & Q., condition of approach to	1902	301
Fraser, J., Holmes, v. B., C. R. & N., trespassing on land designed for	1898	683
Hill Bros., Clarion, v. M. C. & Ft. D., refusal of use of private	1887	755
Hornick Milling Co., Hornick, v. C., M. & St. P., to mill	1900	188
Howard, C. O., Waukon, v. C., M. & St. P., additional facilities	1902	280
Marcus Roller Mills, Marcus, v. Ill. Cent., petition for, to warehouse	1885	299
Nemmers, N. B., Lamotte, v. C., M. & St. P., to elevator	1899	71
Norman, citizens of, v. M. & St. L., building of, diverted business	1887	685
Pasco Bros., What Cheer, v. C. & N.-W., enforcing contract to construct	1889	960
Plover, citizens of, v. C., R. I. & P., petition for additional	1890	935
Rights of builders to connect with other railroads	1889, 961; 1891	45
Roberts, O. L., Grinnell, v. C., R. I. & P., discriminative privileges on	1889	1027
Showman, William, et al., v. C. M. & St. P., petition to restore	1890	917

SIDE TRACKS—Continued.

Complaints, Concerning—Continued.

Year Page

Sindt, W. V., Holstein, v. C. & N.-W., to warehouse.....	1894	276
Smith, W. C., et al., Lockbridge, v. C., B. & Q., at Coalport.....	1898	27
Tait, Robt. M., Mystic, v. C., M. & St. P., restoration of coal switch.....	1903	235
Tunnell, C. W., Exline v. C. B. & Q. Ry. Co. use of for loading.....	1905	273
Watts, F., Goose Lake, v. C. & N.-W., additional.....	1901	357
SIDINGS, PUBLIC HIGHWAYS, Dubuque switching case.....	1899	1084
SIGNALS, ELECTRIC, AT CROSSING—see <i>Electric Signals</i>.		
SIOUX CITY & DAKOTA R. R., History of.....	1879	111
SITES FOR COAL HOUSES, ELEVATORS, WAREHOUSES, ETC.—		
Carriers must not discriminate in granting.....	1890	357
Decision of the Supreme court reviewed in Sunny Hill alliance case.....	1892	29, 31
Decision of.....	1897	4
Exclusive rights to single shippers against public policy.....	1895	xxx xxxiv
Jurisdiction of board.....	1899	107
Complaints Concerning—Petition for—		
Adams, J. H., Havelock, v. C. & N.-W., for elevator, jurisdiction of board.....	1899	107
American Sons of Equity, Cumberland v. C. B. & Q. Ry. for coal house..	1905	311
Arnold's Park, citizens of, v. C., M. & St. P., for elevator.....	1903	262
Ashton, E. J., Edmonds, v. C., St. P., M. & O.....	1899	74
Badger, Grain and Live Stock Co., v. M. & St. L., for elevator.....	1902	276
Barbour & Younkin, New Sharon, v. Iowa Cent. R. R. Co., side track to elevator.....	1904	249
Baxter, Rob. et al, Galva, v. C. & N.-W., for elevator.....	1904	252
Blow, M., Estherville, v. B., C. R. & N., for coal shed.....	1896	497
Boutillier, H. N., Britt, v. C., M. & St. P., removal of coal sheds, etc.....	1898	60
Britton, L. A., Roland, v. Iowa Cent., for lumber house.....	1900	220
Campbell, Joel, Ayreshire, v. C., R. I. & P., for coal house.....	1892	801
Carlson, S. G., Stratford, v. C. & N.-W., rental for scales.....	1893	212
Carpenter, W. B., Marion, v. C., M. & St. P., removal of elevator.....	1893	144
Cartwright, W. D., v. B. & W., conditions in lease.....	1903	243
Cook, F. W., Crawfordsville, v. B. & N.-W., for coal house.....	1895	207
Dewell Lumber Co., Collins, v. C., M. & St. P., for coal house.....	1903	319
Douglas, J. B., West Branch, v. B., C. R. & N., removal of coal sheds.....	1899	96
Duncan, L. E., Ames, v. C. & N.-W., requirements for lease for coal house site.....	1900	214
Eckert & Williams, Northwood, v. B., C. R. & N., at Kenset.....	1890	925
Edmonds, E. J., Marcus, v. C., St. P., M. & Omaha, for elevator 1892, 862; 1899	1899	74
Elder, M., Klemme v. C. R. I. & P. Ry. Co. site for coal house.....	1905	253
Farmers' Alliance of Hartley, v. C., M. & St. P., for coal house.....	1890	911
Farmers' Cereal Co. Sloan v. C. & N. W. Ry. for elevator.....	1905	308
Farmers' Co-operative Ass'n, Swea City, v. B., C. R. & N., application for.....	1899	80
Farmers' Co-operative Co., Dougherty, v. C. & N.-W., for coal house....	1903	242
Farmers' Elevator Co., Melvin v. C. R. I. & P. for elevator.....	1905	300
Farmers' Elevator Co., Rock Rapids v. Great Northern Ry. petition for elevator site.....	1905	298
Farmers' Elevator Co., Inwood v. C. M. & St. P. Ry. application for.....	1905	294
Farmers' Elevator Co. Wightman v. C. G. W. for elevator.....	1905	304
Farmers' Incorporated Co-operative Society, Goldfield, v. B., C. R. & N., for elevator.....	1902	259
Farmers' Inc. Co-op. Co., Palmer v. C. R. I. & P. Ry. Co. application for.....	1905	275
Farmers' Mutual Live Stock Ass'n., Kenset, inquiry.....	1899	87
Supreme court opinion reviewed.....	1892	29-31
Farmers' Ass'n by A. F. Lough, Estherville, v. B., C. & N., for elevator.....	1900	119
Frazier & Ballou, Primghar, v. D. & S. C. (Ill. Cent.), for warehouse.....	1890	940
French & King, Maxwell, v. C., M. & St. P., information concerning rights of lessees of elevators on station grounds or right of way.....	1893	240

SITES FOR COAL HOUSES, ELEVATORS, WAREHOUSES, ETC.,—Continued. Year Page
Complaints Concerning—Petition for—Continued.

Fritz, L. R., Adza v C. M. & St. P. application for coal house.....	1906	295
Frum, S. B., Shelby, v. C. R. I. & P., for coal house	1899	52
Fry, U. S., Van Horne, inquiry relative to	1897	67
Gafford, Joseph, Burlington, v. citizens of Murray, obstructing street....	1887	687
Gier & Belze, Conrad Grove, v. C. & N.-W., expense of relocation	1892	843
Gray & Whyte, Blencoe, v. S. C. & P., for elevator	1901	475
Hall, G. B., Wesley, v. C. M. & St. P. for coal sheds....	1903	254
Hardin county, citizens of, v. B. C. R. & N., for elevator	1890	898
Hodges, N. W., Storm Lake, v. Ill. Cent., discrimination and refusal of..	1890	867
Hollis, Thomas, Radcliffe, v. C. & N. W., application for additional	1890	898
Jansen, Antem, Haverhill, v. C. M. & St. P., for elevator	1893	828
Jensen, J. G. L., Gilman, v. Iowa Central, elevator	1891	731
Kenyon & Hilliard, Mt. Union, v. B. & N.-W. for elevator	1894	258
Kline Bros., Rockwell, v. Iowa Central, for warehouse	1895	172
Lancaster, W., Bradgate, v. C. & N. W., for elevator.....	1892	823
Leverton, John W., Abbott, v. Iowa Central, termination of lease.....	1900	161
Lewis, R. G. Des Moines, v. C. R. I. & P., removal of elevator	1895	211
Ludemann, Sander, et al., Kesley, v. C. & N.-W. for elevator	1903	287
McCallsburg, citizens of, v. Iowa Central, refusal to grant	1895	574
McCord & Co., et al., Storm Lake, v. Ill. Central, for coal house.....	1893	190
McNamara, J. F., Vincent, v. M. C. & Ft. D., for coal house	1894	294
Northwestern Iowa Grain Co., Britt, v. C. R. G. & N.-W. R'y, for elevator at Wooden	1900	54
Parish, E. H., Cambridge, v. C. M. & St. P., for coal house	1902	227
Parsons & Heath, Galt, v. B., C. & N., for grain warehouse	1891	813
Peters, B. F., Runnells, v. Wabash, enforced removal of mill....	1902	274
Pickering-Johnson Grain Co., Shenandoah, v. O. & St. L., at Summit	1893, 621	1895 xxxix
Pioneer Hay Camp, shipping privileges at.....	1890	29
Porter, James, Sutherland, v. Ill. Cent., removal at Larrabee	1891	824
Price & Palmer et al., Ellsworth, v. C. & N.-W., elevator.....	1892	828
Rasmussen, A. D., et al., v. B., C. R. & N., at Pioneer Hay Camp	1899	29
Richardson & Kauffman, Belmond, v. M. C. & Ft. D., removal of elevator	1896	159
Riepe, H. H. Sperry, v. B. C. R. & N. R'y, removal of elevator	1900	113
Robinson, J. C., et al., Marathon, v. C. & N.-W., on coal house	1892	869
Ross & Brady, Akron, v. C., M. & St. P., grain warehouse.....	1892	781
Russell, J. M., Storm Lake, v. Ill. Cent., removal of elevator at crossing	1893	154
Schroeder, E. J., Oyens, v. Illinois Central, for elevator	1902	298
Seibert Bros., & Co., Forest City, v. B., C. R. & N., for elevator.....	1900	196
Smith, E. R., Cherokee, v. Ill. Cent. for coal house.....	1895	238
Smith, C. J., Ogden, v. M. & St. L., for warehouse.....	1895	227
Smith, J. S., Jewell, v. C. & N.-W., for elevator.....	1891	794
Smith, M. M., Elwell, v. C. M. & St. P., for elevator	1899	117
Spencer Grain Co., Minneapolis, v. B., C. R., & N., termination of lease for elevator for site at Crystal Lake.....	1900	215
Steer, William M., West Branch, v. B. C. R. & N., warehouse.....	1890	856
Sunny Hill Alliance, Hartley, v. C. M. & St. P., for coal house	1890	911
Thomas, G. F., Swea City, v. B. C. R. & N., elevator at Armstrong	1898	57
Townsend & Merrill, Cedar Falls, v. Ill. Cent., termination of lease....	1898	26
Townsend & Merrill, Cedar Falls, v. C. & N.-W., site for elevator at Dike	1902	296
Townsend & Merrill, Dike, v. C. & N.-W., for lumber and coal yard....	1900	214
Voss Lumber Co., Jewell, v. C. & N.-W., notice to vacate.....	1903	226
Webster Bros., Waucoma, v. C. St. P. & K. C., at Boyd.....	1890	896
Webster Bros., Waucoma, v. C. M. & St. P., at Jackson Junction.....	1894	371
Welles, W. W., Webster City, v. W. C. & S. W., failure to furnish	1899	1045
Wellsburg, citizens of, v. B., C. R. & N., for elevator	1891	820
Wertz, C. C., Greene, v. B. C. R. & N., for coal house.....	1897	21

SITES FOR COAL HOUSES, ELEVATORS, WAREHOUSES, ETC.,—Continued.	Year	Page
Complaints Concerning—Petition for—Continued.		
Whyte, Joseph, et al., Blencoe, v. C. & N.-W., for elevator	1903	266
Wiemer, H. A., Harris, v. C., R. I. & P., for elevator	1903	246
Wilbur, H. A., Hawkeye, v. C. M. & St. P., grain warehouse.....	1896	155
Williams Bros., Primghar, v. Ill. Cent. for elevator.....	1896	134
Winnebago Farmers Alliance, v. M. & St. L., coal house.....	1891	732
Wright, N. A., Herring, v. C. & N. W., for coal sheds	1903	255
York, Joseph, Zenorsville, v. O. & N.-W., for coal sheds	1888	896
SLEEPING CARS—		
Dowell, A. B., Vinton, v. C. & N.-W., holders of second class ticket not entitled to	1883	652
Privileges, discrimination in, at Council Bluffs	1888	702
SMALL AND LARGE SHIPPERS—see Car Load Rates.		
SMITH, SPENCER, COMMISSIONER, paper on the "Railroad Question".....	1889	21
SNOW FENCE, APPROPRIATING LAND FOR—see Appropriation of Land.		
SNOW BLOCKADE—		
Belmond, citizens of, v. Central Iowa, failure to keep road open.....	1884	517
Cass, S. F., Sumner, v. Dub. & Dak., failure to run trains on account of.....	1886	506
Goshen, citizens of, v. H. & S., petition to raise.....	1886	507
Jackson, E. P., et. al., Gilmore, v. D. M. & Ft. D., asking removal of.....	1883	573
Tripoli, citizens of, v. C. G. W., failure to remove, and delay of trains.....	1893	225
SOFT COAL RATES—see Rates.		
SOLICITATION OF HOTEL PATRONAGE AT STATIONS—see Omnibus Privileges.		
SPECIAL TRAIN to carry live stock, extra charge for	1887	782
SPECIAL RATES on building material for churches, permissible	1888	837
SPECIAL RATES , large shipper not entitled to against small shipper.....	1888	837
SPECIAL EMERGENCY RATES on CORN—see Rates.		
SPECIAL RATES TO LARGE SHIPPERS—see Car Load Rates; also Rates.		
SPEED OF FREIGHT TRAINS	1878	65
SPEED OF TRAINS IN CITIES —		
Hill, E., Mitchellville, v. C., R. I. & P., through town	1896	120
Malvern, city of, v. C., B. & Q., danger from fast trains	1889	1040
Watland, O. T., Huxley, v. C., M. & St. P., through town	1903	312
SPUR TRACKS—see, also, Side Tracks.		
Dooley, George, Newton, v. Iowa Central, protest against removal of ..	1891	737
Excelsior Coal Co., v. Central Iowa, compulsory operation of ..	1878	24
Robertson, S. A., Des Moines, taxation of quarry track.....	1881	133
CONNECTION WITH MAIN LINE—see Side Tracks.		
STANWOOD & TIPTON RAILWAY , history of	1879	112
STATE AND INTERSTATE COMMERCE—see, also, Interstate Commerce and Rates.	1886	4
Diamond Jo Line v. C., B. & Q	1889, 1074, 1077	
Opinion of supreme court	1892	901
STATE COMMERCE , shipments beginning and ending in Iowa but passing outside the state in transit not governed by Iowa rates—opinion of United States supreme court in Arkansas case	1903	363
What Constitutes—		
Carpenter, D. J., Beloit, v. C., M. & St. P., shipments from points to point within the state, though passing outside in transit, are state commerce	1890	849
Freight shipped from point to point within the state of Iowa, though passing outside in transit, held to be state commerce	1889, 1074, 1077; 1890	849
Hamilton & Co., Algona, v. B., O. R. & N., what constitutes	1897	118
Heath, H. R. & Sons, Ft. Dodge, v. Ill., Cent., shipments from points in the state to points outside, though rebilled in transit, interstate commerce	1894	164
McGilora, L. E., Larchwood, v. B., C. R. & N., traffic from point to point in Iowa, though passing outside in transit, is state commerce.....	1892	862
Skeen & Zook, Albia, v. C., B. & Q., from point to point in Iowa, is state commerce	1898	74
STATE CONTROL OF C., R. I. & P. R'y	1880	177
STATION, RELOCATION OF, LeGrand, citizens of, v. C., & N.-W. R'y Co.....	1901	160

STATION-FACILITIES AND SERVICE-See, also, <i>Joint Stations</i> .	Year	Page
Abandonment of--see <i>Abandonment of Station</i> .		
Changing name of, legislation recommended	1889, 39; 1891	44
Cleanliness and removal of disorderly persons recommended	1884	42
Control of grounds, omnibus privileges--see <i>Omnibus Privileges</i> .		
Exclusive use of must not be granted to one person	1890	898, 894
Establishing of new, legislation recommended	1889	39
Facilities for doing business at	1895	xxix
Finances of company can not be considered by board in ordering	1881	509
Grade of highway crossing over station grounds	1893	16, 156
Joint and Y connections--see <i>Joint Stations</i> ; also <i>Wyes</i> .		
New legislation recommended	1891	43
Profits to carriers not sole element in establishment of	1894	496
Complaints Concerning--		
Albia, condition of depot used by Wabash and Iowa Central	1902	297
Albrecht, A. S., et al., Mederville, v. C., M. & St. P., petition to rebuild station	1896	101
Adaza, citizens of, v. D. M., N. W., petition for depot	1896, 173; 1896	35
Algona, citizens of, v. C., M. & St. P., for relocation of	1849	1057
Algona, J. E. Stacey of, v. C. & N. W.	1903	283
Ames-C & N W. R'y. Co., bad condition of toilet room	1905	309
Aplington, citizens of, to keep open at night	1882	455
Ashaw, v. C., R. I. & P., petition for removal of	1891	739
Aurora, Zera Knapp of, v. C. G. W., condition of depot platform	1900	148
Austin, citizens of, v. C., M. & St. P., petition for removal of	1892	863
Avery, citizens of, v. C., B. & Q., petition for	1892	742
Barnum, C. F., Bryant, recorder, v. Ill. Cent., dangerous location of depot	1895	219
Bassett, citizens of, v. C., M. & St. P., for flag station	1896	121
Bayless, F. D., Elkader, v. C., M. & St. P., facilities at Osborne	1892	830
Beloit, citizens of, v. C., M. & St. P., telegraph facilities	1903	307
Benson, inaccessibility of side track at, on Ill. Cent., complaint, L. Canfield	1901	489
Bigelow, M., F., Alden, v. Ill. Cent., insufficient night service	1894	506
Bingham, L. R. & Son, Estherville, v. B., C. R. & N., facilities for storing and handling perishable freight	1896	104
Bismarck station case	1899, 36, 992; 1895	xi
Bonair, Fleming Brothers, v. C., M. & St. P.	1903	209
Bouton, citizens of, v. C., M. & St. P., depot, etc	1890	943
Boyd, G. J., Herndon, v. C., M. & St. P., night service	1895	576
Boyd, G. J., Herndon, v. C., M. & St. P., condition of road to depot	1902	298
Boyd, citizens of, v. C. G. W. R'y petition for depot	1899	84
Browns, Hale & Riggs, citizens of, v. C., M. & St. P., reopening	1891	746
Bryan, D. B., Bouton, v. C., M. & St. P., depot	1898	698
Bunch, citizens of, v. C., R. I. & P., depot at Bunch postoffice and Paris siding	1891	798
Butt, M. et al., Hervey, v. Wabash, platform	1893	210
California Junction, citizens of, v. F., E. & M. V. et al., depot	1897	623
Calloway, P., Atlantic, v. C., R. I. & P., privileges of stockyards	1895	283
Campbell, F. R., Bismarck, v. C., M. & St. P., restoration of	1899, 36, 992; 1895	xi
Capron, G. F., Capron station, v. C., M. & St. P., facilities as per contract	1899	1056
Carbon Junction, better depot at, on M. C. & Ft. D	1900	175
Cedar Valley, citizens of, v. B. C. R. & N., petition for stockyards	1900	129
Citizens Lumber Co., Benson v. Ill. Cent. R'y Co. abandonment of station	1905	265
Claypool, W. W., et al., Spencer, v. C., M. & St. P., at Hay Siding	1893	304
Cleghorn and Cherokee county, citizens of, v. Dub. & S. O., depot	1899	1019
Dissenting opinion by Commissioner Campbell	1899	1020
Cleveland, E. S., Holmes, v. B., C. R. & N., better accommodations	1896	706
Cleveland, E. S., Holmes, v. B., C. R. & N. facilities	1890	883
Coalport, restoration of sidetrack	1898	27

STATION—FACILITIES AND SERVICE—Continued.

Year. Page.

Complaints Concerning—Continued

Cochran, Wm., et al., Elmira, v. B., C. R. & N., facilities	1892	736
Supplemental decision	1892	737
Colfax, citizens of, v. O., R. I. & P., improved sanitary conditions	1899	1067
Cone, citizens of, v. B. C. R. & N., flag station	1897	778
Connables, Sargent, E. B., et al., v. C., R. I. & P.	1903	296
Cornelia, Johnson, Bros. of, v. M. C. & Ft. D., petition for depot	1900	173
Corning, citizens of, v. C., B. & Q., relocation of depot	1898	760
Council Bluffs, citizens of, v. U. P., insufficient facilities	1896	530-570
Council Bluffs, city of, All Entering Railways, additional facilities	1892	809
Creighton, C. E., Oakville, v. Iowa Central, depot.	1894	244
Crippen Creamery Co., Crippen, v. C. M. & St. P. platform	1894	270
Croton, W. H., Butler of, v. C., R. I. & P., for telegraph	1901	437
Crozier, M. W., Stark, v. B. & N. W., petition for	1890	857
Cummings, citizens of, v. C. G. W., relocation of depot	1903	253
Cylinder, citizens of, v. C. M. & St. P., depot	1891	815
Dallas Center, Benton Brothers of, v. C., R. I. & P., keeping depot open ..	1896	83
Dallas Center, city of, v. C., R. I. & P., keeping depot open, etc.	1904	265
Danville, citizens of, v. C., B. & Q. R'y. Co., petition for	1903	260
David, Sholtes & Porte of, v. W. & W., service	1898	55
Davidson Brothers, Hull, v. C., M. & St. P., changing name of	1897	692
Dissenting opinion of Commissioner Coffin	1897	603
Davis City, citizens of, v. C., B. & Q., keeping depot open	1904	268
Dawson, citizens of, v. C., M. & St. P., petition for	1890	937
Dawson, S. R., Percy, v. W., St. L. & P., for wood and coal	1897	684
Delhi, Thomson, F. E.; of, v. C., M. & St. P., telegraph facilities	1904	311
Dennis, citizens of, v. A. & C., restoration of switch	1895	120
Des Moines, unsafe condition of union depot	1898	11
Diagonal, citizens of, v. C. G. W., freight station	1894	156
Donley, citizens of, v. C., B. & Q., petition for depot	1903	270
Donnan, citizens of, v. C., M. & St. P., telegraph facilities	1903	315
Doon, citizens of, v. C., St. P., M. & O., petition for depot at Lunt's Siding ..	1896	36
Downey, citizens of, v. O., R. I. & P., petition for night agent	1900	114
Durham, citizens of, v. C., B. & Q., abandonment of	1903	305
Dumont, depot platform at	1901	436
Eldora, citizens of, v. Iowa Central, closed depot	1903	249
Elrick, citizens of, v. Iowa Central, for re-establishment of	1897	73
Elwell, citizens of, v. C., M. & St. P., depot open for night trains	1903	262
Evanston, depot at, W. D. Drake, et al., v. M. C. & Ft. D.	1898	36
Everly, citizens of, v. C., M. & St. P., petition for	1897	742
Ewart, citizens of, v. Iowa Central, abandonment of	1903	302
Exline, citizens of, v. C. B. & Q. R'y. Co., inadequate freight facilities ..	1905	251
Felton, J. B., New Virginia, v. D. M. & K. C., bad condition of	1892	799
Fifield, citizens of, v. Wabash, petition for	1894	237
Florence, O. W. Thorup of, v. M. C. & Ft. D., petition for depot	1901	332
Fredonia, citizens of, v. O., R. I. & P. R'y., station facilities	1905	245
Gallagher, J. S., et al., Wesley, v. C. M. & St. P., for night operator. ..	1893	236
Gaston, E. E., Van Wert, v. Wabash Western, condition of, conduct in ..	1898	692
Gladbrook, station platform at Junction of C. & N.-W. and C. G. W.	1904	295
Glendale, citizens of, v. O., B. & Q., petition for	1890	861
Goose Lake, additional sidetracks at, on C. & N.-W.	1901	337
Grey, L. E. Perry, v. C. M. & St. P., night service at Herndon	1897	633
Grundy Center, citizens of, v. O., R. I. & P. R'y. Co., night operator	1905	262
Halley, J. R. Nashville, v. C. & N.-W., station service	1896	94
Ham & Carver, Dubuque, v. B. & O. R. & N., better mail service	1893	731
Hanna, J. Q., Goldfield, v. C. & N.-W., location of	1892	432
Harrington, D. E. Postville, v. O., M. & St. P., insufficient service	1890	890
Hawarden, citizens of, v. O., M. & St. P., for building	1893	696
Herndon, citizens of, v. O. M. & St. P., and W., St. L. & P., approaches to ..	1896	552
Hiattsville, citizens of, v. Wabash R'y. Co., petition for depot	1905	242

STATIONS—FACILITIES AND SERVICE—Continued.

Year Page

Complaints Concerning—Continued

Hickory Grove township, Jasper county, citizens of, v. Iowa Central, facilities	1890	900
Hill's Siding, petition for depot at, on B, C. & N	1898	67
Rehearing declined	1890	901
Hodges, C. H., et al., Sexton, v. C. M. & St. P., petition for	1892	800
Hornaday, J. C., Wall, J. J., et al., v. C. R. I. & P., at Udel	1892	864
Howell station, petition for depot at, on Wabash, by Bosquet et al., Pella	1902	263
Hutchins, citizens of, v. C. M. & St. P., petition for	1893	147
Hutchinson, P., Hampton, v. C. G. W., condition of depot	1903	233
Independence, citizens of, v. Ill. Cent., to stop at platforms	1892	785
Ira, station service	1895	150
Jackson, E. T. Latty, v. C. R. I. & P., rebuilding depot	1905	290
Jenks, A. M. Sheldahl, v. C. & N.-W., et al., platform	1897	689
Johnson, T. S., et al., Bonair, v. C. M. & St. P., petition for	1892	799
Johnson Brothers, Calliope, v. C. M. & St. P., abandonment of	1903	219
Kelly, George, W. P., of, v. C. & N.-W., inaccessibility of team track	1900	186
Kenwood Park, citizens of, v. C. M. & St. P., petition for	1893	244
Kingston, abandonment of complaint, E. H. Sharp, et al., Leon, v. K. & W	1902	238
Kirkwood, Kerchner, E. A., of, establishment of	1900	140
Klemme, citizens of, v. B. C. R. & N., petition for	1890	884
Kniffin, citizens of, v. C. R. I. & P., petition for facilities	1891, 751; 1895	176
Krysher, Levi, Avon, v. C. B. & Q. and C. R. I. & P., for removal	1896	503
Lainsville, baggage facilities at, on C. M. & St. P.	1903	321
Lamotte, citizens of, v. C. M. & St. P., abandonment of telegraph station	1901	281
Latty, citizens of, v. B. C. R. & N., for additional room at	1898	522
Latty, Jackson of, v. C. R. I. & P. Ry. Co., rebuilding of depot	1905	290
Ledyard, depot at, citizens of, v. B. C. R. & N. and C. & N.-W.	1899	38
LeGrand, citizens of, v. C. & N.-W., relocation of	1891	313
Lehigh, A. C. and others, Dows vs. C. G. W., petition for station	1905	244
LeMars, citizens of, v. Ill. Cent., et al., for more commodious	1890	889
Lemon, H. F., et al., Elm Springs, v. C. M. & St. P., agent	1897	127
Lennon, H., et al., Elm Springs, v. C. M. & St. P., facilities for freight	1898	72
Leyner, John, et al., Dallas county, v. C. R. I. & P., removal of Ashawa	1892	807
Lida, citizens of, v. C. St. P. & K. C., petition for	1889	1010
Leiser, et al., Abbott, v. B. C. R. & N., and Central Iowa, pont.	1892	440
Little Wall Lake, citizens of, v. M. C. & Ft. D., petition for flag	1891	830
Luana, depot platform at, P. Bredow, Maxwell, v. C. M. & St. P.	1898	35
Lucas, Wm. Fleener of, v. C. B. & Q., depot open at night	1901	501
Lunt's Siding, petition for depot, by citizens of Doon	1886	38
Lux, Peter, et al., Hopkinton, v. C. M. & St. P., at Lux Siding	1893	141
Malone, J. D., et al., Santiago, v. C. St. P. & K. C., petition for	1893	214
Marengo, citizens of, v. C. R. I. & P., sanitary condition of	1894	274
Mcusker, Edward, Loretto, v. C. St. P. & K. C., abandonment of Lida	1889	1010
McFarlane, William et al., Blencoe, v. S. C. & P., petition for	1884	508
McKinney, Mrs. J. C., Decorah, v. Ill. Cent., care of	1883	557
McLaughlin, D. E., David, v. W. & S. W., petition for	1893	257
Melbourne, citizens of, v. C. St. P. & K. C., petition for	1898	733
Melbourne, J. H. Bagley et al., v. C. G. W., joint station at crossing	1896	15
Meriden, citizens of, v. Ill. Cent., for rebuilding of burned	1896	514
Miller, J. D., et al., Ida Grove, v. C. & N.-W., petition for	1891, 495; 1895	551
Miller, J. T., Iowa Falls, v. B. C. R. & N.	1891	143
Mohr, E. C. F., Westfield, v. C. M. & St. P., insufficient depot room	1903	281
Morris, M. V. B., Wayland, v. B. & W., replacing	1903	239
Morning Sun, citizens of, v. Central Iowa and B. C. R. & N.	1894, 539; 1895	564
Nashville, Miles Sinkov of, v. C. & N.-W., removal of agent	1897	125
New Hartford, citizens of, v. D. & S. C., for reopening, night	1891	741
Nira, citizens of v. C. R. I. & P. Ry. Co., petition to have agent reinstated	1905	256
Norelins, A., Kiron, v. C. & N.-W., location of station	1890	100
Norway, J. T., Springer of, v. C. & N.-W., lighting of depot and plat- form	1898, 90; 1900	154

STATION-FACILITIES AND SERVICE-Continued.

Year Page

Oakley, citizens of, v. C., B. & Q., petition to reopen	1886	501
Oakville, citizens of, v. Iowa Cent. petition for additional	1891, 1797; 1894	244
Oelwein, on C. G. W., transfer of passengers	1934	281
O'Brien Bros., Valeria, v. C., St. P. & K. C., freight house	1892	833
Ogden, citizens of, v. M. & St. L. R'y. Co. et al, petition for joint station	1905	214
Okoboji, O. I. Wilson of, v. C. M. & St. P.	1901	497
Okoboji, citizens of, v. C. M. & St. P. R'y. Co., opening of	1905	289
Oline Bros., Page Center, v. C., B. & Q., agent	1899	49
Orillia, citizens of, v. C. G. W., reopening	1894	238
Crillia, J. A. Garret of, v. C. G. W., day agent ..	1899	60
Osborne, citizens of, v. C. M. & St. P., petition for	1891	740
Otho, citizens of, v. M. & St. L., petition for	1892	730
Petition for reopening	1895	170
Otranto, citizens of, v. C. M. & St. P., for larger building	1892	731
Oxford Mills, citizens of, v. C. M. & St. P. R'y. Co., petition to reopen station	1905	246
Page Center, citizens of, v. C. B. & Q. for agent	1892, 790; 1899	49
Palmer, W. M. Webb v. C., R. I. & P., depot facilities	1905	244
Paulger, J., et al., New Hartford, v. Ill. Cent., for night service	1885	553
Paup, Daniel et al. Spragueville, v. C. M. & St. P. R'y. Co., establishment of	1905	220
Plessis, citizens of, v. C. R. I. & P., telegraph facilities	1904	292
Plover, citizens of, v. C. R. I. & P., petition for	1890	935
Plymouth, citizens of, v. C. M. & St. P. R'y. Co., petition to open depot	1905	275
Plummer, Wm. H., Logan, v. Ill. Cent., location of	1899	112
Poorman, D. A., Des Moines, v. C. B. & Q., lack of	1891	635
Pritchard, N., Warren, v. C. M. & St. P.	1885	568
Rands, citizens of, v. D. M. N. & W., petition for	1893	221
Rands, citizens of v. C., M. & St. P.	1906	308
Red Oak, citizens of, v. C., B. & Q., relocation of freight house	1889	991
Reed, J. G., et al., Lime Springs, v. C., M. & St. P., night service	1893	213
Reynolds, J. J., et al., Blencoe, v. S. C. & P., petition for stock yards.	1888	711
Richardson, G. W., Clear Lake, v. C., M. & St. P., at Ventura	1890	923
Richardson & Berard, Fairfax, v. C., & N.-W., unsuitable condition of depot	1889	1079
Ridgeway, citizens of, v. C., M. & St. P., rebuilding of burned.	1886	751
Riggs, W. K., Castalia, v. B., C. R. & N., petition to reopen	1890	875
River Junction, citizens of, v. B., C. R. & N., telegraph operator	1899	33
River Junction, citizens of, v. C., R. I. & P., maintenance of	1904	259
Robbins, R. R., Herndon, v. C. M. & St. P., for freight house	1893	15
Rock Falls, Calvert, Charles F. of, v. C. R. I. & P., telegraph facilities.	1904	312
Root's Siding, citizens of, v. B., C. R. & N., depot	1898	61
Roybar, F. Valeria, v. C., St. P., & K. C., for platform	1889	1071
Russell, citizens of, v. C., B. & Q., for night service	1886	506
Ruthven, citizens of, v. C., M. & St. P., for removal of	1886	561
Sandusky, citizens of, v. St. L., K. C. & N. W., for rebuilding	1890	899
Schell, John W., et al., Graham v. B., C. R. & N., shipping facilities.	1892	734
SeEVERS, W. A., et al., Oskaloosa, v. Central Iowa, accommodations ...	1886	520
Sheldon, citizens of, v. C. M. & St. P., inadequate	1897	697
Shibley Bros., Herndon, v. C. M. & St. P., insufficient approaches	1885	535
Showman, William, et al. Showman, v. C. M. & St. P. for restoration of	1890	917
Slater, citizens of, v. C. & N.-W., petition for building	1888	699
Slater, Helland, M. S., v. C. & N.-W. condition of station grounds.	1903	252
Slifer, Julander Bros., v. C. R. I. & P., petition for depot.	1904	280
Snyder, A. B., et al, Spaulding, v. C., B. & Q., for reopening	1891	747
Solomon. citizens of, v. O. & St. L., petition for	1892	757
Spain, M. J., Petersville v. C. & N. W. Ry. Co., petition for telegraph service	1905	246
Springer, C. H., Springer, v. Ill. Cent., at Stacyville Jct.	1898	59
Sumner, depot facilities furnished by C. G. W. R'y. Co. ..	1899, 115; 1900	158
Sylvia, petition for privilege of loading cars at, on C., M. & St. P.	1903	273

STATION—FACILITIES AND SERVICE—Continued.
Complaints Concerning—Continued.

Year Page

Thornton, citizens of, v. M. C. & F. D., petition for depot	1889	981
Todd, C. J. & J. T., Diagonal, v. St P & K. C., facilities	1892	626
Traer, Dennis, J. B. of, v. C. & N.-W., depot opened for night trains	1900	217
Tyrone, Citizens of, v. C. B. & Q. Ry. Co., petition for	1905	257
Udell, citizens of, v. C. R. I. & P., establishment of	1894	162
Ulster, citizens of, v. C. M. & St P., petition of	1890	855
Van Saun, George B., Cedar Falls, v. C. G. W., opening of	1894	285
Vrba, Nemetz Co., Duncan v. C. M. & St. P. Ry. Co., operator	1905	262
Wadena, citizens of, v. C. M. & St P., telegraph facilities	1903	312
Warner, W. F., Luana, v. C. M. & St P. insufficient depot grounds	1897	53
Warren, petition for on C. B. & Q.	1904	309
Waterloo, condition of depot platform on B., C. R. & N. Ry	1900	216
Waterman Siding, Austin, S. L., Sutherland, v. C. & N.-W., at	1903	227
Watts, Fremont, Goose Lake, v. C. & N.-W., shipping facilities	1890	229
Waverly, condition of Ill. Central depot	1902	229
Waverly, Suggestions of Board that proper station house should be built by C. G. W. Ry. Co.	1905	250
Westfield, citizens of, v. C. M. & St P., petition for	1890	919
Westfield, citizens of, v. C. M. & St P., amended decision	1891	902
Williams, citizens of, v. Ill. Cent., for night mail service	1898	712
Williard, citizens of, v. C. M. & St. P., flag station	1901	523
Wilson, G. M., et al., Ira, v. C. G. W., insufficient	1895	150
Wilson, J. S., Hill's Siding, v. B., C. R. & N., petition for	1892, 735, 1893	183
Wilson Jct., Rall, W. A. Perry, v. C. G. W., insufficient accommo- dations at	1900	166
Wisdom, F., Creston, v. C. B. & Q., et al., additional at Afton Junction	1897	101
Woolstock, citizens of, v. C. & N.-W., night agent	1901	518
Wright, A. G., et al., Whiting, v. S. C. & P. for night service	1896	512
Young, James & Co., Sidney, v. C. B. & Q., negligence of agent	1894	307
STATISTICS, difficulty in obtaining	1897, 4; 1899	4
STATUTES RELATING TO RAILWAYS—see Laws.		
STEALING LIVE STOCK IN TRANSIT—see Substitution of Live Stock, Etc.		
STEEL RAILS, introduction of, duty on, etc.	1890	183
STOCK CAPITAL—see Capital Stock		
STOCK CATTLE, PETITION FOR WITHDRAWAL OF RATES ON—see Rates.		
STOCK CATTLE, REDUCED RATES FOR ROUND TRIP SHIPMENTS OF—see Rates.		
STOCK AND DEBT per mile	1890	157
STOCKHOLDERS, LIST OF	1898	650, 657
STOCK KILLED AND INJURED see, also, Damage.		
Bailey, J. S., Searsboro, v. Cent. Iowa, at crossing, failure to whistle	1898	609
Bantes, L., Weldon, v. K. & W., on crossing	1892	344
Bates, L. P., Russell, v. W. St. L. & P. et al., failure to fence	1896	603
Barkley, E. A., Odebolt, v. C. & N.-W., by train	1895	165
Beyers, Mrs. J. W., Hull, v. C. M. & St. P., on private crossing	1896	69
Blanchard, John Blencoe, v. S. C. & P., on crossing	1897	739
Boatwright, D. J., Hastie, v. Wabash, hogs on account of defective fence	1892	865
Boswell, Joseph, Davis City, v. C. B. & Q., calf	1901	526
Bruntsing, A. E., Hull, v. C. M. & St P., on open farm crossing	1898	53
Butin, D. F., Des Moines, v. C. M. & St P., damages for	1896	685
Campbell, F. R. Bismarck, v. C. M. & St. P., calf	1896	69
Condit, A. B. Paton, v. C. R. I. & P., at cattle guard	1898	828
Cowry, Patrick, Paton, v. C. R. I. & P., near station	1898	746
Decker, J. I., East Peru, v. C. St. P. & K. C., by train	1890	866
Dickman, John, Westgate, v. C. St. P. & K. C., at crossing	1899	104
Dooler, W. L. Vail, v. C. & N.-W., defective fence	1896	657
Dundon, Mary, Whiting, v. C. & N.-W., cow	1902	268
Earle, W. C., Waukon, v. C. M. & St P., hogs in transit	1898	735
Emery, H. A., Thayer, v. C. B. & Q.	1895	240
Ferguson, J. A., Paton, v. C. R. I. & P., cow	1899	1045
Fowler, F. H., Cedar Rapids, v. B., C. R. & N., failure to whistle and ring	1892	851

STOCK KILLED AND INJURED—Continued.

Year. Page.

Fraser, W. W. Bevington, v. C., R. I. & P., on depot grounds.....	1898	743, 744
Fraser, J., Paullina, v. C. & N.-W.....	1895	170
Frazier, George, Panora, v. D. M., N. & W., defective cattle guards.....	1897	110
Gould, M. VanWert, v. H. & S., struck by engine.....	1890	845
Gruwell, E. T., West Branch, v. B., C. R. & N., claim for.....	1899	1063
Helmer, M. F., Mechanicsville, v. C. & N.-W., on right of way.....	1894	523
Harris, H. W., Perry, v. D. M. & Ft. D. R'y., on highway crossing.....	1893	694
Harris, John, Oswalt, v. Iowa Northern, claim for on crossing.....	1892	826
Hibbard, A. A., Paulina, v. C. & N. W., calf.....	1898, 596; 1894	23
Hibbard, A. A., Paullina, v. C. & N.-W., hogs.....	1899, 1060; 1895	169
Holst, H., Clutier, v. C. & N.-W., colt.....	1901	482
Ives, Julius, Dickens, v. C. M. & St. P., damage for.....	1899	1025
Leeper, J. W., Truro, v. D. M. & K. C., injured in cattle guard.....	1892	836
Logan, Mrs. R. E., Rhodes, v. C. M. & St. P., on acc't of defective fence.....	1892	843
Mackinnon, J. O., Gen. Mgr. C. F. M. & D. M., inquiry as to liability.....	1893	249
March, C. H., Grinnell, v. Central Iowa, at crossing, failure to whistle.....	1898	690
Mayhew, N. P., Villisca, v. C. B. & Q., in transit.....	1895	547
Macahall, M. et al, Conger, v. C., St. P. & K. C., claim for.....	1891	818
McCracken, Mrs. E., Thornburg, v. B., C. R. & N., failure to fence.....	1892	966
McCracken, Mrs. E., Thornburg, v. B., C. R. & N., claim for.....	1893	218
McWilliams, H., Denison, v. C. & N. W., claim for.....	1890	91
Neff, G. W., Wayland, v. B. & N.-W., killing a hog.....	1902	249
Peter, John, Paullina, v. C. & N.-W., claim for.....	1892	830
Raybourne, A., Woodward, v. D. M. & N., claim for.....	1891	778
Remington Bros., Murray, v. C. B. & Q., on crossing.....	1896	67
Richards, W., Davis City, v. C. B. & Q., claim for.....	1892	881
Roberts, Anthony, Van Wert, v. K. & W., hog, on depot grounds.....	1898	714
Rohmer, J., Paullina, v. C. & N. W., claim for.....	1897	680
Rudiabaugh, C. S., Davis City, v. C. B. & Q., claim for.....	1892	828
Shay, John, Maloy, v. C. St. P. & K. C., claim for.....	1893	230
Smith, J. J., West Branch, v. B., C. R. & N., a cow.....	1896	68
Stout, J. C., Thayer, v. C. B. & Q.....	1895	306
Talman, J. A., Paton, v. C. R. I. & P., on station grounds.....	1899	1068
Tasker Bros., Onslow, v. C. & N. W.....	1895	546
Waggoner, Henry, Odebolt, v. C. & N. W.....	1894	306
Week, C. F., Clermont, v. B., C. R. & N., damages for.....	1896	510
White, S. J., Plymouth, v. C., M. & St. P., account of defective fence.....	1892	848
Wilson, E. C., Van Wert, v. H. & S., injured on bridge.....	1898	652

STOCK IN TRANSIT, DAMAGE TO—see *Damages*.

STOCK WATERING. Hepburn Committee Report 1890 178

STOCK WATERING—see *Watering Stock*

STOCK YARDS—

Armstrong, Henry, Glidden, v. C. & N. W., location of.....	1902	245
Ashby & McGee, Lucas v. C. B. & Q. Ry., bad condition of.....	1905	306
Bull, A. N., et al, Gilmore City, v. C., R. I. & P. condition of.....	1902	296
Calloway, Dr. P., Atlantic, v. C., R. I. & P., discrimination in privileges.....	1895	233
Cedar Valley citizens of, v. B., C. R. & N., petition for.....	1900	129
Conger, B. F., Woodward, v. C., M. & St. P., discrimination in privileges.....	1894	345
Conover, citizens of, v. C., M. & St. P., lack of water at.....	1895	125
Coon Rapids, citizens of v. C., M. & St. P., condition of.....	1898	53
Ellis, A. E., Decatur City, v. C., B. & Q., condition of.....	1904	251
Ewing, J. K., Shannon, v. C. G. W., condition of.....	1901	251
Fobes, E., et al, Glidden, v. C. & N. W., location of.....	1902	268
Foster, G. M., Waverly v. Ill. Cent. Ry., complaint a to construction.....	1905	807
Hjerleid, H. C., Decorah v. C. M. & St. P. Ry. Co., Discrimination in use.....	1905	271
Leverton, John, Abbott, v. Iowa Central, removal of.....	1899	99
Marcus, city of v. Illinois Central, removal of.....	1897	124

STOCK YARDS—*Continued.*

Year. Page.

Marshall, R. H. and H. L. Casey, v. C., R. I. & P., location of	1901, 498; 1902	294
McCullough & Fudge, Marne, v. C., R. I. & P., lack of water in	1897	132
Meehan, P. F. and William Drew, Clayton, v. C., B. & Q., petition for	1894	291
Onan, J. C., Lohrville, v. C., M. & St. P., discrimination in use of	1900	176
Reynolds, J. J., et al, Blencoe, v. S. C. & P., petition for	1898	711
Rich, Sol & Co., et al, v. C. M. & St. P. Ry. Co., location of	1904	261
Ridgeway, citizens of, v. C., M. & St. P., lack of water, etc	1898	75
Shannon City, Ewing, J. K., of v. C. G. W., condition of stock yards	1899	51
Smith, C. C., v. C., R. I. & P., condition of	1903	311
Swisher, J. W., Brighton, v. Iowa Central	1903	291
Vincent, J. F., proprietor Union Stock Yards, Des Moines, v. C., R. I. & P., refusal to deliver cars	1896	105
STONE SHIPMENTS—regulations concerning.....	1892	860
STOPPING FAST TRAINS AT SMALL STATIONS—see, also, <i>Train Service.</i>		
STOPPING through train at small stations, authority of state to require, opinion of United State Supreme Court in Illinois case	1903	359
STOPPING the running of trains, power of the commissioners in, when road is declared unsafe	1892	766
STOP-OVER CHECKS AND PRIVILEGES see, also, <i>Passenger Fare.</i>	1892	861
STOPPING TRAINS AT PLATFORMS	1899	987
Beck, W. J. R., Ft. Madison, v. St. L., K & N W. stopping caboose at platform	1897	80
Hillyard, J. W., Dows, v. B., C. R. & N.	1902	250
McGuire, E. H., Marengo, v. C., R. I. & P., refusal to ..	1890	907
Murphy, A. J., Herndon, v. C., M. & St. P.	1902	272
Selma, citizens of, v. C., R. I. & P., caboose, liability of carriers ...	1901	776
STOPPING TRAINS AT RAILROAD CROSSINGS—see <i>Crossing Stops.</i>		
STORAGE, USE OF CARS FOR WAREHOUSE PURPOSES—see <i>Demurrage.</i>		
STORAGE, CHARGES FOR—see <i>Baggage.</i>		
STREET CROSSINGS, BLOCKADING OF WITH TRAINS—see <i>Obstruction of Streets.</i>		
STREET CROSSINGS, ESTABLISHMENT OF—see <i>Crossings, Highway.</i>		
STRIKES—		
Employment of incompetent engineers on C., B. & Q.	1897	787
Discussion and effect of	1898, 44; 1899	33
Delay of freight on account of—see <i>Delay in Transit.</i>		
SUBSTITUTIONS—		
Wilson, A. R., Traer, v. C. & N. W., of light for heavy steer.....	1896	723
SUNDAY TRAINS—		
Discussed, recommendations concerning, etc ..	1893, 81; 1894, 42; 1895, 96; 1896	59
Algona Y case, defining discretion of commissioners in ordering "Y"	1892	896
Carpenter, D. J., Beloit, v. C., M. & St. P., what constitutes state commerce	1892	901
Cutler, G. L., Chariton, under farm crossing	1892	889
Ft. Dodge, v. C., R. I. & P., et al, rebuilding of Tara track	1892	879
McDonald, Thomas, Bayard, under farm crossing case ...	1892	903
Sunny Hill Alliance, Hartley, asking for site for coal house	1892	903
SUPREME COURT, decision of in reference to orders of railroad commissioners discussed	1892	21-29
Decision of in granger case	1878	55
SUPREME COURT OF UNITED STATES, opinion of, applicable to train service and application of state rates	1903	359
SWITCH TRACK—see <i>Spur Track.</i>		
SWITCH, refusal to allow use of, Lock, C., Keokuk, v. L., K. C. & N. W., for loading of ice	1902	267
SWITCH, definition of, what constitutes	1900, 901, 922; 1891, 792; 1892, 768; 1894	310

SWITCHES INTERLOCKING - see *Interlocking Switches*.

Year. Page.

SWITCHING-

Charges for.....	1886	217
Legislation recommended	1889, 38; 1891	43
Less rate for greater number of cars switched	1888	667
Regulations of commissioners concerning	1891	862
Schedule for, in Dubuque case established	1887, 749; 1889 1034-1036	

Complaints Concerning-

Advance Thresher Co v. C. & N. W., refusal.....	1891	768
Agar Packing Co, Des Moines, v C & N. W, refusal to switch car of hogs.....	1902	278
Aultman, C & Co, Cedar Rapids, v C. & N. W., refusal and discrimination	1893	206
Bannister Bros, Clinton, v C, M & St P., refusal, car of wheat.....	1891	778
Bigelow Bros, New Hampton, v C G W, refusal.....	1894	281
Campbell James et al Lehigh v C G. W. Ry Co charges on soft coal.....	1905	266
Cathcart & Woodruff, Correctionville, v Illinois Central, et al.....	1894, 350; 1898	81
C, F M & D M Ry. Co v St L, K. & N. W. Ry Co, exorbitant.....	1894	356
Collins Oil Tank Line, Cedar Rapids, v C, M & St P., refusal	1900	120
Conant, Homer, Sheldon, v Illinois Central, et al, refusal	1894	339
Corey Coal Co, Lehigh, v. Crooked Creek.....	1898	16
Rehearing	1900	13
Council Bluffs Water Works Co v C & N W, excessive	1888	667
Cunningham, T A, Clare, v C R. I & P, et al. excessive	1890	890
Cunningham, T A, Clare, v. C., B. & Q. and C., R I & P, rehearing	1891	900
Day & Sons, Minneapolis, v. Illinois Central, unreasonable charges for	1886	490
Deaf Institute, Council Bluffs, v C, R. I & P, excessive	1891	792
Des Moines Linseed Oil Co v C, M & St P, refusal to.....	1897	152
Des Moines Northern & Western Ry Co v. C & N. W	1895	229
Des Moines Oil Tank Co v C & N W, refusal, tank cars	1888	827
Des Moines Street R R Co v C & N W., exorbitant charges, rate fixed	1892	790
Dubuque Board of Trade v Illinois Central, et al, refusal to	1887	743
Dissenting opinion by Commissioner Dey	1887	749
Dubuque, fuel oil switch case	1889	1032
Farmington Coal & Mining Co v C R I & P., charges	1894	308
Firmenich Mfg. Co., Marshalltown, v. Iowa Central and C. & N. W, refusal.....	1890	868
Gould, E E., Goldfield, v. B., C. R. & N., failure.....	1882	559
Hambleton Milling Co., Keokuk, v C, R. I. & P., discrimination	1894	353
Hampton Milling Co., Hampton, v Iowa Central, refusal	1890	867
Heath, H. R & Sons, Ft Dodge, v. Illinois Central, discrimination	1894	164
Heath, H. R & Sons, Ft. Dodge, v M & St L, at Sheldon	1897	60
Heaton Fuel Co, Council Bluffs, v C & N. W., excessive charges	1888	667
Iowa Paint Manufacturing Co, Ft. Dodge, v. M. & St. L., excessive	1908	273
Jones county, supervisors of, v C & N W	1888	675
Keokuk & W R R Co v St L, K & N. W. et al, exorbitant charges	1889	394
K & W R R Co v C, R I & P., refusal at Bevington	1899	
Lane, H D & Co, Tama, v C, M & St P., exhorbitant rate	1892	854
Leeds Brick Works Sioux City v. Ill. Gent. Ry. et al. application of distance tariff rate for	1905	303
Little, E J, Lima, Ohio, v. C. M. & St. P, refusal, of oil at Dubuque.....	1889, 1032, 1036	
Lyons Paper Mill Co, v C. & N W., excessive rates on coal.....	1892	865
Malden, T E, Manson, v. Ill. Cent., exhorbitant charges	1881	131
Miller, A. H., Melbourne, v. C., M & St P., refusal to	1893	216
Moore & Woolenberg. Arion v C M. St. P. to private property	1905	294
Niver Iron Works. Muscatine, v. B., C R. & N	1899	82
Norwegian Plow Co, Dubuque, v Ill Cent, refusal to	1889	1037
Oskaloosa Water Co, Oskaloosa, v Central Iowa, excessive rates for	1886	590
Ottumwa Iron Works, v. C., R. I. & P, excessive charges on coal	1891	757
Preston, W. A., Grinnell, v C, R I & P, refusal	1901	473
Randall & Dickey, Des Moines, v C & N. W, refusal cars of implements	1892	837
Scott, B P., Goldfield, v. B, C R. & N, refusal	1898	54
South Side Ice Co, Centerville, inquiry concerning	1892	51

SWITCHING—Continued.	Year	Page
Complaints Concerning—Continued.		
Sweezy & Borman, Newell, v. Ill. Cent., excessive rates	1883	539
Talbot, D. H., Sioux City, v. C. M. & St. P. et al., excessive charges ..	1890	864
Thompson, Watson, Clinton, v. C. & N. W., refusal to	1888	668
Townsend, E., Cedar Falls, v. Ill. Cent., overcharge	1881	143
Townsend, J. W., Keokuk, v. C., R. I. & P., discrimination in	1894	353
Vincent, J. F., Des Moines, v. C. R. I. & P., cars to Union stockyards	1896, 105; 1901	388
Western White Bronze Co., Des Moines, v. C., R. I. & P., refusal ..	1895	192
Wisconsin, Iowa & Nebraska R'y Co., Des Moines, v. C. & N. W., refusal to ..	1884	530
Wisconsin, Iowa & Nebraska, v. Iowa Northern, refusal to, and forward ..	1896	559
Wolff, P. A., Cedar Rapids, v. C. & N. W., refusal to ..	1883	254
Wylie, J. S., Davenport, v. C. M. & St. P., excessive charges	1890	901, 920
TAKING UP TRACK —see <i>Abandonment of Road</i> .		
TARIFFS —		
Posting of, legislation recommended	1889	42
Ruling of board, concerning posting of	1889	1009
TARIFF, GRANGER —see <i>Granger Tariff</i> .		
TAXES, TAXATION AND TAX AID —		
Discussion of law concerning	1884	82
In aid of railroads, discussed ..	1884	82
In aid of railroads to secure stations—see <i>Stations</i> .		
Rich, Geo. L., Ft. Dodge, v. Ft. Dodge & Ft. Ridgley, and M. & St. L. R'ys ..	1893	590
Returns to be made to railroad commissioners	1879	33
Taxation of railroad property	1878	69
Taxation of railroads, discussed	1886	14
TELEGRAPH FACILITIES —see <i>Station Facilities</i> .		
Beloit, citizens of, v. C. M. & St. P.	1903	307
Donnan, citizens of, v. C. M. & St. P.	1903	315
Wadena, on C. M. & St. P.	1903	312
Telephone lines crossing railway line, inquiry concerning rights and duties	1904	309
TELEPHONES :		
Loveland, Oscar Kelsey of v. Ill. Cent. Ry Co. across railroad right of way	1905	295
TERMINAL CHARGES —		
Huse, S. E., Coon Rapids, v. C. M. & St. P., excessive on short haul of coal	1887	722
Dissenting opinion by Commissioner Dey	1887	727
Petition for establishment of at one cent per hundred	1889	1034
TERRITORY, DIVISION OF, BETWEEN ROADS —see <i>Miscellaneous</i> ; also <i>Rates</i> .		
THROUGH BILLING —		
Davenport, shippers of, v. B. C. R. & N. et al., West Liberty ..	1889, 1022, 1087	
THROUGH RATES, PERCENTAGE OF , allowed to local lines	1893	33
THROUGH TRAIN SERVICE see <i>Train Service</i> .		
TICKETS —see, also, <i>Passenger Fares</i> .		
Bogart, George, Shenandoah, v. C., R. I. & P., in honoring round trip tickets	1890	939
Burns, Thomas, Breda, v. C. & N. W., refund paid for but not issued ..	1893	216
Byram, M. W. Fremont, v. Iowa Cent., discrimination in sale of round trip	1891	727
Discrimination in sale of—see <i>Discrimination</i> .		
Grissell, A. H., Menlo, v. C. R. I. & P., regulations concerning, limited..	1890	936
TIME RATES —see <i>Rates</i> .		
TIME FOR LOADING AND UNLOADING CARS —see <i>Demurrage</i> .		
TOLL, BRIDGE, ABSORPTION OF —see <i>Bridge Toll</i> .		

TONNAGE—

Year Page

Earnings, on state and interstate, decrease in for 1894, compared with 1893	1894	215
East and west compared	1878	64
From point to point in Iowa as compared with total Iowa tonnage..	1892	15-20
Failure of companies to report commodity.....	1878	61
Failure to separate for different states	1878	62
In carloads and less than carloads, comparative amount in car.....	1893	33
In Iowa, increase of	1890, 26; 1891	4
In Iowa, has reached its maximum.....	1893	32
Local freight, percentage of in Iowa	1894	31
Percentage of	1895	23
TOOL CHESTS, MECHANICS', TRANSPORTATION OF AS BAGGAGE—see <i>Baggage</i> .		
TOPOGRAPHICAL—Discussion of elevation of railroads....	1879	59-63
TRACKS, SPURS, COMPULSORY OPERATION OF—see <i>Spur Tracks</i> .		
TRACK SCALES—		
Calloway, Dr. P., Atlantic, v. C. R. I. & P.....	1895	233
Denette, J. H., Randolph, v. T. & N., imperfect condition of.....	1900	210
For weighing grain and live stock	1895XXXXVII	
Ottumwa Fuel Co., Ottumwa v. C. R. I. & P. Ry. Co. petition for	1905	267
Sanders, W. B., Rolfe, v. C. & N. W., conditions necessary for securing ..	1892	851
TRAFFIC POOLING—see <i>Pooling</i>		
TRAINS BLOCKING STREETS—see <i>Obstructing Streets</i> .		
TRAIN BULLETINS IN PASSENGER DEPOT, law in reference to	1903	242
TRAIN CONNECTION—see <i>Train Service</i> .		
TRAINS CARRYING PASSENGERS TO BE STOPPED AT PLATFORM—see, <i>Stopping Trains at Platform</i> .		
TRAINS, SPEED OF—see <i>Speed of Trains</i>	1899	987, 106
TRAIN SERVICE—see <i>Stopping Trains at Platforms</i> .		
Authority of state to interfere with operation of through interstate passenger trains, opinion of United States supreme court	1903	359
Carriers required to furnish adequate and reasonable facilities.....	1891	810
Obligation to furnish first-class service in consideration of aid received..	1899	1007
Restoration of on branch lines	1899	10
Should be so arranged as to carry passengers to principal towns in forenoons and return in the afternoon	1891	766, 810
Decision of United States supreme court with reference to right of state to interfere with the running of through trains.....	1900	369
Discussion of through passenger and freight service.....	1899	6
Discussion of stopping fast trains at small stations.....	1896	66
Complaints Concerning—		
Afton, citizens of, v. C. G. W., insufficient mail service at Talmage	1896	36
Albia, citizens of, v. Central Iowa, insufficient number of trains.....	1893	595
Alden, F. E. Furry, v. Ill. Cent., stopping fast train.....	1896	94
Allen, J. F., Vinton, C. M. & St. P., petition for additional	1899	1061
Allerton, citizens of, v. O., R. I. & P. insufficient	1904	255
Allison, B. P., Harding, v. C. G. W., additional	1901	528
Alton, crossing, C. & N. W. and C., St. P. M. & O	1903	250
Ames, M. Y., Marcus v. Ill. Cent. Ry. Co., time of stock into Chicago..	1905	277
Aplington, citizens of, v. Illinois Central, re-establishment of night service, etc	1893, 219; 1896, 88; 1899	38
Atalissa, citizens of, v. C., R. I. & P., stopping through trains	1897	7
Aurora, citizens of, by H. J. Griswold, Winthrop, v. C. G. W., better mail	1894	269
Aurora, citizens of, v. C. G. W.	1901	112
Bassett, citizens of, v. C. M. & St. P., flag stop.....	1904	304
Bayless, F. D., Elkader, v. C., M. & St. P., insufficient.....	1899	1012
Beck, W. J., Ft. Madison, v. St. L., K. & N. W., insufficient.....	1899	79
Beck, W. J. R., Ft. Madison, v. A., T. & Santa Fe.....	1902	271
Bromley, W. H. Ventura v. C. M. & St. P. Ry. Co., petition to have "flyer" stop at station	1905	260
Canfield, Lee, Benson, v. Ill. Cent	1902	27

TRAIN SERVICE—Continued.

Year Page

Complaints Concerning—Continued.

Cascade, citizens of, v. C. M. & St. P., inadequate freight train service	1896	85
Cedar Falls, L. O. Robinson of, v. C. G. W.	1904	267
Charles City, train connection at C., M. & St. P., et al.	1901	481
C., M. & St. P., between Marion and Council Bluffs	1903	235
Chillicothe, citizens of, v. C., B. & Q., stopping through train	1900	168
Coin, W. E., Adair of, v. O. & St. L., stopping fast train	1898	73
Collver, H. F., Mechanicsville, v. C. & N.-W.	1902	281
Condon, J. J., Maloy v. C. G. W. Ry. Co., petition to stop train	1905	263
Cone, citizens of, v. B., C. R. & N., stopping additional trains	1899	1014
Conger, Jas., Des Moines, v. C., M. & St. P., insufficient	1899	118
Corning, citizens of, v. C., B. & Q., stopping trains	1891, 798; 1893	200
Cozier, B. F. W., Indianola, v. C. & N.-W., et al., connection at Sheldahl	1897	727
Cumming, citizens of, v. C. G. W., additional train service	1896, 121; 1901	499
Dashiel, M. A., et al., Indianola, v. C., R. I. & P.	1892	859
Davis, W. J., Harlan, v. C., R. I. & P., withdrawal, of, on branch lines	1899	1006
Decorah, Citizens of v. C., R. I. & P. Ry. Co., snow blockades	1905	290
Defiance, citizens of, et al. v. C., M. & St. P., additional train	1892	783
DeBusk, M. R., Wiota, mail service	1899	94
DeSota, Earlham, Dexter, citizens of, v. C., R. I. & P., additional	1897	91
Denison, citizens of, v. C. & N.-W., petition to stop fast train	1892	733
Dunsmore, N., Rockwell, v. Central Iowa, failure to stop at stations, etc.	1882	529
Earlham, citizens of, v. C., R. I. & P., petition to stop additional trains	1896	519
Elvader, citizens of, v. C., M. & St. P., on branch line	1897	97
Elkader, citizens of, v. C., M. & St. P., restoration of, on branch line	1903	281
Falkenhamer, Geo., Vinton, v. B., C. R. & N.	1898	66
Fort Madison Branch of C., B. & Q., petition for better service	1905	245
Forbes, Frank, Osage, v. C., M. & St. P., et al train connections	1898	684
Foster, citizens of, v. C., M. & St. P., stopping through trains	1904	264
Furnas, W. S., Lisbon, v. C. & N.-W. Ry. Co., stopping through trains	1903	269
Galt, citizens of, v. B., C. R. & N., stopping through trains	1902	245
Gladbrook, train connections at	1904	295
Green Bros., et al., East Peru, v. C. G. W., insufficient freight	1895	119
Greenfield, Orient, Bridgewater, Fontanelle and Massena, citizens of, v. C., B. & Q., additional train service for branch line	1891	763
Griffith, L. H., Elliott v. C., B. & Q., petition for better freight train service	1905	310
Haines, A. L., Seymour, v. C., R. I. & P.	1902	255
Hancock, J. T. & Sons, Dubuque, v. C. G. W., passenger	1895	171
Hardy, Becker & Cheever of, v. B., C. R. & N.	1900	111
Hickory, citizens of, v. Iowa Central, flag station	1904	271
Hillsboro. Citizens of v. O., B. & Q. Ry., Fort Madison Branch	1905	245
Hilweg, E. W., Des Moines, v. C., M. & St. P.	1903	300
Hornady, C. A., Udell, v. C., R. I. & P., stopping through trains	1903	289
Hospers, citizens of v. C., St. P., M. & O., petition for night train service	1896	45
Hoyt, O. N., Green Mountain, v. C. G. W., stopping train on signal	1897	119
Hummer, George, Mercantile Co., Iowa City, v. C., R. I. & P., insufficient	1894	316
Jenson, E. M., Ottumwa, v. Wabash, et al., between Ottumwa and Des Moines	1895	180
Johnson, D. G., et al., Kalo Junction, v. M. & St. L., resumption of	1902	256
Jones, C. D., Independence, v. C., R. I. & P. and Ill. Cent., insufficient	1894	391
Kean & Turnis, Cascade, v. C., M. & St. P. Ry. Co., petition for better	1905	310
Kelley, T. W., Neola, v. C., M. & St. P. Ry. Co., stock trains	1905	267
Keosauqua, citizens of, v. C., R. I. & P., petition for	1890	875
Keosauqua, et al., Citizens of v. C., R. I. & P., petition for additional trains	1905	296
Kincade, J. W., Sewal v. C. R. I. & P. flag stop at Kniffin	1905	310
Kirkpatrick, J. W., Wyoming, v. C. & N.-W., carrying passengers on freight trains	1897	151
Knowles, W. F., James, v. C. St. P., M. & O., petition for local	1893	138
Knowles, W. F., James, v. Ill. Cent. et al., LeMars to Sioux City	1885	572

TRAIN SERVICE—Continued.

Complaints Concerning—Continued.

Year Page

Latty, citizens of, v. B., C. R. & N., passenger train	1887	688
Latty, E. T., Jackson of, v. C., R. I. & P., flag stop	1904	291
Lehigh, citizens of, v. M. C. & Ft. D., restoration of	1902	229
Leimkuehler, Frank, Moscow, v. C., R. I. & P., additional trains ..	1893	217
Letts, citizens of, v. C., R. I. & P., asking that through trains stop on signal	1903	293
Lisbon, citizens of, v. C. & N.-W., flag stop	1904	313
Macedonia, citizens of, v. C., B. & Q., on account of mail	1902	258
Marble Rock, F. E. Gates, mayor of, v. B., C. R. & N., stopping train at small station	1888	96
Masonville, J. W. Turley, of, v. Ill. Cent., stopping through train ..	1898	45
McCallsburg, John P. Sunde et al., of, v. Iowa Cent., branch line train service	1896	49
McDougal, E. J., et al, Arcadia, v. C. & N.-W., insufficient	1884	517
Milo, Lacona, Ackworth, Chariton, v. C., B. & Q.	1896	164
Mohr, O. F. et al., Westfield v. C. M. & St. P. Ry. Co., freight carried by station	1905	256
Moscow, F. Leimkuehler, v. C., R. I. & P., stopping train on flag signal ..	1901	251
Mt. Ayr and citizens of Ringgold county, v. C., B. & Q., inadequate	1889	1004
Dissenting opinion of Commissioner Dey	1889	1008
Mt. Ayr, citizens of, v. C., B. & Q., letter from attorney-general concerning	1893	242
Murphy, D. D., Elkader, v. C., M. & St. P., improved	1882	864
Numa & Centerville, citizens of, v. C., R. I. & P., additional	1891	761
Oak Grove, citizens of, v. Iowa Cent., refusal to stop trains	1900	182
Olinger, William, Thayer, v. C., B. & Q., additional	1894	265
Panama & Persia, et al., citizens of, v. C., M. & St. P., additional	1892	783
Persia, citizens of, et al., v. C., M. & St. P., additional	1892	783
Pleasanton, citizens of, v. D. M. & K. C., additional	1893	119
Pocahontas, citizens of et al., v. C., R. I. & P., on Gowrie-Sibley branch ..	1903	309
Printy, H. C., Center Point, v. B., C. R. & N., additional	1892	742
Ralston, citizens of, v. C. & N.-W., stopping through trains	1903	328
Reynard, Joseph S. et al., Creston v. C., St. P. & K. C., and C., B. & Q., additional at Afton Junction	1892, 779; 1882	136
Rhoades, Citizens of v. C. M. & St. P. Ry Co., flag stop	1905	287
Richards, A. C., McGonigle of, v. Ill. Central, stopping on signal	1904	279
Ruchte, A., Dubuque, v. C., M. & St. P., on Volga branch	1888	10
Rudd, citizens of, v. C., M. & St. P., stopping fast train 1901, 177; rehearing ..	1895	115
Sabula, citizens of, v. C., M. & St. P., flag stop	1904	303
Sandusky, citizens of, v. St. L., K. & N. W., stopping fast train	1894	266
Santiago and Berwick, citizens of, v. C., St. P. & K. C. additional	1892	787
Scott, J. H., Mediapolis, v. B. & N. W., train to carry passengers	1892	849
Seamans, H. W., Clinton, v. B., C. R. & N., insufficient	1890	945
Seymour, citizens of, v. C., R. I. & P., stopping night trains	1903	243
Shannon City, J. K. Ewing et al., of, v. C. G. W., stopping fast train	1896	53
Shellsburg, Citizens of v. C. R. I. & P. Ry., stopping trains on signal ..	1905	250
Shriver, A. B. et al., Winterset v. O. R. I. & P. Ry Co., petition for better service	1905	255
Sidney, citizens of, v. C., B. & Q., for stock shipment	1903	287
Sioux City and Pacific railroad, train service	1901	462
Sloan, citizens of, v. S. C. & P., additional	1889	1072
Snoke, D. H. et al., Durant, v. C., R. I. & P., insufficient	1896	146
Sperry, on C., M. & St. P., flag stop	1904	291
Stanley, citizens of, v. C. G. W., additional	1901	526
Stewart Bros and Stamp, Keota, v. C. R. I. & P. Ry Co., trains for stock shipments	1905	252
Strahn, citizens of, v. O. & St. L., stopping fast train at	1899	78
Sully, citizens of, v. Iowa Central, insufficient	1903	266
Talmage, citizens of, v. C. G. W., insufficient mail service	1896	36
Templeton, citizens of, v. C., M. & St. P., stopping train on signal	1903	329

TRAIN SERVICE—Continued.

Year Page

Complaints Concerning—Continued.

Tiffin and sixteen other cities and towns on C., R. I. & P., additional	1891	803
Titonka, Citizens of v. C., R. I. & P. Ry. Co., petition for maintenance of scheduled time.....	1905	251
Turkey River Branch, C. M. & St. P.....	1898	20
Vinton, Cunningham, T. C., et al., of v. B., C. R. & N., request for through freight service.....	1900	211
Volga Branch, C., M. & St. P.....	1896, 20; 1900	106
Waterloo, train connections at.....	1899	75
Waterloo, connections at between O. G. W. & C. R. I. & P.....	1905	299
Wall Lake and Mondamin Branch, C. & N.-W.....	1903	326
Waukon, citizens of, v. C. M. & St. P., additional.....	1892	732
Waukon, citizens of, v. C., M. & St. P., branch line service.....	1903	279
Wehde, D. C., Berwick, v. C. G. W., stopping certain train.....	1896	130
Weihle, Wm. E., v. C., M. & St. P., from McGregor.....	1895	508
Westgate, R. R., Robinson of, v. C. G. W., stopping fast train.....	1896	22
West Branch, C. H. Wickersham of, v. C. R. I. & P.....	1904	267
West Union, citizens of et al., v. C. M. & St. P., on Volga Branch.....	1900	106
White, George F. Low Moor, v. C. & N.-W., additional.....	1899	1087
Whiting, city of, v. S. C. & P., stopping fast train.....	1896	43
Whiting, Will, Wall Lake & Mondamin Branch C. & N.-W., train service on.....	1903	836
Whiting, W. C. Whiting, v. S. C. & P., stopping trains.....	1896	80
Whitmore, Frank, Osceola, v. C., B. & Q., insufficient passenger.....	1887	708
Wilson, F. M., Templeton, v. C., M. & St. P., stopping "flyer".....	1893, 243; 1894	179
Woodburn, citizens of, v. C., B. & Q., discrimination in.....	1902	238
Yorkshire, citizens of et al., v. C., M. & St. P., additional.....	1892	783
TRAMPS	1878	45
TRANSFER TRACKS AND TRANSFER FACILITIES, CHARGES, ETC—see, also, Y.		
Baggage, passenger or freight, discussion of law concerning.....	1894	78-79
Fogg, J. Leland, Cedar Rapids, v. C., M. & St. L., et al., charges.....	1891	849
Fayette county, citizens of, v. C., M. & St. P., at Donnan Junction.....	1887	706
Harris & Cole Bros., Cedar Falls, v. C., & N. W., Ill. Cent., C., B. & Q., C., St. P. & K. C. and H. & S., petition for transfer for less than carload of freight in O'Brien county, near Afton, and in Ringgold county.....	1899	906
Kendig, A. J., Shannon City, v. W. F. & Co's Express, refusal to transfer at Afton Junction.....	1900	165
Leiser, I. M. Abbott, v. B., C. R. & N., failure to furnish.....	1890	136
Linby, Dudgeon & Gambell of, v. C., B. & Q.....	1904	272
Louden Machinery Company, Fairfield, v. C., B. & Q. and C. G. W., at Afton.....	1893	167
Miller, Jay D., Ida Grove, v. C., M. & St. P. et al., petition for crossing.....	1887	755
Nelson, Oley, et al., v. C. & N. W. and C., M. & St. P., at Sheldahl.....	1903	696
Reynard, J. S., Creston, v. C., B. & Q. and C. G. W., at Afton Junction.....	1893	136
Rodifer, J. W., Council Bluffs, v. C. & N. W., protest against requiring transfer of coal at Junction.....	1879	39
Waldo & Thornley, Woodward, v. C., R. I. & P. et al., at Junction.....	1897	123
Beard, J. R., Oto, v. C., M. & St. P., et al., failure to transfer at Y.....	1903	209
TRANSPORTATION FACILITIES FOR COAL—see, also, Failure to Furnish Cars.		
TRANSPORTING FREIGHT—see Refusal to Receive and Forward Freight.		
TRANSPORTATION FEE FOR COMMISSIONERS AND SECRETARY—see also Laws	1878	33
TRAVELING MEN'S SAMPLE CASES—see Baggage.		
TRESPASSING ON TRACKS, DANGER OF	1891	841
TRESPASS—		
Gillis, Jas. B., Mt. Pleasant, v. St. L., K. & N. W., location of ditch.....	1901	471
TUCKER, HORACE, G. F. A., Ill. Cent., on reduction of Iowa corn rates.....	1896	621

UNDER CROSSING—see *Crossing*.UNIFORMITY OF RAILROAD ACCOUNTS—see *Accounts*.

Year Page

UNIFORM CLASSIFICATION, discussed 1887 4

UNION DEPOTS—see also *Stations and Joint Stations*.

At junction points, discussed and recommended 1884, 42 78, 82

Discussion of, by L. S. Coffin 1888 89

Liabilities of companies using same 1884 82

Legislation recommended 1889, 40; 1891 44

Complaints Concerning—

Adams county, citizens of, v. C., S. P. & K. C. et al., petition near Afton 1888 747

Carnforth, on C. & N. W. and C., R. I. & P 1903 278

Council Bluffs, city of, v. all entering railways, petition for 1892 809

Council Bluffs, citizens of, various lines, petition for 1886 570

Des Moines, unsafe condition of 1898 11

Harrison Twp., Mahaska county, citizens of, v. B. & W. & C. & N. W., petition 1887 764

Keokuk, city of, v. C.; R. I. & P., et al., petition for 1896 612

Dissenting opinion by Commissioner Coffin 1886 617

Ledyard v. B. C. R. & N. and C. & N. W. 1898 38

Malvern, crossing of, C. B. & Q. and O. & St. L. 1900 134

Morning Sun, citizens of, v. B., C. R. & N. et al., petition for 1888 726

Ottumwa case 1887 699

Wheatland case 1887 756

Union stock yards, receipts of cattle and hogs at, in 1904 1904 237

UNIT OF RATES the car load—see, also, *Car Loads Rates*, 1880 182UNJUST DISCRIMINATION—see *Discrimination*.UNSAFE CONDITION OF BRIDGE—see *Bridges, Unsafe Condition of*

UNSAFE CONDITION OF ROAD—

Barnes, A. R., Albia, v. Albia & Cent 1892 739

Bristow, citizens of, v. C. G. W., of Sumner & Hampton branch 1892 764

Cochran, M., Osis, v. B., C. R. & N 1881 134

Edwards, N. W., Moulton, v. St. L., K. C. & N 1880 64

Employees, committee of, v. O. & St. L. 1892 753

Hotchkiss, A. C., Adel, v. D. M., N. & W 1892 845

Kason, L. J., Des Moines, v. Iowa Cent 1886 553

Sumner & Hampton, branch on C. G. W. 1892 88

VALUE—

Actual present cash, of road and equipment, letters concerning. 1897 131-147

Letters of W. G. Parry, vice president C., R. I. & P. Ry Co., and discussion 1889 41, 44-45

Present cash, of railroad property 1896 661

Of railroads as compared with other property 1878 66

VIADUCTS—

City council, by laws of Iowa, given full control and jurisdiction over the streets, alleys and public grounds of the city 1893 152

City of Sioux City v. O. M. & St. P. Ry Co. et al. 1903 232

Commissioners have no authority to order where no street exists 1892 777

Condition of in various cities 1894 150

Dubuque, City of v. C. G. W. reconstruction of 1905 307

Expense not a factor in protecting life at crossing 1896 586

Jurisdiction of commissioners over, appellate or supervisory in its nature 1893 152

Objections of abutting property owners relatively unimportant as bearing upon the public safety and convenience 1893 153

Plans for construction must provide for closing street over railroad 1891 163

Rights of public to viaduct, expense not to be considered 1896 566

VIADUCTS—Continued.

Complaints Concerning—

Year Page

Cedar Rapids, city of, v. B., C. R. & N. et al, petition for, across avenue A.	1888	742
Cedar Rapids, city of, v. various railways, petition for.....	1891, 801; 1901	159
Davenport, Charles Francis, engineer, v. C., M. & St. P., petition for.....	1894	304
Davenport, city of, v. C., M. & St. P.....	1899	71
Des Moines, Iowa, in matter of, on Ninth street	1893, 735; 1893, 149; 1894	143
Des Moines, in matter of, on Seventh street.	1898	736
Des Moines, plans for, on Seventh street, approved ...	1899	1079
Des Moines, on Eighteenth street.....	1903	209
Fort Dodge, city of, v. C., R. I. & P. and M. & St. L., petition for....	1892, 802; 1900	67
Fort Dodge, H. M. Griffin et al, v. M. C. & Ft. D	1904	222
McGregor, city of, v. C., M. & St. P., over-crossing on highway.....	1889	1009
Mason City, city of, v. Iowa Central, condition of ...	1903	271
Neola, citizens of, v. C., R. I. & P. and C., M. & St. P., petition for ...	1892	905
Oelwein, city of, v. C. G. W., condition of	1899, 81; 1903	271
Oskaloosa, city of, v. C., R. I. & P., petition for ...	1892	708
Oskaloosa, city of, v. Iowa Central R'y, petition for ...	1900	139
Sigourney, Gambell, W. C., v. C., R. I. & P., condition of.	1903	319
Walnut, citizens of, v. C., R. I. & P., petition for over-head crossing	1899	979

VIOLATION OF CONTRACT—see *Contract*WAREHOUSE SITES—see *Sites*.

WAREHOUSES, PUBLIC should be established 1895 xxxv

WAREHOUSE, USE OF CARS FOR see *Demurrage*.

WARMING CARS—Discussion of .. 1897, 56; 1899, 6; 1898 4

WAR RATES see *Rate Wars*.WATER, OVERFLOW, DRAINAGE, BACK WATER, ETC.—see *Overflow drainage*.

WATER FOR LIVE STOCK: Wickersham, T. G.; Capron, v. C. G. W., depriving use of 1901 519

WATER ROUTES for east bound freights, effects on rates 1879 70

WATERED STOCK—see, also, *Capitalization and Stock Watering*.

Discussion of 1891, 83; 1893, 31; 1896, 55; 1897 51-58

Earnings of, 1874 to 1888..... 1891 36, 37

Increase of, by C., R. I. & P..... 1890 178

Increase of, by C., B. & Q..... 1890 175

Legislative control in issuance of 1890 179

WATERWAY, OBSTRUCTION OF—see *Obstruction and Overflow*.

WATT, JAMES, letter of, on maintenance of carload rates.... 1885 47

WEIGHING ASSOCIATION, WESTERN, rules to govern shipments..... 1895 218

WEIGHING OF COAL, Nagle & Son, Red Oak, v. C., B. & Q. 1904 266

WEIGHTS, ESTIMATED—see *Minimum Weights*.WHISTLING AT HIGHWAY CROSSING—see *Stock Killed, Crossing, Highway*.WHOLESALE AND RETAIL RATES see, also, *Carload Rates*..... 1890 182WHOLESALE HOUSE, NUMBER IN IOWA—see *Jobbers*.

WICKER, H. C., traffic manager C. & N. W., on reduction of Iowa corn rates 1886 621

Y TRACKS—

Discussion and legislation recommended..... 1889 40

Laws concerning, imperative and compulsory 1892, 429; 1897 754

Legislation recommended..... 1891 44

Will not be ordered unless required for commercial reasons 1893 171

Complaints Concerning—

Adams county, citizens of, v. C., B. & Q., petition for near Afton 1898 747

Algona, citizens of, v. C., M. & St. P., petition for 1899, 1056-1058

Algona, citizens of, v. C. & N. W. et al., petition for..... 1890, 843; 1900 156

Atwood, What Cheer Stove Co., v. C., R. I. & P. et al., petition for..... 1897 139

Y TRACKS—Continued.

Complaints Concerning—Continued.

	Year	Page
Bevington, D. M. & K. C. v. C., R. I. & P.....	1897	20
Billings, E., Ogden, v. M. & St. L. and O. & N. W., at Ogden	1892	556
Boone Cereal Co, Boone v. C. & N. W. and others application for.....	1905	294
Brown, M. C., et al., Waterloo, v. C. G. W. et al	1897	30
Budd, H. J., Knoxville, v. C. & N. W. and C., B. & Q., transfer track....	1894	580
Burt, C. J., Dubuque, v. C., M. & St. P. and O. & N. W., at Clinton....	1892	539
Carnforth, What Cheer Stove Co, v. C., R. I. & P. et al.....	1897	139
Central Iowa Coal Co., Des Moines, v. D. M. & Ft. D. et al., petition for	1894	514
Cox & Kirker, Deep River, v. C., R. I. & P. and C. & N. W., at crossing	1894	591
Diagonal, citizens of, v. C., St. P. & K. C. and H. & S., petition for.....	1899	1063
Fayette county, citizens of, v. C., M. & St. P. et al., at Donnan Junction.	1897	705
Geddings, C. S., Kelley, v. C. & N.-W., refusal to transfer cars at Sheldahl	1896	530
Hanna, J. Q., Goldfield, v. C. & N.-W	1892	442
Henry, W. G., et al Emmetsburg. v. B, C. R. & N. and O. M. & St. P. .	1892	528
Lawrence, C. S., Manning, v. C. & N.-W. and O., M. & St. P.....	1895	566
Lehigh, Samuel McClure, v. M. C. & Ft. D. et al.....	1897	36
Leiser, I. M., Abbot, v. B., C. R. & N., petition for.....	1890	136
Leiser, et al., Abbot, v. B., C. R. & N. and Central Iowa, for establishing of	1892	439
Lohrville, Patten, M. T. of, v. C. & N.-W, application for.....	1896, 25; 1897	19
McCoy, H. C., Algona, v. C., M. & St. P. and C. & N.-W., at crossing	1895	54
Miller, J. D., Ida Grove, v. C., M. & St. P. et al., petition for.....	1897	755
Ossian, citizens of, v. B., C. R. & N. and C., M. & St. P., petition for.....	1892	758
Richardson, G. H., Belmond, v. Central Iowa and B., C. R. & N., at crossing	1894	579
Robinson, C. W., Dubuque, v. C. & N.-W. and Ill. Cent., at Webster city.	1892	539
Rock Rapids, citizens of, v. Ill. Cent. et al., for transfer track....	1892, 782; 1901	306
Seymour, citizens of, v. C., M. & St. P. et al., for transfer track.....	1892	738
Shannon City, citizens of, v. C. G. W.....	1896	33
Smith, R. H., Holstein, v. C. & N.-W. et al., at Correctionville.....	1898	683
Sutherland and Paullina, citizens of, v. C. & N.-W. et al....	1897, 754; 1898	754
Talmage, Citizens of v. C. B. & Q. Ry. Co. and C. G. W. Ry Co. petition for transfer track....	1905	246
Waterloo, The Fowler Co., of, et al., v. C., G. W. et al., petition for, 1900, 80; 1901	174	
YOUNG MEN'S CHRISTIAN ASSOCIATION SECRETARIES, reduced rates for....	1892	855

VOLUME INDEX.

	Page.
A CCIDENTS to persons, 1878 to 1905.....	9
ACCIDENTS to persons on interurban lines, table of.....	137
ACCIDENTS to persons in Iowa, table of.....	126
ACCOMMODATIONS for passengers.....	6
ACKLEY, John A Wessels of, v. Ill. Cent. Rd. Co. petition to restore farm crossing.....	259
ACKLEY, citizens of, v. Ill. Cent. Rd. Co., street crossing.....	256
ACKLEY, Henry Humke of, v. Ill. Cent. Rd. Co., crossing at grade.....	258
ADAZA, L. R. Fritz of, v. C. M. & St. P., site for coal house.....	295
AFTON, Neil Sullivan of, v. C. G. W., undergrade farm crossing.....	250
AIR BRAKES, 1878 to 1905.....	9
ALBIA, Geo. W. Kerr of, v. Wabash, bad condition of fence.....	305
ALBIA & CENTERVILLE RY. Co., Officers, Mileage and Directors of.....	188
ALLEN, C. S. Laurens, v. M. & St. L., failure to furnish cars.....	272
Alvord, Farmers Elevator Company of, v. Great Northern Ry., site for elevator...	298
AMES & COLLEGE RY. Co., Mileage, Officers and Directors of.....	143
AMERICAN, Sons of Equity, Cumberland, v. C. B. & Q., site for coal house.....	311
AMES, M. Y. Marcus v. Ill. Cent, time of stock trains into Chicago.....	277
AMES, Commissioners, v. O & N. W., condition of toilet room at Ames.....	309
AMES & COLLEGE RY. Co., mileage, officers and directors of.....	143
ANDERSON, CHAS. E., Cylinder, v. C. M. & St. P., delay in transit.....	312
APPANOOSE COUNTY v. C. B. & Q. Ry. Co., drainage ditch.....	230
ARCADIA, town of, v. C. & N. W., inquiry concerning sidewalk to depot.....	272
ARION, Moore & Wollenberg of, v. C., M. & St. P., switch to private property....	295
ARMSTRONG, C. G. Finlayson of, v. C., R. I. & P., failure to furnish cars.....	285
ASHBY & MCGEE, Lucas v. C., B. & Q., condition of stock yards.....	308
ASSETS and liabilities, current, tables of.....	74-77
ATCHISON, TOPEKA AND SANTA FE RY. Co., Officers, Mileage and Directors of...	144
AUTOMATIC couplers, number in use, 1878 to 1905.....	9
AYRSHIRE, Tom Claer of, v. C. R. I. & P., drainage.....	246
B AGGAGE RATES, see Baggage.	
BAGGAGE:	
RICE, W. O., Omaha v. C., R. I. & P., excess.....	288
BELLEVUE, J. H. Ottens of, v. C., M. & St. P. killing of stock.....	313
BENSON, Citizens Lumber Co. of, v. Ill. Cent. Rd. Co., abandonment of station..	265
BENDICKSON, Gilbert, Lake Mills v. C. & N. W., drainage.....	303
BENTON COUNTY, condemnation proceeding by C. M. & St. P.....	216
BERLIN, Geo. S. Wilson v. C. G. W. damage to goods in transit.....	292
BLACK HAWK COUNTY, condemnation proceedings by C. G. W.....	215
BONDS and Stocks owned by railway companies, table of.....	22
BONDS and debt, tables of.....	16-21
BONDS of interurban lines, tables of.....	134
BOONE Suburban Ry. Co., Officers and Directors of.....	305
BOONE CEREAL Co., Boone, v. O & N. W. et al. Y connections.....	294
BOONE, W. M. Tong of, v. N. & N. W. improper operation of road.....	278
BREDA, Leo J. Lucey of v. Ill. Cent Ry. claim for damages.....	304
BREDA, Wm. Koster of, v. C. & N. W. bad condition of.....	314
BROMLEY, W. H. Ventura, v. C. M. & St. P. petition to stop fast train.....	280
BROWN & SON, West Bend v. C. R. I. P. failure to furnish cars.....	282
BROWN, W. S. Manson v. Ill. Cent. overcharge on coal.....	296
BROWING, et al. Newmarket v. C. B. & Q. failure to furnish cars.....	298

	Page.
BRIDGES, table of.....	90
BUFFALO CENTER, D. B. Sterling et al. of v. C. R. I. & P. failure to furnish cars..	282
BUFFALO CENTER, Geo. B. Johnson of, v. C. R. I. & P. failure to furnish cars	276
C AMBRIDGE, A. Nelson of, v. D. M. I. F. & N., undergrade farm crossing	262
CAMPBELL, Robert Martinsburg v. Iowa Central right of way fence	291
CAMPBELL, F. R. Sheffield v. Iowa Central Rd. damages	284
CAMPBELL, James et al., Lehigh, v. C. G. W., exorbitant switching charges	266
CAPITAL Stock, table of.....	13, 14, 15
CAPITAL, Stock and Funded Dept. of interurban lines, tables of.....	124
CARS, number of, 1878 to 1905.....	9
CARS, table of.....	94-97
CARS in use by interurban lines table of	136
CASCADE, Kean & Turnis, v. C. M. & St. P., train service....	274
CASES closed by correspondence.....	241
CATTLE GUARDS, table of.....	91
CEDAR RAPIDS & IOWA CITY RY. and Light Co. Officers and Directors of.	200
CEDAR RAPIDS & MARION CITY RY. Co. Officers and Directors.....	207
CEDAR FALLS, H. J. Hennings v. Ill. Cent. farm crossing	263
CEDAR RAPIDS, Jackson Grain Co. v. Ill. Cent., minimum weights	290
CHARGES, SWITCHING:	
Leeds Brick Works, Sioux City v. Ill. Cent. et al	302
CHARLES CITY, Waller Bros. of, v. --- live stock rates	296
CHEROKEE, A. R. Molyneaux of, v. Ill. Cent. Rd. Co., discrimination in min. wts.	289
CHICAGO, Glucose Sugar Refining Co. v. Ry. Cos., discrimination in grain rates	285
CHOICE OF MARKET:	
McColl Bros., Woodward, v. C. M. & St. P.....	311
CHURCHVILLE, Wm. Martins of, v. C. G. W. undergrade farm crossing.....	291
CHICAGO, ANAMOSA AND NORTHERN RY. Co. Mileage, Officers and Directors of	148
CHICAGO, BURLINGTON & QUINCY RY. Co. Officers, Mileage and Directors of..	150
CHICAGO GREAT WESTERN RY. Co. Officers, Mileage and Directors of	155
CHICAGO, MILWAUKEE & ST. PAUL RY. Co. Officers, Mileage and Directors of..	160
CHICAGO & NORTHWESTERN RY. Co., Mileage, Officers and Directors of.....	165
CHICAGO, ROCK ISLAND & PACIFIC RY. Co., Officers, Mileage and Directors....	171
CHICAGO, ST. PAUL, MINNEAPOLIS & OMAHA RY. Co., Officers, Mileage and Directors of.....	169
CITIZENS LUMBER Co., Benson, v. Ill. Cent. R. R. Co. abandonment of station..	265
CLAER, Tom, Ayrshire, v. C. R. I. & P. drainage,	246
CLASSIFICATION of freights, Adoption of Iowa Classification No 13.....	240
CLARKSON, John Owens of, v. C. B. & Q. Ry. Co. farm crossing	268
CLERMONT, Miller & Sons of, v. C. G. W. et al., joint rate on brick.....	306
CLIFT, N. R. Zearing, v. Iowa Central overcharge on car, wire fencing.....	281
CLINTON, Feiner Fish Co. of v. American Express Co. special fish and oyster rates	305
CLINTON, Feiner Fish Co. of, v. Express Companies, application for joint rates....	261
CLINTON GRAIN Co., Crystal Lake v. C. R. I. & P. failure to furnish cars	283
COIN, J. E. Davis, v. C. B. & Q. use of private siding for loading.....	283
COLFAX NORTHERN RD. Co., Officers, Mileage and Directors of	179
COLLINS, R. W. Sanders of, v. C. G. W. overcharge, interstate	269
COMMISSIONERS, v. Ill. Cent. and C. R. I. & P. in wreck at Iowa Falls.....	270
COMMISSIONERS, v. C. G. W. Ry. Co., Waterloo, connections at.....	299
COMMISSIONERS, v. C. G. W., depot facilities at Waverly.....	260
COMPARATIVE statistics.....	7, 8, 9
COMPARATIVE general balance sheet of interurban railways, tables of.....	127, 140
CONDEMNATION PROCEEDINGS:	
Benton county, by C. M. & St. Paul.....	216
Black Hawk county, by C. G. W.....	215
Dubuque county, by C. G. W.....	224

	Page.
CONDEMNATION PROCEEDINGS—Continued.	
Ft. Madison, by C. B. & Q.	317
Lee county, by A. T. & S. Fe.	217
Linn county, by C. M. & St. P.	226
Linn county, by C. M. & St. P.	219
Linn county, by C. M. & St. P.	225
Marion county, by Keokuk & Des Moines.	218
Shelby county, by C. M. & St. P.	236
Sioux City, by C. St. P. M. & O.	302
Washington county, by C. R. I. & P. Ry.	218
Woodbury county, by Iowa & Great Northern Ry.	215
Woodbury county, by Willmar & Sioux Falls Railway.	227
CONDON, J. J. Maloy v. Chicago Great Western, train service.	263
Cost of construction and equipment of interurban lines, tables of.	133
COST of road and equipment. table of.	26
CROOKED CREEK RAILROAD & COAL CO., Officers, Mileage and Directors of.	180
CROSSINGS, farm and highway, discussion of.	6
CROSSINGS highway, railway and farm, table of.	91

CROSSINGS, Farm—

Hennings, H. J., Cedar Falls, v. Ill. Cent. Rd. Co., failure to put in.	263
Hickman, M. O., Metz, v. C. R. I. & P., blocking of.	270
Holland, George, Kelley, v. N. & N. W., failure to provide.	291
Humke, Henry, Ackley, v. Ill. Cent. Rd. Co., at grade.	258
Loomis, N. D., Hedrick, v. Iowa Central, undergrade.	243
Martens, William, Churchville, v. C. G. W., undergrade.	291
Nargang, S. A., New Hampton, v. C. G. W., failure to put in.	262
Nelson, A., Cambridge, v. D. I. F. & N., undergrade.	262
Nicola, W. E., Riverside, v. C. R. I. & P., at grade.	255
Owens, John Clarkson, v. C. B. & Q., filling up undergrade.	268
Reigard, C. I., Spirit Lake v. C. R. I. & P., undergrade.	290
Rice, S. Shellrock, v. C. G. W.	310
Shiffer, C. E., Nodaway, v. C. B. & Q., undergrade.	243
Sullivan, Neil, Afton v. C. G. W., undergrade.	250
Weir, John, Sheldon, v. C. St. P. M. & O., petition for.	267
Wessels, John A., Ackley, v. Ill. Cent. Rd. Co., petition to restore.	259

CROSSINGS—Street and Highway:

Ackley, citizens of, v. Ill. Cent. Rd. Co., crossing street below grade.	256
Gerst, Hugo, Oakville, v. Iowa Cent. Ry. Co., farm.	309
Gilbert, Town of, v. C. & N. W., refusal to open.	297
Iowa Falls, city of, v. Ill. Cent., flagman at street.	292
Jasper county, citizens of, v. N. & N. W. Ry., dangerous highway.	293
Mystic, town of, v. C. M. & St. P., dangerous street crossing.	280
Newmarket, city v. C. B. & Q., petition to establish street.	264
Pape, Thos. M., Hillsboro, v. C. B. & Q., petition to provide street.	297
Parsons, James Galt, v. C. R. I. & P., dangerous condition of.	287
White, E. C., county attorney Harlan, v. C. G. W., dangerous.	303
Zurcher, Gott, Supervisor, Farmersburg v. C. M. & St. P., approach to bridge.	314
CRYSTAL LAKE, Clinton Grain Co., of v. C. R. I. & P., failure to furnish car.	283
CUMBERLAND, American Sons of Equity of, v. C. B. & Q., site for coal house.	311
CYLINDER, Ohas. E. Anderson of, v. C. M. & St. P., delay in transit.	312

D ALLAS CENTER, D. B. Zuck of, v. M. & St. L., failure to furnish cars.	280
DANVILLE, citizens of, v. C. B. & Q., station service.	260
DANVILLE, citizens of, v. C. B. & Q., petition to keep depot open for train.	260
DAMAGES:	

Campbell, F. R. Sheffield, v. Iowa Central, by refusal to sell homeseekers ticket.	231
Lucey, Leo J., Breda, v. Ill. Cent. Ry. Co., to overcoat in caboose.	304

	Page.
Paynes Creamery, Kingsley, v. C. & N. W., to butter in transit	314
Peterson, Thos. J. Titonka, v. C. R. I. & P., to hay in transit	294
Prindly, T. W., Steamboat Rock, v. Iowa Cent., fire set by engine	289
Wilson, Geo. S., Berlin, v. C. G. W., to goods in transit	292
DAVENPORT, ROCK ISLAND & NORTHWESTERN RY. Co., Officers, Mileage and Directors	181
DAVIS, J. E. Coin, v. C. B. & Q., use of siding No. 434	283
DAVIS BROS., Keota, v. C. R. I. & P., failure to furnish cars	278
DEBT, tables of	16, 21
DEBT and Capital Stock of Electric interurban lines, tables of	134
DECISIONS of Commissioners	213
DECORAH, citizens of, v. C. R. I. & P., train service	280
DECORAH, H. C. Hjerlied of, v. C. M. & St. P., discrimination in use of stock yards	271
DEEMER, John, Iowa Falls, v. C. R. I. & P., drainage	301
DELAY IN SHIPMENTS:	
Anderson, Chas. E., Cylinder, v. C. M. & St. P.	312
Commissioners v. C. G. W., at Oelwein	289
Germar, Otto, Volga City, v. C. M. & St. P.	309
Souers & Langdon, Grundy Center, v. C. R. I. & P.	282
DEPOT FACILITIES, see station service	
DES MOINES IOWA FALLS & NORTHERN RD. Co., Officers, Mileage and Directors	182
DES MOINES UNION RY. Co., Officers, Mileage and Directors of	183
DES MOINES WESTERN RY. Co., Officers, Mileage and Directors	184
DES MOINES, C. L. Percival Co. of v. M. & St. L. misapplication of rates	272
DIGEST of Decisions of Supreme Court of Iowa affecting railroads etc.	317-326
DIRECTORS, Officers and Mileage of Steam Railway companies	141
DIRECTORS and officers of Electric Interurban lines	203
DISCRIMINATION:	
Glucose Sugar Refining Co., Chicago, v. Ry. Cos. in grain rates	295
Molyneaux, A. R. Cherokee, v. Ill. Cent. Ry. Co. in minimum weights	289
DIVIDENDS declared during year	13
DOUBLE headers, use of in Iowa	5
DOWS, citizens of, v. C. G. W. establishment of station	244
DOWS, D. H. Pepper of v. C., R. I. & P. claim for loss in transit	281
DRAINAGE:	
Appanoose county, v. C., B. & Q. Ry. Co., drainage ditch	230
Bendickson, Gilbert, Lake Mills, v. C. & N. W.	303
Claer, Tom Ayrshire, v. C., R. I. & P., obstruction to natural waterflow	246
Deemer, John, Iowa Falls, v. C., R. I. & P.	301
Fremont county, v. C., B. & Q., drainage ditch over right of way	271
Gardner, C. E. Leon, v. C., B. & Q., obstruction to	218
Henning, Fred, Newton, v. N. & N. W., insufficiency of ditch	292
Hough A. T., Newton, v. N. & N. W. damage to farm land	287
Jones, John, Elkport, v. C. M. & St. P. obstruction to stream	275
McKee, J. R., East Peru v. C. G. W. damage by leak of tank	289
Page county, v. C. B. & Q.	306
Pocahontas county, v. C. R. I. & P. ditch through right of way.	257
Sherlock township, trustees of, v. C. R. I. & P.	310
Truro, town of, v. C. B. & Q. of street crossing	304
Urfer, Edwin, Montrose, v. C. B. & Q.	300
Vernocom, L. E., Valeria, v. C. N. Ry. Co.	299
DUBUQUE & SIOUX CITY RY. Co. Officers, Mileage and Directors of	185
DUBUQUE, City of, v. C. G. W. reconstruction of viaduct	307
DUBUQUE, H. B. Glover Co. of, v. W. P. A. excess baggage rates	245
DUBUQUE county, condemnation by C. G. W.	224
DUBUQUE, Orrick Bros. of, v. U. S. Express Company, loss in transit	296
DUNCAN, Vrba Nemetz, v. C. M. & St. P. closing of station	23

	Page.
EARNINGS entire line, tables of	42, 47
EARNINGS of Interurban lines, table of	120
EARNINGS in Iowa from 1878 to 1906	8
EARNINGS in Iowa, tables of	86, 41
EAST PERU , J. R. McKee of, v. C. G. W. drainage	289
ELDER , M. Klemme, v. C. R. I. & P. site for coal house ..	253
ELECTRIC Inter-Urban railways	3
ELKADER , M. L. Himes of, v. C. M. & St. P. overcharge	304
ELKPORT , John Jones of, v. C. M. & St. P. obstruction to stream.....	275
ELLIOTT , L. H. Griffith of, v. C. B. & Q. freight train service	310
EMPLOYES , accidents to 1878 to 1906.....	9
EMPLOYES in Iowa, number and compensation of 1878 to 1906	8
EMPLOYES and salaries, Iowa and entire line, tables of	82, 89
EQUIPMENT , description of	94, 97
EXCESS BAGGAGE RATES:	
Rice, W. O., Omaha, v. C. R. I. & P	288
EXCESSIVE RATES—Interstate:	
Ross, Chas. A., Gray, v. C. & N. W. on emigrant movables.....	279
EXLINE , C. W. Tundell of, v. C. B. & Q. use of Drake siding	273
EXLINE , citizens of, v. C. B. & Q. depot facilities	281
EXPENSES , operating in Iowa 1878 to 1906.....	8
EXPENSES , operating in Iowa tables of	48, 69
EXPENSES , operating entire line tables of	60, 70
EXPENSES , operating of interurban lines	130, 132
FAILURE TO FURNISH CARS:	
Allen, C. S. Laurens, v. M. & St. L., for hay shipments	272
Browning, et al, Newmarket, v. C. B. & Q. , for coal shipments.	288
Brown & Son, West Bend, v. C. R. I. & P., for shipment of live stock.	282
Davis Bros., Keota, v. C. R. I. & P., for coal shipment.....	278
Clinton Grain Co., Crystal Lake, v. C. R. I. & P.....	283
Finlayson, C. G., Armstrong, v. C. R. I. & P.....	286
Johnson, Geo. B., Buffalo Center, v. C. R. I. & P., for shipment of stock.....	276
Kuester, Henry, Melvin, v. C. R. I. & P	312
Lau & Sons, Klemme, v. C. R. I. & P	279
Lau & Sons, Klemme, v. C. R. I. & P	311
Manville & Ward, Ocheydan, v. C. R. I. & P., for live stock	284
Olson Bros., Swea City, v. C. R. I. & P., for live stock shipments	276
Sloan Elevator company, Sloan, v. C. & N. W	280
Steil Bros. Hay company, Mallard, v. M. & St. L., et al, due to shortage of....	313
Sterling, D. B., et al, Buffalo Cen., v. C. R. I. & P., for shipment of live stock.	282
Thompson, citizens of, v. C. R. I. & P., for shipment of cattle	283
Wesley Elevator Co., Radcliffe, v. C., M. & St. P.	286
Zuck, D. B., Dallas Center, v. M. & St. L., for stock	280
FAIRFIELD LUMBER CO. , Marion, v. C., M. & St. P., wrongful routing of freight...	297
FARMERS CEREAL CO. , Sloan, v. C. & N. W., elevator site.....	308
FARMERS ELEVATOR CO. , Inwood, v. C., M. & St. P., site for elevator.	294
FARMERS ELEVATOR CO. , Lanyon, v. Railway companies interstate rate on grain.	271
FARMERS ELEVATOR CO. , Melvin, v. C., R. I. & P., site for elevator	300
FARMERS ELEVATOR CO. , Rock Rapids, v. G. N. R., elevator site at Alvord	298
FARMERS ELEVATOR CO. , Wightman, v. C. G. W., elevator site	304
FARMERS INC. CO-OP. CO. , Palmer, v. C., R. I. & P., elevator site	275
FARMINGTON , W. J. Robertson of, v. C. B. & Q., minimum weight on hay shipm't.	279
FARMERSBURG , Gott Zurcher supervisor of, v. C. M. & St. P., approaches to bridge on highway	314

	Page.
FEEDING AND WATERING STOCK IN TRANSIT:	
Patton, U. L., Manning, v. C. G. W.,.....	296
FENCE:	
Campbell, Robert, Martinsburg, v. Iowa Central, right of way	291
Gibson, G. H., Thayer, v. C. B. & Q., bad condition of	302
Helmer, M. F. Lisbon, v. C. & N. W., poor condition of	253
Hurto, J. N. Laurel, v. Iowa Central, bad condition of	311
Kerr, Geo. W., Albia, v. Wabash Railroad, bad condition of	305
Koester, Wm., Breda, v. C. & N. W., bad condition of	314
Lisbon, M. F. Helmer of, v. C. & N. W., poor condition of	253
Reifschneider, Peter, Laurel, v. Iowa Central, bad condition of	305
Schnoor, Gus, et al Laurel, v. Iowa Central, bad condition of	312
Svendson, W. E., Mason City, v. Iowa Central et al., right of way	299
FEINER FISH CO., Clinton, v. Express Companies, petition for joint rates	261
FEINER FISH CO., Clinton, v. American Express Company, special fish and oyster rates	305
FINLAYSON, C. G. Armstrong, v. C., R. I. & P., failure to furnish cars	285
FIRE SET BY ENGINE:	
Prindly T. W., Steamboat Rock, v. Iowa Central Ry. claim on account of	289
FLAGMAN:	
Iowa Falls, city of, v. Ill. Cent. at street crossing	292
FT. MADISON, condemnation by C. B. & Q.	313
FOSTER, G. M., Waverly, v. Ill. Cent. stock yards	307
FREDONIA, citizens of v. C., R. I. & P. prepay station	245
FREMONT COUNTY, v. C. B. & Q. drainage	271
FRITZ, L. R., Adaza, v. C. M. & St. P. site for coal house	295
FUEL consumed by locomotives tables of	123
GALT, James, Parsons of, v. C., R. I. & P., dangerous street crossing	287
GARDNER, C. E., Leon, v. C. B. & Q. obstruction to natural drainage	243
GERMAR, Otto, Volga City, v. C., M. & St. P. delay in shipments	309
GERST, Hugo, Oakville, v. Iowa Central Ry. farm crossing	309
GIBSON, G. H. Thayer, v. C. B. & Q. bad condition of fence	302
GILBERT, town of, v. C. & N. W. street crossing	297
GLOVER, H. B. Co. Dubuque, v. W. P. Ass'n. excess baggage rates	245
GLUCOSE SUGAR REFINING Co., v. Ry. Cos. discrimination in grain rates	285
GRAY, Chas. A. Ross of, v. C. & N. W. excessive interstate rates	279
GREAT NORTHERN RY. Co., see Willmar and Sioux Falls Ry. Co.	
GRIFFITH, L. H., Elliott, v. C. B. & Q. train service	310
GRUNDY CENTER, of citizens of v. C. R. I. & P. night operator	262
GRUNDY CENTER, Souers & Langdon of, v. C. R. I. & P. delay in shipment of coal	282
GUTHRIE CENTER, M. Skutle of, v. C. R. I. & P. loss of salt in transit	281
HARLAN, E. C. White county Atty. of, v. C. G. W. dangerous highway crossing	303
HEDRICK, N. D. Loomis of, v. Ia. Cent. farm crossing	243
HELMER, M. F. Lisbon, v. C. & N. W. condition of fences and gates	253
HENNING, H. J., Cedar Falls, v. Ill. Cent. farm crossing	263
HENNING, Fred, Newton, v. N. & N. W. drainage	292
HIATTSVILLE, citizens of, v. Wabash, petition for depot	243
HICKMAN, M. C. Metz, v. C. R. I. & P. farm crossing	270
HILLSBORO, citizens of, v. C. B. & Q. train service. Ft. Madison branch	245
HILLSBORO, Thos. M. Pape of, v. C. B. & Q. street crossing	297
HIMES, M. L., Elkader, v. C. M. & St. P. overcharge	304
HJERLEID, H. O. Decorah, v. C. M. & St. P. discriminations in use of stock yards	271
HOLLAND, Geo., Kelley, v. N. & N. W. farm crossing	291
HOLSTER, Sioux City, v. C. St. P. M. & O. petition to condemn	302

	Page.
HOUGH, A T Newton, v. N & N W. drainage	287
HUMKE, Henry Ackley, v. Ill. Cent. R. R. Co. crossing at grade	258
HURST & Co. Maquoketa, v. C. R. I. & P. overcharge	293
HURTO, J. N., Laurel, Iowa Central, bad condition of fence	311

INDUSTRY TRACKS:

Moore & Wollenberg, Arion, v. C. M. & St. P. petition for	295
ILLINOIS CENTRAL RD. Co., see Dubuque & Sioux City Rd. Co.	
INCOME account Iowa, tables of	28-31
INCOME of Electric interurban railways, table	129
INCOME account, entire line, tables of	32-35
INSPECTION of railways	4
INTERLOCKING devices at railroad crossings	8
INTERURBAN RAILWAY COMPANY, Officers and Directors of	209
INTERURBAN RAILWAYS, statistics	128-140
INTERURBAN ELECTRIC RAILWAYS	3
INTERLOCKER; Iowa Falls, Ill. Cent. and C. R. I. & P.	239
Rockwell City, Ill. Cent., N. & N. W. and C. M. & St. P.	238
Spencer, C. M. & St. P. and M. & St. L.	239
INWOOD, Farmers Elevator Company of, v. C. M. & St. P. site for elevator. ...	294
IOWA FALLS, city of, v. Ill. Cent. flagman at crossing	292
IOWA FALLS, Interlocker at	239
IOWA FALLS, John Deemer of, v. C. R. I. & P. drainage	301
IOWA CENTRAL RY. Co., Officers, Mileage and Directors of	186
IOWA & ILL. RY. Co., officers and directors	208

JACKSON GRAIN CO. Cedar Rapids, Ia., v. Ill. Cent. Rd. Co. minimun weights	299
JACKSON, E. T. Latty, v. C. R. I. & P. rebuilding of burnt depot	290
JASPER COUNTY citizens of, v. N. & N. W. dangerous highway crossing	293
JOHNSON, GEO. B. Buffalo Center, v. C. R. I. & P. failure to furnish cars	276
JOINT RATES—see rates:	
JOINT STATIONS, see station service:	
JONES, John Elkport, v. C. M. & St. P. obstruction to stream	275

KEAN & TURNIS, Cascade, v. C. M. & St. P. train service	274
KELLY, T. W., Neola v. C. M. St. P. train service	287
KELLY, Geo. Holland of, v. N. & N. W. farm crossing	291
KELSEY, Oscar Loveland, v. Ill. Cent. telephone across right of way	285
KEOSAQUA. citizens of, v. C. R. I. & P., train service	296
KEOTA, Stewart Bros. & Stamp of, v. C. R. I. & P., train service	252
KEOTA, Davis Bros. of, v. C. R. I. & P., failure to furnish cars ..	278
KERR, Geo. W. Albia, v. Wabash Railroad bad condition of fence	305
KETCHUM, NATHANIEL S., succeeds Ed. C. Brown as Railroad Commissioner ...	10

KILLING OF STOCK:

Ottens, J. H., Bellevue, v. C. M. & St. P.	313
KINCADE, J. W., Sewal, v. C. R. I. & P., flag stop	310
KINGSLEY, Paynes Creamery, v. C. & N. W., damage to butter in transit	314
KLEMME, August Lau & Sons of, v. C. R. I. & P., failure to furnish cars	279, 311
KLEMME, M. Elder of, v. C. R. I. & P., site of coal house	253
KOSTER, Wm., Breda, v. C. & N. W., bad condition of fence	314

LAKE MILLS, Gilbert Bendickson of, v. C. & N. W., drainage	303
LANYON, Farmers Elevator Company et al of, v. Railway companies, inter-state rate on grain	274
LATTY, E. T., Jackson, v. C. R. I. & P., rebuilding of depot	290
LAU A. & SONS, v. C. R. I. & P., failure to furnish cars	279, 311
LAURENS, O. S. Allen of, v. M. & St. L., failure to furnish cars	272

	Page.
LAUREL, J. N. Hurto of, v. Iowa Central, bad condition of fence	311
LAUREL, Peter Reifschneider, v. Iowa Central condition of fence	305
LAUREL, Gus Schnoor et al. of, v. Iowa Central, bad condition of fence	312
LEE COUNTY, condemnation proceedings by A. T. & S. Fe	217
LEED BRICK WORKS, Sioux City, v. Ill. Cent., et al., switching charges	302
LEHIGH, James Campbell et al. of, v. C. G. W., exorbitant switching charges.....	206
LEIGH, A. C. et al., Dows, v., C. G. W., new station	244
LEON, O. E. Gardner of, v. C. B. & Q., obstruction to natural drainage	248
LINN COUNTY, condemnation by C. M. & St. P	226
LINN COUNTY, condemnation by C. M. & St. P	219
LINN COUNTY, condemnation by C. M. & St. P	225
LISBON, M. F. Helmer of, v. C. & N. W., condition of fence	263
LOCOMOTIVES, fuel consumed by, table of	125
LOCOMOTIVES, table of	94
LOCOMOTIVES:	
Tong, W. M., Boone, v. N. & N. W., dangerous condition of	278
LOOMIS, N. D. Hedrick, v. Iowa Central, farm crossing	242
LOSS IN TRANSIT:	
Orrick Bros., Dubuque, v. U. S. Express Company, of strawberries	202
Pepper, D. H., Dows, v. C. R. I. & P., claim for	201
Skutle, M. J., Guthrie Center, v. C. R. I. & P., car of salt	201
LOVELAND, Oscar Kelsey of, v. Ill. Cent., telephone across right of way	205
LUCAS, Ashby & McGee of, v. C. B. & Q., condition of stock yards	308
LUCEY, LEO J., Breda, v. Ill. Cent., claim for damages	305
M CCOLL BROS., Woodward, v. C. M. & St. P., choice of market	311
MCKEE, J. R., East Peru, v. C. G. W., drainage	209
MALLARD, Stell Bros Hay Company of, v. M. & St. L., et al., shortage of cars ..	312
MALOY, J. J. Condon of, v. C. G. W., train service	203
MANCHESTER & ONEIDA RY. Co., Mileage, Officers and Directors of	199
MANNING, U. L., Patton of, v. C. G. W., feeding and watering stock in transit..	296
MANSON, W. S. Brown of, v. Ill. Cent., overcharge on coal	226
MANVILLE & WARD, Ocheydan, v. C. R. I. & P., failure to furnish cars	204
MAQUOKETA, Hurst & Co. of, v. C. R. I. & P., overcharge	212
MARCUS, M. Y., Ames of, v. Ill. Cent. Rd. Co., time of stock trains into Chicago..	277
MARION COUNTY, condemnation by Keokuk & Des Moines Ry	218
MARION, Fairfield Lumber Co., v. C. M. & St. P., wrongful routing of freight....	297
MARTENS, Wm., Churchville, v. C. G. W., undergrade farm crossing	291
MARTINSBURG, Robert Campbell of, v. Iowa Central, right of way fence	291
MASON CITY, W. E. Svendsen of, v. Iowa Central, et al., right of way fence	290
MASON CITY & CLEAR LAKE TRACTION Co., Officers and Directors of	210
MASON CITY & FORT DODGE RY Co., Mileage, Officers and Directors of	157
MELVIN, Farmers Elevator Company of, v. C. R. I. & P., site for elevator	300
MELVIN, Henry, Kuester of, v. C. R. I. & P., failure to furnish cars	312
METZ, M. C. Hickman of, v. C. R. I. & P., farm crossing	270
MILEAGE in Iowa, new,	3
MILEAGE in Iowa, 1878 to 1905	8
MILEAGE in Iowa, table of	80
MILEAGE, Entire line table of	78
MILEAGE Traffic entire line, tables of	106
MILEAGE traffic, Iowa tables	98, 105
MILEAGE Officers and directors of steam railway companies	141
MILEAGE Traffic of interurban lines,,, tables of	135, 137
MILEAGE of interurban lines, tables of	135
MILLER & SONS, Clermont, v. C. G. W., et al., joint rate on brick	303
MINNEAPOLIS & St. Louis Ry. Co. Officers, Mileage and Directors of	190

	Page.
MINIMUM WEIGHTS:	
Jackson Grain Co., Cedar Rapids, v. Ill. Cent.	299
Robertson, W. J., Farmington, v. C. B. & Q., on hay shipments	279
Welch, E. S., Sheeandoah, v. C. B. & Q., on shingle shavings	293
MOLYNEAUX, A. R., Cherokee, v. Ill. Cent. discrimination in min wts	269
MONTROSE, Edwin Urfer of, v. C. B. & Q., drainage	300
MOORE, et al, Westfield, v. C. M. & St. P., delayed shipments.....	256
MOORE & WOLLENBERG, Arion, v. C. M. & St. P., switch to private property....	295
MOUNTAIN GROVE, Andy Wilson of, v. C. R. I. & P., overcharge	260
MUSCATINE, NORTH & SOUTH RY. Co., Officers, Mileage and Directors of	192
MYSTIC Town of, v. C. M. & St. P., dangerous street crossing	280
N	
NARGANG, S. A., New Hampton, v. C. G. W., farm crossing.	262
NELSON, A., Cambridge, v. D. M., I. F. & N., undergrade farm crossing	262
NEOLA, T. W. Kelley of, v. C. M. & St. P., train service	267
NEW HAMPTON, S. A. Nargang of, v. C. G. W., farm crossing ..	262
NEW MARKET, Browning et al. of, v. C. B. & Q., failure to furnish cars.	298
NEW MARKET, city of v. C. B. & Q., street crossing	264
NEWTON, A. T. Hough of, v. N. & N. W., drainage	287
NEWTON, Fred Henning of, v. N. & N. W., drainage	292
NEWTON & NORTHWESTERN RY. Co., Officers, Mileage and Directors of	193
NICOLA, W. E. Riverside, v. C. R. I. & P., farm crossing	255
NIRA, citizens of, v. C. R. I. & P., restoration of agent	256
NODAWAY, C. E. Shiffer of, v. C. B. & Q., farm crossing	249
O	
OKAVILLE, Hugo Gerst of, v. Iowa Central, farm crossing.....	309
OCHEYDAN, Manville & Ward of, v. C. R. I. & P. failure to furnish cars.	284
OLWEIN, commissioners, v. C. G. W. delay in stock shipments	289
Officers and Directors of Electric Interurban Lines	203
OPERATING expenses, see expenses.	
OFFICERS Mileage and Directors of steam railway companies	141
OGDEN, citizens of, v. M. & St. Louis and C. & N. W. joint station	244
OKOBOI, citizens of v. C. M. & St. P. petition to open station	289
OLSON BROS., Swea City v. C. R. I. & P. failure to furnish cars ...	276
OMAHA, W. O. Rice, v. of, C. R. I. & P. excess baggage rates	288
ORRICK BROS. Dubuque, v. U. S. Express Co., loss in transit	298
OSKALOOSA, M. B. Turner of, v. Iowa Cent. et al overcharge ...	276
OTTENS, J. H. Bellevue, v. C. M. & St. P. ng of stock	313
OTTUMWA FUEL COMPANY v. C. R. I. & P., petition for track scales	287
OVERCHARGE:	
Brown, W. S. Manson, v. Ill. Cent., on coal	286
Clift, N. R. Zearing v. Iowa Central,	281
Himes M. L., Elkader, v. C. M. & St. P.,	304
Hurst & Co. Maquoketa, v. C. R. I. & P., account of shipment over longer line	293
Sanders, R. W., Collins, v. C. G. W., interstate.....	269
Turner, M. B., Oskaloosa, v. Iowa Central et al	276
Wilson, Andy, Mountain Grove, Mo v C R I & P. interstate on emigrant movables	280
OWENS, John, Clarkson, v. C. B. & Q. farm crossing	268
OXFORD MILLS, citizens of, v. C. M. & St. P. maintenance of station	246
P	
PAGE COUNTY, v. C. B. & Q. drainage	308
PALMER, W. M. Webb, v. C. R. I. & P. depot facilities	244
PALMER, Farmers Inc. Co-operative Co. v. C. R. I. & P. elevator site	275
PAPE, Thos. M., Hillsboro, v. C. B. & Q. street crossing	297
PARSONS, JAMES, Galt, v. C. R. I. & P. street crossing, dangerous	287
PASSENGERS, accommodations for.....	6
PATTON, U. L. Manning, v. O G W feeding and watering live stock in transit..	296
PAUP, DANIEL, et al. v. C. M. & St. P. establishment of station Spragueville	220
PAYNES CREAMERY, Kingsley, v. C. & N. W. damage to butter in transit	14

	Page.
PEPPER, D. H. Dows, v. C. R. I. & P. claim for loss in transit	281
PEROVAL, C. L., Co., Des Moines, v. M. & St. L., misapplication of rates	272
PERSIA, citizens of, v. C. M. & St. P., train service	270
PERRY, J. Petty of, v. M. & St. L., rate on ice	271
PETERSON, Thos. J., Titonka, v. C. R. I. & P., damage to hay in transit	284
PETERSVILLE, M. J. Spain of, v. C. & N. W., petition for operator	246
PETTY, J., Perry, v. M. & St. L., rate on ice	271
PLYMOUTH, citizens of, v. C. M. & St. P., depot facilities	275
POCAHONTAS COUNTY, v. C. R. I. & P., drainage	257
PRINDLE, T. W., Steamboat Rock, v. Iowa Central, fire set by engine	289

R ADCLIFFE, WESLEY ELEVATOR COMPANY, of, v. C., M. & St. P., failure to furnish cars	286
---	-----

RATES:

Adoption of Iowa Classification No. 13	240
Farmers Elevator Co., et al, Lanyon, v. Railway Companies interstate on grain	274
Feiner Fish Co., Clinton, v. American Express Co, special fish and oyster	305
Feiner Fish Co., Clinton, v. Express Companies, petition for joint	261
Miller & Son, Clermont, v. C. G. W., et al, joint on brick	306
Percival Co., Des Moines, v. M. & St. L., misapplication of	272
Petty, J., Perry, v. M. & St. L., on ice	271
Ross, Charles A., Gray, v. C. & N.-W., interstate on emigrant movables	279
Sac City Milling Co., v. C. & N.-W., excessive, interstate	288
Waller Bros., Charles City, v. on live stock	295
REIFSCHNEIDER, Peter, Laurel, v. Iowa Central, condition of fence	305
REIGARD, C. I., Spirit Lake, v. C. R. I. & P., undergrade farm crossing	280
RENEWALS of rails and ties, table of	93
RENTALS received, rentals paid and miscellaneous income, table of.	25
RHODES, Citizens of, v. C. M. & St. P., train service	287
RICE, S., Shellrock, v. C. G. W., farm crossing	310
RICE W. O. Omaha v. C. R. I. & P., excess baggage rates	288
RIVERSIDE, W. E. Nicola of, v. C. R. I. & P., at grade	255
ROBERTSON, W. J., Farmington, v. C. B. & Q., on hay shipments	279
ROCKWELL CITY, interlocker at	238
ROCK RAPIDS, Farmers Elevator Company of, v. The Great Northern Ry. site for elevator	298
ROSS, Chas. A., Gray, v. C. & N. W., excessive interstate rates	279
ROUTING OF FREIGHT:	
Fairfield Lumber Co., Marion, v. C. M. & St. P., wrongful routing	297

S AC CITY MILLING COMPANY, Sac City, v. C. & N. W. excessive interstate rate	288
SANDERS, R. W. Collins v. C. G. W., overcharge, interstate	269

SCALES, TRACK:

Ottumwa Fuel Co., Ottumwa, v. C. R. I. & P., petition to establish	277
SCHNOOR, Gus et al., Laurel, v. Iowa Central, bad condition of fence	312
SEWAL, J. W. Kincaid of, v. C. R. I. & P., flag stop at Kniffin	310
SHEFFIELD, F. R. Campbell of, v. Iowa Central damages	284
SHELBY COUNTY, condemnation by C. M. & St. P.	286
SHELDON, John Weir of, v. C. St. P. M. & O. farm crossing	267
SHELLROCK, S. Rice of, v. C. G. W., farm crossing	310
SHELLSBURG, citizens of, v. C. R. I. & P., flag stop	250
SHENANDOAH, E. S. Welch of, v. C. B. & Q., minimum weight on shingle shavings	293
SHERLOCK TOWNSHIP, trustees of, Butler county, v. C. R. I. & P., drainage	310
SHIFER, C. E. Nodaway, v. C. B. & Q. farm crossing	249
SHRIVER, et al., Winterset, v. C. R. I. & P., train service	255

SIDETRACK:

Davis, J. E., Coin, v. C. B. & Q., use of private for loading ..	296
Moore & Wollenberg, v. C. M. & St. P., to private property.....	
Tunnell, C. W., Exline, v. C. B. & Q., use for private industry.....	

SIDEWALK:

Arcadia, town of, v. C. & N. W., to depot grounds	272
SIDNEY, Fremont county of, v. C. B. & Q., drainage	271
SIoux CITY, Leeds Brick Works of, v. Ill. Cent., switching charges	302
SIoux CITY, Condemnation by C., St. P., M. & O	302
SIoux CITY, city of, v. Ry. Cos., viaduct on Wallstreet.....	232

SITES:

American sons of equity, Cumberland v. C. B. & Q., for coal house	311
Elder, M. Klemme, v. C., R. I. & P., for coal house	253
Farmer's Cereal Co., Sloan v. C. R. & N. W. for elevator	308
Farmer's Inc. Co-Op. Co., Palmer v. C., R. I. & P., for elevator and coal sheds ..	275
Farmers Elevator Company, Wightman v. C. G. W	304
Farmers Elevator Company, Melvin, v. C. R. I. & P., for elevator	300
Farmers Elevator Co., Rock Rapids, v. G. N. Ry. Co., for elevator at Alvord ..	298
Farmers Elevator Company, Inwood., v. C., M. & St. P., for elevator	294
Fritz, L. R., Adaza v. C., M. & St. P., for coal house	295
Klemme, M. Elder of, v. C., R. I. & P., for coal house.....	253
SKUTLE, M. O., Guthrie Centre, v. C., R. I. & P., loss of salt in transit	281
SLOAN, Elevator Company, Sloan v. C. & N. W., failure to furnish cars	280
SLOAN, Farmers Cereal Co. of, v. C. & N. W., elevator site.....	308
SOUERS & LANGDON, Grundy Center, v. C. R. I. & P., delay in shipment of coal..	282
SPAIN, M. J. Petersville, C. & N. W., petition for telegraph operator	246
SPENCER, INTERLOCKER AT	239
SPIRIT LAKE, C. I. Reigard of, v. C. R. I. & P., undergrade farm crossing.....	290
SPRAGUEVILLE, Paup, Daniel et al, v. C. M. & St. P., establishment at	220

SPUR TRACK:

Moore & Wollenberg, Arion, v. C. M. & St. P., to private industry.....	295
--	-----

STATION:

Ames, commissioners, v. C. & N. W., condition of toilet room at	309
Benson, Citizens Lumber Co., v. Ill. Cent. Rd. Co. abandonment of station	265
Commissioners, v. C. G. W., proper accommodations at Waverly depot.....	260
Danville, Citizens of, v. C. B. & Q. petition to keep depot open for train.....	260
Dows, citizens of v. C. G. W. for establishment of	244
Exline, citizens of, v. C. B. & Q. facilities for handling freight.....	251
Fredonia, citizens of, v. C. R. I. & P. prepay station.....	245
Grundy Center, Citizens of, v. C. R. I. & P. night operator.....	262
Hiattsville, citizens of, v. Wabash, petition for depot	243
Latty, Jackson, E. T., v. C. R. I. & P., petition to rebuild depot	290
Leigh, A. C. et al, Dows, v. C. G. W. establishment of.....	244
Nira, Citizens of, v. C. R. I. & P. restoration of agent.....	256
Ogden, citizens of, v. M. & St. L. and C. & N. W. joint station.....	244
Okoboji, citizens of, v. C. M. & St. P., petition to open depot	289
Oxford Mills, citizens of, v. C. M. & St. P. maintenance of station	246
Palmer, W. M. Webb v. C. R. I. & P. Ry. Co. location of depot	244
Petersville, M. J. Spain of, v. C. & N. W. petition for telegraph operator ..	246
Plymouth, citizens of, v. C. M. & St. P. petition to open depot	275
Spragueville, Paup et al, v. C. M. & St. P., petition for establishment of	220
Tyrone, citizens of, v. C. B. & Q. petition to restore ...	257
Vrba, Nemetz Co., Duncan, v. C. M. & St. P. Ry. Co., removal of agent and closing of station	263
Waverly, conditions at on C. G. W.....	250
Webb, W. M. Palmer of, v. C. R. I. & P. location of depot.....	244

	Page.
STATIONS number of	92
STATISTICS of railway companies.....	13-127
STEAMBOAT ROCK, T. W. Prindle of, v. Iowa Central fire set by engine	289
STEIL BROS. Mallard, v. M. & St. L. et al., shortage of cars.....	313
STERLING, D. B. et al, Buffalo Center v. C. R. I. & P. failure to furnish cars...	282
STEWART BROS. & STAMP, Keota v. C. R. I. & P. train service	252
STOCK, Capital, table of	13. 14. 15
STOCKS and Bonds owned by railway companies, table of	22
STOCK YARDS:	
Ashby & McGee, Lucas v. C. B. & Q. condition of	308
Foster, G. M. Waverly, v. Ill. Cent	307
Hjerleid, H. C., Decorah, v. C. M. & St. P. discrimination in use of.....	271
SULLIVAN, NEIL, Afton, v. O. G. W. undergrade farm crossing.....	250
SVENDSON, W. E., Mason City, v. Iowa Central et al. right of way fence	239
SWEA CITY, Olson Bros of, v. C. R. I & P. failure to furnish cars	276
SWITCHING CHARGES:	
Campbell, James et al, Lehigh, v. C. G. W., exorbitant	206
Leeds Brick Company, Sioux City. v. Ill Cent	302
SYLLABI of Interstate Commerce decisions.....	327- 349
TALMAGE , citizen of, v. C. B & Q et al Y tracks	249
TELEGRAPH SERVICE, see station service.	
TABOR & NORTHERN RY. CO., Officers, Mileage and directors of	194
TAMA & TOLEDO ELECTRIC RAILWAY CO., Officers and directors of	211
TAXES, paid in Iowa and other states, tables of	71-73
TELEPHONE LINES crossing railway lines:	
Kelsey, Oscar, Loveland, v. Ill. Cent. rights of.....	266
THAYER, G. H. Gibson of, v. C. B. & Q. bad condition offence	302
THOMPSON, citizens of, v. C. R. I & P failure to furnish cars	253
TITONKA, citizens of, v. C. R. I & P. additional trains	251
TITONKA, Thos. J. Peterson, v. C. R. I. & P damage to hay in transit	294
TONG, W. M., Boone, v. N. & N. W., dangerous condition of locomotives...	278
TONNAGE crossing Mississippi and Missouri Rivers, table of	124
TONNAGE in Iowa, classified tables of.....	114-118
TONNAGE on entire line, classified, tables of.....	119-122
TRACK SCALES:	
Ottumwa Fuel Co., Ottumwa, v. C., R. I. & P., petition to establish	267
TRANSFER TRACKS,—see Y tracks.	
TRAIN SERVICE:	
Cascade, Kean & Turnis, v. C., M. & St. P., failure to move stock trains.....	274
Commissioners v. C. G. W. train connection at Waterloo	299
Danville, citizens of, v. C., B & Q., petition to stop train No. 9	260
Decorah, citizens of, v. C., R. I. & P., snow blockades.....	280
Elliott, Griffith, L. H., v. C., B. & Q., petition for better	310
Fort Madison Branch, C., B. & Q.	245
Keosauqua, citizens of, et al, v. C., R. I. & P., petition for better	296
Keota, Stewart Bros., et al, v. C., R. I. & P., for stock shipments	252
Kincade, J. W. Sewal v. C. R. I & P, flag stop at Kniffin	310
Maloy, Condon, J. J., v. O. G. W., petition to stop train No. 1	263
Marcus, Ames, M. Y., v. Ill Cent Rd. Co., arrival of stock into Chicago	277
Neola, Kelley. T. W., v. C. M. & St. P., for better stock	267
Persia, citizens of, v. C. M. & St. P., petition to stop fast	270
Rhodes, citizens of, v. C. M. & St. P., flag stop	237

	Page.
Shellsburg, citizens of, v. O. R. I. & P., flag stop	250
Stewart Bros., et al., Keota, v. C. R. I. & P., for stock shipments	252
Titonka, citizens of, v. C. R. I. & P., additional trains	251
Ventura, Bromley, W. H., v. O. M. & St. P., petition to stop fast train	260
Westfield, Moore, et al, v. C. M. & St. P., delayed shipments	256
Winterset, Shriver, et al, v. O. R. I. & P., between Des Moines and Winterset	255
TRAINS, operations of	5
TRURO, town of, v. C. B. & Q., drainage of street crossing	304
TUNNELL, C. W. Exline, v. C. B. & Q., use of Drake Siding	273
TURNER, M. B., Oskaloosa, v. Iowa Central, et al, overcharge	276
TYRONE, citizens of, v. C. B. & Q., petition for station	257
U RFER, Edwin, Montrose, v. C. B. & Q., drainage	300
UNION PACIFIC RY. Co. Officers, Mileage and Directors of	195
V ALERIA, L. E. C., Vernooon of, v. C. N. Ry. Co., drainage	299
VENTURA, W. H., Bromley of, v. O. M. & St. P., petition to stop fast train ..	260
VERNOOOM, L. E. C., Valeria, v. C. N. Ry. Co., drainage	299
VIADUCTS:	
Dubuque, City of, v. C. G. W., reconstruction of	307
Sioux City, v. Ry. companies, on Wall street	232
VOLGA CITY, Otto Germar of, v. O. M. & St. P., delay in shipments ..	309
VRBA, Nemetz Co., Duncan, v. C. M. & St. P., removal of agent, and closing of station	263
W ALLER BROS., Charles City, v. live stock rates	295
WASHINGTON COUNTY, condemnation by C. R. I. & P. Ry	218
WABASH RD. Co., Officers Mileage and Directors of	197
WATERLOO CEDAR FALLS & NORTHERN RY. Co., Officers and Directors of	212
WATERLY, Commissioners, v. C. G. W., proper facilities at depot	250
WATERLY, G. M. Foster of, v. Ill. Cent. stock yards	307
WEBB, W. M. Palmer of, v. C. R. I. & P. depot facilities	244
WEIGHTS, Robertson, W. J. Farmington, v. C. B. & Q. on hay shipments	279
WEIR, John, Sheldon v. C. St. P. M. & O. farm crossing	267
WELCH, E. S. Shennandoah, v. C. B. & Q. minimum weight on shingle shavings ..	293
WESLEY ELV. Co. Radcliffe, v. C. M. & St. P. failure to furnish cars	296
WESSELS, John A. Ackley, v. Ill. Cent. Rd. Co. farm crossing	259
WEST BEND, W. L. Brown & Son of, v. O. R. I. & P. failure to furnish cars	282
WESTFIELD, Moore et al of, v. C. M. & St. P. delayed shipments	256
WHITE, E. O., county att'y Harlan, v. O. G. W. dangerous highway crossing	303
WIGHTMAN, Farmers Elevator Company of, v. C. G. W. elevator site	304
WILLMAR & SIOUX FALLS RY. Co., Officers, Mileage and Directors of	200
WILSON, ANDY, Mountain Grove, Mo. v. C. R. I. & P. overcharge	260
WILSON, GEO. S., Berlin, v. C. G. W., damage to goods in transit	292
WINTERSET, Shriver et al of, v. C. R. I. & P. train service between Des Moines and Winterset	255
WISCONSIN, MINNESOTA RY. Co., Officers, Mileage and Directors of	159
WOODBURY COUNTY, condemnation proceedings by Iowa & Gt. No.	215
WOODBURY COUNTY, petition of Willmar & Sioux Falls Ry. Co., for right to condemn land	227
WOODWARD, McGill Bros. of, v. C. M. & St. P., choice of market ..	311
WRECKS:	
At crossing of Ill. Cent. and C. R. I. & P., at Iowa Falls	270
WRONGFUL ROUTING OF FREIGHT:	
Fairfield Lumber Company, Marion, v. C. M. & St. P.	29

	Page.
Y TRACKS:	
Boone Cereal Co., Boone, v. C. & N. W. et al., transfer connections	234
Talmage, citizens of, v. O. B. & Q., et al., petition for.....	249
Z EARING, N. R. Clift of, v. Iowa Central, overcharge on wire fencing	281
ZUCK, D. B., Dallas Center, v. M. & St. L., failure to furnish cars.,	289
ZURCHER, Gott, supervisor, Farmersburg, v. C. M. & St. P., approaches to bridge on highway.....	31

28th Annual report. 1904/05

13

NAME